

10. Meeting on 7/25/2009

Opening remarks by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



Good afternoon to all of you who have come here today. The reason we are gathering is because those sitting here are not indifferent to what is happening and to the future of our country. These are not just loud words. We are living in a time when, if we do not awaken—if humanity as a whole, and the Russian people in particular, do not wake up—there may soon be no one left to awaken. Because what is happening now is an ongoing war against the Russian people and other peoples, and it is shifting into new forms of destruction.

Where previously it was physical genocide, now it has moved into genetic genocide. You don't have to look far—almost all of the food people now buy in stores and markets is genetically modified. Scientists have conducted tests, which the media is very reluctant to report, that show the consumption of these [GMO] products leads to complete sterility in the population.

What people are eating now is already killing the grandchildren of those sitting in this hall. This isn't something thousands of generations away—it can happen within a single human lifetime. So, there are many ways to kill. You don't have to slit someone's throat—though that, too, is done—but instead they can feed people food which, when there's nothing else to eat, is accepted. A person eats it, and while it seems like food, in reality it's poison that destroys future generations.

Europe is still holding out against genetically modified (GM) products—for now. The Americans are trying to impose them on Europe as well, but Europe is still resisting. Why? Because certain people there understand the implications and are not allowing it. The Americans are trying in various ways to destroy agriculture and promote the idea that we're on the brink of starvation, and therefore we need to produce this kind of food—that this is the solution.

They are destroying everything that is truly healthy—healthy food is being wiped out. So are the producers of healthy food. Do you know what's happening in agriculture in Russia? Everything that produces healthy food is being eliminated, and the production of genetically modified goods is being imposed—this is genetic warfare. We will produce it ourselves, eat it ourselves, and kill ourselves in the process. Pretty clever, isn't it?

In all wars, Russia's enemies have always suffered defeat sooner or later. Having realized that they cannot win through conventional means, they've decided to win in another way. It's subtle—you eat something, it might even taste good, but in reality, it's death. Just not an immediate one. Therefore, the next question is: what should we eat? We have to feed our children.

There are methods, presented in the articles titled "*Source of Life*," that show how it's possible to obtain enormous harvests on the worst types of land imaginable—without using any chemicals at all. It's possible to grow crops and plants in conditions where they normally would never grow. You can even get 10–15 harvests per year, and the plants don't get exhausted. There's no need to kill anyone, as some claim—that millions must be exterminated so the rest can be fed. The solution isn't extermination, but rather changing the technology.

But the social parasites who still rule the world don't want to change technologies, because they don't possess any others. The technologies they've come up with using their limited minds have led only to destruction. The chemistry that allegedly brought progress to agriculture has in fact destroyed all the land it touched.

You don't have to look far—China loses 5–7% of its fertile land every year. That's from official data. Due to the use of chemicals, arable land is being destroyed—5-7% per year. And don't forget that today's 5-7% loss becomes 10% next year, 13-14% the year after, then 15-17%, and so on. This destruction of land follows a kind of geometric progression.

In that same America, in California, where agriculture was once highly developed and citrus fruits were grown on an industrial scale—the land has become dead. They plant new seedlings, and they can't even take root in the soil. No amount of chemicals helps anymore. And this is happening everywhere now. Our ancestors, who didn't even have winter harvests, still managed to produce much more than what is now achieved using chemicals. Why are people being deceived?

Take the soil, for example—they dump chemicals onto it. The chemicals kill all the microorganisms in the surface layer. Humus, where trillions of microorganisms live and create fertile soil—once they're killed by chemicals, the earth might still contain nutrients for a few abundant harvests. But once the humus is dead, there's nothing to restore the soil layer. After a few more years of chemically forced harvests—it's over. The land becomes completely dead.

And this is being done *intentionally* by social parasites. To act this way, one would either have to be completely stupid—or they're not stupid, since they've managed to seize power on our planet. And if they're not stupid, it means they're doing this *criminally* and *deliberately*. That means they are *enemies*. In every sense. Not just enemies to us, but even to themselves—because by destroying everything, they are destroying their own future too. They don't have a spare planet in reserve.

Like in that old joke from my student days. They were giving a lecture on the atomic bomb. The lecturer says: "Here we have one half of the atomic bomb. And here's the other half. Now we bring them closer together." A student raises his hand and says: "But comrade lecturer, it'll explode!" — "Sit down, student! We have a spare one!"

Well, in this case, they *don't* have a spare. I don't quite understand it. I realize they're like robots, zombies who've had all kinds of idiotic ideas hammered into their heads—and they swallowed all that

nonsense. But still, shouldn't at least *some* neuron in their brains occasionally spark with the realization that what they're doing is also destroying themselves? The bunkers they're building won't save them. At best, they might stretch their survival underground by 10–15–20–50 years. And this contradiction — against even the most basic logic—has always amazed me.

But parasites are parasites. The best comparison I can make is with a cancerous tumor. Every one of us, from time to time, produces cancer cells—you probably know this. But a healthy and strong organism quickly eliminates these undifferentiated cells, and everything returns to normal. Only when, for one reason or another, the body can't destroy those initial few cancer cells, a tumor begins to grow. And as the cancer grows, expanding in size, it eventually kills the host—and dies with it. There is no better analogy for *social parasites* than a cancerous tumor. This is the cancerous tumor of our civilization which, as it grows, is killing the entire civilization—and ultimately itself as well.

Should we just sit and wait until this cancer destroys all of us? Should we fold our hands and simply watch, like a herd of sheep being led to the slaughter, waiting for our throats to be cut? I believe we shouldn't. There *are* ways and methods not only to stop this peculiar kind of mass slaughter, but also to revive and restore what was defiled and corrupted by the actions of these very social parasites. All of this is possible—but for that to happen, people must *wake up*.

As long as people sleep, many things can be done to them. People can be deprogrammed. But here's the issue: when a person has spent a long time inside a sick social system—within which they were raised, grew up, and had their worldview shaped—even if you remove the influence that turned them into a bio-robot, the person's consciousness doesn't instantly snap back into order.

Because true understanding and awareness come through effort—through reflection. So even if we remove all negative influences from people, unfortunately, that *alone* doesn't change the situation. It merely gives people the chance to wake up. But no one can wake up *for* them. That's why our task—*my* task—is to help those who are awake or who are still sleeping *to wake up and begin to think*.

And much is already happening. Even the fact that you came here today shows that this is not indifferent to many people. A great number of people are reading the books that I and my wife are writing, and these books provoke a strong emotional response—they touch people deeply. That tells me I am doing something that is truly necessary. Not something imagined or artificial—something people genuinely need. From time to time, I receive letters from readers on my website. Of course, not everyone likes what I do—and I don't aim for everyone to like it. Yesterday, I received a letter from a young man—quite a curious one. What he wrote, the content of the letter itself, tells me that I am indeed moving in the right direction by doing what I do. Here is the letter:

“Nowadays, young people don't read much. I'm a good example of that. I'm 16 years old, and out of my own will I've only ever read 7 books in my life — 7 volumes of *Harry Potter*. So, I'm not really used to reading.

The first book I came across by Nicolai Viktorovich was *The Mirror of My Soul, Volume 1*. I listened to it on an audiotape. And that's when I realized I'd have to start reading—because I simply couldn't avoid reading the second book, which wasn't available in audio format. I read it—and I really liked both volumes. Then I started reading other books by the author with genuine interest.

The events described in *The Mirror of My Soul* don't seem impossible to me, because everything written there has a logical foundation—explanations of *how and why*. And maybe that's because, as my mom says, my head hasn't yet been filled with a pile of dogmas and postulates.

(N.V.: That's true as well, sometimes it's a plus not to have studied or done much in conventional terms.)

The book contains many interesting things, lots of details. For me, this book revived a thirst for knowledge that had been knocked out of me by school—especially about past events, not history, by the way, which I never understood, probably because it's all false.

P.S. *The Mirror of My Soul* is still more interesting than the author's other books."

This was written by a 16-year-old boy. And there have been many such letters from young guys and girls aged 16 to 20. I believe that even if he were the only one who responded like this—it would still mean that what I do is not in vain. It turns out—it's not just about knowledge.

Why is today's youth so ignorant? It's not about knowledge itself—it's about *how* that knowledge is presented, and *who* presents it. If knowledge is presented correctly, if it's shared with genuine understanding and passion, then young people will study it with interest, grow through it, and move forward. But everything today is deliberately structured to destroy youth's interest in learning—to kill their desire to understand and explore. As a result, young people are becoming completely ignorant.

I've spoken with my former classmates—many of whom are now university professors. We discussed the preparedness of incoming students who enroll in the same faculties we once studied in. When I asked about their level of preparation, they replied: "*It's terrible!*" These students—former high schoolers—don't even know the basics required to study on a radiophysics faculty.

That really surprised me. Instead of advancing knowledge, the education system today is pushing people *far* backward. That's why the example of the young man who wrote me—one of many such letters—is so important. It shows that this kind of work is *truly needed*. Because if we don't do it, then in just 2-3 generations—if the current trend continues—no one will be interested in anything at all. We will fall not just into the ignorance of the Stone Age, but into something *even worse*: a deliberate, cultivated barbarism.

What should we do—just sit and wait for it all to happen? I believe—*absolutely not!* We must do everything in our power to reverse this course, at least in our country (and hopefully not only in ours), and return things to how they *should* be.

Once, our ancestors—unfortunately—made a number of mistakes. And we are now living in the consequences of those mistakes. But that doesn't mean they were bad people. It's just that they were, in some ways, *naive*. They were dealing with deceit and treachery—things they simply couldn't comprehend. When a person has never lied or betrayed another, it's hard for them to even *imagine* that someone else might. Sadly, that's the truth.

I was always raised to treat others as I would want to be treated. That's how I live my life. But just because *I* act this way doesn't mean everyone else will too. And unfortunately, that's not how the world

works. Our enemies love to say that Slavs—or Russians—are “stupid.” But what they call “stupidity” is actually *purity of soul*. And what could be more wonderful than for an adult to preserve the heart of a child? Yet that very purity was exploited. And it’s that exploitation that led us to the situation we find ourselves in today.

If you yourself don’t do something wrong, it doesn’t mean others won’t do it to you. And when no one warns you, when you’re left in the dark, you become prey—victims of the parasites who currently rule this world. But I don’t think that will last much longer.

The most important thing is that we learn from the mistakes our ancestors made—and not repeat them. What we’re seeing now, I don’t believe it’s accidental—whether it’s being done out of naivety, misunderstanding, or perhaps even intentionally. People are trying to revive the traditions of our ancestors. Many communities and societies are forming—each calling themselves something different—but all of a *Vedic* nature.

I believe the most dangerous part of this is that while they are trying to *revive* these traditions, they are doing so with a *modern consciousness*. They’re filtering everything that happened in the past *through today’s mindset*—without understanding that, back then, the world was completely different. The conditions were different. The **consciousness of the people** was *entirely different*.

People of that time responded to words and concepts very differently from how we do now. And so today, when people attempt to recreate those ancient practices, they may use the *same words*, but those words are now *understood completely differently*. And thus, their actions take on an entirely different meaning.

After the catastrophe that occurred when the Moon Fatta fell 13,500 years ago, following the war between Atlantis and Rassenia—our civilization was thrown back into the Stone Age. To help our ancestors *resist* the influence and takeover of the dark forces that followed, certain practices were introduced, including praise and invocation of the gods. But the thing is—our ancestors never viewed these gods the way we understand “gods” today.

In their understanding, “gods” were not divine beings in the sense we now assume. They were *hierarchs*, people who had evolved beyond the ordinary human level, those who had reached a level of creative power where they could influence space with the force of thought. In gaining such power, they acquired new abilities—but they *remained human*. And those who reached such heights never considered themselves gods—nor did our ancestors call them that in a divine sense. They were seen as *our forebears*. As the old saying goes: “*We are the children and grandchildren of Dazhbog*.” Our ancestors didn’t consider themselves better or worse than these beings. They simply regarded themselves as *descendants* of the gods who once came to Earth.

Understand this: the meaning of words has changed completely over time. Take, for example, ancient forms of address. Why do you think, after the catastrophe—when nearly all of civilization had been thrown back to the Stone Age—there were specific forms of *praise* or *glorification* created for various gods? One day they praised this god, another day a different one. Do you think this was done to simply worship or idolize them?

Not at all. It served an entirely different purpose, especially during the *Nights of Svarog*—those dark cosmic periods when the very fabric of space exerted strong negative influences, and it became far more difficult for a person to reach toward the light, toward their creative origin. These so-called glorifications were not religious rituals in the modern sense—they were *intentional combinations of words*, phrases designed to help a person *tune in* to certain elevated, protective qualities.

