

Is there any science?

Who gets the real information?

Introduction

Academician Vitaly Lazarevich Ginzburg, summing up the development of modern science, deduced the main directions of the development of physics in the XXI century. His results are today's modern science. A space called science has been created, where there is not even a hint of the structure of the atom, the structure of matter and science itself.

All attention is on dogmas (**criticism of Einstein has been banned since 1964**).

“We can count on the fact that in the XXI century science will develop no less rapidly than in the past XX century. At the same time, physics has grown and differentiated so much that it is difficult to see the forest behind the trees, it is difficult to grasp, in your mind's eye, the picture of modern physics as a whole. Meanwhile, such a picture exists and, despite all the branches, physics has a core. Such a core is the fundamental concepts and laws formulated in theoretical physics” (V. Ginzburg).

So, the list of "especially important and interesting problems" from the point of view of V. Ginzburg:

Macrophysics

1. Controlled nuclear fusion.
2. High temperature and room temperature superconductivity.
3. Metallic hydrogen. Other exotic substances.
4. Two-dimensional electronic fluid (anomalous Hall effect and some other effects).
5. Some questions of solid-state physics (heterostructures in semiconductors, metal-dielectric transitions, charge and spin density waves, mesoscopy).
6. Phase transitions of the second kind and related to them. Some examples of such transitions. Cooling (in particular, laser) to ultra-low temperatures. Bose-Einstein condensation in gases.
7. Surface physics. Clusters.
8. Liquid crystals. Ferroelectrics.
9. Fullerenes. Nanotubes.
10. The behavior of matter in super-strong magnetic fields.
11. Nonlinear physics. Turbulence. Solitons. Chaos. Strange attractors.
12. Razers, grasers, heavy-duty lasers.
13. Superheavy elements. Exotic nuclei.

Microphysics

1. Mass spectrum. Quarks and gluons. Quantum chromodynamics. Quark-gluon plasma.
2. Unified theory of weak and electromagnetic interaction. W^+ - and Z^0 -bosons. Leptons.
3. Standard model. Great unification. Super unification. Proton decay. Neutrino mass. Magnetic monopoles.
4. Fundamental length. Interaction of particles at high and ultrahigh energies. Colliders.
5. Non-conservation of CP invariance.
- 6 Nonlinear phenomena in vacuum and in super-strong electromagnetic fields. Phase transitions in vacuum.
7. String. M-theory.

Astrophysics

1. Experimental verification of the general theory of relativity.
2. Gravitational waves, their detection.
3. The cosmological problem. Inflation. L-member. The connection between cosmology and high-energy physics.
4. Neutron stars and pulsars. Supernovae.
5. Black holes. Cosmic strings (?).
6. Quasars and galactic nuclei. Formation of galaxies.
7. Problem of dark matter (hidden mass) and its detection.
8. Origin of cosmic rays with ultrahigh energy.
9. Gamma-ray bursts. Hypernovae.
10. Neutrino physics and astronomy. Neutrino oscillations.

That is, the "horse" will have to exist in the XXI century.

Now the scientist's opinion. Kuzichev Yuri Gergievich. "Is physics a science or pseudoscience?"

Yuri Georgievich basically correctly noted the state of science, we quote his statements (up to *)**

The substantial part of scientific knowledge about the nature of physics was **falsified**. They developed theoretical subject models based on **subjective** information and not on **objective** information, or did **not develop at all**, and the problems of natural science, according to them, were "bypassed" or "removed". Here are the traditional ways of "bypassing" and the resulting models:

1. Guesses and fictions (***primacy of the subjective over the objective***):

- universal gravity;
- movement of planets by inertia in absolute emptiness;
- during the free fall of a body, its potential energy is converted into kinetic energy;
- when the pendulum oscillates, its potential energy is converted into kinetic energy and vice versa;
- thermonuclear reactions in the sun;
- stars are like gas balls;
- etc.

2. Substitution of the studied objects with "black boxes" and development of their mathematical models (***primacy of form over content***):

- law of universal gravitation, the laws of mechanics;
- laws of electrostatics and electrodynamics;
- - models of the theory of relativity;
- models of quantum and wave mechanics;
- etc.

3. Obtaining subject models from mathematical ones (***logical speculations, primacy of form over content***):

- energy (materialized mathematical parameter);
- potential energy of the raised body (materialized mathematical parameter);
- time (materialized mathematical parameter);
- gravitational, electromagnetic and other physical fields (derived from vector fields of gravitational forces);
- curved four-dimensional space-time (derived from a mathematical model);
- - sinusoidal transverse electromagnetic waves (the axis of the angular argument of trigonometric functions is replaced by the axis of natural numbers);
- etc.

