

Faith and knowledge.

When studying the processes taking place on Earth, it is necessary not only to know all the laws of the phenomenal world, but also to be able to analyze past events, establishing connections between them..

You can believe everything that science has presented to us today, however, knowledge can no longer be built on this, and the convincing evidence that science provides is built in the absence of another system of knowledge. An excellent example of the attitude to existing science was given by N. Morozov ("Christ").

So, let's turn first to N. Morozov as the greatest researcher. He not only tried to understand the history of mankind, but also proved that this humanity has no history, that it is impossible to create history on fairy tales.

So, N. Morozov's approach is based on known knowledge.

1. Faith, conviction and knowledge in N. Morozov.

When we are told that two times two is four, we know it is correct because we can count the result directly and personally at any time. When we are told that the nightingale sings at night, we know it is true because we can personally see it. There is not the slightest admixture of faith here (with one caveat - the rules of multiplication and the number system are chosen and learned, and night is when the Sun is on the other side of the Earth, not formed by a solar eclipse).

But here we are told: "The Earth is round," and we cannot see this directly. Then a number of proofs are given, such as the shadow on the Moon, and the gradual disappearance of the ship into the sea. It is proved that the cross-section of the globe is 12,756 km.

There is no faith here, because there is convincing evidence. This is belief, not faith.

We are told that in the southern hemisphere of the Earth there is a country called Australia, and describe its size, climate and inhabitants. No mathematical or logical proofs are given; however, the description of the country is repeated by all those who call themselves a traveler or geographer.

And we believe them and equate this faith with knowledge. Why?

Not only because books and photographs are pointed out to us, but they also say - go and see for yourself.

Here the possibility for everyone at any time to verify what is reported, and the actual constant verification of it by this or that of our contemporaries, equates faith with accurate knowledge

And so, we are no less convinced of the existence of Australia than we are of the sphericity of the Earth, though we are not presented with the same weighty personal evidence here.

But here is another case. We are told that two thousand years before our time, Julius Caesar existed on Earth. His tomb is nowhere to be found and it is unknown where it was after his death, where and when it disappeared. It is known that the main sources of descriptions of the ancient world were found in the 19th century in the libraries of European aristocrats and monasteries, but it is unknown by whom and when they were delivered there. In this case, the reports about Julius Caesar are the product of pure faith, not precise knowledge.

The believer usually recoils with horror at the discovery of knowledge, especially when there is a complete discrepancy between knowledge and faith. The Church has chosen faith, and therefore has disagreed with real science.

Much has been written about the history of ancient warfare, but here too there is only faith - regardless of the conditions of strategy, such areas and conditions that were chosen for victories, under which it was possible to die within a week. In describing battles, ancient history had commanders galloping across fields on pairs of horses in a single-wheeler with a drawbar. At the racetrack, of course, you can ride it (up to the turn), but on the field this structure will turn over on the first bump.

All our ideas about the life and culture of the ancient world are not the result of personal observation.

These are ideas suggested to us by others, and it is not known how many such links of suggestion there were before that.

And with each transmission, psychological apperception inevitably occurred, the perceptions of the perceiver never quite converged with the representations of the communicator, and the aberration in verbal or written transmission was the stronger, the more one-sided the narrator and listener, or writer and reader, were mentally developed, and the worse they mastered the Latin or Greek language they used.

Let us note once again the fact that knowledge is ultimately provided at the level of development of science that it currently has.

You can imagine -with the help of advertising on television, they will prove to you that the national costume of the Yumba-Nyumba tribe, living in the wilds of the Amazon, are swimsuits made of pure cotton and nylon, and these swimsuits, based on the stories of the tribal leaders and documents in the form of cave paintings, are at least 10,000 years old, and the manufacture of cotton - this is a national tradition, and nylon was invented by them as a bowstring. If you dare to check it, you will be convinced that all representatives of this tribe really walk in bathing suits made of nylon and cotton, you will be surprised at the accuracy of the reproduction of the dressing process in rock drawings and will then prove that all knowledge that mankind has is knowledge stolen from various tribes and will open one more page in the history of mankind.

Of course, you will not be shown which company organized this masquerade, how much money was invested in it, and for what purpose this disinformation was prepared.

The knowledge you have gained is pure misinformation.

The merit of N.A.Morozov is that, on the basis of the deepest analysis, he showed the role of disinformation in the formation of a worldview about the world around us.

Methods of the research of history according to N.A.Morozov.

a). Astronomical method.

To identify ancient monuments containing sufficient astronomical indications in the form of planetary combinations, solar and lunar eclipses and comet appearances.