When spoken regularly—especially each morning—they functioned like a form of energetic shielding, a spiritual protection. By speaking these specific phrases, a person could *connect to the qualities of the light forces* and resist falling under the influence of parasitic energies.

So, if we were to translate this into modern terms: These ancient “prayers” weren’t worship—they were a kind of psychotechnological tool or spiritual code, calibrated to help a human stay in resonance with the forces of light and keep out the darkness. It was a practical mechanism, not blind religious devotion.

And now, it’s understood in a completely different way. Today, glorifications and hymns are perceived exactly as they are understood in the Christian church. The error lies in transposing Christian modes of worship into the so-called Vedic tradition, without realizing that the essence and principle behind them are entirely different.

Should we continue such practices today? No, we shouldn’t. That form of glorification was necessary during the Night of Svarog, which has already ended. We are now in an entirely different phase of cosmic influence.

Yes, some forms of continuity must be preserved, but what is most important now is evolution—and these songs and rituals do not support evolution anymore. Real development happens only through personal action. No one, under any circumstances, will ever move forward even a single step unless they themselves take action. And such action must arise from understanding, from awareness, not from mechanical repetition or inherited ritual.

First must come enlightenment through knowledge, and only based on that enlightenment does a person begin to act. And it is through such actions founded on understanding that a human being can grow spiritually. Just reading a book, or even so-called “holy books”—what does that actually give? Spirituality is a word that many throw around.

But as I wrote in one of my articles... If the Christian church is so spiritual, then how do we explain what happened in Russia after a thousand years of Christianization? At the beginning of the 20th century, the Revolution broke out. Is it possible to call the behavior of people during the revolution spiritual? They slaughtered each other—brothers killed brothers, children murdered parents, parents murdered children. There was rape, murder, looting. And this was after a thousand years of “spiritual development?”

I personally cannot call those actions spiritual. After a millennium of religious instruction, after generations of Christian upbringing, someone simply told them: “You now have a new god—Communism. You’re allowed to loot and kill.” And what happened? They went out and did it without hesitation. So where was the spirituality they supposedly had? From my point of view, this means: there was no spirituality at all—only the illusion of it.

Because a truly spiritual person—no matter what they're told, even if someone says, "You're allowed to steal, kill, do whatever you want—it'll all be forgiven"—such a person would never do it. Never. So, does the church actually bring spirituality to people?

My opinion: no. If it truly did, then what happened in the 20th century would not have happened. It happened because people had been made into slaves—slaves in soul and spirit. The church told them: "You'll get your paradise after you die. Endure your fate, suffer all the trials God sends you—pain, torment—and maybe you'll earn paradise." That's where your "reward" will be—not here, but somewhere else after death.

What did the Communists do? They did the same thing, only said: "We'll build paradise not after death, but right here, in your lifetime! But for that—you must kill. Here's an enemy of the people—eliminate him! Here's a hostile class—wipe them out!" And people did just that—killing, believing they were helping to build heaven on Earth.

What's the difference? It's all manipulation of consciousness. And so, yesterday's "slaves of God" became godless executioners in a single day—not all, of course, but the overwhelming majority. They began to slaughter—believing it was necessary to build paradise on Earth.

And did they build it? No. They kept postponing it, saying "soon, very soon"—and people kept believing that such a thing was possible. We all know what it ultimately led to—no explanation needed. That's why this slave philosophy is a social disease—a slave mentality that was imposed on our people, and not just our people, for the last thousand years. And it led to the horrors we saw in the 20th century.

If you compare it to the cosmic cycle, the 20th century marked the end of the Night of Svarog. And the darkest, filthiest time is always just before the dawn. So, the most terrible things for our Motherland happened right before the end of that Night of Svarog. Is that a coincidence? No!

For those who've read the Torah—what date does it assign for the end of the world? The year 1995—if translated into our modern calendar. And what is 1995-1996? That is exactly the end of the Night of Svarog. Coincidence? I don't think so.

So, the "favorable time" for the parasites was ending—and they proclaimed it the end of the world. But whose light was ending? Because if you read the Torah, you'll see it says: "The light of Yahweh shone throughout the Night of Svarog—but only for the *chosen people*." For all the other peoples, it was darkness and chaos. And now that "light" is ending.

But ask yourself: What kind of light was that? Because not all light is the same. There is life-giving light, and there is killing light. This was the light of the parasites, the false illumination they used to enslave and destroy. And you'll notice—these timelines strangely coincide.

There's a joke that goes like this: A man comes to a psychiatrist and says:

—*Doctor, you know, I got drunk and fell from the 9th floor... and didn't break a single bone!*

The doctor replies:

—*That's a coincidence.*

Some time passes, and the man returns:

—*Doctor, it happened again. I got drunk, fell from the 9th floor, and still no broken bones!*

The doctor says:

—*That's also a coincidence.*

Later, the man comes back once more with the same story, and the doctor says:

—*Well, now that's a pattern!*

It's the same with what's happening around us. When *everywhere* you look, *everything* lines up, matches perfectly, *again and again*—then it's simply ridiculous to keep calling it a coincidence. This is no accident. The real task before us right now is: we must wake up—because even if the influence of the Night of Svarog is removed, even if all its effects are gone—it doesn't mean everything will suddenly become wonderful. There is still a sick social organism all around us. And a lot of work needs to be done to recover and restore what has been corrupted.

In our society today, concepts like honor, integrity, and nobility are often considered the domain of fools.

— “*What an idiot,*” they say.

— “*You should be thinking about how to line your own pockets and make your own life better.*”

And how much blood will be spilled in the process? No one cares. That's disgusting. Yet this mindset is being promoted as a priority of 'spirituality' in our society. In Russia, it's not as rampant yet, though even here it's spreading in some circles. We must fight against this. But the question is: Who will fight it?

Everyone assumes we're just supposed to be a herd, quietly doing what someone else tells us to do. But our ancestors were never like that. They were never a herd of sheep. Do *you* want to be part of a herd of sheep? I certainly do not. The more people refuse to be sheep, refuse to be told what to do on their own land, the land our ancestors held for hundreds of thousands of years, the more we must wake up. No one is going to bring us anything on a silver platter with a nice ribbon. Only when we act together, can something truly change.

And for those who think: — “*If I just stay out of it, no one will touch me.*” That's an illusion. And who promotes that illusion? Think about it. That's why the future of our people—whether our descendants will continue to live on this land or not—depends entirely on our actions. That's why I do what I do. And most likely, you've come here for the same reason: to understand, to figure things out, and to act. Not to just blindly follow. There's no good in that.

Each person must attain enlightenment through knowledge. What does that mean? I'll help you understand. It's when a person realizes why and for what purpose they must act—not because someone told them so, but because it has become an intrinsic part of who they are. It resonates within them. They understand it, they're aware of it, and they act consciously—not out of fear, not because someone might hit them over the head or because they might lose their salary. It's not about money, and not about avoiding punishment. You can get hit over the head for a lot of reasons. But if we truly do what's right, the parasites—they are, in essence, all cowards. They flee because they're afraid of everything and everyone. They only act brave when they think no one will strike back.

Our task is to not let that happen—to not let them continue to ravage our land, the land that our ancestors soaked in their blood. In that regard, we have every right to speak up—and to demand the right to determine our own destiny, to decide for ourselves what to do and how to live. That's the little foundation, the starting point, before I begin reading the actual notes.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

10.1. QUESTION: *Do you believe the Light Forces will be able to save Earth's Humanity from the soul-capture by the Greys?*

ANSWER: I believe that the Greys are indeed, to some extent, capturing the souls of people, but they can only do so temporarily. Of course, a soul can make a mess of things—by accumulating negative qualities, it ends up on a much lower level than it would have deserved if it had evolved properly.

I can say that in 1995 (also “coincidentally” in 1995), a specific operation was carried out during which all souls awaiting incarnation—all essences waiting to be embodied—were freed from all karmic burdens. Those who wanted to, returned to their home planets from which they had come. And new essences were invited. Because for incarnations to continue, essences are required—it’s a law of nature. And those who chose to come—the new essences—arrived free of karmic blocks, in other words.

And what’s most interesting: studies of the brains of children born after 1995 showed that, whereas previously most children had activity limited to specific small brain areas, after 1995 activity is observed across the entire cortex. These children were born free from any blocks.

However, if they are raised incorrectly, if they develop in the wrong way, they can still recreate for themselves the very karma from which they were freed. That’s why it is essential that children—the new generation—are raised in proper conditions. If they are, they will go far forward in their development.

So, in any case, even those souls that the Greys managed to distort will receive help. All souls were freed from karma—and that’s not just a handful of essences, believe me. And they will be free from it going forward. No one will be left behind like a blind kitten on the street. That’s my answer.

10.2. QUESTION: *This is more of a comment about solar flares than a question.*

ANSWER: In any case, I can say that there’s no need to worry too much for now. Solar flares—strong ones—have always existed. More or less powerful flares are not in themselves a critical problem. A truly serious situation occurred in 2003, at least as far as the Sun is concerned. At that time, solar researchers were anticipating either a supernova explosion of our Sun or what they referred to as a mini-nova. Solar activity was so intense that they expected a supernova explosion in 2003. And if such an explosion had actually happened, you understand what that would have meant—life on planet Earth would have been completely wiped out.

A mini-nova wouldn’t have been much better. What is a mini-nova? It’s when the Sun’s luminosity increases millions of times over in a very short period of time. That would mean that on the sunlit side of the Earth, all the oceans would have instantly evaporated. The consequences for life would have been just as disastrous as from a full supernova—except that the planet wouldn’t have been completely scorched, and that’s about the only difference.

So, for now, there’s nothing to be concerned about, because solar flares are a normal phenomenon. There are 7-year and 11-year solar cycles, and sometimes these two cycles overlap, since their lengths don’t match exactly. When that happens, the activity spikes a bit more than usual—but that’s not particularly dangerous.

10.3. QUESTION: *Parents provide the child with the biomass. How is the child's personality formed, created, and developed?*

ANSWER: In this respect, the question is correctly posed. Parents provide only the biomass. The essence (or soul) that enters the biomass is only relatively connected to the parents, because at the moment of conception, certain factors are crucial.

One of the most important factors is the emotional state of the parents. If they truly love each other—if there is genuine love between them—then the energetic surge at the moment of the sperm and egg fusion is much more powerful.

But there are also other factors, such as:

- the location of conception (there are negative and positive places, energetically speaking),
- astrological influences—positions of stars and planets,
- the emotional and physical states of the parents—whether they are sober or intoxicated, whether they used drugs or not, etc.

All these factors together influence the amplitude of the energetic surge, and this surge determines the level of the essence (soul) that is drawn into the biomass—into the fertilized egg—at the moment of conception. That is essentially all the parents do in this process. After that, the essence that enters begins to develop within the biomass, influenced by all the subsequent circumstances.

If you compare children from the same parents—the same mother and father—all the children can turn out completely different, even drastically different. They are raised in the same family, by the same parents, under the same conditions, yet their personalities are entirely different. Why is that?

Because it is the essence (or soul) that enters the body, and depending on what kind of essence comes in, and what qualities that essence had before entering the biomass, a lot depends on how it will develop. Therefore, the development of personality depends on many factors, and the most important is the essence itself.

If a person has an inner core—if the essence has a strong core—then it can develop properly even under difficult conditions. But if the essence lacks a core, if it is still weak, immature, and “green,” then even when placed in good conditions, it might be corrupted more easily than if it were in bad conditions. It depends on many factors, but proper upbringing is crucial, because the family is one of the most powerful instruments influencing a person’s personality.

If the parents behave correctly—if their words never diverge from their actions—then the child will develop properly. But when parents say one thing and do another, the child notices and internalizes this contradiction. And later, the parents are surprised: “We taught him such good things—why did he turn out this way?” Because children often mirror what their parents do, not what they say.

There are rare cases when a child possesses an independent inner core, and in such cases, it no longer matters how the parents behave—the child still develops properly. But the overwhelming majority do not have such a strong core, and they copy their parents’ behavior and begin to act exactly the same way. To form a strong personality, one must treat the child as a person from the very beginning—not

thinking, "Oh, he's only 2 or 3 years old, he doesn't understand anything, there's no point in talking to him."

From my point of view, a child already from the first days of life—or at least from the moment they start speaking and moving independently—should be taught responsibility for their every action. That doesn't mean they should be punished harshly; yes, there should be consequences, but not beatings, as is often done. Beatings are useless. The key is that the child should see the consequence of their action and understand why they are being held accountable. If children are raised this way, you will raise true individuals.