4. Misinterpretation of natural phenomena and experimental results (***primacy of the subjective over the objective***):

- increase in the velocity of free fall of bodies is explained as a result of the transformation of imaginary potential energy into kinetic energy;

- movement of the planets is interpreted as movement by inertia;
- curvature of the trajectories of microparticles in the Wilson chamber is interpreted as a consequence of the interaction of imaginary electric charges with an imaginary magnetic field;
- after Michelson's unsuccessful experiment, physicists refused to recognize the reality of the ether;
- a long-term unsuccessful **hunt for an electron** led to the emergence of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle;
- spectra of atoms are explained as a consequence of the jumping of electron-waves from one orbit to another, in which they supposedly emit photon-waves;
- energy exchange of objects by means of streams and waves of ether is interpreted as energy exchange by means of quanta of physical fields: gravitons, electrons, photons, etc.;
- etc.

5. Development of new models based on previously developed ones (***logical speculation, the primacy of the subjective over the objective***):

- gravitons, magnetons, electrons, photons (quanta of imaginary physical fields);
- models of planetary atoms (constructed from electrons and protons, supposedly possessing electric charges);
- strong interactions (hold protons supposedly repelling each other in the nuclei of atoms);
- Schrodinger and De Broglie waves (reflect the imaginary wave-particle dualism of electrons);
- quantum of action (Planck's constant) and the Pauli prohibition principle (appeared when physicists adjusted the hypothetical planetary model of the Nagaoka-Rutherford atom to nature);
- valence electrons (supposedly bind atoms into molecules);
- free electrons (allegedly form an electric current);
- Van Der Waals forces (allegedly hold electrically neutral atoms and molecules in crystals);
- black holes (appeared as a result of the manifestation of an imaginary universal gravity);
- etc.

6. Substitution of natural phenomena with imaginary (supposedly equivalent) phenomena (***primacy of the subjective over the objective***):

- the energy exchange of the Sun with the planets and the effects of the forces arising; in this case are replaced by the influence of universal gravitation, gravitational field, curved space;
- the longitudinal waves of elastic deformation of the ether are replaced by transverse waves of the electromagnetic field;
- the energy exchange of the Earth with a free-falling body is replaced by the transformation of imaginary potential energy into kinetic energy;

- the energy exchange of objects by means of streams and waves of ether is replaced by energy exchange by means of quanta of imaginary physical fields: gravitons, electrons, photons, etc.;

- the change in the rate of evolution of microparticles (mu-mesons/muons) at sublight speed is explained as a consequence of the change in the course of the personal time of microparticles;

- etc.

7. Models of theoretical physics do not reflect the causes and mechanisms of gravitational, electromagnetic and other interactions, therefore they are useless for natural science, in other words, they have no scientific value.

8. However, models have practical utility, therefore (based on the principle of practice – ***the criterion of truth***) they were accepted as **absolute truth** and transferred from theory to nature. As a result, physicists created their own imaginary world.

9. Jonathan Swift once ridiculed Ptolemy and Newton, telling through the mouth of his Gulliver about simpletons-laputans who, **forgetting about the real world, lived in their own worlds.**

10. Physicists still live in their own worlds today - they study phenomena and objects that they themselves invented (quarks, strings, relative time, physical fields, black holes, the big bang, etc.) or obtained artificially by crushing atoms on accelerators, while the **real nature remains unexplored.**

Overall Results of Physics

The modern physical picture of the world doesn't even resemble a patchwork quilt, but a bunch of incompatible patches.

Not explained (or explained incorrectly):

- absolute motion and absolute energy;

- mechanisms of gravity and repulsion;

- device and solid-state rotation of spiral galaxies;

- the source of thermal energy of the Sun, cycles of solar activity, differential rotation of the Sun, Jupiter, Saturn and the Earth;

- movement of the moon, Earth and other planets;

- tides, tidal and seasonal currents and winds, including monsoons;

- free fall of bodies and oscillations of pendulums, an increase in the energy of freely falling bodies and the source of this energy;

- the origin and structure of nucleons and their unification into three-dimensional systems - atoms, molecules and substances; chemical properties of atoms, molecules and substances;

- heat, light and other waves; polarization and other properties of waves;

- physical properties of atoms, molecules and substances, including hardness, elasticity, heat capacity, thermal conductivity, thermal expansion, aggregate states of matter, anisotropy and magnetism of crystals, attraction and repulsion of permanent magnets;
- essence of electric current, thermal and magnetic effects of current, resistance and superconductivity of conductors, electromagnetic induction;
- causes of radiation and decay of atoms;
- long-term preservation of atomic energy in atoms and its mechanical essence;
- etc., etc.

