Salvation of the Saviors (series 35-369) Climbing the mountain

The mind is our only way of realizing reality, our main tool of survival. We are free to reject reason, we are free to refuse to think, however, we are not free to avoid the consequences of our blindness.

Many people are determined. They are like a rocket ready to launch, from under which clouds of smoke and fire fly out. It roars, it shouts to the whole world that it will achieve, achieve, break through... But then something comical happens to it... It takes off and flies along a strange trajectory: zigzags, contradictory, chaotic. As a result, it either collides with the itself, or hits the ground. In both cases, it is broken. And all because it has **NO PURPOSE**.



Or, to be more precise, if there is a goal, *it is disproportionately small relative to the energy that is in this person*. He is given the power to move mountains, he can make the planet spin in the opposite direction, and the system, built more than two thousand years ago, suggests that this energy should be spent on trading irons, on following patterns in the form of "*plant a tree*, *build a house, have a child*". These goals are good for people with a small charge, for ordinary people. For people with great energy inside, with a deep mind and large-scale thinking, more is needed. And if this is not the case, THEY ARE DESTROYED by their own energy. So, you will not break through anywhere and achieve nothing

until YOU HAVE A PURPOSE that you could call your meaning of life. The purpose for which you were born. And these are not high-sounding words, these are today's realities. Imagine your life as a ship. You have a lot of things to do on it: paint the cabin, catch a fish, set sails, entertainment in the evening ... Business, business, business ... your head is spinning from these affairs. But where are you going? Hardly anyone can clearly answer this question.

Anyone can name **THEIR OBJECTIVES** in life (within the boundaries of life). For some, its *power*, *fame, and money*. Others have, as I said, *to plant a tree, build a house, and have a child. Some have other goals of their own*. It doesn't matter who has what goals in life. The important thing is that they are all within life. But what is **THE PURPOSE OF LIFE ITSELF**?

What is the purpose for which you were born, and what do you want to get in the end? People get lost and hang up on this question.

They have absolutely **NOTHING TO SAY**. For the average person, the concept of *"the meaning of life"* is as empty a sound as for a worm (let's say its intelligent) the concept of a "star". Talking about the meaning of life with him is always the same nonsense as a worm talking about the stars. This is especially pronounced in our present time.

Our life is like a satellite-controlled car. The man is sitting in the back seat. He has no idea where he wants to end up. The car continues on its way, and the man looks around. And one day he sees a cemetery in the distance ... Many are no longer satisfied with life in a rut. They would like to go where they want, not where the road leads. However, in order to drive toward your goal, you have to KNOW THE PURPOSE OF LIFE? Where does a person get such a purpose? Accept someone's thoughts or revelations on faith? But if a person DOES NOT WANT to just believe anything, if HE WANTS TO KNOW, there is nothing left but to figure it out for himself.



LIKE - MINDED PEOPLE

My long reflections have *led me to deny today's habitual concepts of good and evil, traditional morality and ethics, old norms and taboos*, because I understand perfectly well that this is the legacy of the Old System, imputed to us through generations of people for at least two thousand years. Many will be put off by this...

I started writing this *"369 series of articles"* with the goal of gathering a group of like-minded people to climb the mountain. Those who are **NOT LOOKING** for anything, *who think they understand everything in life* — I do not and will not take such people. They are an empty breed for me. My audience, like-minded people, are passionate seekers. For them, the goal is always the same. And it is primary. The rest is used as a tool to achieve it. At the same time, the secondary can also be called a goal, but in fact it is always a resource and a tool. For the sake of the primary, **YOU CAN SACRIFICE** the secondary. On the contrary, never, because it contradicts common sense. The goal defines the logic of the action. The emergence of a new goal gives rise to a new logic for everyone.

