

## 6. Meeting – April 2, 2011

Opening remarks by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



Good afternoon to everyone who came today. Once again, I want to start by saying that today we are having a meeting with readers, not a lecture. A meeting with readers means answering questions that were sent in advance by email by those who couldn't attend, or questions from the audience here. So, there is no set agenda, no strict structure for these meetings. I try to answer as many questions as possible within the three hours we have.

As usual, I'll start with an update on how things are going. Despite the machinations of our enemies, the number of book downloads is not decreasing, but actually increasing. Strangely enough, the opposite is happening for them. More and more people are being drawn to the information in the books written by me and my wife.

At this moment, there have already been **2,288,472** books downloaded, and the trend continues in a positive direction without any decrease. This shows that not only a small circle of people who have already read the books are interested, but more and more new people are downloading them, and the number of readers keeps growing. I see this as a good sign. It means that what we are doing is not in vain. And even the fact that you came here today to this meeting also shows that it is not in vain — it is for the sake of raising up our country, and so on.

The funniest thing you might hear is that some well-wishers are writing that the movement we have created is a cult. I was and remain an atheist, and a cult, by definition, relates to religion. And how can there be an atheist cult? I've never heard of such a thing. Madness really is growing stronger everywhere. But it turns out that loving your country, your Motherland — no matter what state it is currently in, it remains our Motherland — is now being called cult-like behavior. The desire to restore the truth about the great past of our country and the peoples living in it is now considered a crime,

considered cultism. This was clearly seen in the trial that was organized over the book. And it is clearly visible in the reactions of these so-called well-wishers, who supposedly fight for the truth.

So today, I also brought along a few points that relate to the so-called truth. Sometimes it's laughable that most of the people who act as critics and sometimes throw mud at me have never even read the books — nothing at all. But at the same time, after reading something like the famous *Slovensk*, they immediately believe everything written there without question, even though it is complete fabrication and lies — but that doesn't seem to bother them.

I received an amusing letter and decided to read it out loud. I won't mention the person's name, because that's not important. What matters is what he wrote. He wrote the following: "*What is your connection to the Templars? How did you acquire property in France?*" Well, how did you acquire your own property, your apartment? Silently? Was it given to you as a gift? Who was given an apartment for free? You know, no one has ever given me anything in my life — quite the opposite, people have only taken from me. How did I acquire it? Very simply: I paid for it and bought it.

But why this interests people so much is what surprises me. How did I get my own apartment? What are they implying — that the world government gifted it to me, or the Rothschilds? What surprises me is that I bought it with money that I earned myself. I didn't steal from anyone; I didn't leave Russia with pockets stuffed with billions in stolen money. People should be asking those who steal billions and buy up real estate!

But strangely enough, on this website, no one has asked a single such question to any of the tens of thousands of crooks who have robbed Russia and continue to rob it and buy up property. The money I earned in the U.S. has nothing to do with Russia. So, if I robbed anyone, it would be the Americans — but not really, because I was simply paid for my work. With that money, I bought property. And it turns out this is considered a crime, and supposedly there's some global conspiracy behind it. Let me keep reading. "*What is your connection to the Templars?*" None at all. If the person had even read my books, they would know that not in a single book do I write about the Templars.

And in her book "*Revelation*," Svetlana raised the question of what actually happened to the real Templars, who were completely destroyed in the 13th century. Any Templars that exist today have about as much to do with the original Templars as I have to do with ballet. People simply don't understand that the Templars, the Illuminati — they're just different secret societies, completely unrelated to each other, that existed in entirely different eras.

This person doesn't even understand such basic things. "*As for me, your membership in the Order of the Templars is confirmed! But I still haven't figured out your vector of purpose.*" He doesn't understand my direction or goal, but he is absolutely convinced about the Templars, even though he hasn't read a single book, knows nothing.

"*For now, I don't consider your activity destructive or harmful, even if your goals are personal.*" Oh, sure — constant problems raining down on me, that's my grand personal goal. To go against everyone and get hit over the head at every step along the way. Are those very big personal ambitions? My goal is simple: to restore truth and justice about our country, about the planet, about what has happened and why. What's happening now is madness — that's what I believed and still believe. And if we don't wake

up, this madness will enter its final stage, meaning the planet will disappear, and that's it. So, there is no choice here. Either we free ourselves from the parasites, or the parasites will consume the entire planet.

Then he writes that he has read everything, just so I wouldn't say he missed something. If he hears about any teachings, he will read what I want him to read — and even what I *don't* want him to read — just to have the complete picture. *"Even if you want to join with evil, even if you are from the dark side, I would like to get a sincere confession from you that yes, you are a Templar."* You're not indifferent to the topic of the Templars, and that's quite natural. *"Why don't I take it for granted? Because you might wish to do good and end up doing evil, or wish to do evil and end up doing good."* Well, I don't agree with that. If he thinks so — that's his right. *"I think you won't answer, but hope dies last."* Well, I did answer, but apparently, he didn't like my answer. *"I would like to hear your reply at your next meeting with readers. Just spare us the comedy and such, as you usually like to do."* So, it turns out I'm doing comedy now? *"That only shows your ignorance."* Of course, I'm completely ignorant. *"I want a clear and honest answer and a wish for good."*

When you read letters like this, you wonder: what is the person's real desire? Just to blurt something out? Clearly, this person hasn't read a thing. *Slovensk*, which loves me very much (it's a website, go read it — you'll get an interesting impression, gain some experience), the nonsense they write there is astonishing... Svetlana, shortly before her death — her murder — actually read that site. She said, *"Listen, maybe we should post the real information about that very castle, with photos and so on."* These idiots even went so far as to take a ceiling, which is made in a honeycomb pattern, and draw six-pointed stars on it, claiming that these were the signs there. I'll have to disappoint them.

The six-pointed stars were stolen by the Jews from our own ancestors — this symbol has existed for several hundred thousand years. Now, here's the question: if the Jews themselves say — and there's a book, it's by Jewish authors, read it — they write that Judaism, as a people, appeared about four and a half thousand years ago. If this symbol is several hundred thousand years old, and the Jews have only been around for four and a half thousand years, then whose symbol, is it? The fact that they stole the symbols and gave them new meanings does not mean the symbols belong to them.

Take the trefoil, for example — they assigned it to the Masons and other groups. Yet this sign has existed for tens of thousands, if not hundreds of thousands of years, as a symbol. Think about it: why is the trefoil a symbol? There is a concept — *Yav, Nav, Prav* — are you familiar with it? *Yav* is the world of the living, the physical world; *Nav* is the world of the dead; *Prav* is the world of the gods. The two side petals represent *Yav* and *Nav*, and the upper one represents *Prav*. So, it becomes a symbol that reflects the essence of Vedism, the Vedic worldview, which encompasses the harmony of the inner and outer worlds.

Naturally, our ancestors knew perfectly well where they came from, who they were, and what they were. But, of course, the educated and well-read writer who sent that message knows nothing about this. The main thing is, knowing nothing, they claim that *Slovensk* introduced stained glass windows and so on — in reality, there are just a few stained glass windows in our castle. Others, Svetlana took from different churches to write about the Cathars when they were being destroyed.

But they lumped it all together, understanding nothing — or rather, they actually understand perfectly well, they're just looking for gullible people to feed this information to, taking photos and presenting them as truth. I even specifically took another real photo from the castle and brought it here today. The photograph isn't very large — it shows the ceiling in one of the turrets. Do you see this symbol? Is this

also a Jewish symbol? No, it's the Swastika of Svetovid. And on the ceilings of the towers, on every floor, there are such symbols. But for some reason, they didn't know about this — or rather, they didn't want you to know about it.

If anyone has read Svetlana's book, she described very well how official science tells complete lies about these people. The real Templars, the original ones, were defending — the nine knights, their task and goal was to protect the children of Radomir and Magdalene. That was their purpose! Nothing else. And when the Albigensian Crusade took place, the Templars fought against the Crusaders. There were very few of them, and they died along with many Albigensians and Cathars, defending them from the knight-dogs, the Crusaders, whom the Albigensians, the Cathars, the so-called children of light, children of the sun, as they called themselves, called the servants of the devil.

Very soon, part of the book that Svetlana was preparing about the Templars will be published. In this book, she uncovered a lot of information, including the *Chinon Parchment*, where, a few years before the crackdown on the order — when arrests had already been made, but before the executions, before the Grand Master and his close circle were killed — the Pope simply dropped all charges against the order, every single one.

But for some reason, this Chinon Parchment got buried and lay unnoticed for seven hundred years. It's quite simple, really — the Pope was a crafty fellow. If those trying to destroy the Templars had been defeated, he would have pulled out that parchment and said, "*See? All charges against you have been lifted.*"

And what was the main reason the Templar order was destroyed? It was because they — the real Templars, and yes, now I'm saying *Templars*, even though I didn't say it before, but now I have to — they fully understood the nature of the parasitic system. They knew that this parasitic system was trying to accumulate wealth in order to carry out what we are now witnessing today. What we see now is total financial enslavement. Everyone who is not with them is considered an enemy and is left in poverty.

The Templars understood this very well, and to prevent it, they were creating an alternative financial system — and they achieved a lot. They became very wealthy. In fact, they were the ones who originally invented banks. But after they were destroyed, the banks were, as usual, taken over by the parasites and repurposed entirely, turned into a tool of the parasites, with completely different goals and functions.

But if the Templars had not been destroyed, what we are experiencing now would hardly have been possible. They would not have allowed the financial mafia to seize global dominance across the world. Does anyone have any doubts that the financial mafia has taken over the world? Strange, not a single person has any doubts. And I think it's not just those sitting here in this hall. With deceit, treachery, lies, they bribed, deceived, or blackmailed those in power and, through them, eliminated anyone who stood in their way. They did it then, and they continue doing it now. We have seen evidence of this over the past centuries, and it continues to this day.

Take what's happening in Libya. Now, I'm not saying that I like Gaddafi — honestly, I'm not exactly thrilled about him. But for his country, he did far more than our government has done for our people. Yet he was immediately declared an enemy, and everyone attacked him. And the worst part — once

again, no one cared about the UN, just brushed it aside. Everyone jumped in, wrecked the place, bombed it. And suddenly, he became the villain.

I remember just a few months ago, when I caught a glimpse of the news — Gaddafi came to Moscow (I have the news running in the background). It was just recently, just a few months back. He was welcomed here, our president greeted him with a beaming smile, almost like Brezhnev, who used to kiss guests on both cheeks and lips — though thankfully, it didn't go that far. And then, half a year later, they signed papers, agreements. In a formal setting, the documents were presented — I saw it with my own eyes. Or was that also a fabrication? Did I imagine that too?

And then, just a month and a half later, everything turned upside down — suddenly, he became a scoundrel, a villain. When they were signing those agreements, what — did they not know that he was a scoundrel and a villain? Draw your own conclusions as to why the opinion changed so drastically in just a month and a half. I've drawn my conclusion, and I hope you've drawn yours too. So, you look at this whole show and wonder: do they really think everyone is an idiot? Everyone sitting here in this hall, everyone living in the country? Although, to be fair, they are doing their best to make it that way.

What's happening with education? It really looks like they want to turn our country into a nation of complete idiots. The education that is now being forced onto children is, in fact, the absence of education altogether. In America, by the way, it's the same story — they are already moving away from the very education system that is now being imposed on us. Because over there, they've already realized that the school education their citizens are receiving is just primitive — they don't even know that two times two equals four.

I was also told recently, while someone was looking for some information, that an interesting situation has come up. People are searching online for old Soviet-era textbooks, the ones used to teach physics, chemistry, and other sciences. Maybe not everything in them was perfect, but at least it wasn't the nonsense that's in the textbooks today. And people are asking anyone who has these old books to scan them and upload them online, so they can teach their children using those materials. More and more people are starting to understand what's being done. They're turning the next generation into idiots. After going through such schooling, what understanding will they have of the history of our country? They don't know where other countries are, what's in other countries, in states, on continents. They don't even know their own country — where things are in Russia, which regions are where, and so on.