As a result, all the records of Greek and Latin authors noting computable astronomical phenomena after 402 AD were confirmed, and all other records were not confirmed and led to later dates, so nothing remained of antiquity beyond the beginning of our era.

b). Geophysical method.

The possibility of the existence of major historical and cultural facts, which are reported to us by ancient authors, under the given geographical, geological and climatic conditions of the area indicated by them, is considered. And this method gave negative results at the beginning of our era.

c). Material and cultural method.

The incongruity of many reports of ancient history from the point of view of the evolution of tools of production and the state of technology, such as the construction of Solomon's Temple in the depths of Palestine before the beginning of our era.

d). Ethno- psychological method.

It consists in examining whether it is possible to suppose that any major literary or scientific works attributed to antiquity, could have arisen at the stage of moral and intellectual evolution in which the people in question were at that time.

e). Statistical method.

It consists in comparing repeated phenomena with each other and processing their details from the point of view of the theory of probability. An example is a comparison of the pedigree of Ramessu with the pedigree of the evangelical Christ, as well as a comparison of diagrams of the duration of the reign of kings.

f). Linguistic method.

Especially the identification of the meaning of proper names. Here the mythic nature of the whole story emerges with expressive clarity. Take the beginning of the biblical book of Genesis: "Adam's wife Eve bore him Cain and Abel, and Cain killed Abel." When translated, we get: "Life, the spouse of a person, gave birth to Work and Rest, and Work killed Rest." Instead of historicity, they get allegory. And the whole ancient history is full of such curiosities.

Based on his own research, N.A. Morozov concluded:

"Humanity, having put me in prison, considered that I no longer existed. However, the effect is the opposite - it is proved that there is no humanity - it has no history."

At least there is no history before our era. And the late history is apocryphal so much that it can be perceived as a fairy tale.

N.A. Morozov's research is the first step in trying to determine what really happened, and this attempt led to the result - the unknown.

2. Analysis of research methods.

It should be noted at once that this chapter does not pretend to the full scope of the information that is already given in the relevant sections of the monograph "Cold Nuclear Fusion". The title of the monograph was chosen specifically because there is no such concept in modern science.

In addition, in a separate work "Celestial Mechanics", the Noosphere, that is, the habitat of mankind, is described in sufficient detail.

2.1. The state of modern science.

The whole state of modern science can be expressed as the view of a pithecanthropus on a mammoth - you can't get into a cave and can't plow.

On what worldview is all modern science based?

All the space around us consists of atoms, material particles (up to quarks) are represented in quantum mechanics, electrodynamics, nuclear physics. Hundreds of particles (material) have been discovered, all discoveries in the field of physics are aimed only at creating weapons for the destruction of humanity itself.

Currently, any student can tell how many horsepower are in one light bulb.

It's not funny - the whole system of metric units used by modern science is built on horsepower. It is always possible to calculate exactly how many horsepower there is during the transition of the quantum state of an electron.

By the way, in the USA they decided to calculate the size of the electron and got - 1 meter.

Using data obtained several centuries ago (for example, on the Thermal Force), science has approached the problem of plasma very figuratively (see "Ohm's Law").

The Periodic Table, as a masterpiece of scientific thought, is a monstrous misinformation on the structure of elements.

It is impossible to move away from it - science is in a vicious circle.

If we admit that an atom is not a material particle, then the periodic table bursts.

If you leave the Mendeleev table and reconsider everything about the atom, not only quantum mechanics with Schrödinger, equations will burst.

The monograph shows that the church and all the obscurantism that accompanies it exists precisely on the basis of Mendeleev's table and quantum mechanics, and as a means of not achieving knowledge.

The church was created as one of the ways to suppress mental activity, which is a convenient means in the absence of a brain - everyone is equal.

The direct proof of the absence of science is the presence of the church.

So what, you ask, even if the church were to fall away due to the discovery and resolution of all questions concerning the existence of a higher mind, there would still be worship before it. But herein lies the landmine. The cat does not know what you are thinking, and there are two ways to initiate the cat into certain knowledge.

The first is the method of "violence" - it consists in gaining trust and coercion in the performance of duties. Here there are wars and terror, finance and law enforcement agencies. Naturally, all the acquired knowledge is used only on solving the tasks of destruction and cannot be used for creation in any way.

The second - let's call it the "mind" way - is the development of the mind with the transfer of knowledge to fulfill the tasks of mastering the Cosmos (not conquering the created structures, but just mastering). It is no longer a servile subordination, rather, an equal partnership, because the necessary knowledge will have to be used not just on Earth.