But if children are raised the way most mothers do—with blind maternal love ("Here, my darling, have anything you want. Just don't touch my child!")—then no. Yes, of course, no one should harm the child, but the child must be raised properly. Because if you raise them correctly, they will actually grow up to be happier.

How many times have I seen situations where a woman says, "What should I do? My son is completely unprepared for life." Well, how could he be prepared, if his mother acts like a broody hen—hovering over him until he's 25 or 30 years old? And then young women ask, "Where are the real men?" But how can real men appear if women themselves—as mothers—raise their sons to be weaklings? The kind who, if they pinch a finger or get a small scratch, start bawling like beluga whales, and their mothers immediately start fussing: "Oh no, my poor baby cut himself!"

Where will real men come from if women raise not a man, but a weakling? That's why raising a child shouldn't be about shielding and preventing everything, as if God forbid something happens... On the contrary, love lies in preparing a child for adult life. So that when the child grows up, they're ready for all the hardships and realities of real life. If the parents don't prepare them, then they're the ones to blame. Blind love for one's children, in my view, is no excuse. Because if you truly love your child—you must prepare them for life!

I recently explained this to a woman: Do you want the truth or not? I want the truth. So, I explained the situation to her. Her son is nearly 30... What should I do? I told her, "Until he starts living independently..."—But how can that be? He doesn't know how to manage money. And I said, "Well, when he blows all his money and has nothing left to eat, the next time he gets money, he'll think twice before spending it. One day you'll pass away. Who's going to do all this for him then? His wife? His wife doesn't want to. She wants a husband who'll provide for their children. And if the mother keeps doing everything and feeding the son, how will he learn to feed his own children, if he can't even feed himself?"

So, women, a child's personality, at least in the early years, depends a great deal on you. Because (this is now to the men), men generally pay very little attention to raising children. That doesn't mean men—especially fathers of boys—should stand over their kids with a club and control their every step, but they should instill responsibility, a sense of firmness and integrity—that if you give your word, you keep it. And that's what a man—a father—must do. If neither the mother nor the father acts properly, no true personality will form. Unless it already exists within the child from the beginning.

10.4 Question: *How can I get the attention of a teenager or child—my daughter, in this case?*

Answer: Gaining a child's attention is very difficult, especially considering what's currently being pushed through the mass media—they're doing everything possible and impossible to ensure that youth *don't* pay attention. They know the psychological profile of young people very well.

Here's an example. At one time, an experiment was conducted where a rat had an electrode implanted in its pleasure center, and a contact was connected to a button. The rat was placed in front of the button, and it would press it on its own—continuously—until it dropped dead.

Sound familiar? What they're doing to young people today is basically the same as what was done to that rat. They offer youth everything that harms and destroys them, under the guise of different kinds of pleasure. And just like the rat, they keep pushing those buttons, seeking constant hits of pleasure, destroying their health, often their lives, and the lives of future generations too.

This is also the result of manipulation by the same social parasites, who want to ensure that as the new generation grows, it *doesn't* form properly and doesn't develop the necessary qualities. And they do everything possible to prevent youth from gaining those qualities.

So, if we understand that this is what's happening, should we just sit by and watch? Of course not. But what should we do? You need to find something living inside them to grab hold of. A mother has children, and she knows—or at least understands in some way—what is genuinely valuable to her child. You must find that one string in the soul that you can tug. Just saying words for the sake of saying them is useless. You need to establish a *connection*. If you can make that connection, then you can reach them. Until then, your words will just be background noise—the child will listen, sure, but it'll go in one ear and out the other, like it always does. You have to find the way in.

The younger generation always forgets that their parents were once young too, that they also had parents, and that they too were lectured. But it's understandable—children don't realize this, because they haven't yet been adults, or at least they don't remember that in a past life, they may have been.

But the adults—they *should* remember that they were once children too, and that their own parents used to lecture them in certain situations. Yet they completely forget. And if they didn't forget, they would recall that in most cases, when their parents lectured them, they would just sit there quietly, listening, saying "Yes, yes, I understand,"—only to get their parents off their back as quickly as possible. And then they'd go and do whatever they wanted anyway. Everyone forgets this, even though they do the exact same thing themselves. So, if you haven't forgotten—then *remember*! And knowing full well that your child is only agreeing with you so you'll stop pestering them with your "silly" lectures—you can actually use that mechanism. How? At that moment, you slip in a deal: "So, you understood that? You got it? Good. Then we agree that if this happens again, you lose this privilege or benefit."

Not by beating—never by hitting a person, especially a child—but through revoking privileges. The child will say, "Sure, I agree," just to get you off their back. And that's the hook—that's where you've got them. Because the next time they do it again, you immediately take away something they really care about. For example, video games—or whatever matters to them. And when they start to protest, you just say: "Wait, we agreed. You said that if you repeated this, you'd lose that privilege." And there's no comeback—they can't argue. The child realizes they agreed themselves. That's how understanding and responsibility start to develop. They begin to see that when you say something—it's not just empty words.

Parents must act firmly in this regard. If they say something won't be allowed again, then some real consequence must follow—not just “OK, you've understood,” and let it slide. The child won't actually understand in that case, because children think in a completely different way. Remember how you were at that age—and don't repeat the same mistakes your own parents made. If you do that, a lot will be resolved.

10.5 Question: *Will there be, within the framework of the Golden Age Revival movement, a direction focused on introducing breakthrough technologies in Russia? I'm eager to take active part.*

Answer: This is not only planned—it's already underway and has been from the very beginning. I'm currently working on it, and something is already taking shape. I won't talk about it until it actually happens, but I hope it will be soon.

Specifically, this involves the creation and implementation of cutting-edge technologies, and at this stage, the most important thing is establishing a system for producing healthy food for the country. I hope that very soon, powerful enterprises using the latest technologies will be launched, where no chemicals or genetically modified organisms are involved.

People will receive clean, high-quality food. That's one of the first crucial steps—because we all need to eat every day. And if there's nothing healthy to eat, people are forced to consume that garbage. So first, we need to fix this problem. And other things are also being done, but unfortunately, these things require enormous financial resources, and those resources need to be earned. Right now, the process of earning those funds is underway—so that they can be used to create what's necessary.

People may come up with grandiose plans, imagining projects that require trillions of dollars in investments. Coming up with such ideas isn't difficult. But expecting that someone will just show up and say, “*Here, take the money, do good things*”—that has never happened and never will happen. That's why, in order to do something real, you must first earn the necessary means, and then act. For some reason, people don't think about that step—earning—or they don't want to, or can't. But in this direction, work is ongoing. I hope that very soon, many things will begin to change. Of course, it will take some time—to build the necessary infrastructure and launch production—but significant progress is already being made.

10.6 QUESTION: *What are Prav and Slav? Where are they located?*

ANSWER: There can be several interpretations of this, and I can only say the following: different sources offer different explanations, so don't expect my interpretation to fully match the commonly accepted ones you might read elsewhere.

Our ancestors understood the world of Prav (Правъ) as the hierarchical system of the cosmos. That is, the gods mentioned in the ancient texts—they are said to reside in the world of Prav. If we were to translate this into modern language, it would be equivalent to a hierarchy—a hierarchy of beings who, in their development, have reached the level of creation.

Each of these beings exists at a different level. If you read the ancient sources, you'll find that each god has a particular responsibility, a specific area in which they operate, and that corresponds to the level of development they have reached. Why? Because each being developed to a certain degree, and that

defines their level of responsibility and function. They “live” in the world of Prav. But Prav is not a specific world like Earth, where everyone is gathered in one physical place. Rather, it is a symbolic structure—a representation of the hierarchy of Light Forces, where only those individuals or beings who have reached the level of creation through their own development may enter.

Depending on how a being progresses through this level, they move within that hierarchy. Everyone has their own “ceiling”—some reach higher, others lower. Some can even change their ceiling—expand their limits. It is similar to what Kant wrote when he said: “This far we can understand—and from here on, it’s a black box and unknowable.” He was both right and wrong in saying that.

A person, for example, possesses certain qualities and abilities, and there exists a ceiling to how those abilities can be realized. And if they reach the boundary of the maximum realization of their potential, it does not mean that there is nothing beyond that point. It only means that they have exhausted the potential available to them at that moment.

To go further, to move forward, becomes possible only when the being—not necessarily a human, as the universe does not contain only humanoid forms—finds a way to change their own foundation. If they succeed in doing that, if they transform themselves and create new qualities that they did not possess before, then they move on—to the next boundary.

And so, it continues, as long as their “box” keeps working (he taps his head), as long as they are able to understand and find solutions to fundamentally new problems. Such a being, or person, will continue to move forward. This hierarchy exists.

However, the names used in that hierarchy are also not always understood correctly. Many of those names are not fixed once and for all—they can change. Our ancestors used to give what was called a *sacred name*, and another name by which a person would be called—“they call me” (in Russian, *menya zovut*), not “I call myself,” but “they call me,” meaning someone else names you.

There were two names among our ancestors: a common name that everyone used, and a sacred name that only a very few people knew—that is, the name of the soul or essence (*sushchnost*). I’m sometimes surprised when people begin to consider themselves Vedists, simply choosing any name they like. They find a name they like, take it, and then write, “I’m a Vedist, my name is such and such.” But this is incorrect, because in earlier times, when our ancestors truly possessed paranormal abilities, a sacred name was not given to a child until the age of seven.

The sacred name was selected for each specific person—not just something that came to someone’s mind. A person would look and see, and the name would correspond to the essence, because the level of development of that essence determined a name that *resonated* with it. So, the soul and the name of the soul would become one and the same. That is why it was forbidden and considered dangerous to give out sacred names freely—they were only given to people who were deeply trusted. A sacred name is the same as becoming completely exposed in front of an enemy—it removes your armor, your protection, everything; it becomes a key for someone to enter and act upon you.

Names were never given randomly by our ancestors. They were carefully chosen according to the specific essence within a person. And even that name was not necessarily for life. If a person fully realized the potential that had been embedded in them at birth and went further, then their name

would be changed. Each new evolutionary leap—any fundamental transformation—would be marked by a change in name. So, names are not just names. Many different essences may eventually earn the same name—that is, once they reach a certain level of development, a specific name arises.

Among our ancestors, the concept of "khan" was not a name—for example, *Chingis Khan* (Genghis Khan)—it had nothing to do with a personal name; it was simply a title. But it was later turned into a name. Similarly, names were always given according to a specific essence, and development proceeded from there. In the cosmic hierarchy, there are many levels, and no one is restricted—at least not in the light hierarchy. But everyone occupies the position they have earned as a result of their abilities and the level of responsibility they are capable of bearing.

In the light system, there is a completely different understanding. Power is not about doing whatever you want—that's the approach of the dark system. In the dark understanding, power means: *I do whatever I please, however I please, with no limits*. That is a dark, destructive mentality. In the light systems, on the contrary, *power is a measure of responsibility*. Whoever possesses power, it is not *power* in the usual sense—it is *responsibility* for all those under your care. You are accountable for each and every one of them. And if you make a mistake, and others suffer as a result, you bear personal responsibility for it. You see—it's quite a different understanding.

The world of *Prav* is not a physical world per se, but rather a hierarchy of the Light Forces. As for the world of *Slav*, it's difficult to give a precise answer to that question, because depending on the context, it can have several meanings. That's why I won't comment on it for now. Many words cannot be interpreted in isolation, because doing so causes us to lose their true meaning.

Our ancestors never used words in isolation—each word was given meaning by the words around it. Even the words themselves—the very language of our ancestors—tells us a great deal. For example, the word "*rasstoyanie*" (distance). Today, we understand this as kilometers. But in reality, due to our minds being clouded—and because our "friends-comrades" have been teaching us Russian grammar not as it truly is—what happens? The meaning becomes completely distorted. Because "*rasstoyanie*" actually comes from the fusion of two words: "*Ra*" and "*stoyanie*"—*standing from Ra*. And what is *Ra*? You know—the Sun. *Rasstoyanie* means the distance from our star, the Sun, for example, from Earth. Even in the words our ancestors used, there was already a cosmic scale.

Another example: the word "*daleko*" (far), comes from the word "*dal*" (distance or expanse). *Dal*, especially "*dal dalnyaya*"—the "far distance"—equates to 1.4 light-years, if translated into modern scientific terms. And now look how trivial our modern understanding has become. *Far* today just means 10 kilometers away, beyond the visible horizon. That's our "far." But our ancestors attached a completely different meaning and depth to their words.