They are turning the future generation into complete fools. And to keep parents from protesting, there's no visible effect yet. But I hope there will be a reaction, because the future truly lies with the children — not with us, who sooner or later will free this world, but with the children. And when they are turning children into complete idiots, when they create an education system that only teaches them to draw a stick figure, check a box, and sign their name — and to count to ten, and no more — that is the system being imposed on us.

Tell me, should we just sit back and watch all this happen, like sheep being driven to the slaughter? Calmly, indifferently? This concerns the future of our country, the future of our children and grandchildren living in this land. As for education, I can say, from my point of view, these are the actions of outright enemies. I can call these actions nothing other than treason and hostile acts — and not just acts, but deliberate attacks. Therefore, people should have an appropriate reaction. A lot of information is being thrown at people, and much of it is actually accurate, but it's presented in a very cunning way.

This information creates a sense of doom, the feeling that no matter what you do, nothing will change. And many people, unfortunately, having read some of this truthful information, come to the conclusion: *"That's it, we're finished. Why do anything if we won't be able to change anything anyway?"*

Think about why this is happening. It's being done specifically to create this sense of despair and passivity so that people do nothing. If people do nothing, then nothing will change. It's a simple situation: people are dooming themselves, they're agreeing themselves to have their throats metaphorically tied up — and if anyone tries to move, they get hit over the head. But what if *everyone* moves? Will they hit *everyone* over the head? That's the point — people are afraid to fight for justice, fearing that someone might suffer.

Tell me, who hasn't suffered from everything that's happening? Turns out, *everyone* has suffered. And it's only going to get worse and worse. If everyone just sits and thinks, *"What if I speak out and lose my job?"* — well, aren't people losing their jobs already? They are, and not just a few. Aren't prices going up many times over already? They are.

Our country should be the richest in the world, considering the wealth we have here. Someone once told me that based on known diamond reserves alone, Russia could buy the whole world and still have 30% left over. *Just from diamonds!* And yet, are we all living luxuriously? Are all of you sitting here, pardon me, on golden toilets, just hiding it from each other so no one sees? And does everyone have, under their house, a secret underground palace made of marble? While above ground stands a rickety shack made of old boards? Does everyone have that, and we're just pretending?

For some reason, those who actually control the wealth of the country, they don't hide their riches underground — it's right out in the open. They're not disguising their palaces with shacks. You see what's happening? It's exactly our passivity, yours and mine, that ensures the success of these parasites. Because everyone is afraid of losing something. Remember what Lenin said? *"We have nothing to lose but our chains."* Well, the overwhelming majority of our country's population has already reached the point where they have nothing left to lose but their chains. There's still a small percentage who still have something, but even that is shrinking.

My question is: what next? Just sit and wait for the tide to change? Have we gotten much from waiting? Have many changes happened on their own? There's a saying: *"You can't catch a fish from the pond without effort."* And this is not just about a pond or a fish — this is much more serious. People sometimes suggest, like Bozina did, for example: take action and demand that every person receive a share of the state budget, deposited directly into their personal account. Suppose this happens. Tell me, what will the population turn into? Into parasites. People would receive money without doing anything, without working. And for that money to exist, someone has to work, someone has to pump the oil, extract the gas. Right? And if someone receives ten times or even a hundred times their regular salary, do you think they'll continue working at that same oil rig? No. Even if you hand out that money, what would change? Nothing. It would only turn into parasitism. Because the goal isn't to turn a person into a parasite — the goal is to create proper human conditions, so that a person receives a decent wage for their labor. So that they can live with dignity, and their children and they themselves can truly be human in the full sense of the word. *That* is what needs to be done.

Any kind of free handout — excuse the expression — leads to corruption of a person. But these resources can and should be used to build a strong country, to create conditions for people to live with

dignity in this country. *That* is what can and must be done. That's why I consider activities like Bozina's to be harmful, because they create unhealthy excitement, an unhealthy atmosphere.

Tell me, how many people would work simply because their soul desires it? Most people don't work at the jobs they love, but at the jobs that life forces them into. Because the things they would really like to do don't pay enough to support a family, or there are no opportunities, and so on. I'm not against helping people — but help needs to be given differently. We need to create opportunities so that a person can truly realize their full potential. Not just hand out money that will quickly be spent. And what happens when the money runs out?

You know, in India there is a caste of untouchables. Most of them live in poverty, they don't do any productive work, and they survive by begging. I haven't been there myself, but those who have say that if you accidentally end up in their neighborhood and don't give them money, they will stone you to death. They kill people. They demand to be given money. And now there are already 300 million of them out of a billion people. But these 300 million still need to be fed — everyone needs to eat. When a mentality forms that someone must come and give them something, and if they don't, it means they must take it by force — where does that lead? It's obvious. That is the future Bozina is trying to push onto Russia. And I don't believe that is the right future.

In this regard, there is a lot of work to be done. It's not exactly the topic of my books, but nevertheless, it is part of my mission — what my wife and I have done and continue to do. To give people an understanding of what is happening, to reveal the truth about the past of our country and the peoples who live in it. And this especially concerns the Russian people, because in our country, the Rus — who are still the most numerous people, over 70 percent of the total population — are the most deprived of rights. We have nothing, and nothing is given to us. If you dare say something with pride about our great history, you are immediately accused of extremism, of chauvinism. But everyone else is allowed to say whatever they like — no one stops them.

You see, we are the rightful owners of this country, but many of the native peoples here are not treated as its masters. Anyone but us. Just because someone speaks Russian doesn't mean they are of the Rus, and it doesn't mean they represent the interests of the country. I myself learned to speak English quite well — does that make me English? No. Because I was and remain Russian, no matter where I have lived or where I live now. It's not about where you live, it's about what you carry inside yourself. So, if someone speaks Russian, that does not mean they represent the interests of the indigenous peoples of Russia, including the Russian people. So far, I haven't seen a single sign that reflects real concern for or real interest in the Russian people — or for the other native peoples of this land, for that matter.

I have friends who live in the North Caucasus, representatives of the Caucasian peoples, and terrible things are happening there too. Conditions are being deliberately created to pit people against each other, even though many of these peoples want to stand together with the Russians. But they are intentionally creating situations that provoke them to turn against us. Who benefits from this? Clearly, not our friends and not those who care about the country. Someone even sent me a letter — perhaps they are here today — saying that all of us who care about the country need to unite. I agree. But we can only unite on a shared foundation.

My good friend, Yuri Vasilievich Sergeev, a writer who authored "*The Princely Island*" and other books, once told me how he gathered a group of people at his home and showed them material about Russia. And everyone was moved to tears — it was a deeply emotional moment. As soon as the presentation

ended and they had all wiped away their tears of emotion, they immediately started tearing each other's throats out. Why? Because one of them was a Vedist, another a Christian, the third a Communist, and the fourth someone else entirely. And just like that, they forgot about Russia, forgot about everything, and started attacking one another. Why? Because they had different positions. With such a group, can you accomplish anything? No, it's impossible. Right now, many are pounding their chests, declaring, "*We are Christians! We are Orthodox!*" And I've already spoken about this.

When was the concept of *Orthodoxy* introduced? In 1943, during the war. Orthodox — or rather, the Russian Orthodox Christian Church — is called Orthodox. But everyone pounds their chest, saying, "*We are Orthodox!*" People, what does Orthodoxy have to do with Christianity? Absolutely nothing. Because Orthodoxy, in its original form — which, for some reason, is now called paganism or neo-paganism — has nothing to do with religion at all. True Vedism is an atheistic understanding. There is no belief in gods there; it was simply cleverly replaced.

Our ancestors called "*Gods*" those people who had reached a level where they could influence space and matter — those who had risen to the level of the Creator. They were called gods, but that doesn't mean they were gods in the sense we understand today. This is how it's cleverly done: they take a word, give it a different meaning, and use it to deceive people.

By the way, speaking of the *Radiant Falcon*, there is some interesting information about the Radiant Falcon that is becoming more and more confirmed. One of the readers sent me a letter about the Radiant Falcon, saying that I had calculated the location of the launch site from which Nastenka flew away — it was in the area of Ufa. According to recent archaeological excavations, it has been established that there was very active trade in the Ufa region; gold jewelry and various craft items have been found. Archaeologists are asking a very logical question: why was the territory of present-day Ufa a center of trade in ancient times? Right at the confluence of the Ufa and Belaya rivers — which are marked on medieval Arab and Spanish maps — at the site of the ancient settlement *Ufa-1*, many household and jewelry items have been found, including ones of Byzantine origin. The fact that a large ancient city once existed on the site of modern-day Ufa has made archaeologists reconsider the economic geography of the early Middle Ages, according to Igor Kyzlasov. Ufa lies away from the Dnieper and Volga trade routes, and moreover, there are no cities from the 5th century along the Dnieper or the Volga. So, the question arises: what was it that attracted people to this place?

What were the economic reasons for the emergence of a city on the territory of present-day Ufa? It is no less important to find out what form of statehood was present among the people who lived in these places. There is a reference to an article. It turns out that there was a major trade center right at that location, and exactly in the century described in the legend. A mere coincidence? More and more facts are confirming what I wrote about in the book.

Unfortunately, many people get furious because I take the material, lay it out, analyze it, and draw conclusions — and they, reading the same material, did not come to those conclusions themselves. And as a result, I become their enemy. If they don't know and don't understand many things, is that my fault too? From my point of view, if someone has done something positive, like archaeologists discovering something, we should be happy about it, not angry. As for unification — of course, we need to unite, but unity is only possible on a common foundation. Another message came to my website, asking: "*But with whom should we unite?*"

Have you heard the name *Trekhlebov*? I already spoke about him a couple of years ago. But apparently, he thought that enough time had passed, and now he could continue doing what he does. I have never touched him, nor anyone else for that matter. I simply do my work, I share the knowledge I have with people — without passing judgment on anyone, although I could.

Last time, someone sent me a link where he claimed that I am a Rothschild officer and a secret agent of the Vatican. I also heard another rather interesting thing — he was asked about buckwheat. I don't like retelling someone else's words, but when a person says something themselves, well, I hope we can trust what they personally say. If this had been secondhand information, I might have doubted it. But when *Trekhlebov himself* declares that if you roast buckwheat before cooking it into porridge, you increase its *yang* energy. And *yang*, according to him, is responsible for spirituality.

So, the conclusion is simple: *How to increase your spirituality? Eat roasted buckwheat, and your spirituality will soar to the heavens.* After that statement — which he made personally, and it's recorded on video — I don't need to read any more of his books. Because he has created a mishmash of Vedism, Hinduism, and Buddhism. He spun together some absolute nonsense about divine manifestation, saying that anyone can achieve it — just make sure to chew some buckwheat porridge every day, but don't forget to roast it first! Apparently, the problem is solved just like that.

And once again, not long ago, someone sent me a message saying that *Trekhlebov*, at the 2-minute 31-second mark, again said about me that I am, it turns out, a provocateur, and that "*Moska barks, but the caravan moves on.*" Maybe I am *Moska* — but the question is: whose caravan is moving, and where is it going? His caravan?

Someone else asked him about *Shemshuk*, but I haven't read his statements myself, so I won't comment — because I need to read and study things properly first. But here's the question: how can you rely on a person who is "messing with people's heads?" And what's more, as I've also been told, he has recently become especially afraid.

When I was in France, he decided that since I was away, he could say something at his presentation. But at the same time, he told his followers: "*Once, I attended Levashov's event*" (apparently he did, though I'm not even sure), "*and I sent him a mental challenge to a duel, but he didn't respond.*" If he was sitting in the audience, why send a mental message? Stand up and say it! So brave, right? Hiding behind everyone in the audience, he "sent" a thought. And when I didn't respond, he was so happy, so excited that I hadn't responded to his mental challenge.

The question is, does he even know what a telepathic signal is? Does he even know how to send it? If his so-called telepathic signal doesn't stand out from the general mental noise of everyone in the room — because every person here has their own thoughts, whether they realize it or not — their thoughts don't spread through the surrounding space the way he imagines. I don't read or listen to other people's thoughts, because I consider it unethical. Only in critical situations. If he had even a little knowledge about me, he'd know that I've said this before: when I receive information about a threat directed at me, or about some danger, *then* I pay attention and block it. Usually, such a person immediately falls asleep and sleeps the entire time. This has happened more than once — regardless of whether I was physically present, or conducting something over the internet, or when provocateurs came to live events, without exception, they all ended up falling asleep. And then they would demand to know why they had fallen asleep, even though they hadn't carried out their provocation.