I will give an example that is relevant at least for my generation. When communism was primary, the government was ready to sacrifice Russia. When Russia became primary, the idea of communism was sacrificed. The good thing is that it strengthens the country. The bad thing is that it weakens it. In this logic, the idea of a permanent revolution, which put Russia on the level of firewood, is condemned. Next, the ideological component itself fades into the background. The new edition of the Stalinist Constitution NO LONGER DESIGNATES the main goal of the Communist Party to build communism throughout the world. The old goal is being replaced by a new goal: *building a strong socialist empire that opposes the capitalist world*. But let's not forget that all this was **SET** from the **OUTSIDE** and within the framework of the **Old** *control system*. First of all, the issues of the step-by-step process of execution of the Ebrov programs on "The development of Brain genotypes and Energy Biogenesis of the flesh of the body" were solved. The new goal forms new requirements for power seekers, or rather, carriers of a specific Brain genotype. Previously, the government consisted of a kind of "priests" who deeply understood the meaning of the communist doctrine. It was a type of censorship. If a person did not have a complete understanding, did not accommodate Marx's theory of the gradual change of the world and the inevitability of the arrival of communism, HE HAD NO chance of getting into the highest echelons of power. All the talk that Stalin himself did NOT WRITE ANYTHING, that they wrote for him, as for Brezhnev, is refuted by this fact. A person who did not understand the essence of Marx's teaching COULD NOT be at the top. No matter how well he spoke on the podium, no matter how great an organizer and administrator he was, if his knowledge of communism was limited to general words, he could not get to the top. Stalin was at the highest level of the party hierarchy. That says it all.

When an idea arising from a solid understanding of the laws of human development is replaced by an idea formed from a momentary situation, another qualification arises. The corresponding rotation of personnel begins. After Stalin, it was not the one who understands the scale of Marx and communism that got into power, but the one who could create state power.

Thinkers are replaced by people of A LOWER LEVEL — business executives, military, scientists. They create industry, science, and a combat-ready army. Scale ceases to be a decisive indicator. The ability to increase the power of the country becomes a ticket to power: to make good tanks and bombs, to develop industry and agriculture, to explore space and virgin lands. Thanks to this, the USSR will be the first to go into space and perform many feats. However, all this will be done - **NOT FOR** the sake of implementing the communist idea, but for the sake of building a **powerful state** as the main element of managing people within the framework of the processes under the control of the Old System in order to create a set of specific Brain genotypes in a given territory.



The corridors of power are filled with specialists in solving small problems (relatively small ones). No one is saying that such problems should not be solved. We are only talking about the **POINTLESSNESS** in **SOLVING SMALL PROBLEMS** if no one solves the big ones (they simply can't see them). The actions of small honest people postpone the collapse of the structure, but do **NOT CANCEL** it. The global structure created by the first Communists begins to shrink like pebbled skin. The idea of communism is turning into an empty tradition and a screen. In reality, the **IDEA OF SERVING THE STATE** becomes the highest idea. IT needs to be served without trying to understand the wherefore and why. You just have to. And that is all. **Whoever refuses is a traitor.**

Why it had to be considered this way, how it followed from communism, was **NOT DECIPHERED** at a deep level. A serious explanation was more than replaced by a populist version: "*we need to strengthen in order to stand in an enemy environment.*" For an ordinary person, this was enough, as they say, for the eyes. However, thinking people could not help but see a change of strategic course, a reorientation from one idea to another. A gigantic propaganda campaign was unfolding, suggesting that the Motherland is the highest value compared to all other values. For the sake of the good of the state, it is necessary to give up personal welfare. If you have to die, you have to die. If there is a situation when you need to sacrifice your family's life for the **SAKE** of the **STATE**, you need to sacrifice.

And most importantly, for the sake of the state, a temporary deviation from the foundation — the theory of communism — was allowed. Take a look around in the realities of today. Does it remind the reader of anything?

During the period of temporary retreat, **ANOTHER STRUCTURE WILL BE FORMED**. It will raise the people who correspond to it into power. The key places will be occupied not by those who are primarily focused on serving the state, but by those who are **FOCUSED ON THE MARKET**. The benefit will not be the ability to heal the soul, but the ability to organize an effective household. The caretakers will receive the status of spiritual teachers and leaders. A new subculture will form around them, dressed in Christian clothes taken out of dusty closets and hiding a different essence. It's only a matter of time before it all goes sour. It is impossible to be outwardly a confessor, but inwardly an economic manager. This is exactly what happened to the Communists. And this is what is being tested in our time, if we carefully analyze what is happening before our eyes. Having elevated the welfare of the state to the status of the highest goal, in fact, they have **NOT JUST abandoned the communist idea**. They created a new type of religion — Soviet patriotism, where the supreme deity is the state. Its divinity does **NOT FLOW** from anything except from itself (as befits a deity). The very question "why is this so?" was equated with sacrilege, an insult to the deity, for which cruel punishment was provided.