What is physics?

There is no sufficient reason to call physics a science of nature.

There is confusion in the methodology. The metaphysical method, which allows for the substitution of real phenomena with imaginary ones when solving problems, has been transferred from applied physics to fundamental physics, and philosophers call it "**a new dialectic created by physicists themselves**", and physicists themselves (see A.B. Migdal "Quantum Physics") are divided into Einstein dialectic, Bohr dialectic, etc.

The difference between these "dialectics" consists only in the fact that Einstein **invented** only mathematical models of imaginary phenomena of nature, and Bohr – mathematical and subject.

Physicists mixed up fundamental theories, which should reflect the structure of nature, and pseudoscientific theories, suitable, at best, only for solving applied problems, and all these theories began to be presented as the results of natural science. As a result, there is **confusion in the theories**.

Here are examples:

- Berkeley's physics course states that there is no absolute objective motion (relative to the ether?) in nature because it could not be detected, but theories include velocity of motion as an objective reality;
- energy is considered a measure of the motion of matter (relative to what?);
- relativistic effects are considered a consequence of motion with subluminal velocity (relative to what?);
- the law of inertia states that a body in equilibrium of all forces acting on it moves rectilinearly and uniformly (relative to what?), but in the solar system, where, according to theory, gravitational forces are balanced by centrifugal forces, planets inexplicably fly curvilinear and non-uniformly by inertia;
- potential energy of the same body is considered positive (when the body falls to the Earth) and negative (when the body orbits around the Earth), although energy as a scalar mathematical measure of motion cannot be negative; as a consequence, the total energy of a body flying past the Earth along a parabolic trajectory with the second cosmic speed is equal to zero, since its kinetic and potential energies are equal and opposite in sign;

- electromagnetic field waves are considered identical to microparticles moving in the void. (wave-particle dualism of electrons and photons);
- wave electrons inexplicably fly around the nuclei of atoms, jump from one orbit to another, emitting and absorbing photon waves, bind atoms into molecules and form an electric current in conductors;
- during the crushing of atoms (on accelerators), countless fragments were obtained, the classification of which caused confusion; nevertheless, physicists are trying to explain the structure of the universe using these fragments of bricks.

Refusing to develop subject models and calling mathematical models physical theories, "... **physicists plunged into the foggy atmosphere of matrices and wave mechanics, into mathematical operations. They drew the right conclusions, but at the same time did not understand the physical reality behind them.**" Max Planck.

The famous theoretical physicist and Nobel Prize winner Richard Feynman has been popularizing physical theories for many years; however, he did not escape doubts about their scientific value and honestly spoke about it in his "popular" lectures. Here are excerpts from the lectures: "**Gravity could not be connected with the laws of motion... It cannot be explained by any other phenomena... Quantum physics is a monstrous mess... No one understands why nature works this way... there are no good theories to explain the structure of nature.**"

Judging by the methodology and content of the theories, physics developed as the art of solving applied problems, that is, as applied mathematics (mathematical physics), and calling it the science of nature, physicists give wishful thinking.

Our civilization has reached a dead end in the knowledge of nature, and the main ideologists of physical science, Newton, Maxwell, Einstein and Bohr, are to blame for this, to a large extent.

- according to the theory, positive and negative microparticles should be attracted to each other and annihilate, but in atoms and substances electrons are not attracted to protons and do not annihilate;

Let's consider the question of the existence of science from a different point of view.

We have an Earth Control System, which includes several Control Complexes, stationary control systems and objects (UFOs). All this is interconnected not only with each other, but also with the Sun, Moon, and satellites of the planets. The purpose of the Management System is to create a biostructure that fully meets the requirements of the Program.

All conditions have been created to solve this problem, except one - no structure should interfere with the operation of the Control System. Therefore, the worldview is given in a distorted form, and first of all it concerns atomic structures and the principles of building the universe.

Instead, a partial instrumental base (mathematics) is given. The whole development of science is the development of mathematics and modeling without practical meaning. At the same time, there are no (and cannot be) solutions to NP-complex and NP-difficult problems in science, they missed the "golden section", without which it is impossible to approach the elementary atomic structure.

Further, technical information is provided, but only a few perceive it correctly and only under certain conditions create real products. This is available only to those who have a connection with the Management System.

Let's recall some of them.