You can fight with someone, sure — but I fight with enemies. If he says he is an enemy, then I accept his challenge, as long as he at least states it openly, even verbally. Then there will be a real battle, and I don't play nice with an enemy. So please, make it official: declare that I am your enemy, that I am against everything and everyone, against the Motherland, against the truth about our history, and so on — the very things I always try to convey in my books that people are reading. People read a lot, and they take exactly this from my books, not some senseless nonsense.

I get so many letters from young people thanking me, saying that they have truly found meaning and purpose in life, that they are proud to live in this country and to be part of its great history. And there are hundreds of such letters. So, if he considers that bad, if he thinks that I am causing harm, fine — then in his eyes, I am his enemy. I am ready to accept that challenge, but I am not sure he would win. For now, he is a despicable coward and behaves in a disgraceful way. Surrounded by his own supporters, he can bark about how tough he is, how he supposedly sent me a mental challenge to a duel, and how Levashov, such a coward, didn't respond. Well, here I am, not mentally but verbally saying this: if you believe that the truth about the great past of our country and its peoples is evil, then you are my enemy — and I am ready to accept your challenge, awaiting your confirmation.

Now, about uniting: by all means, let's unite — but with whom? Should we unite with Trekhlebov? Can you unite with such a person? My task is not to throw mud at someone. I offer answers based on my own understanding, I explain the things I've managed to grasp myself, because for many people it's difficult to figure out these things on their own — they need to have certain additional abilities, which for one reason or another they may not have or are not yet developed. But does that mean these people are doomed to remain ignorant? No.

Because if I have managed to understand something and it is well supported by real facts, then I believe it is my duty to share it with others. And judging by the number of people who read my books and then say that everything has finally fallen into place for them, that they now understand what is happening in the world, in the country, and within themselves — I believe that matters, because before, they had no such understanding.

Many people write to me, not just young ones, but also people who have spent thirty years searching for their personal Jerusalem, going through all sorts of teachings — Eastern and others — and in the end, were left with, pardon me, a hand gesture with three fingers, instead of actual enlightenment. Like the yogis say: *"Sit and wait for enlightenment to come to you."* So yes, we can unite, but only with those who share the same foundation.

This doesn't mean that everyone has to be in perfect agreement. Every person can have their own opinion, but that opinion should be well-founded. I always say: if a person has their own opinion, that's great — but they must be able to justify it. And if that justification proves to be weak or flawed, then the person should not stubbornly dig in their heels, thinking, *"This is my opinion, and I don't care about anything else."* Instead, for their own good, they should shift to the correct position — they should change their stance. *That* is normal.

What is not normal, in my view, is when a person is shown, *"Look, here's how it is,"* and it's proven to them, yet they still cling to what feels familiar and dear to them, like a shirt pressed to their skin, just because it's their own position — and they will hold onto it no matter what, as if nothing else matters to them. *That*, I consider to be wrong.

So yes, let's unite — those who genuinely care about the country, about the future of our country, who want to revive a great nation in which all the indigenous peoples — I emphasize again, the indigenous peoples — lived in friendship and harmony. And they lived that way for hundreds and thousands of years. *This* is what we need to achieve. And it is within our power because if we don't, soon there will be no one left.

If what's happening now continues, our country will turn into a desert — it's already heading in that direction. And then what? Should we let the Japanese settle our country? Or the Chinese? For those who don't know, let me enlighten you: the main reason behind the conflict between Stalin and Mao Zedong was this — Mao Zedong once proposed that China join the USSR as the sixteenth republic. Stalin refused him. Mao took great offense at Stalin for that.

For all the faults Stalin had — which we won't go into now — he was still a statesman and no fool. He perfectly understood that as soon as China became another republic of the Soviet Union, hundreds of thousands, even hundreds of millions of Chinese would spread across the Soviet Union, and in time, the Soviet Union would simply turn into China. Does anyone have any doubts about that? So, Stalin was no fool. Therefore, our task is to ensure understanding — so that when we speak the words of our native language, we understand the meaning behind those words. Because most of the time, we speak like parrots, without understanding what lies behind the words we say.

And when we begin to understand, when we start to understand who and what stands behind every word, *that* is when we will gain power. Svetlana once said: "Why is it always said *"Russian language"* and *"Russian people,"* but for example, the French — it's *"French language"* and *"French people,"* and so on?" I thought about it and realized: indeed, why? Because we are *Rus* (Rus people), and our language is *Russian*.

And when people tried to pronounce the words "*I am Rus!*" — those whose genetics correspond to it — something happened. For some, other words may resonate differently, but for these people, illnesses would subside, their whole body would tremble; some even said it felt like the skin was being torn from their backs — men, by the way, said this. When they tuned themselves properly and spoke these words, it was as if something had been violently ripped away from them. It turns out that a single properly spoken word is enough for a person to feel and perceive themselves completely differently. Now imagine if there were many such words!

I wanted to say the following about how I lay out the very same materials... those who published them — great thanks to them — but if they are not able to understand the meaning of what they published, it is their misfortune, not an advantage. I am deeply grateful that they translated these texts into modern Russian, so that the majority of people can read them. What I don't like is that they are turning the Vedic worldview into a religion, where people are forced to mindlessly repeat certain chants, certain *slavitsas* to this or that god, or to our ancestors. Yet they don't even understand who composed these *slavitsas* and for what purpose.

These *slavitsas* were necessary during the Night of Svarog because they were addressed to someone specific. When you mentally focus and direct your appeal to someone, what does this mean? What happens? Naturally, not everyone is able to do this — it depends on the strength of your intent. But if many people appeal together, then it will reach the target.

What happens then? You mentally connect to the one you are addressing — you receive a certain protection from the one you are appealing to. Of course, explaining all this back in those times, when people had been thrown back to a stone age level, was difficult. It was simpler to say: get up in the morning, recite the *slavitsa*, and everything will be fine. And indeed, it worked. However, those to whom these appeals were directed have long since taken other names, because names are not permanent — they are sacred names. When someone evolves, reaches the next level, their name changes. And that name corresponds to the level of development the being has reached — in this case, a person.

So, when people address those who lived 30, 40, 50, or 100 thousand years ago, they are essentially speaking into a void — there is no benefit from such an appeal, it amounts to zero. When those practices were created, they had a purpose and meaning, but now they are useless. And once again, they were not gods, they were simply those who had reached the level of creation. This is clearly stated in the Vedas. I've already said this, I've shown it before — after I started sharing my materials, both my wife and I were given a sign, one of the signs. Let me show it to you again. This is *Svetodar*. It is the sign of *Daaria*, for those who know. Here's a photograph of it. This sign cannot be bought or traded, because it is given only to those considered worthy. And it's certainly not handed out at a flea market. It was given by the true Keepers. For me, the sign itself is not necessary — it's for showing to those who shout: "*By what right does he speak?*" So, I say: I have more right than many others, because this sign gives me that right, officially. If you look at the *Svetodar* sign, you will see certain small dots on it.

Here is Svetlana's sign. Also, *Svetodar*, but a different one. They are different because we had different approaches. She perceived things differently than I did, so the signs are not the same. This is the female sign, you see? And this one is the male sign.

If any of these pseudo-Vedists shout, "*On what grounds does Levashov have the right to say anything?*" — I can say: I speak because I have the right! Because I have the knowledge and the right, which was not self-appointed. I did not assign this to myself. I simply try to help those who need it, those who want to wake up and understand, because my conviction is that the only way we can defeat the parasites is through ideology. The ideology that I explain in my books. Why did they get so furious? Because they understand very well: when young people write to me saying that they've found meaning in life, that they are proud of who they are, that they are proud to have been born in this country — then it becomes very hard to make these young people go in the wrong direction. Because they have gained inner strength, and that core is very important. Without it, people are like amoebas — you can mold them into anything. And that's exactly what the parasites are doing.

Look at what's happening to the younger generation now. Apart from a can of beer and sex, they have nothing. No money, as they say, no future. And the question is: if everyone who wants to get rich does get rich, how much will there really be for each of them? Not much. So, when people think, "*I'll get a lot of money, I'll get this and that,*" it turns out that this is just a carrot being dangled in front of their noses to lead them around and fool them. But if we truly understand that this is a war of ideologies, that our culture, our language, our past are being destroyed — because without the past, there is no future — a nation and a people cannot exist without their past.

Right now, they're taking away even the remnants of what we still had left, what the communists didn't manage to take away when they were in power. They're trying to take even that. The history that's being taught in schools today is complete absurdity and nonsense. That's why it is our task — if we want to survive as a nation, as a people, if we want our country to remain and become the country it is meant

to be. In fact, it has the potential to rise from the ashes, like the phoenix. No matter how much has been destroyed in our country — and it is immeasurable — we have not yet been completely wiped out. And if we truly fight for justice, it doesn't mean we need to go out with machine guns and rifles. That is not the solution.

Because without ideology, without understanding, even if one group with guns comes and seizes power, what will happen? They'll turn into the same mafia. This has happened many times before. After the communist regime, what did those who seized power turn into? The so-called democrats who shouted about freedom — what did they become? Do I even need to say it? It's obvious. And it will always be this way until there is a worldview that provides inner strength, where honor and conscience are not just empty words, as they are now. Nowadays, if you are honest, you're considered a fool. If you have a conscience, you're called an idiot. *"You're not a scoundrel? Then you're a complete fool."* *"If you're not cheating people, you're a total moron, right?"*

Are these the values you want for your children and grandchildren? I don't think so. So, to prevent this, we need a worldview that will allow people to proudly say that they are citizens of this country, that they are proud of their past, that they have meaning and purpose in life. When that happens — that is when we will win.

There is a good parable. A man is told: *"Here is a little box with a button on it. If you press the button, you will receive one million dollars. If you don't press it, you get nothing. You have one day to think about it."* A movie was even made about this — I saw it a long time ago, a very well-done film. They show a family: they need to buy a house, and with one million dollars they could buy the house, get a car, and so on. They start thinking about what's inside the box. *"What if there's nothing?"* They open it, and there's just a button. No wires. They think, *"Well, if we press the button, nothing will happen, but at least we'll get the million."*

The meaning was this: when they pressed the button, they unknowingly killed their own unborn child. And that woman would never have her child — ever. Not bad, huh? Is that a good lesson? The point is, when a person has no inner backbone, when they don't understand many things, their attitude becomes: *"So what if someone dies? What matters is that I'll be living the good life."* And so, they press the button.

You see, when a person has that kind of worldview, I consider it horrifying. Because no amount of money can justify such a crime. Even if it would cost them their own life, or the life of their family, one must never gain anything at the expense of others. Some may think, *"Well, my children are closer to me than everyone else combined, right?"* But that's only at first glance. They don't understand that such an action will ultimately come back to affect their own life, their own children, their descendants, and their descendants' children, if any are born at all.

That's why the most important and most serious thing is the formation of a worldview. And this worldview must be based on *knowledge*. There should be no religions — as they are now trying to turn Vedism into a religion. All the enemies are trying to turn Vedism into a new religion, so that one foolishness is simply replaced with another.

Vedism, from the very beginning, was always atheistic — not in the vulgar sense we think of today. Our ancestors clearly understood that there are many worlds inhabited by other civilizations, similar to us.

For them, this was as normal as it is for us to know that in the neighboring town, people also live. The Vedic worldview is atheistic in the true sense of the word: it is an understanding of the laws of nature, not only at the usual, familiar level but also at other levels. And once you understand that reality is multidimensional, and that this multidimensionality is not just words, but truly real — that already tells you a lot.