The new ideological construction is not even based on an empty place, it is being built on a negative place. Figuratively speaking, the building is not just standing without a foundation, it is **ON A SWAMP**, which actively sucks in the building. For obvious reasons, such a religion cannot live long. The period of worship of the entity without any reason, solely for reasons of current security, appointed by the deity, can last a maximum of a couple of generations. Next — the inevitable disintegration.

There has never been anything like this in the field of building ideological structures, in history. This is from the point of view of philosophical reflections. And from the point of view of the Brain Genotype Development Program, this is its systematic implementation in the current time. Against this background, the value for which one should not feel sorry for oneself, family, or life has always flowed from the worldview. For example, under the monarchy, the **BASIS OF PATRIOTISM** was religion. The king was considered a representative of God, and therefore the protection of the power that God entrusted to him was considered a service to God. Sacrificing himself for the sake of Russia, a person, in the end, understood this, as a sacrifice to God. Communists could not imagine sacrificing themselves to the state as a sacrifice for the sake of communism for the reason that communism fundamentally denied the value of the state. In the "sacred" texts of communism, which were considered the works of Marx and Lenin, these thoughts were written in black and white. The figures who remained in power could not but understand this, however, they saw no other way out. The new religion was an integral part of the plan to **INCREASE** the country's **DEFENSE CAPABILITY**. The former cosmopolitan Stalin, who once proved the secondary value of Russia, addresses the people: "Brothers and sisters." People will die "for the Motherland, for Stalin!" with the same dedication with which the first Christians died for Christ.

It seems that it is good and right to serve your country faithfully. However, the country itself is always positioned as a secondary value, a tool that somehow works for the primary value.



The only known variant of positioning the territory with primary value is found in druids¹. To repeat it, you need a worldview from which such a conclusion will follow. There is no such worldview yet. Proclaiming patriotism as the highest value will inevitably be profanation, especially in today's reality. With the same success, you can proclaim the highest value of a football club. The production of patriots is based on the same technology as the production of football fans. For some reason, the so-called "rulers of today" still cannot understand this. But even this is an objective process — they, the "rulers," are NOT GIVEN to understand this. It **DOES NOT CAPTURE** people of a higher level. After all, *a person wants to*

know why he should consider the state a more valuable entity than his life.

¹ Druids (Latin Druides, in Gaulish druich, dravid) — the so-called priests of the Celtic peoples in ancient Gaul and Britain. In Gaul at the time of Caesar, they formed a closed, but not hereditary estate, which, along with the nobility, differed from the rest of the people in that it was free from taxes and military service. As priests, Druids performed private and public sacrifices at sacred sites. They were considered soothsayers, were judges in disputes between individuals and tribes, were engaged in healing, studied the course of the heavenly bodies, the division of time, possessed the art of writing. Their science was kept secret from the people and those who joined the estate were taught orally for a long period of time.

When he **DOES NOT FIND** an answer, patriotism is ridiculed. Which, in fact, we are witnessing with our own eyes today — as a kind of repetition of what already happened in the recent past. It was a wonderful situation at that time, but very similar to today, that's why I'm writing about it. Formally, the "theorists of communism" were priests covering the whole world, understanding the laws of its development, defining the goal and setting the direction. In fact, they were **LOOKING FOR PERSONAL GOOD**, they were making a career, they were telling jokes about communism in the smoking room. It was an analogue of the religious scribes of ancient Israel, only modern "priests" were given the task of coloring any decision of the authorities in communist tones. The Central Committee made a decision based on the moment. The "scribes" presented it as stemming from a global understanding. V.S. Chernomyrdin was right when he said at one of his meetings in the presidential administration: "... You can't build anything new except a repeat of the CPSU Central Committee".

There are two types of people in power. *The first type:* honest people with economic, political and military talents. *The second type:* dishonest people with similar talents. The former can be called honest druids who consider it a sacred duty to serve the State. The second — imaginary druids, seeking not the good of the country, but for personal reasons. They covered their true goal with communist demagoguery (they are now covering it with democratic demagoguery). Empty speeches pouring from the stands, applauded by empty people.