All the vices inherent in the "boss -subordinate" relationship are excluded here. It is not you who are looking for and finding something - it is you who are given knowledge within the framework of how suitable you are for this knowledge (regardless of your position).

If you do not fit, then they record a program for you, where worship is already present - it does not matter to whom. The program can be replaced, but you will no longer have the knowledge of it. Even if you see knowledge in a person who offers to use it, you will not be able to do it, because your program does not have this element.

The program can be short-term - for one lifetime, or long-term. Nostradamus published a long-term program, carried out according to the first method and by those people who were created to carry out this program (to be completed in 2001).

Does this mean that after 2001 everyone will get a brain, knowledge and the opportunity to become a partner of the higher mind? Most likely not - the wise men will continue to know only horse constants and the way to convert dollars into euros, and the rest will strive to develop the church and bring to perfection, for example, ways of growing cucumbers in the Arctic Circle.

Geophysical representation. The Earth, from the point of view of modern science, is a random formation of dust and gases, that is, it was formed from a protoplanetary cloud a long time ago. The Earth is a geoid, with the center of gravity shifted to the south pole (and since we are "flying" towards the north pole, it is not clear why the Earth is not tumbling). The Earth is moving in Kepler's orbit, and the distance to the Sun is 149.5 million km (1 parsec).

All intelligent humanity lives according to the Julian calendar, and women live according to the lunar calendar.

It is known that the universe is expanding (redshift) and once it was assembled into a point.

On Earth, as on any Cosmic body, life appeared quite by chance, and at present man is the king of nature, ready to help his brothers in mind (the nearest ones are on Mars) in the exploration of Outer space.

It is known that there was a flood on Earth that washed everything away, but all living creatures (with the exception of fire-breathing dragons) were saved, and continue to exist peacefully even in conditions of environmental disasters.

Earthquakes, floods, typhoons, tornadoes are natural phenomena, and as finances are acquired, the relevant organizations will find a way to tame them. It is known that the Earth consists of land and water, which is divided into drinking and non-drinking.

Astronomy has received a special development. Detailed catalogues of more than a million stars are common working material, and there is no doubt about the accuracy of calculations, all declinations, periods are known, distances are accurately measured (in horse constants).

The temperature on the planet is formed by cyclones and anticyclones, and the water cycle in nature is the basis for calculating the water balance of the planet.

By the way, O. Henry has a work "Redskin Chief", which says the same thing - "the wind turns out because the trees are swaying."

The atmosphere is currently represented as a mixture of dozens of gases, and all the inhabitants of the Earth use oxygen for breathing (including fish).

All knowledge is based on the dogmas indicated in the reference material, built on the indisputable authority of scientists and their own observations.

Everything is logically interconnected and if something suddenly comes into disrepair, then most likely the author will be removed rather than destroy the modern idea.

Biological representation.

Man, as a rational being, descended from unicellular organisms, which, in turn, were formed as a result of mixing in a certain concentration of dust and gases. The brain, as a thinking organ, is a property of dust (from a protoplanetary cloud), therefore, with the development of cybernetics, it can be replaced with a transistor analog.

Humanity has a point of reference - as soon as the monkey grabbed the stick, it began to think (perhaps at this time the theory of relativity appeared). The influence of gravity on the development of science is described in sufficient detail.

Thus, the fall of an apple on Newton's head led to the discovery of laws. If Newton had been sitting at a house under construction, the fall of a brick on his head would have led to much more serious discoveries.

As science proves, the gravitational effect on the brain always leads to something. Biology shows very accurately that human reproduction is a mechanical process (as when making fire with a stone and a stick), and due to friction, there is a desire to reproduce their own kind.

The brain is not always necessary, there are cases where pulling a nail out of the head does not damage the brain (even if there is one).

All human organs are such that they can be transplanted, and cells can be cloned, creating new types of people and animals. The prospects for biology (by the way, the same horse constants are used) are brilliant, and even if there are any problems, their solution is already planned for a specific time.

2.2. Some additional sections of modern science.

a) Mathematics.

The basis of mathematics in the calculations of celestial mechanics are differential and integral calculus, where calculations are made in time. But what time is, is not yet known.

In addition, it was found that the atomic clocks located in the basement of the skyscraper and on its roof show different times.

b) Medicine.