To show a different perspective. There is a man — clearly one of our people — who moved to America and now works at an institute there. He wrote to me about how, in my book *"The Last Appeal to Mankind,"* I describe the structure of electrons. I won't read the whole thing, but here's something amusing: *"Electron clouds are a myth! And they do not create different spatial bonds between themselves."* *"Our research has shown..."* — *our research* meaning his own personal research — *"...has shown that electrons, when flowing in chemical bonds in molecules, don't determine the direction of those bonds. The molecular structure numbering and the direction of chemical bonds is determined solely by the direction of inter-nuclear forces in atoms. It's not the flies; it's the elephants that decide where to go. The structure of a lotus flower, in order to bloom, is already embedded in its overgrown core. Humanity has been so deeply zombified in schools, universities, and so on, that even you, a well-trained theoretical physicist, have not fully freed yourself from all the dogmas hammered into our heads — especially into the heads of well-educated honor students."* (He's hinting at me.) *"I understand that my questions to you are narrow and professional and likely won't be addressed in a seminar with such a mixed audience. So maybe, someday, you'll find the time to personally answer me in detail."*

Well, I did find the time, and I'll show you — here's his article that he sent me. I won't read out the formulas. But one of his formulas includes a concept called the *"unit of Theta."* This is one of the core parameters he uses in his equations. And you know what this *Theta* means? It stands for the square root. Remember what the square root is? Out of "yes squared" plus "no squared." *Yes/no* — meaning both yes and no. Like, for example: *Did you eat the chicken or did you not eat the chicken?* In this way, his understanding is based entirely on physical formulas. I can show you right here — not a single experimental result, just formulas and formulas. And he is convinced that he is absolutely right and everyone else is a complete fool.

Yes, there are many mistakes in science, because in our country science is very limited. Science itself has officially admitted that it only understands 10% of what is called "matter," and it knows nothing about the remaining 90%. But here's what's positive: modern science is destroying itself — in what way? When they build devices, those devices allow them to fully expose what is correct and what is not. Here's a photograph — the Japanese made a photo of a hydrogen atom. You can clearly see the nucleus and the surrounding so-called electron cloud. Right here is the electron, what's called the electron cluster. It appears periodically — but what I described is not just a symbol, I explained it in detail.

This person, by the way, probably didn't even read my book *"The Last Appeal to Mankind,"* because I didn't go into that level of breakdown there, it wasn't the goal. But in *"Inhomogeneous Universe,"* I laid it all out fully: how the electron is formed, why it exhibits this or that property.

If you look at this picture — this photo of the hydrogen atom — even the structure of the nucleus is formed exactly as I showed in my diagrams. Because my diagrams were schematic, not photographs. And yet even the schematic perfectly matches what is shown in the photograph. This is a real photograph of a hydrogen atom. So, truly, who is the one who is zombified here? He believes that his opinion, his personal conclusions, are absolutely the most correct — and that everyone else is a fool. I'm

not saying I can't make mistakes, but so far, practically all of my positions have been confirmed — and not by me, but by facts provided by research.

I am a theoretical physicist. I graduated from the Department of Theoretical Radiophysics at Kharkov University. It was the strongest school of radiophysics in the Soviet Union — and that's not just my opinion. Even as a student, I saw how much Science is actually pseudo-science. Because what happens is this: they take formulas, they get some results, then they run a few experiments, and then they feed it into an electronic machine. Back then, it was the ES 10-20. Today, we have powerful computers, but back then it was a huge machine, as some of you might remember. You had to punch cards. And by approximation, from ten data points, they would generate thousands of results. I don't know on what basis, but that's what they got.

Then they would produce some curves and graphs and say, "*Here we go, these are our practical results!*" Supposedly. They did ten tests, turned them into ten thousand, and claimed it was correct — but that's another matter. And still, it didn't fit. It didn't align with the formulas. So, what do you think they did? They changed the formulas until the formulas matched the curves they had generated with the ES 10-20, or whatever electronic machines they were using.

Is that science? When I saw this, I realized I would never see real science. Formulas alone do not mean science. A formula is mathematics. It can give you whatever you want — whatever result you desire. But is that what science is supposed to be? Should science produce the results that the researcher or the user *wants*? No. Science should lead to the truth. It cannot depend on my desire, your desire, or anyone else's. True science gives results that reflect objective reality. Of course, you can't expect to get 100% accuracy right away. But a person moves closer step by step: getting a little closer, then a bit more, and gradually approaching the truth. I had to study mathematical physics. And there — well, forget it, you might as well turn off the lights and throw in a grenade. There, they simply discard inconvenient terms because many equations can't be solved unless you throw out the parts that get in the way. And everything's just fine, supposedly!

Is that science? And theoretical science is built on mathematical physics. That's why we end up with situations where everything is calculated, yet nothing really works. How did they figure out rockets? You think it was through calculations? No. They tried and tried until they stopped exploding. Is that science? Trial and error are practical science, yes.

But what we have now is blind practice, working only with the tip of the iceberg — the 10% we know — and where has it brought us? Environmental destruction. The planet on the brink of annihilation, thanks to the foolish actions of this or that group of people. Any nuclear power plant explosion — and that's the end of everything. And there are so many of them now. So, a question to that author: when I process water and its chemical composition changes, do you think I understand what atoms are? What matter is? I'd say — yes, I do.

The thing is, when you process water for therapeutic purposes, you don't specifically set the goal to change the chemical composition in order to get such a side effect. You embed a program, and as a result, a field is created that influences the water, and the chemical composition changes. That's a side effect. He doesn't understand any of this seriously — like they say, "*strength without sense.*" But there were cases when specific tasks were set: to remove certain substances from the water and to produce others. And that worked as well.

So, it's not about being blind — this is something that can be easily controlled. You can make certain substances in water disappear and others appear — in other words, synthesis and decomposition of chemical substances, not in some abstract water, but in actual, real water. I think I have at least some understanding of what a real atom and real matter are. So, when I read such writings, I think to myself: *"Man, get out of your office, at least look around, read something worthwhile — at least what I've written — before making such claims. Otherwise, it just looks ridiculous."* From my point of view, what he wrote is laughable. Especially when one of his main parameters, the *Theta* parameter, is the square root of *Yes squared plus No squared* — meaning, yes and no. And this is used in formulas and considered to be objective scientific results, even published in scientific journals. Well, let's leave that topic for now.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

**6.1. QUESTION:** *My question might be a bit unusual, but I think it came up while watching the wellness sessions. I would like to know if there are any recommendations for watching these wellness sessions to achieve the maximum effect — for example, in what position is it best to watch them: standing, sitting, lying down? What should one think about at that moment, or imagine, or should one try not to think of anything at all? What time of day is best to watch them to get the most benefit, and so on?*

**ANSWER:** I can say the following. During the sessions, you can stand on your head, lie down, sit, lean against a wall — whatever you like. The only thing that is really important is that it's preferable for a person to tune in mentally. When a person focuses on what is happening, they connect to the process. If the person is not focused, the effect will not be as strong — but there will still be an effect.

However, when the person tunes in, it is like they are giving permission for the healing influence to work on them. Therefore, to achieve the best results, a person can take any position they like — even the lotus position if they wish — and simply focus on what is happening, and that's all. As for the best time, I think it's still best to do it before going to sleep. Because afterward, the person can go to bed, and their body can peacefully process everything.

**6.2. QUESTION:** *Is it possible for hybrid matter to be synthesized from both the second and third primary matters?*

**ANSWER:** This, of course, is not a general question, but the synthesis of hybrid matter happens at any level. They will simply be of different qualities. And if you are referring to physical matter, it can only be synthesized when there are conditions for the merging of seven types of matter.

As for other types of hybrid matter — yes, of course, they are synthesized. When the necessary conditions arise, when specific distortions of space occur, and when primary matters are present within this distortion of space, synthesis happens immediately. It's not about wanting it or not wanting it to happen — the main thing is for there to be the distortion, and for matter to be present within that distortion. If a disturbance occurs in space, but at that moment there is nothing present within it — like throwing a pebble into water and waves ripple out, but if there's nothing in those waves to be carried along — then naturally, no synthesis will happen.

By the way, regarding someone who wrote: *"You say that the essence has three or four bodies, but in the Vedas it is written that it can have up to nine."* Well, how strange — people seem to have only heard bits and pieces, and once again, haven't read my book properly. In my book, I show that the Earthly cycle of

development — the zero cycle — ends with the development of six bodies, in addition to the physical one, meaning a total of seven bodies.

And beyond that, there is an entirely different level — the internal planetary level — which, once completed and transitioned, can have billions of bodies. In my illustrations, I show many phenomena and explanations, but I only depicted four bodies: the physical body and three others — the second, third, and fourth material bodies. Or, as others call them, the etheric, astral, and the first mental body. To explain most effects and phenomena of the human psyche, this is enough.

And besides, the majority of people — 99.9% of those living on Earth today — unfortunately, do not have more than this number of bodies. So why show others if there is nothing happening there? But you see, elsewhere they talk about nine bodies — and so what? There can be billions of bodies. Does that mean I'm somehow "greater" than the Vedas, because they mention only nine? Nonsense, honestly — people should at least read properly. And regarding synthesis: synthesis always occurs where the appropriate conditions arise.

### **6.3. QUESTION:** *Regarding the unrest in the Arab world and the Japanese tragedy.*

**ANSWER:** What is there to say about the Arabs? Have you seen how the Orange Revolutions happened in Ukraine and Georgia? The same thing, unfortunately, is being done in the Arab countries. Especially considering that most Arabs are complete idiots — particularly the wealthy ones. Why? Where do they keep their money? Mostly in the U.S. Just like Russia keeps its stabilization fund in the U.S.

Let's suppose, theoretically, that Russia and America stop being friends. And the president, or whoever is in power at that point, says: "*Listen, Americans, give us back our stabilization fund, we don't like you anymore.*" What if they even have to go to war? Will they give it back? Well, after all, it was supposedly given for safekeeping. I'm not even talking about the kind of scam they pulled there — that's another matter. Most Arabs keep the bulk of their finances either in Swiss banks or in American ones. More often in American banks, because Swiss banks have long since come under American control.

Several years ago, about 10 years ago, they (the Swiss) began fully disclosing all information about deposits held in Swiss banks. If earlier there was banking secrecy and no one would be given any information, now, at the request of the Americans, they hand over all the information. But if Russia were to request information about someone, would they give it to Russia? Of course not.

As for Japan, the cause was clear from the very beginning: two tectonic plates collided, and one of them rose two meters relative to the other. As a result, a tsunami formed, which led to what happened there. There are several versions of what caused the tectonic shift. One version says that a huge crater was found on the ocean floor, and it is assumed that the Japanese themselves had conducted a nuclear bomb test on the ocean floor. That's entirely possible. But there could also have been other reasons, according to what I was told.

When the Chernobyl accident happened — although, in fact, Chernobyl was not an accident but a deliberate action, a provocation intentionally staged to cause exactly what happened — what did the Japanese write back then? They wrote that the "*Russian bastards*" (that's exactly how they put it) were incapable of doing anything properly. And now, they've had their own catastrophe — and what happened? Turns out, they themselves are also bastards, they can't do a damn thing either.

Are you aware that just a few days after the initial catastrophe, there was supposed to be an explosion in the reactors? Who knows about this? Very few. And they couldn't do anything about it. And if there had been an explosion, what would that have meant? "A *chain reaction*?" No — not just a chain reaction. A chain reaction is already a result of an explosion — or rather, the explosion is the result of the chain reaction.

The thing is, the reactor contained about 400 tons of uranium-238 and plutonium. Do you know what the critical mass of an atomic bomb is? 50 kilograms — a sphere with a diameter of 9 centimeters weighs about 50 kilograms. If 400 tons of radioactive material — uranium and plutonium — had exploded, and with several thousand tons of heavy water around it, the explosion would have been comparable to that of a supernova.

Just think about it: if a small sphere causes such devastation, imagine what 400 tons plus several thousand tons of heavy water would do — especially at a fault line. The Earth would have immediately been knocked off its axis and shattered to pieces.

For now, there's no threat because — I can tell you this — the issue was addressed through several channels. People came forward requesting intervention. But they preferred it to be done quietly, discreetly, behind the scenes.