In the near future, I will be finishing a new book that I've put together over the past few weeks. It already has a title—“*The Tale of Finist the Bright Falcon: Past and Present*.” If you've read my previous works, you'll recognize that I've taken some material from there. But in this book, I've carried out a complete analysis of the entire tale, from the very first line to the very last. And you know what's most fascinating? I discovered and proved that all the planets that Nastenka traveled to in the tale, all the stars she visited, actually exist—the distances mentioned in the story are real. Down to the point that you can calculate the exact date when she traveled to other planets, accurate to the year, and when she returned.

In addition to commentary on the tale itself, I also provide commentary on the “*fairy tale*” of Finist the Bright Falcon. I compare what it used to be to what it’s been turned into. Because if you look closely, the skeleton of the story remains the same, but what’s been built onto that skeleton is something completely different. This book will allow us to say with certainty that these tales were in fact real descriptions of real events—and I prove this 100%. The latest research by astrophysicists and astronomers makes it entirely possible.

The tale reflects absolutely real events, with only symbolic imagery and narrative layers added. But the core essence of the story—those are real events that took place. In this particular case, if translated into modern terms, they occurred in the 6th century AD. Not bad, right? But you’ll read more about that in the book. The reason I bring this up is because even in that tale, the worldview of our ancestors was of cosmic scale.

For them, it was entirely normal to understand that there are many inhabited civilizations, that they traveled from one planet to another as easily as we travel from city to city. And this was a commonplace reality—until a certain time, up to the beginning of the Night of Svarog. After that, it ceased for specific reasons.

Just look at the scale of thought they had—even in words like “*distance*,” “*far*,” and what have we become now? Lilliputians compared to our ancestors. So, it’s time to grow—we need to water ourselves with the special *living water* so that we turn from Lilliputians back into giants. That’s what we must do together.

10.7 Question: *Is it true that in the material world a person should maintain a certain level of prosperity? Are poverty and luxury equally harmful?*

Answer: Both are harmful. If a person is a slave in their soul, then everything is harmful to them—both poverty and luxury. In poverty, they are a slave by nature, and when they fall into luxury, they become a slave to luxury, which is no better. Living in prosperity is perfectly fine if that prosperity was earned through one’s own hard work—if the person works tirelessly, doesn’t cheat, doesn’t steal—then no matter how much they build, it is righteous and honest.

I don’t believe all people are the same—there are no identical people, and that’s not a bad thing. Everyone has different talents, different abilities, different levels of endurance. Each person must simply strive to realize their own potential to the fullest. What there *should* be is equal *opportunity* for everyone to realize themselves—not to become someone else, but to become the best version of themselves.

That’s what a healthy society should ensure: that every person can express and fulfill their own capabilities to the fullest. That doesn’t mean saying “I want to be someone I can’t possibly be,” but rather—if each of us gives our best and lives in prosperity as a result, there is nothing wrong with that. But if that prosperity is gained through deception, betrayal, or crime—that is unacceptable. So, the issue is not poverty or luxury itself—it’s *how* they are achieved.

Among people who live in wealth and in poverty, there are of course more scoundrels among the “new rich.” In that world, to earn large sums of money, you often need to possess certain rather unpleasant qualities—the ability to kill, betray, deceive. In the past, our ancestors also didn’t all live equally, but

that didn't mean they didn't help one another. However, our ancestors had the right approach: "if you're a parasite, you don't deserve help," but if you work hard and need support, then you should be supported.

They had the principle: "respect those who are worthy of respect, love those who are worthy of love, help those who are worthy of help," not just anyone who asks for help. Someone asking for help isn't always deserving of it. Our ancestors didn't have equality—neither material nor otherwise—and not because someone held them back.

Among our ancestors, anyone could reach the level of a *knyaz* (prince), by the way, no matter where they were born, because the concept of a *knyaz* among our ancestors was not connected to surname or lineage, but to the qualities and abilities a person possessed. A *knyaz* was understood, in one interpretation, as "the light of the Russian land." In other words, it was a person who had reached a certain evolutionary level. And such a person could appear in any environment, and our ancestors never held anyone back regardless of where they were born. What always mattered was who you *were*.

Over time, however, national elites formed, where modern "alpha genetics" became concentrated in certain groups. But it was constantly refreshed, because the merging of genetics at any level could give rise to a carrier of alpha genetics, and then that person would move forward. The social environment among our ancestors—before the introduction of Christianity even—was different, because the distortion began already during the time of Askold in Kievan Rus. Hereditary power and the seizure of power were always considered criminal—but that's a long conversation, and we won't get into it now.

Therefore, it's not about being poor or rich—the important thing is not to be a scoundrel. One can be a poor but decent, highly spiritual person, or one can be wealthy and still a decent person. It all depends on the person. The environment either helps a person in that, or it hinders them.

10.8 Question: *Is it correct to bring back the Soviet economic system in terms of how people relate to housing? Namely, that a person is required to work a minimum number of years—say, 25—and in return, they have the right to free housing. The question, of course, also applies to education and healthcare.*

Answer: No, that's not correct! Because the system that existed in the Soviet state was distorted. And it's wrong. A person should receive housing, yes—but the systems being planned now cannot be created on a large scale all at once. You cannot change everything in the country in a single moment.

Enterprises will be created for the production of healthy foods, as I've mentioned earlier. At the same time, housing will be built, and I will insist that there should be no less than 50 square meters per person in a family. This housing should be provided to a working person. As long as they work—they will have this housing. After 10 years, the housing should become their private property. *That* is what it should be. And all of this *can* be done—what's needed is the will to do it.

As for what existed in the Soviet Union—if we were to translate it into economic terms, I would put it this way, borrowing the format of Lenin's famous phrase (which many still remember): "Socialism is Soviet power plus the electrification of the whole country." I would say instead: "Soviet power was capitalism plus slavery." People thought they were free, but in reality, they were slaves by nature—regardless of what they thought themselves.

Until 1975, there was an article in the Soviet Criminal Code which stated that if a person attempted to commit suicide and survived, they were required to pay a fine to the state. Not bad, huh? Why was that? Because the state had spent money on you—on your education, on your upbringing—and you, you scoundrel, dared to take your own life without permission? Unacceptable! Pay a fine!

What does that tell us? 100% slavery. In 1975, they finally had the sense to remove that article. But until 1975, this was part of the USSR's criminal law. The fact that thousands of people died at workplaces—like in mine collapses—was considered normal. Because they were working for the state, doing what the state needed. But when you decided to take charge of your own life and end it, you had no right. You had to pay a fine. So, economic systems must be built completely differently.

Regarding compensation for the consequences of abortions—I'll address that at the end.

10.9 Question: *Is it always necessary to maintain protection, even among your own coworkers?*

Answer: You must always keep your protection up! Because if you even slightly let your guard down, just for a second, you could get hit so hard it won't be funny. That's why protection must be maintained at all times, and depending on the situation, it should be strengthened or lightened as needed.

10.10 Question: *A question about the American astronauts who landed on the Moon.*

Answer: First of all, there were several landings on the Moon. Secondly, the claim that Armstrong became a believer in God—that's true. Just because he doesn't talk about it openly doesn't mean it's not real. The fact that one of them committed suicide and another went insane—that's also a fact. What was shown on television was a staged production. The actual Moon landing was never shown, at least not to the general public.

Because what they discovered there... Some of the photos I showed and used in my chapters "*Russian History Viewed through Distorted Mirrors*" Volume 2. There are pyramids on the Moon, and Portals Between Worlds—or Star Gates. The photographs exist. So why wasn't any of this reported? There's Apollo 20. Someone sent it to me, and I'll tell Bayda to upload it for all movement participants so they can download it.

There's a fragment—Apollo 20, when they orbited the Moon. It's a real-time recording, though the color quality isn't great. But you can clearly see a massive spaceship lying on the surface, half-buried in the lunar soil. A spacecraft of enormous scale. Have you heard of this, seen this? Not really. Do you think they'll tell you the whole truth? Understand this: you're only told the truth when it's beneficial—and even then, only partially.

10.11 Question: *Tell us about your travels through the Universes. Have you encountered hierarchs of higher-dimensional worlds, such as the Legs and Arlegs? If so, describe your encounters.*

Answer: That would take a long time. And naturally, they never call themselves either Legs or Arlegs. I believe it's not quite the right time yet to comment on everything. One must correctly understand that what is written in the Slavic Aryan Vedas (SAV)... most people project their current, momentary consciousness and understanding onto it. That is incorrect—especially if you're attaching your own meaning to words whose actual meaning is entirely different.

It can be quite amusing—and this isn't directly about the question—when I've encountered people who say: "We're fighting the Dark forces with swords!" That is, they're projecting their earthly understanding onto levels where entirely different principles and laws apply. Read someone like Головачев, for example—his character has the Sword of Svyatogor, which alters space. People project earthly logic onto what they don't comprehend.

That's always a problem—when people, even if they have natural abilities and rise to a different level, still retain their purely earthly consciousness. On those levels, there are entirely different laws of nature, different laws of interaction. You must act in accordance with that level—otherwise, you'll be crushed instantly.

So, this is a long conversation. There are no such categories as "Legs" and "Arlegs." Hierarchs have names—this is just a general label someone once gave when transmitting information. Each Hierarch has their own sacred name. And they don't say, "I am Leg so-and-so" or "I am Arleg so-and-so." They simply say their sacred name—because there are no others at that level.

10.12 Question: *How do you bind your influence to a specific object?*

Answer: Very simply. I turn that object into a small generator, into which I embed a program. I use its atoms during the attachment process and create a device around them that carries the program. It can be set to do this, that, or something else. So, when, for example, a small stone is carried somewhere—it's no longer just a stone, but a device of a completely different order.

This can't really be explained in just a few words, because to explain it properly, a person needs to have an understanding of other levels—or at least an understanding of what scientists today call "dark matter," even though they don't actually know what dark matter is. That's why enlightenment of consciousness is so important—enlightenment through knowledge.

Almost everything can be understood; nothing is truly that difficult. But for that, one must receive a huge amount of information—information that the vast majority of people have never had access to, simply because it was never given to them.

And the information must not be blind or dead. To merely report an event—"this happened"—is dead information; it provides nothing beyond the report. But there is also *living* information—when it's presented in a way that allows a person to understand what, how, why, and for what reason. Then, instead of just parroting, the person gains insight into the underlying mechanisms and begins to comprehend why a situation arose and how it came to be. That's when information becomes truly useful.

What we're given in schools today—that's dead information. And anything dead cannot resonate with a normal, living person. For someone who's alive, it causes rejection. And not because they are stupid or lack talent, but because their genetics do not accept such dead data.

That kind of information is very well absorbed by *biorobots*, for certain reasons. But anyone who is not yet a full biorobot tends to feel protest. That's why these aren't just "pieces of rock"—they become instruments that influence and transform: they can provide protection, remove blockages, restore metabolism—depending on the task they're assigned.

10.13 Question: *Where did encephalitic ticks come from, if no one knew about them 20 years ago?*

Answer: That's not true. When I was a schoolboy, people always talked about encephalitic ticks. Encephalitic ticks are billions of years old—they've lived on Earth for ages. They didn't appear just 20 years ago—they've always been around. Just because the media now talks about them more often doesn't mean they only recently appeared. They existed 20 years ago, 1,000 years ago, and even a billion years ago. Probably not longer than that, since life only began around that time.

I can give you an example. My mother, who was a medical professional by training, once told me about a medical conference she attended where they discussed documented cases of cannibalism among people. Some were catching people, killing them, making pies from the meat, and selling them at markets—during Soviet times! And these weren't isolated incidents. But did anyone hear about this? Only a handful did. The media didn't report it. Why? Because under socialism there could be no cannibals or criminals, right? And if they did exist, they were not to be talked about.

It's the same with ticks. Ticks existed 20 years ago. Why are ticks dangerous? Because—not all, but many of them—are carriers of viral infections that are extremely dangerous to humans. Naturally, ticks bite not only people but also animals. So, if a tick carrying a virus bites a cow, that virus can enter the cow's system, get into the milk, and then you can be infected through the milk—even if the tick never bites you. But again, this has always been the case—not just now. We are simply becoming victims of information overload and distortion.

10.14 Question: *How should one react to the study of religion in schools? It is now becoming mandatory.*

Answer: I hope that this will be changed. For now, it is being presented under the guise of studying the *history* of religion, where a person can choose which religion they want to study, or study the history of religion and secular logic, and so on. For now, a choice is being offered. I hope no one will be forced into studying religion, because it is still officially accepted that the state is separate from religion, from the church. Therefore, I hope this will soon be reversed again.