1. **Leonardo da Vinci.** He was ahead of the development of the technical base by more than 400 years.
2. **Nikola Tesla.** A genius whose works anticipated the most fantastic thoughts of scientists.

Modern ones:

1. **Burlachenko Arnold Ivanovich.** Having 8 grades of education, he developed the theory of sound and created speakers that surpass any known samples in quality.
2. **Alexander Alexandrovich Deev.** Radio engineer. He received drawings and principles of using the devices created by him from the Control System. Created the theory of the D - field. Later, the "accomplices" renamed it "spinor" field, and when Akimov stole one of the devices, it was renamed "torsion" field. However, having no connection with the Control System, all that they tried to use without Deev is Krylov's fable "Monkey and glasses".
3. **Petrik Viktor Ivanovich.** The management system provided him with technical solutions to a number of pressing problems.

What is the peculiarity of this list?

None of them got into the atom, that is, they did not create new theories, and technical embodiments baffle all modern science.

So, we have:

- the top of the pyramid - mathematicians who create pseudo-structures;
- single geniuses, there are only a few of them and they all get only what can really be realized;
- creators, businessmen, and other scientific figures. Everything is collected here, from criticism to creating your own theories.

So, as soon as "dynamics" appeared, ethereodynamics, rhythmodynamics and so on grew, by analogy with the fact that as soon as "virtual memory" appeared in the computer, "virtual killers" appeared in movies.

What is the brake on the development of science? - **Worldview!** The point of view of science on the material (and non-material) world:

Solid -> liquid -> gas -> unorganized plasma.

Atomic structures are naturally searched for in a solid body, for which they create synchrophasatrons, colliders. The same thing will happen if a bomb is thrown into the orchestra pit during the performance of the symphony and after the dust settles, all the instruments and orchestra members are reassembled from the remaining grains of sand. It is this science that they offer to us in the XXI century!

Consider a different point of view.

Strictly organized plasma -> material structures (solid, liquid, gaseous).

Atomic structures should be considered only in the upper octaves of the plasma.

The control system today has a k-structure, all lattices are built on cubes (in organic chemistry, a cube is transformed into a hexagon).

Octaves	
128	Clock interval. Set by the Sun, taken as the speed of light
78 – 126	Control systems, storage of humanoids and "lamb"
74 – 76	Control systems for all insects
72	The brain of the "golden million", genotype 461
68 – 70	The brain of the "golden billion", genotypes 441, 442
66	The brain of the rest of the Earth's population, genotypes 421, 422, 423
64	Upper octave of material structures
62	Management of material structures during transmutation
40 – 60	Energy Corridor (Tesla worked with 60 octave)
34 – 38	Corridors of "0-transitions" after death
30 – 32	Complex mixtures (such as oil)
24 – 28	Water
16 – 22	Gas

Modern science can work (and create technical devices) no higher than 64 octaves, there are no devices that can be created above the 64th octave.

Anything above 64 octaves is a non-inertial mass, meaning there are no gravitational frequencies.

The basic atomic structure is 128 octaves, and if it is "plucked", we will reach 64 octaves. Until 2012, the Control System sequentially switched first to 224th octave, then to 512th. But even with a clock interval of 224 octaves, the speed of light will be billions of times greater. What will change in this case, see the section "how the axis of the Earth affects the bank account".

Science, like a straw, clings to the theory of the Big Bang, quarks, metallic hydrogen (it cannot be, because there is still a non-inertial mass of tritium), Darwin's theory (or an alternative – divine).

The direct proof of the absence of science is the presence of the church.

How many church miracles has science explained? Start with the holy fire at Easter.

Afterword.

- Space exists without the Big Bang;
- Earth, planets are artificial structures that were created more than 16 billion years ago;
- man is a supreme creation, created tens of billions of years ago;
- ape - humanoid human;
- the whole animal world, for instance, insects are created to control the frequencies that humans have;
- the radioisotope method cannot serve as proof of antiquity;
- The moon, the Sun, the satellites of the planets are alien structures.

Ufology is not a science, but the collection of statistical material (and, without meaning). The control system has removed some frequencies from the satellite communication tubes and you can forget about astrology and ufology as a way to get information.

Therefore - I want to emphasize - today's astrologers, ufologists, psychics, fortune tellers and other people who "wave their hands", pretending that they are "correcting" something or "healing" someone - this is just an automaton of their past "skills" and former performance. Today they are actors from yesterday's theaters and nothing more. The concept of the above, as well as about the physics of the Human Brain, the physics of the Human Cell and the physics of energies, is inaccessible to them and beyond their control.

=====