I said, fine, I'm ready to respond, but only through an official request. That was through one channel. Through another, scientific channel, Japanese scientists who were in contact with people I know — and they know me too — returned to Japan and reported this to the government. And the response was: "*The politicians aren't listening to us.*"

Scientists were no longer being heard. They didn't care what might happen. The situation kept escalating more and more. Their parliament was deciding whether to reach out to me, among others. Even now, they are still reaching out. But when the threat of what could have happened arose — that was no longer just Japan's problem.

That would have meant the destruction of the planet, so I had to intervene. I lowered the intensity levels to prevent a critical situation and an explosion. Immediately, the Japanese started shouting that they had eliminated the cause. I was a little annoyed by this — like, "*They don't get it.*" So, I slightly eased my hold, just a bit, so that the Japanese wouldn't be going around claiming they were so smart, brilliant, and that they had solved a problem no one else could solve. As if, once again, the Russians were too stupid to manage it, but they — so clever — had figured it out. So, as soon as I relaxed my hold just a little — not to the point of critical risk, so that there would be no explosion, but not as fully suppressed as before — immediately the danger began rising again.

And what happened? They exposed themselves. They shouted that they had already solved everything, but in fact, they had solved nothing. Because with the modern methods that contemporary science has at its disposal, there was absolutely nothing they could do.

What else did I do? I put up a shield, and no radioactive cloud, nothing, reached Russia. I didn't want our country to suffer from this madness. People from the movement in the Far East, from Kamchatka, sent me reports saying their radiation levels were normal, even below normal. And the cloud, for some reason, turned away and went toward America.

*"The mayor of Vladivostok published an article,"* in which he wrote that *"The Far East is prepared for evacuation. All measures have been taken, the radiation is high, several times above normal, and they are ready to evacuate immediately by order."* The mayor, of course, just wanted to leave. *"He wants to receive (funding/benefits)."*

You know, there was a similar situation in 1987 in Kyiv, when the same thing was about to happen. The situation was different there, of course. But unlike the Japanese, our people were a bit smarter. They tried to block the system, to use dry substances to prevent the spread of radiation.

The Japanese have produced millions of tons of water, which they use to cool the reactors, and this water becomes radioactive. Where does this water go again? Back into the ocean. And the funniest thing is, where does the current carry this water? Toward Alaska and America. Alaska is our land, but still. In any case, the current is carrying it toward America. Now, according to the latest data, the radiation has reached Iceland. But it hasn't reached Russia, although it was closest to Russia. And I hope it won't.

But you see what their position is? Naturally, as much as I am able, I will continue to prevent an explosion. If this station explodes, the whole planet will perish. And at that point, it is no longer about Japan, everyone will be hit, scumbags and all. Everyone will suffer and no one will be left. This is not a win for anyone.

But the situation is such that the Japanese approached me unofficially and asked: *"Please, do the work for us."* And then they would go on to boast about how brilliant Japanese science is, how it solved the entire problem. But officially, they don't want to make a request. Why? Because then they would have to officially admit the failure of their science, and admit that there are other paths of development that can solve problems which modern science is completely unable to solve. And yet, the problem *can* be solved.

Now, you might wonder: how much effort did it take me to bring the reactors from a near-explosion state to a stable one? A couple of seconds of thought. That's not the point, though. You could sweat and strain until you get a hernia. But the question is: *is it necessary to do so?*

It is truly important to understand: when there is knowledge and understanding, many things become ordinary, familiar, and clear. That means there really *are* ways and methods to solve problems that allow us to cleanse the planet, to revive it, and so on. But if people continue to pollute and destroy, then what's the point of doing anything? It becomes useless. In my opinion, it is essential to stop this — all nuclear power plants must be eliminated, meaning dismantled piece by piece, and then they will cease to exist. Not blown up — that would be the easiest option, but with that "easy" option, the Earth itself would cease to exist. The issue is that right now, from my point of view, there is a very strange approach to all of this.

When the Germans leaked nuclear physics research to America, I've heard the opinion — and I tend to agree with it more and more — that they deliberately steered the development of science in the wrong direction. They perfectly understood that it would lead to nothing good, except self-destruction. And that is exactly what happened.

If an explosion had occurred, whether at Chernobyl or now in Japan, the planet would have ceased to exist. Isn't that enough proof that this is the wrong path of development? And why did the Japanese resist for so long, stubbornly digging in their heels? Because these nuclear power plants are where they produce weapons-grade plutonium. I never worked directly with nuclear plants, but I have acquaintances, friends who do, and they told me: it's enough to simply look at the profile of a nuclear power plant building to determine what type it is. A professional can always tell. And so, the Japanese Fukushima plant was specifically built for producing weapons-grade plutonium. Japan officially does not have nuclear weapons.

In reality, they *were* indeed producing it, and I have information that as far back as 1998, they were planning to carry out nuclear strikes against Russia. At the very least, in this case, they ended up receiving a nuclear strike on themselves. Hopefully, they will be wiser and more cautious now. And this is precisely the reason why they are trying to keep silent about it, so they don't have to officially admit to the whole world that they were secretly producing weapons-grade plutonium.

Remember North Korea? Everyone was up in arms because they had a stockpile of weapons-grade plutonium. But Japan was producing it — and that's allowed? Do you really think the Americans didn't know about this? And who built that power station for them? Well, there you have it.

#### **6.4. QUESTION:** *What do you know about teachings such as Abraham and Transurfing?*

**ANSWER:** I know a bit about the latter. As for the former, I can't say anything. Benefit or harm? I believe it's the latter — harm. Why? Because it's based on active breathing — a system where a person breathes intensely, assuming that this will expel all the blocks, plugs, and obstructions from within, and that they'll become free, open, and so on.

But let's examine this more closely. Imagine a leaking water pipe — would you increase the water pressure to fix it? What happens if you increase pressure in a pipe that's already leaking? It will burst completely — that's the first point.

Second, imagine running fuel oil through a water pipe — just as an analogy — and mixing it with water. Will that give you clean water? Or imagine adding dirt on top of it. Why?

Because for most people, energies (or "substances") can't just be classified as positive or negative, or colored black, white, etc. These energies are only beneficial to a person when they are qualitatively aligned with that person. If a person has developed certain internal qualities, and those same qualities are present in the energy being passed through, then the experience is beneficial.

But if a person hasn't developed those qualities, then channeling such energy through them will only fill them to the brim with waste, with energetic toxins, leading to blockages. That's why, in most cases, this method leads to negative results.

Sometimes, in this altered state, a person might relive their own birth — see themselves being born and similar things. Yes, such experiences are possible. But you can also inject drugs and see strange things. Does that mean it's good? And what benefit is there in seeing your own birth? So, you saw it — and what does that do for you? If you were to see how you developed from conception to birth — would that help you understand this world? I don't think so.

It's more or less the same as the Qigong system. There too, people pass energy flows through themselves — cleansing the channels. At first, a person may notice something, and that's often cited as progress. And yes, if the "pipes" are clogged and you clear them out a bit, of course things will get slightly better. But after that initial clearing, no further improvements happen — sometimes for years.

It's just the same thing being done in different ways. Whether you use breathing to channel streams of matter through yourself, or you achieve the same effect through physical exercises, the result is essentially the same. At best, if your "pipes" aren't leaking yet, you'll just blow them out and clear the blockages — and that's it. But that won't turn rusty iron pipes into copper ones, let alone ceramic ones. That will never happen.

So, to expect that this method can bring about Development — that is, real forward movement — I don't think so. At least, I haven't seen any real results.

I even tried this breathing technique myself at one point. I breathed for over an hour and didn't notice anything significant, even though I followed the system properly, exactly as it's supposed to be done. I didn't see any benefit — just panting for over an hour, lying on the floor. By that time, I was already able to do a lot of things without that. Nothing was gained, nothing was lost.

In most cases, this kind of practice may only help in a small number of situations — when someone is just starting out, something is blocked, and the pipes need to be cleared. In that case, there may be some benefit. But it brings no Development.

If you've blown out the pipes and everything is clean, that still doesn't mean that pure water — crystal-clear water from the Source of Life — will start flowing through them. Unfortunately, that's not going to happen.

From my point of view, Development is only possible through action. When you take specific actions — regardless of what kind they are — that's when you begin to change yourself. You change your physical body, your essence, and depending on the nature of the action you take, you — as I like to say — build up *evolutionary flesh*. It's a metaphor, but in principle, it's quite a good one. Only through action. Not through waiting, not through sitting around until pigs fly — until something descends upon you, or something hits you over the head, or a brick falls on you, or some revelation occurs. Only through action.

And in order to act, you need to have proper understanding. If you start acting without understanding, you can mess things up so badly that instead of building evolutionary flesh, you'll lose what you already had. That's why, without understanding, you shouldn't interfere in anything. Because you might get involved, trigger a process, and end up not only destroying yourself, but others as well.

When I was reading a Sahaja Yoga course book written by the founder of the sect herself, it said: "If you felt a little breeze in the room while sitting there — that's it, you're complete. You don't need to do anything else. Your karma is resolved. You are now perfect. Go live and prosper. Your illnesses are gone. Your problems are gone." But somehow, none of those people had their illnesses or problems disappear — even though they supposedly became perfect.

So, the question is: did they really become perfect just because a breeze passed through the room?

Did they free themselves from karma? I don't think so. Because a person needs to be *told* what karma actually is — not just, "karma is karma." Didn't understand? "Well, it's not meant for you. You're not ready yet. Once you're ready, then everything will be revealed to you." That's the kind of answer I've heard.

You know, when I hear those kinds of arguments and ramblings, I honestly find it laughable. Yes, it's true that you can't give a person everything all at once. Because there's a qualitative gap — a person has to be guided step by step, prepared, brought to a certain point gradually, and only then will there be understanding. Some people need more time, others less. Everything can be explained and made understandable — but only if the person is capable of understanding it.

But when the explanation goes like this... I remember, back when I was giving seminars in America, there was a well-known healer — a psychic — who had written several books. And when I started discussing basic concepts during the first session, she asked, "How do you know that?" "My guardian angels tell me I'm not ready for this yet," she said. Oh really? And here I was thinking that "two plus two equals four" was classified information. When they start feeding you nonsense like that, it just means you're being fooled in every possible way.

**6.5 Question:** *According to the latest census, the population in the country has decreased by 2 million 200 thousand people.*

**Answer:** Basically, what's happening naturally leads to that result. How many children were born after the 1990s? Not many. And now those children are just reaching adulthood and are supposed to be starting families. But with the kind of mentality that was drilled into their heads — to live for oneself, for personal pleasure — how many of them will actually want to have children? One at most.

Why is the population shrinking? Because the older generation is dying out — that's a natural process. And if the younger generations aren't having children, then where is the population growth supposed to come from? Plus, with the kind of policies being pursued, people are simply being crushed. Conditions are being created where people can't have children — even if they want to. And this situation isn't just in Russia.

When I was in America, after I had really gotten comfortable with the information and learned the language well, I spoke with many Americans. Most working Americans — mainly white — can't afford to have a second child. Why? Taxes. They earn money, and 55% of it is taken in taxes. And for one child, they're allowed to deduct just two thousand dollars per year. What is \$2,000 a year? That's like buying the child food at McDonald's once a day. That doesn't include housing, clothing, or anything else — not school, not university, nothing. So, working people, who *would* like to have more children, simply can't — for purely financial reasons.

But those who live on welfare (welfare being government subsidies taken from the very same people who actually work) — they have five or six kids, because for each child they receive around \$20,000 a year for support. And naturally, these children grow up on the streets. You understand? The mother must *not* be married.

As a result, the family unit is being destroyed. In America, it's mostly Black people who are on this system. I told Americans, "What the hell are you talking about democracy for? If your child only allows

you to deduct \$2,000 a year, then why does someone on welfare get \$20,000 a year per child — not even counting free healthcare?”

And if you include that, then it's not just \$20,000 — it's all free for them, but paid for by those who work. You should demand fairness: either everyone gets to deduct only \$2,000 per child, or allow *you* to deduct at least \$20,000 per child as well. Many people would gladly do that and have two or three kids — then they'd pay less in taxes, and the money would stay in the family.

So, believe me — what I see happening in this country now is very similar to what has already happened in America: the transformation into slaves. And here, on top of that, physical extermination is also being implemented.