From my point of view, any religion—and this is the one thing I agree with the Bolsheviks on—is truly an opium for the people. The only difference is that the Bolsheviks created another religion called communism, which also served as an opiate. That's all. It's important to explain things to children. If it's your child, give them commentary about what you think on this subject. Give them access to information about what real religion actually is. It's not like they try to present it—that everyone involved is a chubby, fluffy little hedgehog who only wants goodness and righteousness for all. In reality, religion always involves the blood of millions—tens of millions—of people. That blood is firmly on the hands of religion, where in God's name even infants have been slaughtered, and so on.

Soon, Svetlana will be publishing a new chapter titled “Isidora: Continuation.” In it, she describes events involving the Cathars—also known as the Albigensians—followers of the true teachings of the one who is commonly called Christ, but whose real name was Radomir. And it's interesting how the Church fought specifically against those true teachings of Radomir, exterminating them to the root—even infants. Is that a “holy” church?

And when people say that things in Russia were different, I have to disagree. When the Christianization of Rus began in 988, 70% of the population was wiped out. Before that, there were many cities across the territory of Kievan Rus—and Kievan Rus was merely a province that had separated from the Slavic-

Aryan Empire. After the conversion, 70% of the cities were depopulated. Not due to war, but because the adult population—raised in Vedistic traditions—was exterminated for refusing to accept this falsehood. Those few adults who broke under pressure, and children under the age of 14, were indoctrinated with a different worldview. It wasn't all fluffy and kind, like they try to say today.

10.15 Question: *How well has the language been preserved since then?*

Answer: I can say the following, based on what has been said... If you've read carefully, then you've understood that our Earth was originally a colony—that is, it was settled by other civilizations, and we are the descendants of colonists in any case. Naturally, they didn't create any new language. They came with their own language. This colony was established by the Union of Light Civilizations, which had one language, one culture, one set of traditions. So, the Russian language is far older than our ancestors who lived here.

In the analysis of that same *Skaz* (traditional tale), where Nastenka travels to six planets, all of these planets are far away—the furthest one is at a distance of 37.8 light-years (this is Zeta Reticuli). There's a constellation in the Southern Hemisphere called the Reticulum constellation, and there is a solar-type star there, nearly identical to our Sun, which has Earth-like planets. She traveled there. And not only to that one planet—there are many like it, within 100 light-years.

In 2003, a study was conducted, and within 100 light-years from us, 50 solar twin stars were discovered, and they were found to have Earth-like planetary systems, with liquid water. Not bad, right? So, Nastenka ends up exactly on those planets that have been discovered. She traveled across all six planets, and everyone understood her perfectly.

Why do you think that is? Because they all spoke the same language. If they spoke a different language... Do you know where the word “*nemets*” (Russian for “German”) comes from? Today we use it to refer only to Germans. But our ancestors used the word *nemets* for anyone who didn't speak Russian—regardless of nationality, race, etc. “Not mine,” or *nemoy*—which means mute—“couldn't speak Russian,” and therefore was considered mute. That is why our language is far older. It is of cosmic scale, not just Earthly.

10.16. QUESTION: *They say here that you speak very disapprovingly of Jews.*

ANSWER: And I know some good people. The fact is that there are good people in any nation; there are scoundrels everywhere. When one nation was chosen by the Gray Parasites as their servants to carry out their task, and most of these people are now in the upper reaches, especially the Levites, all of Jewish origin, they are the social parasites.

I'm sorry, did I put them there? No. It doesn't matter to me what nationality a person is, what they do is important. If he's a parasite, then for me a Russian parasite is, as I have already said, worse than the parasite, say, a Jew. Because Jews are brought up with such a mentality. Read the Torah, you will understand that the philosophy of the superparasite is super-Nazism, and their children are raised in this regard.

I had a conversation with Grigory Ginzburg in San Francisco. Have you ever heard of such a name? The famous Soviet-era dissident. When I talked to him in the USA, he had already moved away from the

whole world, and he thought they were fools, and he completely agreed with me. He said that yes, I agree that the Jews made the Revolution with Jewish money. But these are scumbags, and you can't blame everyone else for the scumbags. And then I tell him, okay, let's look at the situation: you say 10% are scumbags. I agree with you, but now tell me, 90% remains. And what does 90% do? Let's say that if 10% are scumbags, there are 10% of good Jews who are fighting these scumbags, even though only 1% actually are.

Because according to the Torah, any Jew who goes against the Torah, against the Levites, must be immediately destroyed. Have you read about this? Read it. It says brother, sister, father, mother, it doesn't matter, you must, upon learning about it, stone him immediately. This is how those who go against the light of the God Yahweh are treated. As we found out, the light of the God Yahweh is the light of social parasites, because for all other peoples, the light of the God Yahweh is darkness and chaos.

On the one hand, we have the light of the god Yahweh, which is backed by the Jews, which is darkness and chaos for everyone else, and we have Bright Forces that are fighting to ensure that there is Light for everyone else. If someone follows a fake system, they are deceived, just like everyone else. Remember, Christ came: I was sent only... Few words have been preserved in the New Testament, but for some reason this phrase was preserved, apparently, they did not understand what it was. It says from Matthew: I was sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel. Why do you think he told them: he was sent only to the LOST sheep of the house of Israel, only to the HOUSE OF ISRAEL? Why did he go and wrestle with the Jewish high priests?

Because he considered it necessary to free the Jewish people from the control of the social parasites who had captured them and, deceiving them, invented the legend that they were the chosen ones. And they put this nonsense on their ears that they were the chosen ones, using them blindly. And these people, deceived, are working for their own enemies.

But among these deceived there is a category of very large ones, a large stratum, who do this not blindly, but consciously. I'm having a conversation with Ginzburg like this, and I say, okay, 10% are fighting, but 80% remain. 10 scumbags, 10 good percentages, 80%, as Lenin said, a swamp. So, tell me, Grigory, what is this swamp doing? I say, but the swamp is happy, it gladly accepts the benefits that these 10% of scumbags provide them, gives them pieces of the pie that they captured. So, if I stole a billion dollars and took it, Gregory, gave you 10 million of it, and you know that I stole this billion, and you will accept this 10 million. According to the law, usually, who do you become? An accomplice. And he had nothing to hide, although he is a very good demagogue.

I am not against Jews, as some say, I am against parasites. And if there are a lot of parasites among them, then it's not my fault. Do you look at the nationality of the mosquito when you slap it? You're slapping him because he's drinking your blood, right? So here, it's not who you are by nationality that matters, but what you do. And if most of them have become parasites due to certain circumstances, then they need to be released and stop doing it.

There are Jews among my friends and associates, but when they crossed over to the Light, they were immediately persecuted and destroyed, even though they were of a high level of initiation in the Jewish system. And one of my friends, I won't say his name, said: it hurts me for my people. And I understand him. Because, in my opinion, the Jewish people have the same right to a place in the sun as everyone else, but not at the expense of others.

If someone says it's not fair, please, but it's really fair. And therefore, when someone eats at the expense of others, robbing, deceiving and betraying, creating an international mafia... It's just that people are ignorant because many, even Jewish sources, expose all these machinations, and they say that it's harmful, that everything is being done for the same Jewish people. So, if Jews want to save the Jewish people, they should immediately rise up against Judaism. As long as they follow this, they will be the same victims at the slaughter as everyone else.

10.17 Question: *What will happen in 2012?*

Answer: It is still unclear for now—we will see.

10.18 Question: *They are asking about the book on healing that I have planned.*

Answer: It's funny sometimes—people come and say: "*I can't find the healing book or Volume 3 of 'Essence and Mind,' where can I get it?*" And honestly, I'm surprised. It's written right there: **future projects**. If it says "future projects," that means the books don't exist yet.

As for the healing book—that was a joke. But regarding the actual book: I will write it later, if I still have the chance to do so, if I'm still alive and breathing on this Earth. But for now, what's being done in the present is even more important. That's why I put it on hold and, within a month, completed the new book, "*The Tale of Finist the Bright Falcon: Past and Present.*" Because right now, our people need to have their eyes opened to the truth—to the past. Why is our past being destroyed? Because without the past, there is no future. That's what our enemies are doing: trying to erase all traces of our people's past, to distort everything, so they can destroy the people themselves. In order to prevent that, we must restore the truth about our history.

And besides, we *have* something to be proud of in our past. If we had nothing to be proud of, then sure—better to hide it away so no one knows. But no—we *do* have something to be proud of, because our ancestors were a civilization of a cosmic level. What we are now—I mean civilization as a whole—is far, far behind even what our ancestors were, especially before the catastrophe 13,000 years ago. But even *after* that catastrophe, the level of morality and ethics was still incomparably higher than what we have today.

Remember—for those who've read—when the first locomotives appeared in the early 20th century, many people in the countryside were terrified and ran from them. That's how far people were degraded—to be afraid of a train. Compare that with the 5th–6th century AD, when Nastenka, a peasant's daughter, a farmer's daughter, could calmly walk to a spaceport, sit in a flying saucer—a solar or cosmic ship—and fly to another planet. That was a normal thing in the 6th century AD.

And in the 20th century, a so-called *highly developed civilization*? People were afraid of trains, cars, or planes. That's quite a comparison. And these are the descendants of those same people! To me, it's sad—though it's laughable, but it's a bitter kind of laughter.

10.19 Question: *When was the first Russian person born?*

Answer: I don't know. In any case, they weren't born on Earth. We call ourselves Russians—or more accurately *Rus*—but that name referred to those who colonized our system earlier. I don't know for

certain, I haven't investigated it, but I doubt they originally called themselves *Rus*, even though they had the Russian language and traditions, many of which we've already lost.

Understand the following: the White race likely appeared on the nearest planets belonging to the Civilization that created the colony on Earth. This is a Civilization of our local cosmos—not from excessively distant realms. The White race, quite possibly (I haven't studied the question yet because there hasn't been a need to), lived on different star systems. Why were there four clans? I believe there were four powerful civilizations of the White Races that were united in one alliance. They had different eye colors and hair colors. What does that have to do with? With the radiation spectrum of their stars on their home planets.

And what do we see here on Earth? Even within the White race, people have different hair colors and different iris colors. What does that mean? That if we had all originated on Earth, we would all have the same iris color. What is the iris? It's a filter that reflects the excess of certain radiation. If the spectrum of a star contains some kind of excessive radiation, it can interfere with normal vision. The iris reflects that excess. We see the color that is reflected. The color that's absorbed—we don't see. What we see is the reflected color.

If someone has blue eyes, it means they reflect blue light. That means the blue part of the solar spectrum is being reflected by the iris—in other words, there's an excess of that frequency. Even the fact that we have irises of different colors shows that we came from different planets. It's a funny fact—no one ever thinks about it, no one understands, but it's easily explainable.

So, where was the first Russian born? First, we must ask: *Russian* in what sense—genetically, or by name? Genetically—on other planets, and not just one person, but an entire Race arose. To give a more detailed answer would require research, which I haven't yet conducted.

10.20 Question: *Is there a positive side to Orthodox Christianity, despite its origins?*

Answer: There's a saying: if you add a spoonful of tar to a barrel of honey, the honey is spoiled. And if you add a spoonful of honey to a barrel of tar—it doesn't turn the tar into honey. Orthodox Christianity is already a lie, because *Orthodoxy* has nothing to do with Christianity. Remember the line from Pushkin: "The true-believing Christian"—not *Orthodox* Christian, but *true-believing*. Why? Because not so long ago there were no Orthodox Christians; there were only true-believers.

It was only the reform of Patriarch Nikon that changed this. When they couldn't destroy the genetic foundations of the Vedic tradition, which has existed for hundreds of thousands of years, they tried a trickier method: to give Christian interpretations to Vedic holidays. A kind of "cuckoo's egg" effect—replace the contents and give it a different flavor. But when they overlay and distort traditions—it's even more dangerous. Under such a disguise, things turn into something else entirely.

The essence of Christianity, of any branch, is that it doesn't matter if you sin—what matters is whether or not you repent. What does that mean? According to Christianity, you can kill, steal, rape, deceive, betray—it's all sin, but it's not *a problem*, apparently. It's only a problem if you don't come to church and repent. If you killed someone, but came to the church and confessed—all is forgiven. Christ died on the cross, he paid for all of us. In other words: sin left and right—it's already paid for. Just make sure to regularly toss a coin to the priest when he absolves you of sins.

Is that really a “positive” aspect? When they say it’s not wrong to sin, but it *is* wrong not to repent—that’s criminal. I didn’t invent this. If I did, you could throw a stone at me. But that’s what the clergy themselves say. This kind of mentality is being imposed on people. If something bad happens to someone, it is either: you’re sinful, and it is divine punishment; or it is a test from God to prove the strength of your faith.