The spine below the ribs is called a "ponytail" in medicine. This indicates the continuity of knowledge and puts the king of nature on a par with mammals, and in some cases with herring (it is known that fish also have a brain, that fish breathe oxygen, and since it does not have lungs, that is, a certain generator of the release of this oxygen from water, that is, a generator of cold nuclear fusion).

A person does not have such a generator, which means that a fish is a more intelligent creature than a person. It is known that the heart "drives" blood, but if you calculate the load, it turns out that the muscular energy of the heart is not enough. In addition, humans have blood vessels of 1 micron in size (these vessels occupy more than 90% of all blood vessels). At the same time, the erythrocyte has a size of 7 - 9 microns. The fact that the erythrocyte is unable to get into a smaller blood vessel can be demonstrated by experience.

But whether an erythrocyte exists in living blood, medicine does not know.

Medical aspects are analyzed in the monograph.

c) Chemistry.

As a science, it is based on the periodic table, that is, on transformations of material particles. You can talk about alchemy, or about all sorts of miracles that craftsmen create, however, no one has yet moved away from the horse constants of moles, valence, degrees, etc.

d) Geology.

All accumulations of valuable minerals and oil are accidental formations, and oil is the products of rotting of ancient valuable wood species.

No one has conducted a comparative chemical analysis of sulfur in the human ear and white oil - oddly enough, it's the same thing. But the sulfur in the ear is a product of brain activity.

Then what is oil? Geology does not have information not only about the origin of minerals, but also reserves. Everything below 50 meters from the Earth's surface is the greatest mystery of the planet.

The development of the USSR as a raw material appendage led to the fact that by the 1990s every fifth citizen of the USSR had a specialty "geologist" in different versions.

One can thus cite all sections of modern science and point out that the approach to knowledge is based not just on horse constants used in physics, but also on humanity's unwillingness to know more than it should.

Why such attention to the works of N.A.Morozov? By analogy with his conclusions, the conclusion is made:

Science as knowledge about the world around us does not exist.

That is, mankind today has not only no history, but also no science.

Is it good or bad? On the one hand, it is good, since the absence of science allows obscurantism (churches) and academies of sciences with all scientific institutes to exist perfectly. And it's bad - because it's not the trees that form the wind, as the media informs about it every day. Where we live, how long humanity will live, what changes are coming, at least in the near future - not a single question can science answer.

No one has been able to synthesize a living cell yet, but everyone is ready to do cloning. There is no justification (other than the divine) for the appearance of man.

2.3. The state of science - a few remarks.

Astronomy, as an exact science, is an attempt to measure the angular coordinates of a cloud from the deck of a yacht during a storm. We will get different values from each yacht.

Mathematics is the queen of sciences. Computational schemes are built on houses that have nothing to do with the physical representation of the place where these calculations are performed, that is, time, space and meaning.

Physics is the basis of the universe - everything is made of dust and nothing but dust. The world consists of bricks - atoms, each of which can be felt, weighed and decomposed into its component parts.

Chemistry - the entropy of any chemical process is always greater than zero, that is, even when conducting a school experiment in a test tube, the Earth warms up.

Biology - all living organisms on Earth are created by rubbing dust and gas against each other, the appearance of the brain is a consequence of grabbing a stick. Human reproduction is the result of male and female cells rubbing against each other.

Medicine - all life on Earth is subject to cloning, while knowledge about a living cell is at the level of a cow's concept of life on Mars. The atmosphere indirectly affects human existence - it's bad when there are a lot of industrial emissions.

Geology - everything that is poorly buried is subject to extraction, and what remains after extraction does not affect human development.

Let's explain with an example.

After the complete development of the manganese deposit in Georgia, the entire indigenous population of Georgia developed "blood thickening".

Genetics. Human development is a sequential transformation of unicellular cells and mutation under the influence of their mutual influence.

Occult sciences are not considered - parapsychology, astrology, spiritualism, etc. - all this is attributed to the same obscurantism for lack of knowledge.

3. Knowledge, faith and conviction.

What then remains - believe in nothing, or still try to figure it out by removing all the garbage piled up by modern science?

First, we use the Pauli principle - one horse cannot pull two carts in parallel with one shaft. Everyone can see this. This means that those who want to know something must forget everything they were taught.

Second, if we need to calculate something, we use the mathematics of "kaleidoscope", and not the mathematics that calculates self-oscillations in an atom taking into account the deformation of the cart wheel (physical encyclopedia, volume 1).

Third, whatever the conclusions, they can always be comprehended.

Fourth, belief in the desire to gain knowledge is always rewarded with the level of knowledge that is available to a particular genotype.