**6.6. QUESTION:** *Why did the dark forces create the Jews as hermaphrodites? Or are hermaphrodites found among other groups as well?*

**ANSWER:** It wasn't the dark forces that created Jews as hermaphrodites — rather, the dark forces *are* a race of hermaphrodites. For example, the god Yahweh represents a hermaphroditic race. Among Jews, hermaphroditism is most strongly expressed in the Levites, because the Levites are direct descendants of Yahweh or similar beings. The rest of the Jews are less related to them. By the way, Levites don't even practice circumcision, nor do they follow many other rituals.

What is a hermaphrodite? This is when, depending on the phase of the moon, the hermaphrodite's gender changes, sometimes male, sometimes female. Sometimes mother, sometimes father. And therefore, when such genes get to other people, they very often affect sexual behavior, that is, they cause sexual pathology. Among Jews, about 70% suffer from various sexual pathologies, starting with homosexuality and others. And also, about 70% have physical disorders. Imagine, 70% have physical, that is, sexual pathologies and 70% of the Jewish population has mental disorders.  $70 + 70$  is 140. This means that the overwhelming majority have both. There are almost no completely healthy individuals among them.

The hermaphrodites in question were specifically from the gray race. There is a “gray race” written about in various sources — they were indeed hermaphrodites. The gray subrace — and this isn't my term, it's a purely anthropological one — refers to a group formed through the mixing of two races: in this case, the white and the black.

The Black and White races mixed, and a subrace emerged — neither White nor Black. All Semites belong specifically to this gray subrace. Scientists have even given it a special name — the “Mediterranean gray subrace.” Again, this isn't my term.

They once tried to accuse me in court of insulting Jews because I referred to them as a “subrace.” But how is that insulting, if it's a scientific term used regularly in the field of anthropology? No one takes scientists to court over this.

It turns out that they feel inferior because they belong to a subrace. But there are many subraces — and many European nations also belong to the gray subrace. The majority of Italians, Spaniards, and French do as well. As for the Greeks — I won't even mention them. The Greeks we know today have nothing to

do with Ancient Greece. There is no Ancient Greece anymore, and it never existed in the way people think it did.

Those who downloaded my second book — where I present detailed dialogues — will find clear data showing that the ancient inhabitants of modern-day Greece had nothing to do with the people from the time of Plato. It's stated clearly there that they were Slavic-Aryans.

Tell me — how many Greeks have you seen who are tall, with blue eyes, and bodies and facial features of the European race? "In paintings, in statues" — exactly. Strange, isn't it? But where did they come from then, if they supposedly transformed into what they are today overnight? There was no such moment. It's all statues and imagery — that's it.

Here's an example — Dmitry Bayda and his wife did some research. They uncovered a large number of mosaic images from the so-called Ancient Rome — which, in fact, never existed — in central Spain. And everywhere, on the floor mosaics, we see the swastika. Swastikas everywhere. Yet, for some reason, everyone stays silent about it. Even though there are hundreds, thousands of such traces remaining.

The positive thing is that we now have the internet. People today have access to photo and video cameras — something that used to be a rarity, affordable only to a few. Now anyone with a phone can record short videos or take photographs.

As a result, more and more information is surfacing — information that most of the parasites would prefer remained hidden. But it ends up on the internet, and from there, it spreads to the public.

**6.7 QUESTION:** *Why was karma removed in 1995 from all entities ready for incarnation? And why are children now being born with karma again? What is the reason for this?*

**ANSWER:** Karma is carried not only at the level of the soul (or essence). Karma is also carried at the genetic level — that's the first thing. If a person's genes are damaged, naturally, that doesn't just disappear. So even if a soul has no karma, if the genetics carry karma, then it will inevitably manifest. Additionally, many children are harmed in maternity wards during birth — through infections, for example.

Here's an interesting bit of information — have you heard of autism? You know the term. But did you know that no one had really heard of it or talked about it until they started giving newborns tetanus vaccines? Because those vaccines contain mercury. Nice, isn't it?

You've probably heard about how dangerous mercury is — that if a mercury thermometer breaks, it's a serious hazard, and has to be carefully cleaned up. Well, it turns out that the vaccines given to newborns contain mercury. Naturally, this mercury hits the brain hard — with serious consequences. So many of these things are not just the result of the soul's karma — they stem from other causes as well.

Karma exists on several levels. Naturally, the soul (or essence) carries karma, but genetics also carry karma. In addition, the environment creates corresponding forms of damage. Even if the essence is healthy and free from karma, if there are defects in the genetics — or if there are unfavorable genetic combinations — that can still lead to problems, because not all genetic material combines well.

Sometimes genetic combinations cause recessive traits, which had previously not manifested, to suddenly appear. In one marriage, those traits might remain hidden, while in another, they may become expressed. Why? Because even within the same family, one child might be born completely healthy, while another may carry or manifest karma.

Let's consider sex chromosomes: women have two X chromosomes; men have one X and one Y — not counting the other 22 pairs of chromosomes.

Now imagine this: a girl always receives one X chromosome from her father, but from her mother, she can receive either of her two X chromosomes. Depending on how these three chromosomes combine — and in what order — one combination can result in a healthy child, and another may not.

The same goes for boys. A boy receives a Y chromosome from his father and one of the two X chromosomes from his mother. Which one he gets can significantly affect his health and many other characteristics.

And then, of course, there are all the other chromosomes, which can interact with one another in ways that may be either beneficial or harmful.

I received a letter from someone — maybe they work specifically in genetics — saying:  
*"You wrote about dominant chromosomes, but only dominant genes exist."*

Of course, I know that. But if a chromosome contains dominant genes, then the chromosome itself is dominant as well. Because a chromosome is made up of genes, and if it contains dominant genes, then the whole chromosome exerts dominance. Not just a single gene — the entire chromosome influences certain aspects of development.

It's just that, for some reason, everyone assumes you're a complete idiot or incapable of understanding that two plus two equals four.

Remember the names of nucleotides? Back in our day, we studied all of organic chemistry in school. We knew all those nucleotides by heart — not just their names, but also their chemical formulas, benzene rings. Where they are, how they differ — like thymine versus uracil, and so on. We learned all the differences between them. Is that a bad thing?

Now people say that a child knows best what they want. But think back — when you were a child, did you know what you wanted? I did very well in school. It only took me 15–20 minutes to prepare for my lessons. But if someone had told me I didn't have to go to school — do you think I would have gone? I don't know about you, but I would have found something I thought was more important at the time. And I think that's the same for everyone.

So, when people say a child at 10, 12, or 14 years old knows better what they need — that's complete nonsense. Because a child needs to receive a general educational foundation. It doesn't matter if they won't use all of it later in practical life — that's not the point. This foundation develops diverse thinking in a person. It allows the brain to grow in multiple dimensions. But if a child is allowed to choose, what's the most important subject to them? Physical education. And, let's say, sex ed — or whatever it's called now. Those are the two subjects they think matter, and the rest they just ignore. What kind of education

is that? I know this from what I've seen in America. Kids there needed to earn a certain number of credits. It didn't matter which subjects they took — just that they hit the credit requirement. And what did they choose? Absolute nonsense. So, what kind of education are they getting?

A smart guy once asked me about Japan — about what's supposed to be there and how it works. And we didn't know a damn thing. "What do you mean, you don't know?" "Well, we didn't study nuclear physics in school." He had completed college — the equivalent of a university in Russia. But they weren't taught anything like that. I'm not saying everyone should study nuclear physics in depth, but at least the general concepts should be covered. But nothing. Can you imagine? Kids don't even learn the basics. That's what they call "the future of education."

Lately, a lot has been changing here in Russia — and not for the better, unfortunately. So, when I hear people going on about how "children know best what they need," it honestly makes me feel bitter. I don't know about you, but when they canceled lessons at school, everyone was thrilled — like elephants. Especially if it was the last class of the day. Just goofing off for 45 minutes. When those final classes were over, everyone would bolt out of school like rockets — myself included. Although I would go home, read books, do other things — organize little expeditions to nearby creeks, and so on. Question: "How do you spend your time?" But I always tried to be the first one out the door, grabbing my bag and running. So don't expect kids today to think any differently than we did. The difference is — they've been turned into sheep.

From what I've seen, the education programs and textbooks for kids are so idiotic now that children don't understand a single thing in them. Because no one wants to explain things to kids in a simple, clear way — so they can understand and move forward. They don't want the kids to understand. What they want is for kids to freeze, to memorize like parrots, repeat exactly what they're told, and then forget it all.

**6.8 QUESTION:** *Once again, a question about cases when different types of souls incarnate — like a female soul in a male body, or a male soul in a female body. And what happens if a man with a female soul marries a woman with a male soul?*

**ANSWER:** If that's the case, then it actually works out well. But when a man with a female soul marries a woman who also has a female soul — that's going to be a big problem. In simple terms.

Of course, it's always an unfortunate phenomenon, but in the past — when people had healthy and strong immune systems — even if a soul of the opposite gender incarnated into male or female genetics, it didn't lead to any noticeable abnormal behaviors. Because hormones would regulate behavior to remain appropriate. Men might be a bit more sensitive, and women a bit more masculine — but not to the extent we're seeing today. Now we're seeing cases where someone appears to be a woman — but actually looks and acts like a man. And that's already going too far.

**6.9 QUESTION:** *What happens to a person when they receive donor organs?*

**ANSWER:** Let's take an example involving blood. Few people know that studies have been conducted on many animals — though that doesn't change the essence of the matter. For instance, in experiments with dogs — poor animals — their limbs were amputated and then specially preserved, only to be reattached later.

In some cases, the dogs were given back their own limbs; in others, different limbs were transplanted from other dogs. What they discovered was this: if the reattachment surgery was done within 24 hours, rejection only occurred when a foreign limb was attached. If the dog received its own limb, it was accepted and remained part of its body. However, if the limb was reattached after 24 hours had passed, the dog's body began to reject it — regardless of whether it was its own or a donor's.

Similarly, when a human receives a donor organ, that organ retains the structural imprint of the donor for only 24 hours. After that, the organ remains genetically identical but is no longer energetically the same as it was in the donor's body. If the transplant is done within 24 hours, then naturally some conflict arises — and it causes significant suppression of the system — but it's still manageable. This is why doctors try to perform transplants between close relatives. A close relative's genetics are more similar — such as when a kidney or part of the liver is transplanted. But when it comes to a heart transplant, a close relative usually can't donate their heart — for obvious reasons. And in such cases, rejection occurs just as strongly.

Few people know that transplanted organs usually need to be replaced again after 5 to 7 years. Why? Because the body undergoes a full cellular renewal cycle every 5–7 years, and everything returns to its original state. Transplanting a diseased organ doesn't solve the root problem — so eventually, a new donor is needed. And during all that time, the patient must stay on immunosuppressive drugs to prevent the body from rejecting the transplanted organ — which is also very harmful. So, in my view, the best option is not to transplant anything at all.

It's a kind of illusion, in my opinion, when they say someone is being "saved." It's temporary. And besides, when a person is on constant hormone therapy — that's not a normal life, from my point of view. But of course, everyone has their own perspective.

There are better ways to help. You can repair the heart instead of transplanting organs from other people. It's possible to restore the heart even after severe, repeated heart attacks or congenital defects in newborns. All of that *can* be done — it just requires different technologies. But modern medicine has long since turned into a mafia that doesn't care about people. What do they care about? Money. Now, I have nothing against someone earning money for honest work — but when the system is built to make people chronically ill, that's no longer medicine, in my view.

**6.10 NEXT QUESTION:** *Is it true that fluoride, which is used in toothpastes and some beverages, blocks the pineal gland, thereby limiting its potential development?*

**ANSWER:** I don't know much about that specific claim, but I can say that I doubt it. Because the pineal gland has absolutely nothing to do with Development. This is another one of those false ideas — the "third eye," the pineal gland, and so on — claiming that it's all essential for evolutionary development. It's nothing of the sort. I don't know where this notion came from, but as far as Development is concerned, it's completely irrelevant.