There’s always an answer: if you’re not sinful—it is a trial from God. If you are sinful—it’s punishment. But take a look at our oligarchs, who are up to their elbows in blood, and who’ve committed treason, lies, theft, and sold out the Motherland—and yet, somehow, they flourish, and God doesn’t punish them.

So, where’s the justice? It’s a complete lie. If our ancestors had the understanding that for every action, you punish yourself at the very moment of the action—then that means: if you committed a transgression, it didn’t matter whether someone saw it or not, whether you were caught red-handed or not—you were already punished. People understood that every one of their actions, at the very moment of being committed, already carried the punishment within itself.

This punishment is not lifted if you hand over, say, ten million from a stolen billion, and the priest kisses you all over for those ten million. Nothing will be corrected. Even if you give away half the billion—or the whole billion—nothing will change. Because if you killed someone, then in the moment of the killing you violated certain laws of development—meaning, you interrupted that person’s evolutionary path, you didn’t allow them the opportunity to fulfill their potential.

Yes, maybe the person never would have realized themselves. But *you* took away that chance. Therefore, if you deprive someone of that chance, the only way to cleanse yourself of such a sin is not to throw money at someone—in any amount—but to neutralize the karma. You must take the *essence* of the killed person and compensate for all of their evolutionary losses. Maybe that person would never have fulfilled themselves, but they had the *opportunity*. An unnatural death took that opportunity away. And so, the only way to remove that sin from yourself is to eliminate the consequences—that is, to evolve their essence as much as possible, to the level they *would have* reached had they lived and developed fully.

Does any priest do that? Does even one person do that? They don’t even understand this. And does anyone else do it? Also no. To do that, you need understanding, ability, right, qualities, and capabilities. And if none of that is present—no right, no quality, no possibility—then the act of murder leads to consequences.

And it doesn’t matter whether you know this or not, whether you give a billion in exchange for forgiveness or not—it changes nothing. It remains with your essence, is passed on to your children through genetics, and the soul itself suffers in the next incarnation, if it happens. It may manifest in illnesses, cancer, and children also inherit problems genetically.

This is what happens at the moment of a wrongful action. And not because some “God” stands nearby with a candle, watching every move—but because these are laws of nature, which need to be understood. One of my tasks, in particular, is to help people understand this, so that when they act, they clearly know what consequences their actions will lead to.

So, they are not blind sheep being driven to do things without understanding why they later suffer. If someone commits a crime, they will know: "I did this, and I will pay *this* price." And if they still choose to act that way—that is their free will. It doesn't mean that it's good or right—but they'll know what the outcome is and take responsibility for it.

I believe that every person has the right to know this. And I think that if every normal person truly knew and understood the consequences of their actions, many crimes would have stopped long ago. But because people are kept in ignorance—we get the results that we do.

10.21. QUESTION: *How should one properly behave while watching my sessions?*

ANSWER: Let me explain. The session you download from my website is a general health-improvement session, a general restorative one. Of course, it's impossible to cover everything with just one program, but in many cases, it helps people solve various issues. When there are serious problems, special programs are needed, which may come later.

When a program is created, its effect depends not only on the program itself but also on your type of reaction. All people react without exception—just some react more quickly, and others more slowly. For some, the effects show up faster; for others, more slowly. It depends on your personal reaction type. It doesn't even matter whether you feel it or not.

Tell me, how many people sitting here can feel radiation? One person, two people. And the rest don't feel it? If you don't feel it, does that mean radiation is absent? No. It's the same here. If someone feels certain processes during a session, it's easier for them to understand that something is happening to them. But often, due to various reasons, many people aren't that sensitive. It's not only their fault—it's also a kind of misfortune. But that doesn't mean that nothing is happening—you just need to continue watching.

I don't recommend watching the sessions more than once a day, because it's quite possible to overdo it seriously. The first time I realized that overdoing it could lead to unpleasant consequences was during a performance in Moscow. There was an elderly woman who had kidney stones.

So, I began to work, focused on the stone, and started destroying it. The stone begins to come out, starts to disintegrate, and I see—the woman suddenly collapsed. A state of coma—at the very least. Of course, I restored her, but I couldn't destroy the stone completely. To destroy the stone, I would have needed to give a slightly higher dose, but she wouldn't have been able to handle it.

So, I had to restore her rather than destroy the stone. I only partially broke it down. It would have been necessary to continue gradually, not all at once, but destroy it slowly. Theoretically speaking, you could make any person healthy in a split second. But if you give them such a load, they'll collapse under the pressure because their system simply can't handle it.

So, depending on the capacity of each person's system to process the impact—the process can go faster or slower. Therefore, the recommendation is to watch no more than once a day. And if you don't feel anything after watching it two or three times a day—doing more won't help. I don't recommend it.

10.22. NEXT QUESTION: *In connection with the shift of Earth's axis and the approach of Planet X—also known as Nibiru—many "contactees," including Vanga and Sathya Sai Baba, predicted a global catastrophe starting from the end of 2009 to the years 2013–2017. They claimed many countries would disappear under water—France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and others—and only one billion people would remain on Earth.*

ANSWER: As always, people were and continue to be deceived. What is called Planet X, also referred to as Nibiru, and also known as the Planet Nemesis, the Planet of Death, and by many other names in various nations, was supposed to pass near Earth and destroy its atmosphere in 2003. Today is 2009.

I've already spoken about the solar activity expected to become either supernova-like or minimal, remember? These were claims made by solar researchers. The activity was supposedly triggered by the approach of what is not a planet, but a neutron star, a remnant of a second star that once exploded and gave birth to the Solar System and its planets.

It is a neutron star—there is no life on it, and there cannot be, because it's a dead star remnant, 3,600 kilometers in diameter. It was supposed to pass Earth around May 2003 and strip away the remaining atmosphere, but even before that, the Sun was expected to destroy all life on Earth.

In 2003, this object was indeed registered by astronomers using all available telescopes. This so-called "planet," or more accurately, dead star, a neutron star, turned 90 degrees and exited the boundaries of the Solar System—and will never return.

So those "contactees" are not actually in contact with anything real, you understand? Unfortunately, this is a very big problem. Very many people, who have natural abilities, break through to other levels where they don't understand a single thing about what is happening. They get fed lies, they're deceived, and told exactly what they want to hear. They don't realize that if you analyze what people receive during such "contacts," the information never exceeds the level of education and understanding of the person receiving it. It merely reflects their own ideas and expectations.

But real information can never match the existing perceptions of the person receiving it, because real information is fundamentally new. Many people fall into such traps—they get deceived and misled. I've described several such cases in my autobiographical book and I will write about more. There have been plenty of such situations, where I described how and why people are deceived.

And what's really frustrating is that these people, instead of freeing themselves from deception and moving forward—despite having very good natural talents—continue to wallow in this bathtub of delusion. Because that bathtub makes them feel "special." And this idiotic idea of "being chosen" is something many fall for, like cats on catnip. Just say to someone, "You are chosen," and instantly—no matter who said it—the person considers the speaker an unquestionable authority.

In 2006, I arrived here and was told that some man named Vladimir, a so-called "messenger of the Almighty" who writes books allegedly dictated by the Almighty himself, had claimed he contacted the Almighty, and the Almighty told him that within a year, I (Levashov) would be wiped off the face of the Earth. Apparently, the Almighty has a good eraser. So, I waited a year on purpose. Then I said, "A year has passed. Maybe the Almighty was busy and forgot to erase me." Three years passed—still nothing. Maybe his eraser wore out.

And I said to Vladimir, "What kind of Almighty is that, if he tells you something as absolute truth, 'I will erase him,' and then doesn't do it? How blind must someone be to continue believing that this is truly the Almighty?" I offered him help—I said, "Come to me, and I'll help you see who is really playing with you, who is actually pretending to be your Almighty." Do you think he came? No. Two years passed. There were people at the meeting who relayed this to him. Did he come? No. He prefers to stay deceived, to keep his eyes closed to the fact that his "Almighty" is about as real as me being a ballerina.

Instead of freeing himself and moving forward, he clings to this delusion. That's the consequence of the social diseases that have been imposed on our people: a person would rather live in a lie—because it's a pleasant lie. There, he's special. There, he's chosen. There, he rules. There, the Almighty communicates with him alone. And for the sake of that fantasy, a person is willing to close their eyes to everything else. Even when the "Almighty," supposedly omnipotent, cannot flick away some guy named Levashov. It should've been just a snap of the fingers, right? One year wasn't enough. Three years weren't enough. And yet people continue to listen to him—people just as deceived as he is.

That's the result of the social illnesses into which our people have been plunged. It's pleasant to be "chosen," but being chosen is inherently absurd—there can be no chosen ones. The reality is that a person is *not* chosen. A person can only *create themselves*, and even that has nothing to do with being chosen—it comes through their own creative process, through hard work, through conscious awareness and transformation, leading them to reach greater heights. And this has to do with tremendous effort and work on oneself and everything around them—not with chosenness.

That means overcoming the animal within, the slave, and so on. And when a person overcomes that, they achieve real results and become a *Creator*—but not a "chosen one." A Creator, based on their own journey of effort, awareness, and understanding of everything. Where's the chosenness in that? Being chosen implies nothing—it means you've already been picked, already made? No! And who among those so-called "chosen ones" has ever truly achieved anything—apart from the illusion of wealth and so on?

What is wealth? Tell me—how many of those who amassed billions took any of it with them to the afterlife? No one took a thing. Chosenness is not about being rich. In fact, chosenness itself cannot exist. It's an absurd concept, imposed by parasites in one form or another. So, my advice—especially to those who claim to be "contactees"—is: think! Understand that you are stepping into a realm that is unfamiliar to you. And if you start receiving exactly what you *want* to hear, know this: someone is *playing with you*.

I feel sorry for people who naturally have good potential, who *could* have achieved great things on their own, but instead trade the opportunity to become *Creators* for the illusion of being "chosen." And so, they die as "chosen ones," without ever having truly accomplished anything.

One of the hermits as well—back in early 2007—Sobolev called and said: "*I've been appointed ruler of our Universe. Tell Levashov that he should come under my authority.*" Then Nadezhda Yakovlevna called me several times, asking: "*What should I do?*" I explained: "*Nadezhda Yakovlevna, if he calls again, just tell him that if he doesn't stop bothering you, I'll hit him and the one who appointed him ruler of the Universe over the head.*" And strangely enough—he stopped calling.

So, he was supposedly appointed ruler of the Universe—yet he can't even manage himself, not even a single planet, and here he is ruling the whole Universe. He never even thought to ask himself: *What have I actually done to deserve being appointed ruler of the Universe?* He doesn't ask—why would he? It's already “clear”—he “deserves it,” he's “the best,” he was “chosen to rule the Universe.” But it's absurd. That kind of arrogance...

I could understand if a person was proud of having actually accomplished something serious. But this kind of arrogance only creates a hunchback—it's negative, it brings harm no matter what. I would at least understand it if someone had done something truly remarkable in their life. And yet someone hangs this kind of nonsense on their ears, and they just accept it. So yes, I genuinely feel sorry for such people—because instead of using their potential to benefit themselves and others, they ruin themselves and harm those around them. That, for me personally, is very frustrating.

10.23. QUESTION: *What forms of social organization might be most effective for awakening people?*

ANSWER: I believe that if each of us starts helping, people must always be able to find a way to connect with each other—because right now, especially in Russia, it's not a time for luxury—it's a matter of survival. And it might seem like people shouldn't care about ancient times or who our ancestors were. But that's not true.

First of all, without knowledge of the past, there can be no future. Secondly, all over the world, every kind of filth has been pinned on the Russians—that Russian communism was a plague, and so on. But that's a complete lie. I always said: fine, if I'm Russian and supposedly imposed such a system, then why would I destroy my own people? If I'm destroying my own people, then I'm either insane—if I really am Russian—or I'm completely aligned with the Dark forces, which amounts to the same thing.

From the communist system that was imposed in the Soviet Union, it was the Russian people who suffered the most. Between 70 and 80% of the Slavic population perished—Russians, Ukrainians, Belarusians—due to all the communist actions. The best people of the nation were exterminated. Others were affected too, of course, but the largest number were Russians. And not only because there were more Russians in the general population, but because it was done deliberately. Now think about it logically: if it had truly been “Russian communism,” then the Russian people should have prospered, right? But it was the opposite.

Meanwhile, the whole world believed that this was “Russian communism,” even though all the Bolshevik leaders, without exception, were Jews by nationality. The fact that they took on Russian-sounding names—Lenin, Trotsky, Kamenev, Rykov—doesn't change who they were by blood and what ideology they were spreading.