As long as Stalin was alive, the imaginary druids carefully concealed their goals. There was no hiding in nature. Groups emerged, fighting among themselves for the warm seats. They understood the energy of society as a free resource, which they sought to use to settle their lives. Stalin prevented the pseudo-druids from deploying their full power. This slowed down the destructive processes, but did **NOT STOP** them. Externally, the deity-state continued to strengthen materially. Inwardly, it was rapidly weakening.



An ideological vacuum means: there is nothing to rely on anymore, there is no strategic goal. This leads to natural consequences — the system swells and collapses. There is A CYNICISM AT THE STATE LEVEL, they begin to call "white" - "black". So, as part of the work of the Old control system, the last stage of the transition from the state was implemented, as the main element of people management, to the beginning of building people management within the framework of interstate corporations, which did not exist in our state yet. Visible contradictions destroy both Stalinist Druidism and Leninist communism with equal effectiveness. Soviet society formally claims to serve one deity (communism), but actually serves another (the state). This is about how missionaries by hook or by crook persuaded pagans to cut down the oak tree to which they prayed and build a Christian temple out of it. The pagans agreed, but in reality, they continued to pray to the oak tree, which now existed for them in the form of a temple. Christians without the purpose of worshipping Christ have transformed into Christian pagans. Communists without a goal to build communism have turned into communist druids, even worse. The cunning pagans at least knew exactly what they were praying to. The Soviet people didn't really understand what they were building. And they could not and should not have understood this. So, the program of the Old control system was "rebuilt". Marx and Lenin clearly understood the consequences of deviation from the strategic course. It's like if you are sailing to another continent, a small deviation from the course for a short period of time WILL **NOT** be noticeable. But as the ship continues to move, it will deviate more and more from its course. And if there are no people on it **CAPABLE** of **SEEING** a strategic course, it will one day become the plaything of the elements, which will one day throw it into the reefs. That is why the Founding Fathers were very clear about patriotism. Stalin understood everything too, but saw no other way out. A disagreement with a strategic partner (Germany), which gave rise to the specter of an impending war, LEFT NO chance to continue the previous policy. And there was **NO** new **ONE**. In this situation, the optimal thing seemed to be a change of orientation and the preservation of communist rhetoric. But rhetoric alone did not get us very far - as the collapse of the USSR confirmed.

In the atmosphere of state worship, Stalin is replaced by Khrushchev — **as a thinker, he is not even zero, but minus (in terms of scale, too).** Khrushchev considered the theory demagogy. He was, as they say, a "real man," that is, he did something the result of which can be seen in a short period of time. His thinking was typical of a small man. He considered the control of the security forces to be a **GUARANTEE** of the **PRESERVATION** of personal power.

If the security forces can physically destroy anyone, as the Stalinist repressions prove, it is necessary to control the army, the Ministry of Internal Affairs and the FSB. He focused on this task, realized it, but for the rest of his life he COULD NOT UNDERSTAND how he lost power.



Short-sightedness is characteristic of a ruler with no ideology. The last staff of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union also could not understand why, having a powerful army and punitive organs, they lost power. And modern "state leaders," when their time comes (and it is approaching by leaps and bounds), will also **NOT UNDERSTAND** the reason for the loss of power. Because they are already "denied" understanding.

Two thousand years ago, such structures were accurately diagnosed: a house built on sand will collapse, and its fall will be great. Only a building with a solid stone foundation will stand under the pressure of external circumstances. It is impossible to compensate for the imperfection of the "miracle of architecture" with money, no matter how hard anyone tries. The house we live in is no longer a house on the sand (without a foundation). This is already a falling structure. By historical standards, it is separated from the impact on the ground by moments. Soon it will fall and shatter into pieces. Due to the discrepancy between the speed of the fall and our perception, we **DO NOT RECORD** the action. It seems to us that everything is fine. There are shortcomings, but they have always been. And if so, **WE ARE ENGAGED IN THE USUAL TURNOVER**. However, if you look more broadly, the approach of the obvious end cannot be denied. Unfortunately, our "rulers" are not sincerely aware of this.