**6.11 QUESTION:** *Nicolai Viktorovich, who is the composer of the music sometimes used during your sessions?*

**ANSWER:** The music I've used in my sessions is by Jean-Michel Jarre, a French composer. His music is synthetic, artificial, so to speak. But it really resonates with me personally — I like it, it's a matter of

taste. And for me, it fits very well; it closely resembles cosmic music in many ways.

#### **6.12 QUESTION:** *How can one get rid of ambition?*

**ANSWER:** That's a very good question. In my view, ambition is when a person wants more than they're actually capable of. So, if a person simply does the best they can — and doesn't think about whether they've been rewarded for it or not, whether they've been recognized for it or not, whether they've been patted on the head or not — then that, in my opinion, is the best way to combat ambition: just do what you believe is right, what resonates with your soul.

When you do that — there will be no ambition. Ambition arises when you say, *"Ah, I want to achieve this!"* But why want it? Just *do* it. "He achieved that, and I want to achieve even more." Competition is a good thing — but only when it's healthy. And healthy competition is when you're not trying to outdo someone, but simply doing what your soul calls for.

My advice has always been: listen to your soul. In most cases, it will guide you. Not always — but in most cases, if you really listen deeply to yourself, it will show you what you truly need to do. And most importantly — just do your work, and don't think about whether or not there will be a reward.

I graduated from university and realized that science, in principle, doesn't really offer anything. I started looking for books on esotericism, found a few — but those were even worse than science, at least based on what I had read. So, I began to search for my own path, because neither science nor esotericism satisfied me. I was able to uncover certain things, but I was lucky because I already had some abilities from birth, and I was able to develop and transform them.

But most importantly — there must be no blinders, no mental blockages. You can't say, "This can't be true just because I don't want it to be." I always tried to be objective and never thought that just because I couldn't understand something in the moment, it meant it couldn't be real. I never thought, "I'm so smart, I should be able to understand everything — and if I don't get it, then it must not exist." That's nonsense.

If your position is to remain open — without excluding something simply because you don't currently understand it — then real progress becomes possible. You can actually accomplish something. And that's a very real thing. Whether you get "lucky" or not depends on many factors. In my case, there were a lot of "coincidences" that helped me.

When I graduated from university — and I didn't graduate at the bottom of my class — I went to the dean's office to receive my job assignment. No one even asked me where I wanted to go, even though I had the right to choose. As soon as I walked in: "Levashov — to the army."

I understood that was the end of my scientific career. But on the other hand, I also realized that the science I had studied hadn't given me anything of real value. But once I ended up in the army, I encountered phenomena that allowed me to understand many things — whether by chance or not. So, the point is: you have to try to act in *any* situation. Even when I was still a student, I went against the current. Sometimes people laughed, sometimes they made gestures like I was crazy. Do you think that felt good? Not really. But it didn't stop me from trying to find true understanding. In some areas, I was only just beginning.

Let me give you an example. Back when I was studying with some guys, we used to do this thing — “Alright, tell us what problems this person has.” So, someone would stand in front of me, I’d “scan” him, and I’d describe this and that, and so on. And by the way — everything I said was accurate.

Then they said, “Okay, now let’s blindfold you.” They tied a blindfold over my eyes, and someone stood in front of me. I started describing what issues the person had. Then they took the blindfold off — and the person wasn’t even there. And they all went “Aha! Haha!”

But I *did* say everything accurately — whether the person was there or not. At that time, I didn’t yet realize that whether the person was physically present or not didn’t matter — he had stood in front of me and left a trace. And from that trace, I could form a complete picture of who that person was and what problems they had.

Back then I didn’t understand that, because I didn’t yet have enough experience. But I still listed all the person’s problems — and no one paid attention to that. They only focused on the fact that I drew conclusions when the person wasn’t physically in front of me. But his “phantom,” so to speak, still lingered there for a while before fading. I hadn’t figured that out yet, because I didn’t have the precise experience at the time.

Did that make me give up? No. I said: “Was I wrong in what I said?” No. So what difference does it make whether the person was standing in front of me or not, if I described everything accurately?

See how tricky it can be sometimes — people don’t notice what really matters, and instead focus on what seems visually obvious. It’s enough for someone — who hasn’t seen the other person, only received a phone call — to simply think about the caller, and they can describe what’s going on with that person, or their granddaughter, or their daughter, and even give a precise diagnosis. A lot of things are possible — but for that, you need understanding.

Some might say, “Well, you were just being ambitious.” No, I didn’t have that kind of ambition. Sure, it felt good when I achieved something — but that’s not ambition, in my view. When you succeed and get confirmation — what you feel is the joy of having accomplished something, the joy of something having happened.

When I first encountered a situation where — under my influence — one person could “see” everything clearly and another couldn’t see anything at all, I started to wonder: their brains seem roughly the same, so why is it that one person with the same level of knowledge can “see,” and the other can’t?

Where’s the catch? I dug into it and found the difference. Then I thought — can it be fixed? I tried, and it worked! This happened in the army, when I was running my own experiments. I analyzed and compared — that was the first time I carried out a restructuring of the brain. And after that, the person began to “see” just like the other one. Later on, I restructured and refined many others as well, improving them qualitatively. When things like that happen — when you find a solution to a problem — it fills you with a certain kind of joy.

Is that ambition? No, I don’t think so. It’s just the feeling you get when you’ve done or created something, solved a problem, unraveled a mystery, pulled back the curtain just a little — and now you can see a bit more. That really is something special.

Ambition would only get in the way. Because ambition immediately puts blinders on you — and you start seeing only what you want to see. But the way you think today isn't how you'll think tomorrow. And if you lock yourself into today's mindset — tomorrow, most of your awareness will already be closed off.

**6.13 QUESTION:** *Can you explain what the fontanelle is in a baby?*

**ANSWER:** Often, the answer is hidden in the question itself. What is a fontanelle? And why does it exist? Now think about this: when a baby moves through the birth canal, the baby's head is quite large, while the birth canal is relatively narrow. Yes, tears can occur during childbirth, but even with those, the baby's head wouldn't pass through the birth canal as it is.

So why *does* the head pass through? Because the skull bones shift — they slide over one another like overlapping plates. As a result, the size of the head temporarily decreases. Once the baby is through the birth canal — the head returns to its original shape.

And to make sure the bones aren't rigidly fused at the top of the skull, there's the fontanelle. The fontanelle is a soft cartilage that allows the skull bones — which are still pliable — to shift and deform during birth, making passage through the birth canal possible. So, the volume of the baby's head is significantly reduced during delivery — and *that* is the purpose of the fontanelle.

After birth, the fontanelle should gradually close over time as the cartilage ossifies and turns into bone. Sometimes, the fontanelle remains open for longer than usual — but that's not a big problem. Eventually, it will close. I've never heard of a case where the fontanelle didn't close at all. So, if it takes longer to close, there's no need to panic — just give it time for the cartilage to turn into bone naturally.

**6.14 QUESTION:** *When are you planning to publish the continuation of your biography, X-Files?*

**ANSWER:** I've almost finished the next chapter — it will be out soon.

**QUESTION:** *Are the events in the Arab countries and in Japan related to the end of 2012?*

**ANSWER:** End of the world — how many of those have we had already? In reality, the end of the world could've happened long ago, but for some reason, no one talks about the *real* end.

What's happening in the Arab countries is just a scripted scenario. The Americans — in order to climb out of the deep hole they've gotten themselves into — always need a war. World War I was orchestrated by them to escape crisis. World War II was orchestrated the same way. Now they're in another crisis. Because the USA is a purely parasitic state.

And as usual, the best lie is a half-truth. Everyone shouts: "The U.S. produces 20% of the world's goods. One country, producing one-fifth of global output! That's huge! What a powerful country!" But they leave out the second part — that the very same country consumes 45–50% of the world's output, and in some categories, 80–90%. So, at best, the U.S. consumes 2.5 times more than it produces. What does that tell you? It's 100% parasitism. At one time, we used to study basic reproduction and extended reproduction in economics. Basic reproduction means producing as much as you consume. Extended reproduction means producing more than you consume. Well, the U.S. doesn't even meet the criteria

for basic reproduction, because it consumes 2.5 times more than it produces. That's pure parasitism. They tell you "A" and never tell you "B" — and that's how they keep people fooled.

Once, when a journalist from *The New York Times* came to interview me (they never ended up publishing the interview), he asked: "What do you think is the main problem in modern Russia?" I said: "The problem in modern Russia — is America." He looked at me in shock. I said, "Do you know the problems in Russia?" "Yes, we do." "And who created the reforms?" All the reforms were carried out under the full control of American consultants and specialists. So, what we're dealing with today is the result of what America imposed on the country.

So now, America needs a new world war. It is trying by all means to spark a war with the Arab world. Because the Arab world now encompasses more than a billion people — enough to ignite a full-scale global war. Not just the small regional wars they've been stirring up lately, which always end in failure — like what happened with Iraq.

They started a war, occupied the country — but completely discredited themselves in the process. No one in the world believes anymore that America is bringing "freedom" to anyone. Back then, they were shouting about it — the operation was even called "*Iraqi Freedom*." "Saddam Hussein is a scoundrel, a villain," and so on. His entire regime was condemned for allegedly terrorizing and killing 20,000 people. Is that bad? Of course, it's bad. But during the time of this so-called "*Iraqi freedom*" brought by the Americans, hundreds of thousands of Iraqis died. So, 20,000 is much worse? Really?

Here's something interesting I was told: Who did the Americans target first in Iraq? All the professionals who had been educated in the Soviet Union and in Russia. They would just come and kill them — teachers, doctors, engineers. They were considered "terrorists" too.

In addition to seizing Iraq's oil, they also looted the museums of Iraq — and those museums held many ancient artifacts, most of which they didn't even understand. And those artifacts belonged to *our ancestors*, the Slavic-Aryans, who once lived in that region. Many extremely valuable artifacts confirming the antiquity of the Slavic-Aryan civilization were taken out of Iraq. How do you like that? Every museum was looted. That's what the United States does.

Remember when they supposedly "saved" Kuwait from Iraq? To this day, children are still being born there with radiation-related deformities, because the U.S. used depleted uranium in that conflict — in bullets and shells. The entire area was contaminated. Children with birth defects are still being born there today.

And after Iraq was "liberated," supposedly protecting Kuwait from Saddam Hussein's invasion — the price of that "rescue?" 90% of the oil belongs to the United States. A great savior, right? They stripped them bare — worse than a robbery.

It's like this: You reach out your hand to help someone — they say, "Look, we gave you our hand and pulled you out of a pit, now give us your house, your business, and your wife too, if she's pretty." That's about how it works.

#### **6.15 QUESTION:** *How can an awakened person change the fate of their country?*

**ANSWER:** Very simply. If each of us does what is right in our own place, the parasites will have a very hard time. If every person resists rudeness, deceit, betrayal, and all that is negative — if they refuse to look the other way, if they act with honor and conscience — then things will begin to change. We must value honor and conscience above even life itself, just as our ancestors did. We will return to life — but if we lose our honor and conscience, then I believe we will no longer truly exist.

You see, if every person, in their own place, refuses to allow treachery to happen, then a great deal can be changed. But for that, one needs understanding — a clear awareness of what's really going on. Without proper understanding, a person can't act effectively. But every person *can* act where they are.

Think about a current — a stream that washes everything away in its path. What is it made of? Tiny droplets. Rain falls — little drops hit the ground, they merge into streams and rivers, and then that becomes a powerful flow that sweeps away everything in its way.

Each person is like a drop in that greater current. So, if every drop — every person — does what they must, there will be a flow. But if everyone does nothing, then that flow will never exist, and nothing will ever change.

#### **6.16 QUESTION:** *Are aliens conducting many things on Earth with or against the will of humans?*

**ANSWER:** In the next chapter, which is almost ready, I write about what Earthlings do to those aliens whom they capture — both alive and dead. You'll see that humans treat the aliens they capture no better than the aliens treat humans. They're perfectly matched in that regard. Both sides treat each other as a means to extract information and as a tool for breakthrough opportunities.