And what's worst is that many Russians still carry a sense of guilt, as if the Russian people are to blame for all this. For what? For being the ones who were always destroyed? For being the ones who always helped other nations? Let me give you an example: practically no nation within the Russian Empire was ever exterminated during the entire time of its existence. Even those who came with weapons were not wiped out.

Now let's take a look at a free, democratic country—the United States of America. Statistics show that at the time of Columbus's arrival (though it wasn't truly a discovery—it had been known long before—it's

just considered a “discovery” today), there were about 2,200 tribes living in North America, with a total population of about 20 million—roughly the same as the population of Europe at that time.

20 million in Europe—20 million in North America. Today, Europe has a population of around 300 million. And in North America, there are only about 800,000 Native Americans left, who have been confined to reservations. I’ve driven through some of these reservations, like those in California. You could call them semi-deserts—the ground is covered with rocks. Can much be done with such land? These people live on the worst land, and this is their own ancestral territory. Of the 2,200 tribes and peoples that existed at the time of the arrival of the Spanish and Portuguese, only 500 tribes remain today. That means 1,700 tribes and peoples have completely disappeared over the last 400+ years.

And of the remaining tribes, some have only a few dozen individuals left—can that even be called a tribe or a people anymore? If there are only 10 or 15 individuals, it’s not a people—it’s just a tragic trace of what once was.

So why do people criticize the Russian people—especially, for example, the Georgians and other nations? For saving them from extermination? Maybe they shouldn’t have been saved. Sorry, sometimes it makes me angry. The same Georgians—they were completely saved from annihilation. If Russian troops hadn’t been brought in, if Russian blood hadn’t been spilled, the Georgians simply wouldn’t exist today. They would’ve been slaughtered or the few remnants would’ve been assimilated. Our people helped, saved them—and now, it turns out, Russia is the villain. It’s frustrating—this kind of dark ingratitude.

But the Americans—there’s no one left to complain. They wiped out 1,700 tribes—not a single person left to protest. The remaining tribes were forced onto reservations, they stay silent, sit quietly. Did you know that in 1975 there were protests by Native Americans? What did the U.S. government do? The army came in, shot them down—and that was the end of it. But there’s no one left to complain. And the whole world knows about this—and stays silent. The most “free” country in the democratic world.

10.24 Question: *Regarding the fact that American researchers, NASA, are switching their focus to Mars rather than the Moon.*

Answer: What can I say about this? There was a recent program, a very good one, where it was mentioned that nearly \$99 billion had been allocated to the lunar project at the time. Only a couple of billion remained to complete it. And after having already invested nearly \$100 billion, they suddenly decided it wasn’t profitable and shut down the program.

I could understand if they had invested \$2 billion out of \$100 and then decided it wasn’t profitable—fine, they pull the plug. But when \$98 billion has been invested, and only \$2 billion remains—which is crumbs compared to what’s already been spent—and then they suddenly say it’s not profitable? That doesn’t add up.

When they landed on the surface, they discovered other spacecraft there. And do you know why they shut the program down? Why did our own (Soviet) lunar rover program stop as well? Because those they encountered there said: “Don’t interfere!” And they immediately backed off—because the owners said so, believe me. And now, they’re planning to detonate nuclear bombs on the Moon. The Americans again.

Why, do you think? Because now the owners are no longer on the Moon, and they're afraid that the enemies of both them and their former owners—meaning, our allies—will arrive and take up positions on the Moon, helping to free Earth from the parasites. So, they want to destroy the Moon to prevent that from happening. That's the reason.

When you realize what our ancestors could do—and actually did—and compare that to the current efforts of modern scientists... Well, their efforts are commendable, of course; it's good that they are at least trying to do something. But just imagine: the spacecraft flying around today—yes, it's great. It's great that they've finally understood that the Earth is round and not flat, and doesn't rest on three elephants—that's already a big step forward. They even managed to orbit it and confirm this. But honestly, it's all kindergarten-level.

All the numerous parasitic technologies being imposed on us—a maximum speed of 20 km/s, 30 km/s... Even if they were to reach the speed of light—though no such modern technologies (known ones, at least) exist yet—that still wouldn't be enough to reach nearby stars. Alpha Cassiopeiae, for example—it's more than 4 years there, 4 years back, plus acceleration and deceleration—you'd need 15 years. They'd come back in 15 years—if they make it back in one piece. And that's traveling at the speed of light, or close to it.

But what would that actually achieve? Nothing. And yet our ancestors were flying. I've calculated that all the interplanetary journeys Nastenka made to the seven planets took at most 2–3 years total. She visited six planets and returned to Earth. Not bad, right? Now *that's* what I call real technology. And even that was considered *primitive* technology, because using it you could fly a billion light years away—well, 100 billion light years if you wanted. But what does our current approach give us? Nothing.

And new technology alone cannot solve anything. Once again, civilization is being steered down the wrong developmental path—a purely technological one. Understand this—if a person develops correctly, they can achieve far more than any technology, even that which science fiction writers haven't dreamed of. The key is to develop in the right way. The goal isn't to build more and more technology—although some technology is needed too. But technology should be a support tool, not the main path of development.

Even the god Tarkh didn't use a *vaitmar* or *vaitman* (flying ships) to destroy Lelya. What—he smashed it with a flying saucer? Of course not. Dropped bombs? No. If he had dropped bombs, the chronicles would have mentioned: “fiery mushrooms rose up,” like when the “fash-destroyer” was used — “fash” being the name for atomic or nuclear bombs. But since there's no mention of that, it means he didn't fly there in a saucer and drop bombs. So how did he destroy Lelya, what do you think? With his consciousness—with the power of his thought. What flying saucer could ever do that? Just think about it.

10.25 Question: *People are asking about Lenin's Mausoleum—is it a reception center?*

Answer: Of course it is. And naturally, what remains of this person's body should have long ago been buried in the ground, and this artificial pyramid should be destroyed—it was created to support [certain forces]. As you can see, it didn't even help the communists to zombify people to such an extent that communism would last forever—it didn't work out.

But I believe this needs to be removed. It's not right, and truly, there should be neither this pyramid, nor Lenin himself inside it. Of course, we cannot rewrite history—that would be wrong. But that doesn't mean we should leave such disgrace as it is. That's my opinion.

10.26 Question: *From what age and what kind of information can children be given from your books?*

Answer: You can start giving information to children from the age of 2–3. Just not all at once—only what the child can understand at their level. Think back to your own childhood—you probably got upset when your parents treated you like an idiot or assumed you didn't understand anything. Children do understand. They understand things differently—at their own level of comprehension. That's why it's important to start working with them from a very young age. And the earlier you begin, the better you'll be able to shape their ability for independent thinking, independent analysis, creative thinking, and so on. You can instill that from the age of 2 or 3.

And you can start with Russian folk tales—they contain a lot of truthful information. Tell them, show them, and explain what's in those tales. That's what I did with the *Skaz* (fairy tale)—I completely unpacked it. When will it be available? Soon, I hope—in a few weeks it should be online, maybe in 10–15 days, maybe sooner. It turned out that the *Skaz* is a description of real events. The tale hasn't lost its charm as a fairy tale, but when you tell children, "You know—this is a tale, but it's actually true," it becomes even more powerful. Because such things really happened.

It's simply a figurative way of presenting what actually occurred—made beautiful and understandable for most people. And in this way, you can help children begin to understand what really is. There's a lot that can be done, of course. Starting them on quantum mechanics and quantum physics right away—even if that's good—is not necessary. I don't even consider that especially useful, but definitely not for a 2-3-year-old.

But talking to them about the stars, that there are many stars and that around many stars orbit planets, and that other people live on those planets—that can be told to children from age 2. Because they already know it anyway. When adults start hammering into their heads that it's not true and say, "Don't tell anyone or they'll think you're crazy," the child becomes afraid and blocked. That's why, on the contrary, it's necessary to encourage the child's independence and independent thinking. And don't assume that just because you don't understand something your child says, it means the child is stupid and you're smarter. That's not always the case. First, listen and try to understand.

When you speak to a child in a normal way, the child opens up to you. I'll give you an example: a long time ago, before I left—it was 1990—a patient came to see me. He had kidney issues. And he had a daughter, 3 years old. He came and said, "What do you suggest we do with our daughter?"— "What's the issue?" I asked. He said, "Every night, when she goes to sleep, around midnight, she jumps up and starts fighting with someone in the room, but there's no one there."

What do you think? Should we take her to a psychiatrist or something? Now imagine: the parents take this little girl to a psychiatrist, and she says she sees beings coming to grab her, and she's fighting them. She's fighting, swinging her little fists. What will the psychiatrist say to a child like that? "Okay, I see." What will he do? He'll prescribe her some pills. And what will those pills do? Fry her brain nicely! Will that really help the child?

So, I said: "You know, you can always take her to a doctor, but it would be better if you brought your daughter to me." He brought her during another visit. She was a wonderful little girl, 3 years old, blonde, curly long hair, blue eyes. I "looked" at her and asked: "Beings come and try to grab you?" She nodded and said, "Yes, they try to grab me, but I fight them, fight them, fight them,"—as a child understands it.

It's difficult and unnecessary to explain brain restructuring to a child. So, I said: "You know what? Let me put a little flashlight, a lamp, in your forehead. Next time they come, just think about the flashlight, it'll turn on, and they'll run away. And if they don't run—think about me, and I'll come to help." You know, strangely, she wasn't surprised by this. Odd, but she wasn't.

Then he started bringing her with him almost every time. The next time, I asked her: "Well, did they come?" She said, "Yes, they came. I turned on the flashlight in my forehead, and they ran away. And they never came back." What does this tell us? That the child already had strong natural abilities.

If such a child had been taken to a doctor and given pills that fried her brain, she would have stopped seeing them. Do you think those who came to her would have stopped? Of course not! They would've kept coming, like they do to many other children, doing what they do—only now the girl wouldn't fight back, because she wouldn't see them, wouldn't understand—and therefore, wouldn't resist. That's all you achieve with pills.

That's why you should always approach a child carefully. Just because a child sees or hears something you don't, doesn't mean there's something wrong with the child—that the child is "crazy," or that you need to take them to a psychiatrist, or tell the child never to talk about it.

Instead, you should say: "It's better if you don't tell others, because not everyone sees it." That way, others won't start bullying the child. Because if a child talks openly about this with others, naturally, people around them will start attacking. Just explain: "It's better to talk to me," and that will create trust between parents and child, which is incredibly valuable—it could last a lifetime. And you'll help the child preserve themselves, instead of turning into a faceless bio-robot. So, it depends a lot on you—and on the child.

10.27 Question: *Does the generator located in France have a positive effect on our plant holdings?*

Answer: Understand this—a generator is not a blind tool. It only affects what has been programmed into it. Therefore, its influence is currently limited to plants and fish. If a program were installed, for example, to purify a human being, it would purify a person as well. It doesn't do things by itself. It's a device into which a program is embedded, and it has a certain degree of autonomy—but that autonomy is not unlimited. It operates within the boundaries of what has been programmed into it. In this case, I was the one who programmed it. So, the generator only influences what it has been programmed to influence. The current program targets fish—those who need it—and plants. But, if necessary, it can be reprogrammed for cleansing. It can also be set to provide protection. There are many things that can be done.

10.28 Question: *Why do scientists believe that humans descend from apes?*

Answer: I think that if we talk about real scientists—they no longer believe that. But if we're talking about the *priests* of science, that is, the scientific clergy, they do believe this because they don't want to

part with the dogmas that were also imposed on them as dogma from long ago. There is already enough evidence that apes have nothing to do—at least—with *Homo Sapiens*. We did not originate on this planet, and even if our genetics are similar, it does not mean they are identical. The fact is that all four races existing on Earth came from elsewhere. As for the humanoid forms that were here—they are remnants. This can be seen in the example of the Yeti, the Bigfoot—they have different names. These are the descendants of the few remaining Neanderthals. They, indeed, are descendants of those apes that existed on Earth. Of course, somewhere and sometime, those who came to our planet had themselves evolved through stages of development of living nature and went through phases of biological evolution.

But look—chimpanzees, gorillas, or orangutans have lived on Earth longer than humans, yet they haven't changed one bit. Why? Because each species occupies the ecological niche to which it is adapted. So, only the emergence or change of a situation leads to the formation of ecological niches that create conditions for the emergence of species similar to humans. But even in that case, it would involve common ancestors—and even then, not apes. There may have been common ancestors, even for those who once came to our planet.