Stalin understood the importance of the foundation and did not give up the idea of communism to the last. He tried with all his might to reconcile the large-scale idea with the prevailing circumstances. He did his best: he changed the technology of achieving the goal, replacing the kindling of the fire of world revolution with armed opposition to the West, positioning this decision as a tactical one. Until the last, he asserted the strategic goal of building communism on the entire planet.

Not that Khrushchev understood or didn't understand, he did **NOT THINK** at all on this scale. For him, this field **SIMPLY DID NOT EXIST**, like quantum mechanics. Therefore, with all his desire, Khrushchev could not even imagine what forces he was unleashing by proclaiming the peaceful coexistence of the capitalist and communist systems. Practically speaking, Khrushchev condemned the USSR to death with his "idea," which sounded great on a momentary scale. Under him, the Leninist idea of the world revolution came to naught. The Stalinist idea of the confrontation between the two systems went out the door. *Populism and philistine wisdom took the place of the supreme idea.* The comicality of the situation is that, having buried communism in the grave and hammered the lid on its coffin, by 1980 he was making statements about building communism.

Even if we assume that Khrushchev was a good business executive and administrator (a controversial thing, however), even then he was **NOT SUITABLE** for the role of a strategic leader in key indicators. *A major leader should think in strategic categories and form philosophical judgments.* For Khrushchev, all this was pure demagogy. He thought — let the clerks deal with the worldview. And he will focus on the main thing — on the control of the army and the economy. And also on corn, the idea of which was brought from the USA (today modern "corn" is nanotechnology, which, by the way, the current "Khrushchevs," also strong business executives, brought from the USA).

Stalin's idea, though druidic, in fact GAVE SOCIETY a GOAL and, therefore, the ground for a feat. The Soviet man somehow understood that it was necessary to resist the West, which, saving its skin from the all-powerful teachings of Lenin, wanted to start a war. To avoid war, you need to work hard and honestly. *Not for fear, but for conscience, that is, to serve.* The Soviet man had a mission. Khrushchev crosses it out by proclaiming peaceful coexistence with the West. The object Stalin called antagonism, with which, in principle, there can be no peaceful coexistence, like fire and water, Khrushchev declared a potentially compatible entity. Like, capitalism is no longer the enemy of communism. This is a potential partner and, perhaps, in the distant future, a friend.

In a short period of time by historical standards, the **GOAL IN RUSSIA** has changed four times. At first, the goal was to serve God. Then the goal was to build communism in the world. Then druidism (the state as the highest value) became the goal. Since the time of Khrushchev in the USSR, the goal has been simply to live. Just eat, drink, have fun, work, but the main thing is to equip your life. All this translates into humanism, which teaches: it is not worth living for God, for an idea, for the state. You need to live exclusively for yourself. This is supported in today's time as an automotor phenomenon from the past. Each change of idea had natural consequences. *The Roman slogan "bread and circuses" was declared the main goal.* The difference with Rome was that the Roman elite **DID NOT ELEVATE** this rule to the status of the highest idea. For her, it was just a way to influence people. Under Stalin, this slogan becomes a tactical tool that does not cancel, at least on paper, the highest goal. Khrushchev elevates everyday life to the rank of the highest goal, and from that moment the last stage in the program for the development of Brain genotypes begins to be built. Against this background, the Soviet man loses ground for the feat. If the **ULTIMATE GOAL** is irons and carpets on the wall, why build missiles with tanks? It is necessary to develop consumer goods. Since the West is better at producing consumer goods, we must imitate the West. Ideally, we should go there, since life there is much better.

There is nothing wrong with simple human values forming current life, as long as they take their place. Trouble happens when THEY BECOME THE HIGHEST GOAL, *replacing a worldview idea*. The Soviet man, focused on the common good, which he DOES NOT HAVE because he builds tanks, has a bifurcated consciousness. It is as if two forces are tearing him apart, calling him to go: one to the left, the other to the right. Something like Byzantine guile, when you need to look for benefits for yourself, but imagine this search in the form of service to God. The idea of peaceful coexistence destroyed the Iron Curtain and with it the remnants of the communist idea, which at that time was expressed in ritual glorification. Liberal ideas are beginning to blur the religion of the Soviet druids. If we trace the development of the emerging trend, the idea of communism first evolved in another (under Stalin, they began to forget about communism). Then it transformed into humanism (under Brezhnev, they began to forget about the strong state).