Fifth, no amount of belief can prevent a result from being verified, which means that there can be no knowledge in only one area. One can specialize in one or more areas of knowledge, but one must know more.

So, given the introductory nature of this section, we will first try to convince.

Let's show that astronomy, as a science, does not exist.

The basis of astronomy is:

- a) means of observation - astronomical observatories;
- b) physical constants;
- c) mathematics.

As already mentioned, the physical constants are derived through horsepower, and all instruments for observations are based on the properties of the human eye and on indirect transformations taking into account the properties of devices built on the basis of the periodic table. If you change at least one physical constant, nothing remains of astronomy.

It is known that the Earth revolves around the Sun, the speed of the Earth's movement is calculated quite accurately (regarding the optical properties of the human eye, the cat's vision is somewhat different, but no one is interested in the opinion of the cat). So, the speed of the Earth is 29.765 km/sec (all data is from the physical encyclopedia). From apogee to apogee, the Earth passes in 365.25 days (the average value for 4 years).

The path traveled by the Earth during this time is:
 $365.25 * 24 * 3600 * 29.765 = 939311960$ km, radius of rotation:
 $939311960 / (2 * 3.14159) = 149.5$ million km.

It is this distance that is taken as the basic astronomical unit - a parsec.

The same distance is taken into account when launching satellites and interplanetary stations. However, the Earth "flies" in a spiral. It is known that the Solar system is also moving, and the speed of the Sun is 22-25 km/sec.

So, the Earth moves around the Sun in a spiral from point A to point A (apogee). The projection of this spiral is the Kepler orbit. It is necessary to find the radius C - this is the actual distance to the Sun. The inclination of the equator to the ecliptic is known - 23° 26' 38".

However, this slope is current, in addition, the influence of the Moon has reduced this slope and without the Moon, the angle between the Earth's equator and the ecliptic should be 25° 15'. By the way, this corner has an entrance to the pyramid of Cheops in Egypt. The earth is moving in a spiral with a negative angle of 10° 58' 37".

What this change affects is discussed in the monograph.

Let's turn the spiral and put everything in its place. The distance from point A to point A is 939311960 km, hence the length of Kepler's orbit = 849565530 km, hence the radius of the Earth's orbit (C): $C = 135.21$ million km.

What does it change?

The basic astronomical unit of distance turns out to be different. The difference is 10%. Such precision is unacceptable in astronomy.

Suppose now that mathematicians rush to recalculate everything and in 5-10 years we will get new astronomical tables and another map of the starry sky. However, here we remind you once again - all measurements in Space from Earth are made on the basis of the optics that a person possesses.

But this optic is not perfect. We can only see in a narrow frequency range, and the coefficients of translation to another region of the frequency spectrum are unknown.

The instrument part of astronomy is based on the measurement of a plane wave, but a wave is not just an oscillation - it is a complicated complex, and the human eye captures a far from complete picture of this wave.

In addition, in the monograph (sections on the Noosphere), the gratings through which the light wave passes, are calculated.

Even if we take the average value of a second, the time of passage of the wave through each lattice is different. One noosphere of the Earth has 124 layers (with different lattice structures). The Cosmos has its own grid, and the Sun has very strange grids. The distance to the Sun is calculated without taking into account these lattices, and at the moment this distance is unknown. But what does the difference of 10 % mean? This means that the "sunbeam" comes to Earth 48 seconds earlier than it was assumed. So, if you build systems on Earth that operate at a clock frequency from the "sunbeam", then these systems will not work, or they will give misinformation. Can the Space grid omit low frequencies? Various studies show the presence of some kind of Cosmic Music.

However, without knowledge of the structure of the lattice of the Cosmos, this question, in principle, is not solvable.

Note that any telescope reduces the octave of vision, that is, when the structure of space changes, the reaction time changes. This is the property of sand - the basis for creating lenses in a telescope.

What does it give when observing?

It is possible not to see the log in the eye, since the angular coordinates change dramatically when the octave of vision decreases, and therefore when measuring space.

Thus, before approaching astronomy, it is necessary to know the physics of the atom, the lattice structures, the energy characteristics of both the object under study and the object from which the research is carried out.

What does the change in entropy on Earth mean, it is a change in time and space in the structure of vision. But if vision itself is a function not only of the energy state of the brain, but also of the external environment, then the relative coordinates of the celestial sphere change every second.

The appendices to the monograph contain data indicating that we see only those space objects that are in the spectrum of our perception of the world and, in addition, each object we see emits (and at different frequencies). This radiation, combined with the clock frequency of the Solar System grid, allows us to consider space objects.