In the Alps, a frozen Neanderthal body was discovered in a glacier—the entire body was preserved, which allowed for genetic testing of Neanderthal tissue. This is something no one talks about. And the research showed that Neanderthals and *Homo sapiens* are genetically incompatible. That is, they were never our ancestors. Just like zebras and horses—they look similar, right? But they can't produce offspring together. So, not only were apes not our ancestors, even Neanderthals were not.

I'm simply confirming that all of humanity—all races without exception—did not originate on Earth. The only race that was ever native to Earth was the Neanderthals, who went extinct about 30,000 to 45,000 years ago. They lived for approximately 600,000 years on Earth before disappearing completely.

10.29 Question: *What about the Bronnikov method—how harmful is it, and does it correspond to the purpose and development of a person?*

Answer: I haven't studied it in detail to give a definitive answer, so for now, this is an assumption—though it's likely not far from the truth. I believe it is harmful, and I'll explain why. If you force the body to operate under extreme stress, and something unusual happens to a person in such a state, I don't think that's normal. That is, putting oneself into a certain trance state in which something happens—this isn't the right path, in my view.

To gain certain abilities, you need to change yourself—properly, holistically, and comprehensively. If all this is changed correctly and as a whole, then you don't need to strain, you don't need to bang your head against a wall or chant "OM" for two hours to enter a trance. None of that is necessary. It's enough to use the power of thought—and you enter the desired state without harming yourself or anyone else.

But if a method is based on giving a kick in a certain spot so that a person flies forward—yes, they might fly, but what will happen afterward? There will be harm. A momentary benefit, followed by serious damage. To be definitive, I would need to study it thoroughly—so that this wouldn't just be a guess, but a certainty. I don't know all the details about it to speak with full confidence.

10.30 Question: *Recently, there have been many incidents of cars crashing into people at bus stops and similar situations. What is this connected with?*

Answer: You know what it's connected with? Don't buy driver's licenses. When a person buys a license without knowing how to drive a car, of course, they're much more likely to cause accidents. So, if there were no bribery—if it wasn't possible to buy everything and anything—things would be different. But a person who can't drive gets a license as a gift or purchases it, and then they drive simply because they were given a car. That's the reason: driver illiteracy.

10.31 Question: *What rights were granted to those who saved Midgard-Earth from the former star—Planet X—which was turned in another direction?*

Answer: No rights were granted. That action was done solely to prevent a catastrophe. Rights are not given for that. Although one might say, "Well, if they hadn't saved it, then they could have changed things at their own discretion." That's not how it works. It's not quite the way the person who wrote this note imagines. The only right given—was the right to help others awaken. That was the only right.

10.32 Question: *The Kamchatkan Hyperborea, its artifact—a giant man-made pyramid—is it a myth or reality?*

Answer: It's not a myth—it's reality. I specifically outlined the territory that, after our ancestors left Daariya, was settled—this includes Western Siberia, starting from the Urals eastward to the Himalayas and ending at the Pacific coast. Our ancestors built pyramids everywhere. After the catastrophe 13,000 years ago, what happened?

There was a shift of the Earth's axis by 23.5 degrees. In order to stabilize the situation—so that the precession of the planet would not keep changing—pyramids were built that acted as stabilizers of the planet's condition. That is, a pyramid is a kind of lens, and they were placed at specific active points where powerful energy flows occurred. These lenses were used to alter the distribution of those flows to create planetary stability, so that Earth would not continue to wobble. That is the reason why our ancestors built pyramids all over the Earth—not just in Russia or Great Assia. So yes, it is reality.

And all the Chinese pyramids, located north of the Great Wall of China, were also built by our ancestors. That's why the Chinese are still silent about it. A man living in the Far East sent me a letter, in which he wrote that he and his friends were sitting in a restaurant and were talking about how the Great Wall of China had been built by our ancestors, and that the pyramids were also built by our ancestors.

A Chinese waitress who knew the language well was serving them. Then she approached them and said: "You have no right to talk about such things!" Not bad, huh? But why don't they have the right? Because China seized the territory after 1775, when, after the defeat of Great Tartaria, everyone rushed in like predatory dogs, wolves, hyenas to grab what they could. The Romanov dynasty took the biggest piece, but America grabbed a big piece too, and China took another piece, and other countries also bit off whatever they could—whether they had the right to or not. The so-called Horde dynasty was overthrown only in 1775. And that's why the Chinese remain silent to this day about the dozens of pyramids on their territory.

There's a Google program where you can look, zoom in—there are dozens of enormous pyramids! And all the fields around them are cultivated. And what are the Chinese doing? They're planting forests over them. Why? To hide them. And why are they hiding them? The Chinese, according to their philosophy, consider all others to be barbarians. And here they have pyramids that are 10–15 thousand years old, the most ancient structures on Earth—more precisely, 10–13 thousand years—akin to the Egyptian ones, and they stay silent about them. And they're silent because the logical answer is clear: they did not build those pyramids. If they were to acknowledge them, they would be forced to admit that they are thieves and stole someone else's land, rather than the other way around.

10.33. QUESTION *about parasitism. If it does not evolve, then why does it have a cosmic level?*

ANSWER: The thing is, we've been implanted with a false notion that the "cosmic level" means stepping beyond the boundaries of the Planet. And if someone reaches that, it must be the Light Forces. But when a person completes the Planetary Cycle of Development, it's like a chick hatching from its egg. This does not mean it's a high level—it's simply the *initial* level of development.

The Dark Ones, the social parasites, possess levels *beyond* the planetary one. However, this does not mean that just because they've developed beyond the planetary level, they are at a truly high level of development. The level they have is, in fact, *quite limited*. And when they reach a certain point—even though it's much higher than the planetary level—they are unable to progress any further.

There's a bit of confusion in the concepts here: if it's "development," people assume it must be *positive*. The thing is, when you are developing, you encounter certain problems. You are required to solve specific tasks that arise before you. If you solve these tasks correctly—you move forward. But if, for some reason, there is something incorrect in you, a distortion of understanding, then upon reaching a certain level, you might stall—or even regress.

At a certain stage, a person can become imbalanced and turn to the dark side. That can also happen. But this doesn't mean the person is primitive. It simply means their capacity for further development becomes blocked.

They descend to a lower level and begin to act using the capabilities they already achieved—but now according to the dark principle. Still, this doesn't mean it's something primitive, like on the level of a cockroach. Nothing of the sort. There *are* dark forces in the cosmos, and they are quite formidable powers—but that doesn't change their essence. They remain parasites. The methods by which they operate are parasitic.

As I wrote in my autobiography: most often, they operate by taking over those who are truly evolving—using their potential for their own goals. In any case, they compensate for their inability to evolve on their own by taking control over those who have advanced further through their own development. And that, too, is a very serious problem.

10.34. QUESTION: *How should information be presented to children so that it actually reaches them?*

ANSWER: As I've already said, information can be presented at any level—you just need to find what the children *actually care about*. If you talk about things they don't give a hoot about, of course, you won't

get through to them. You need to find that key—something that touches them on a personal level—and then, through that, you can convey something more.

Not just like: "Come on, you have to go." Remember Panikovsky: "Give me a million, give me a million," and then you run: "Here, take it, take the knowledge!" That's useless. You need to find what really *hooks* a person.

It's the same with youth—you have to find what they care about, the questions that genuinely trouble *this* generation. Because every generation has different interests, different perspectives, and a different worldview. And you need to take that into account.

If you begin to see the world through the eyes of the child you're interacting with—and that doesn't mean you have to adopt their world entirely—but you do need to *understand* their world *as they* understand it, and find a connection with them on *that* level.

To truly resonate with them, you need to see what matters to the child, not what matters to *you*. So, you need to, in a way, become their age for a bit—and find that thing that truly grabs their attention. And it works wonderfully—you just have to find the key. That's all.

10.35. QUESTION: *In the book "The Last Appeal to Humanity" I write that the Egyptian civilization was created by a civilization from Mars, and in "Inhomogeneous Universe" I state that it was created by the Atlanteans from Atlantis. The question is: where is the mistake?*

ANSWER: There is no mistake, because both were created by the *same* civilization. The civilization that built the pyramids on Mars is the very same one that built the pyramids in Egypt. At the time, I had no other evidence besides the fact that the pyramids on Mars were the same as those in Egypt. When I later obtained more evidence that could be applied, I used different kinds of proof.

This isn't a contradiction—it's simply the result of not being able to present all the information at once. I have a lot of different information. But if I were to present all of it, my enemies would immediately demand proof for everything. And if you can't prove it, they'll say it's all a lie.

So, in order to prevent this from being misused later, I rely only on the information that can be confirmed. As the ability to verify certain things increases, the scope of information that can be presented also expands. Not because it's more convenient this way, but because if you don't do it this way, everything you share will immediately be shut down. People will start saying it's all a fraud, not proven by anything.

Even though all currently existing theories that are recognized as "scientific" have not actually been proven at all, they are still considered scientific. When it suits them, they "understand" and "accept"—and when it doesn't, when it's inconvenient, they reject it.

What I bring forward doesn't suit the modern scientific world. Because accepting it would require them to *change*—and they don't want to. Moreover, the scientific world is controlled by the same social parasites, and they have shaped a certain level of understanding precisely so that science could once again be used to deceive us—to turn us into sheep.

That's why I can only expand the information I share when new evidence becomes available. The more accessible the evidence base becomes, the more I will be able to reveal. Otherwise, it would all collapse—and I don't want what I'm doing to collapse. In principle, there are no real contradictions—just clarifications and comments on what has already been said.

10.36. QUESTION: *How reliable is the information obtained through the method of regression hypnosis, such as that used by Michael Newton and others?*

ANSWER: I can say this: the information *exists*—but there's no unambiguous answer to its reliability. Because there are two aspects to consider.

First: Can a hypnotist suggest to the person under hypnosis things that the hypnotist himself imagines or expects? Yes, absolutely—even without realizing it. Because most hypnotists don't actually understand the true nature of hypnosis. Very often, they don't even understand how it *really* works.

If a hypnotist is truly doing their work purely—meaning, they induce hypnosis and extract information that already exists within the person, such as past lives and so on from the person's essence—and they succeed in doing so, then the information can be reliable.

But if the hypnotist is, without realizing it, *suggesting* things—projecting what they want or expect to see—then that becomes a problem. Because any true researcher must *not desire* a particular outcome. They must receive information *dispassionately*, regardless of whether they like it or not, and *only then* reflect on it.

Unfortunately, what I've observed is that most theorists “force-fit” their conclusions. They create a theory, run a few experiments—say, 10—and then, using approximation methods, extrapolate those into 1,000 experimental results. Not bad, huh? From 10 experiments, they fabricate data for a thousand. Then they process that data and check whether it aligns with their theoretical assumptions.

And even then—even after artificially multiplying the data through approximation—the results *still* don't match their theories. So, what do they do? They manipulate the mathematics until the formulas reflect what they *want* the experiments to show. And then they say: “Ah, now *this* is a theory!” No, that's not a theory—that's a *lie*.

Because instead of reflecting on why their theory doesn't align with the results—instead of reconsidering their understanding—they just resort to mathematical manipulation, which is easy to do. But what's needed is a reevaluation of the *concepts*. And that's what they refuse to do. That's all.

At one time, I gave presentations in Arkhangelsk where I demonstrated all types of influence—starting from basic hypnosis, hypnosis while awake, mental suggestion—and I explained the nature of these phenomena. In 1997, I met on Malta with a well-known hypnotist—I've forgotten his name—but he was very famous, traveled the world giving lectures about hypnosis. We were having a conversation, and he says:

“We can't explain what hypnosis is.”

I say: “But these are elementary things.”

He replies: “We can't explain why a person's muscles turn to stone.”

I say: "That's very simple. We have signals going from the brain to the muscles—to the flexors and extensors. If we send signals simultaneously to both the flexor and extensor muscles, both sets contract at once, and the result is stiff, rigid muscles." Simple as that. They don't even understand these elementary things. It's a mystery to them. And this is a world-renowned hypnotist saying this!

Honestly, I feel sorry for such scientists. These are basic things, if you just *think* a bit. Two groups of muscles: flexors and extensors—everyone knows that. Try bending your arm, hold it in place, tense your muscles both ways—that's it. I remember there was some kind of story... Wise men arguing over which end of a stick is the beginning and which is the end. Same thing here. One says: "Kidneys grow upward, so the top is the beginning, and the bottom is the end." Ohhh—what a *great* sage!

There's irony in it, of course—but when you look at these "wise men," you think: damn, do people truly not know how to think? Or is it something else? Most likely... it *is* something else.