

Dear Friends!

Before you is a stenographic collection compiled from video materials of meetings with readers of the outstanding Russian scientist, writer, academician of several academies, radio physicist, and author of fundamental research on the issues of the universe and the origin of life, macro- and microworlds—Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov. He managed to explain complex processes of the universe in a simple and accessible language.

This collection includes thirty-eight meetings, with questions specified and answers provided. The text has been edited for easier reading. Each question is accompanied by a video link to help you study the topic in more detail and depth.

We hope that this edition will become a reference book for everyone who seeks to unlock their potential at a level that few can even imagine, and that the knowledge you acquire will become familiar and understandable.

Wishing you fruitful creativity on your path to enlightenment!

2017 – 2020

We live in a time of change when there is no longer a single point of support. Traditional ideologies, social and economic structures are crumbling to dust before our very eyes. Scientific proofs that were once unquestionable cease to work, turning into misconceptions.

During this time, N.V. Levashov burst into the lives of many of us, turning them upside down—only to later reveal that, in fact, he had set our further development on the right path. I am sure many remember the sudden shock of loneliness on that rainy June day when it seemed that magic had forever left our earthly world with Nicolai's last breath.

However, that breath turned out to be so powerful that it gave rise to many others, echoing across the planet. There is no need to lament the hardships of our time—we were all born into this era for a reason, to make it better.

The stenographic collection, compiled from video materials of meetings with the readers of Nicolai Levashov and presented to readers, is one of the initial steps for those who seek knowledge. The people who created this collection, to whom I am grateful for their work, are not indifferent to everything happening around us today. Their desire to expand the information available for understanding can and should only be welcomed.

New Knowledge does not come easily. People are not accustomed to thinking in multidimensional information blocks and breaking away from outdated dogmas and stereotypes. It is difficult to reconsider once unshakable truths. In this collection—if read carefully, and even better, if one watches the videos of N. Levashov's speeches presented there, then reads the transcripts of these videos—one can find answers to many questions that concern almost everyone. It is no secret that even within the framework of the old scientific paradigm, our knowledge is full of blind spots and lags far behind the

level of understanding we should have, the level that Nicolai Levashov spoke about. The purpose of creating this collection is to serve as one of the beacons for seekers of knowledge and truth.

Watch, read, and learn. Wishing you all success.

— F. Shkrudnev

## 1. Meeting 01/28/2012

Introductory speech by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov.



Good afternoon to everyone who came today. I apologize for the crowded space, but I hope no one minds. Maybe it's even a good thing—given the weather, you'll keep each other warm.

As usual, I will begin my speech with some statistical information. At the moment, a total of 2,751,636 books have been downloaded from our two websites—both from "Sovetnik" and mine. This process continues, which speaks volumes. Even in January, when many people are on holiday and celebrating in earnest, 57,092 books were downloaded. People, even in such a festive season, do not forget to read books of this kind—not just detective stories—which shows that this knowledge is important, interesting, and necessary for them. This makes me happy because it means that what I do and what Svetlana wrote in her books is truly needed by people, and that is very good. And now another statistic—about website visits. It took five years to reach the first million visits, which happened on January 10, 2010. The second million was reached on January 10, 2011.

As of 2012, the number has already reached 4,560,000 visits. That means 2.5 million visits in just one year. And from January 13th until today, there have been another 60,000 visits to the websites. This is a very good statistic, showing that people's interest is truly growing. It is not fading but, on the contrary,

increasing. As you know, there has been a lot of sabotage, and many attempts to block the websites. However, they haven't been very successful—hopefully, they will continue to be just as “successful” in the future.

So, those gathered here are people who care about the fate of our country and the fate of the people living in it. If there are no people, there will be no country. The future of our children and grandchildren is being decided, perhaps, right now. Because what happens in the coming years will determine what and how things will unfold in the near future.

I won't be revealing anything new by saying that everyone is trying to tear Russia apart, to divide it. In doing so, they aim to turn it into a mere conglomerate of small principalities, where each ruler—well, you understand what kind of "princes" I mean. In the past, princes were not chosen simply by whoever seized power or had the most money in their pockets. They were elected by the people for a specific term.

That is why enemies often exploit historical events. Remember how the Novgorodians exiled Alexander Nevsky, then invited him back, then exiled him again? Those who have read even the modern version of history will know this. But that's not the point—he was a military prince, a khan. Power was transferred to the military khan during times of war.

During peaceful periods, a secular prince ruled, handling administrative and economic matters. When war approached, power shifted to the military prince. He was invited from the horde, which he led. He would arrive, command the troops, and defend Novgorod and other territories.

You see how they twisted the story—making it seem like he was exiled and then, swallowing his pride, returned. So much lies, so much deceit—it keeps coming, and I am astonished.

No matter which side you look at, any myth, any nonsense is presented as the ultimate truth.

When it comes to Russia, everything is the opposite. What did Cyril say? That if Cyril and Methodius hadn't brought writing in the 14th century, Slavs should be grateful, as they were worse than wild animals? And who is saying this? The head of the church. Amusing words for someone who is supposed to care deeply about the country and its people. So, he called them worse than animals. But Cyril and Methodius had nothing to do with Russian writing. They created Church Slavonic script, which is a different thing altogether. It is distinct from Russian writing.