Why were aliens studying humans in the first place? In the upcoming chapter, I lay it out in detail. You see, there's now a growing awareness that such information is necessary. They want to figure out: what is that mysterious *something* that allows those living on Earth to do things no one else in the cosmos can do, no matter how hard they try? Especially in the last thousand years, nobody out there is evolving correctly — but here on Earth, there are "golden nuggets," certain individuals (not everyone, but quite a few), who have the potential to develop *qualitative* abilities and rise to the level of Creation itself. That's what the aliens are trying to uncover — what is the essence, the secret, behind the fact that on this shabby, primitive Earth, there exist such powers that no one else in the Universe possesses.

But so far, that potential still sleeps — and it will continue to sleep until people start to evolve properly. And the dark forces ("the little black ones") are doing everything they can to prevent that from happening — or to hijack it for their own ends.

I saw a film about a boy who was born after 1995 and died just a few years ago, as I understood it. His mother decided to turn him into a new God. The child was about 5 or 6 years old when his mother began healing people through him — crowds of people gathered to visit him. She even painted an icon of him. And through this, the mother fashioned herself into a kind of Holy Mother.

But she ruined the child — because at 6 or 7 years old, a child shouldn't be treating people. He should be growing, developing. Instead, he burned up his potential healing others. I don't know how many he actually healed, if any, but the fact is that he himself died. That's a fact.

And there are many such cases. It's not always the mother — sometimes it's the church itself. In order to showcase miracles, they need people who can perform them. Because if there are no miracles, nobody believes in the church. So, they need to lure people in.

Many Indigo children are taken in by church figures, who drill into their heads that their abilities come from the Lord God — that God gave them this gift, and that He works through them. But it's not through them. They are the ones acting; they are the ones doing these things. And when children are indoctrinated like that, later on they're presented as saints who heal and perform miracles. But in reality, they aren't saints — they're simply people who were naturally born with a gift, the ability to heal or do other things. It has nothing to do with religion. It's a purely natural phenomenon.

Meanwhile, extraterrestrials are hunting to figure out where the secret lies — why some humans can do such things while they themselves, for the most part, can't. They want to use those abilities, but they're unable to. On the other hand, humans — torturing aliens — assume that if an alien made it here from another planet or star, they must be far ahead of us, and they try to extract whatever knowledge or ability they can from them in order to boost their own capabilities. All the while, they don't realize they're sitting on a treasure trove — metaphorically speaking — and looking elsewhere for something valuable.

#### **6.17. QUESTION:** *Who is Kashpirovsky? Why is he shown on television?*

**ANSWER:** He's a psychotherapist. Back in the day, he was aired to block people's consciousness. When people started to awaken — he would block them. I believe today he's doing more or less the same. He was stopped at one point so he wouldn't continue with his nonsense.

What does he do? He found a way to open up a person's potential, and that potential gets released. For example, someone might have heart disease, but instead of healing the heart, their gray hair turns dark. Is that any benefit if their heart is still sick? No. It's just an energy release. People under his influence — it's as if a valve is opened and the vital energy accumulated for life gets flushed out. Naturally, something changes in the body. And in some cases, illnesses did go away. But people paid for it with 10–15 years of their life — sometimes more.

Many people who received this so-called "gift" in quotes died a few years later. Because their vital force had been drained — and no one can keep living without life energy. So, this is ignorance in its purest form, combined with ambition — the desire to be someone. At one point, he even said: "Christ is a boy compared to me." That might be true — though frankly, I doubt it.

#### **6.18. QUESTION:** *About psychotropic weapons — what role do the mass media play in this?*

**ANSWER:** Naturally, television is used to influence the subconscious. The 25th frame technique is used — and not only that. Phones are also used for influence, although not so much for psychotropic weapons as for infrasound, which I've talked about before. And this really eliminates a lot of people. Moreover, it's been used not just for killing. Killing happens when a certain level of intensity is applied.

In Russia, such devices are manufactured at Deripaska's enterprises. These are installations that are deployed to places where people gather — they're turned on, and people experience panic, fear, and flee in terror. This is a form of influence on consciousness. That is, a certain level of impact does not

cause death (although bleeding and loss of consciousness can occur — especially among the more sensitive), but it is used to disperse crowds.

This was widely produced in the Soviet Union and is still being made in Russia today. Although the same infrasound radiation, at low power levels, has a stimulating effect on cells, once the power is increased, it becomes destructive — leading to cellular damage and death.

Unfortunately, the means of destruction are becoming more and more numerous, and increasingly intangible. In the past, to kill someone, you had to shoot a bullet, stab with a knife, release an arrow, or use an explosive. Now, it's enough to emit infrasound radiation — and a person may suffer a heart attack or stroke and die of "natural causes." Clever, isn't it? And the best part: everything remains completely hidden.

**6.19. QUESTION:** *What is your opinion of the work of Nikola Yemelin? He started out alongside Тальков.*

**ANSWER:** Unfortunately, I don't know anything about him. If I had heard his performances... But why haven't I heard them? Probably because others don't really want people to hear him — most likely. If I had heard this person's songs, I could very well give my opinion. But Тальков — his songs really touched the soul very deeply. That's the reason why he was eliminated.

**6.20. QUESTION:** *Will Europe perish in 2016? Vanga's prophecy says it will burn in flames.*

**ANSWER:** Far from everything attributed to Vanga actually comes from her. And besides, a lot of her prophecies curiously appear only *after* something has already happened. She also made many mistakes, because she understood very little of what was really happening to her.

What can I say — Edgar Cayce, the American clairvoyant who entered trance states and received insights — had an enormous number of predictions that came true 100% *after his death*. His accuracy was truly high.

As for Vanga, she said a lot — that World War III was supposed to start already, for example. She said that years ago. Have you read about that? They keep postponing it, because I read about it several years back. So maybe Vanga didn't say it that way at all, or perhaps someone is already manipulating her name to keep people in fear.

When I read certain things, I was absolutely stunned. After the incident in Japan, I read a version claiming the Earth's axis had tilted. When I read that — it's a good thing my jaw is still my own, or it would've dropped to the floor. What does it mean for the axis to tilt? Tilted by how many degrees?

When the fragments of Fata's moon fell, the axis tilted by 23.5 degrees — that caused a cataclysm. Oceans spilled out of their basins. Imagine a glass of water — it stands like this... I lean over — and I just don't want to spill the water. But if I jerk suddenly, what happens? The water starts sloshing around. If the Earth's axis had truly changed its tilt, this would have triggered tectonic plate movement every time, and oceans would have flooded their shores to a greater or lesser degree depending on how much the axis had tilted. But for some reason, the tsunami only occurred in Japan.

When fragments of the moon Fata fell, the axis tilted by 23.5 degrees. In the final chapter of Volume 2 of *“Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors,”* I provided examples of how ancient Chinese chronicles clearly recorded that the stars in the sky had shifted positions. Which is natural: if the tilt of the Earth’s axis had changed — no matter in which direction — the entire night sky would have changed. The stars would appear differently, the Moon would be in a different place, the Sun would rise from somewhere else — everything would be different.

But when we got up the next day, the Sun was still rising in the same place, and all the stars and the Moon were still where they had been — and yet someone writes that the Earth’s axis had shifted, which would necessarily be accompanied by tsunamis and oceans spilling over their shores. Sometimes I’m just amazed. And the most incredible thing? They *publish* that kind of information.

#### **6.21. QUESTION** *about the origin of White Rus.*

**ANSWER:** Belarus is simply one of the parts—there used to be Great Rus, White Rus, and Silver Rus. Where do the Serbs come from? From Silver Rus. *Serb* and *Silver Rus* — that’s what they were called. Because the territory of Serbia had many silver mines, it was called Silver Rus. There was also Blue Rus. These are just names for different parts of Rus. That’s all.

Only in Belarus has the name “White Rus” remained. In other places, we stopped being *Rus* and became *Russkiye* (Russians). When I was in France — even the first time — I was surprised that the French still call us *Rus*, not *Russkiye*. That means that around the world, many still say *Rus*, not *Russians*.

This alone shows that all of this was fabricated for us — to make us into an adjective, so that we ourselves could be nothing but a modifier. Tell me, who wants to be an adjective to someone or something else? Anyone here willing? Strange — no one.

#### **6.22. QUESTION:** *The governor of Kaluga Region has once again increased the quota for incoming labor migrants: Tajiks, Uzbeks — salaries, apartments, and so on.*

**ANSWER:** It’s clear what’s going on, isn’t it? If this continues, soon Central Russia will be inhabited entirely by Uzbeks and Tajiks. And for some reason, Chechens. Why not give apartments to Russians who have been waiting for 30 years? Why not give them salaries? Because it’s politics — politics aimed at destroying the indigenous peoples of Russia. Because other nationalities — Uzbeks, Tajiks, and so on — are easily controlled, most of them. But we — we’re troublesome, oh so troublesome. We don’t want to work? I don’t think that’s the issue.

I specifically looked into how much a janitor earns in Moscow, aside from housing. And how much officially? 25,000 rubles a month. Tell me — how many people would refuse to take 25,000 rubles a month plus an apartment?

And do you know why they hire Uzbeks and Tajiks for those jobs? I’ll explain. Because they come in and are told: we’ll give you a job, a work permit, but although your official salary will be listed as 25,000 rubles, we’ll actually give you 10,000 — and you’ll sign off on receiving 25. Do I need to explain where the difference goes? So, is the problem really that people don’t want to work, or is it that the system knows it can’t pull the same scheme with a Russian worker? You can’t tell a Russian: “We’ll let you enter the country, but you have to give us two-thirds of your salary, and we’ll pocket it while you get 10–12

thousand." At best, they get half. But if people were actually paid that salary, if these parasite officials were properly held accountable, then many locals would agree to work for that pay.

A job, an apartment, and 25,000 rubles a month — how many here actually earn that? A few, maybe. What about everyone else? Less. My sister works as a librarian in the Stavropol region, in Mineralnye Vody. Her salary is 5,000 rubles a month. Is that a lot? Others in the provinces earn 3 to 5 thousand rubles a month. Can you survive on that? And yet people go to those jobs and work. But somehow, all the newcomers get the chance to earn — even if half is taken from them — but still, compare 5,000 rubles my sister earns with the 12,000 a janitor keeps. See the difference?

And they use this to show that Russians are lazy. But how many were wiped out in Russia? The peasantry — how was it exterminated? I remember studying the history of the Party and school history well. At that time, 5 million kulak households were dispossessed. What does 5 million households mean? It's not just the head of the household and his wife — it's 5–6 children per family back then. Multiply 5 million households by 5–6, at least by 5 — you get 25 million people. Most were simply robbed, many were killed, the rest were deported to Siberia, dumped in the middle of the taiga with nothing. One train car would be given a few axes and a shovel. And yet, many survived and worked hard. And then they even fought off the Germans — despite everything.

What was Khrushchev doing in the 1960s? I know it very well. He imposed monetary taxes on every collective farmer for each little tree — for each apple tree, cherry tree, for every chicken, sheep, piglet, pig. Do you know how much most collective farmers earned in cash per year? 5 to 10 rubles at most. Is that a lot? And that money was used to buy matches, kerosene, nails. For their workdays they received food products, which they could sell in the city to get some cash — but they weren't issued passports, so most collective farmers couldn't travel to sell anything. Did they have a choice? They had to pay. Now imagine — if every currant bush or tree cost 15–20 kopecks in tax, add that up. They had to pay more in taxes on those trees than they earned in cash. Which meant they had no money for kerosene, for matches, for nails — nothing was left.

So, people were forced to slaughter their remaining livestock, to cut down their trees. Is this a case of people not wanting to work — or of them being denied the means to do anything? Again, I say, most would gladly agree to a salary of 25,000 rubles per month plus an apartment. Many don't have apartments. People are willing to work just to get a place to live — and on top of that, 25,000 rubles is a good salary. You can more or less live on it if you have a place to live. But if you also have to rent a flat and pay 30–40 thousand rubles in Moscow — or more — with a salary of 25 thousand, it's impossible.

So, the issue is not that people don't want to work — it's that conditions are created in such a way that no one can do anything. But if people were given a real opportunity to show what they're capable of — they absolutely would.