Under Gorbachev, the dominant idea was the idea of the "Roman plebs", which Khrushchev once gave a start in life. Here I will allow myself a small digression. The death of Mikhail Gorbachev is A LANDMARK in what is happening. In 2022, all those whom we consider guilty of the collapse of the USSR died (passed away). *Stanislav Shushkevich (3 May), Leonid Kravchuk (10 May), Gennady Burbulis (19 June), Vadim Bakatin (31 July), Mikhail Gorbachev (30 August).* And one more thing against this background — they all died (passed away) in



the year of the **100th anniversary of the formation of the USSR**. Further events become as irreversible as they are natural. The human deity in pursuit of the good, above which **NOTHING CAN** be, will come into conflict with other "deities" who are also sure that there is nothing above their good. A new **ELUSIVE ENTITY** is born. Its signs: the price of a thing is 1-20% formed by material functionality, and 80-99% by brand. The brand is primary, the functionality is secondary. Remove the brand from Coca-Cola bottles, and sales will fail thousands of times. The same applies to those whom, as we are told, "we ourselves nominate to power."

It is not their views and professionalism that are primary, but the brand in which this personality is "wrapped" by professional political strategists (who replaced thinking philosophers) and submitted for "consideration by the public". If we **DO NOT ALLOW** the process to stop (and we do not allow it), there is no doubt — very soon the brand (virtual) will displace matter for the thing. *There will remain a pure brand that has lost its materiality...* The overwhelming majority of the population directly and indirectly says today that we **DO NOT NEED** the right to choose, we **DO NOT NEED** freedom of speech, political rights and other abstractions. We **NEED A SAFE**, stable, well-fed life. We need work, warmth, food and a set of simple pleasures. That's it, nothing else is needed, leave us alone...

That's how the "new deity" appears, feeding on the flesh of the state and man. There are three components of our being: spirit (religion or philosophical idea), matter (the state as an organization of society plus a resource) and the individual (man). In any period of history, there is a higher value, to which the other two are sacrificed, and at the same time one value is constantly being squeezed by another. When the full circle is passed, the confrontation of spiritual entities arises again. This is what is happening today. At one time, religion was supplanted by the idea of communism. Time passed, and the state pushed the idea of communism. Then the man pushed the state. Before our eyes, a new round of history has begun — something new, elusive began to crowd a person. This is not a state or a matter. It is a **PRODUCT OF THE DEIFICATION** of everyday life and brand. We have to go through this because the "remnants" and imputations of the Old control system are present in all of us, and this, as I hope the reader understands, is real and objective. The development goes further. The question of time is when the new in our consciousness will "absorb and displace" all the old that was imputed to us. Now it is difficult to imagine exactly how it will look, but there is NO DOUBT that it will definitely happen. It's ALREADY HAPPENING. The thick-skinned ones will soon notice the contours of the new one. We call success compliance with the requirements of the system, the achievement of what it prescribes us to achieve. But never by achieving what we want. This makes us people living according to the program embodied through everyone, and we must be (become) People. You cannot plant a new tree in the place of an old one without first uprooting it. You can't build a new building without first demolishing the old one. You cannot create a new world without first dismantling the old one. The creation of the new on the head of the old is **NEVER NEW**. It's always an upgrade of the old one.



The old world is like a rotting building about to fall with its occupants on the heads of passersby. It is not necessary to wait for the natural destruction of the fallen. In this situation, it is optimal to follow Nietzsche's words, "Push the falling one. The old world needs controlled demolition, not only because it has outlived its usefulness, but also in order to realize the idea-minimum and the idea-maximum. The current state of society is best illustrated by the difficulties of bringing it into line with the tasks at hand. After all, only the support of the majority (better the overwhelming majority) provides the basis of transformations about which everybody is repeating, often, alas, having something of their own in mind. The realization of this requires a fundamentally new socio-economic form that will **STAND ON THE STATE** and force it to do what it will never do on its own. This is why we **HAVE TO ASSEMLE A TEAM** of like-minded people who are passionate about what they want, for whom the goal is always the same, and that goal is primary. A team that you can take with you - to climb a mountain.

Ф. Shkrudnev September 2022