And one might ask—what language were they writing in then? Even in Novgorod, which at that time was a remote province, in small villages and settlements, people wrote letters to each other on birch bark. Yet, this is not mentioned in school textbooks. They counted harvests, recorded income, and kept accounts, but supposedly, they only learned to write in the 14th century.

Unfortunately, by the 14th century, almost no literate people remained. While in the 9th-10th centuries, almost everyone, even in the most remote areas, could read, write, and count, then by the 14th century, literacy had become a privilege of a narrow circle, mainly priests and part of the aristocracy.

Did Christianity bring good progress? Well, that's a separate conversation. In fact, there is a question related to this.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

**1.1. QUESTION:** Being baptized as a child, I was instilled with the corresponding faith. However, I have always felt deep inside that something was wrong, that I was confused by the existence of Matrona of Moscow, Xenia of St. Petersburg, Seraphim of Sarov, and other enlightened healers who managed to heal, despite professing Christianity. How can this be?

**ANSWER:** You see, this is indeed what they shout about, they declared them saints. These were indeed people who did something, but I don't claim to investigate each of them, who they were and what they did. However, I think many of them truly had the ability to heal.

The question is – does this have anything to do with any church? Absolutely nothing. Not in the slightest. It has to do with the genetics of these people who possessed these gifts. They found themselves in an environment that forced them to become monks or nuns, and they were within the church, in the bosom of the church. And they were immediately declared saints.

Because this was immediately perceived as being from God, with the person acting as a conduit, and through them, God heals. And here, "priests"—the quotation marks are intentional—really made good use of such opportunities, and the stance was such that even here, on the European part of Russia, this phenomenon was not very widespread.

Because in Western Europe, as those familiar with *The Malleus Maleficarum* know, the Inquisition took a very simple stance: if it's inside the church, it is from God; if it's outside the church, it is from the devil. Not bad, right? The same thing—one is from God; the other is from the devil. And to free a person from the devil, they must be burned. The purifying fire, so to speak, frees the person from the devil's grasp. A wonderful position.

In other words, anyone who refused to support the church's position, which was entirely based on lies, was destroyed. It was all a complete lie, not to mention what is written in the New Testament. Those who wish can read it. Some things I wrote, some things Svetlana wrote on this topic, and more can be read in detail. There are really so many mistakes and errors. Hundreds of mistakes that make you wonder how people don't notice them.

In principle, I am not surprised why – because there is a program embedded there, and the person reading it really sees and perceives only what those who laid it down want, this is the program. They did not even hesitate to leave many things unchanged because they were 100% sure that no one would ever be able to see the truth.

Has anyone read the book *The Hour of the Bull* by Efremov? Do you remember, he wrote about an island that no one could see, even though it existed? He created such an image that the suggestion was so powerful that the inhabitants of the planet he described could not see the island. However, those who came from another planet could see it just fine. The influence on human consciousness was so strong that they perceived the material they read exactly how those who laid the program wanted them to. The influence was on the subconscious level.

Everyone has heard of the 25th frame, right? Well, this works a thousand times more effectively than the 25th frame. And, moreover, a person will not see it or hear anything, yet they end up hypnotizing

themselves. That's why things like the manifestation of certain traits and qualities in people were used very deliberately.

And now, when children—often referred to as indigo children—are born, church officials try to seize them immediately, try to control and hypnotize them, using them in the sense that they go through the church, claiming it's from God. Because without all this, the church is just empty noise. Because there are no such miracles.

Those healers, more often than not, not knowing or understanding the nature of their gift, often end up ruining themselves. Especially children, who perish because they don't understand what's going on and just burn themselves out. And strangely, the church or distant relatives—sometimes even not so distant—make money off them. And moments like these truly confuse people. But how, then, did they actually do something?

The thing is, many things, especially when a person perceives and reacts well, happen, and there are no miracles here, and no divine origin. Christianity has been in Russia for a thousand years, or as they say—two thousand years. Although, it's not Christianity, but the cult of Osiris, which has been around for two thousand years. But civilization existed on our territory for over one hundred thousand years. And if nothing had existed before the church suddenly arrived, and something appeared afterward, then—of course, that would make sense.

But when a person already has a natural gift, and they come to the church and work for the church, whether knowingly or unknowingly used, that has nothing to do with the church itself. So, were there healers before Christianity appeared? Of course, there were! Back then, other religions existed.

In principle, the Vedic worldview is not a religion, as many now try to claim, calling it a new faith of Vedism, attempting to turn it into a religion—it was never a religion. It was an atheistic worldview. For them, it was not a religion because they said for good reason: "We are the children and grandchildren of Dazhbog." In other words, those who were called gods were simply people who reached a certain informational level of development and could do things that were impossible without reaching this level. But they said: we are the children and grandchildren. Our ancestors said this themselves. What does this indicate? It shows that the concept of a god was replaced with another concept. Similarly, later, the concept of "spouse" and "partner in life" was cleverly replaced. Sometimes, this is still used. For example, I know that the true Mary Magdalene was referred to as Christ's partner.

In those times, it meant "wife." Not bad, right? Although sometimes it is used in that sense even now. But they replaced one word with another, and "spouse" and "partner" became simply those who walked together with someone else. They became "companions," or better yet, "traveling companions." This is how the substitution of words happens; they replace one word with another and change the meaning.

Or take the phrase "Lord God," although our ancestors never referred to God as "Lord God." They simply called them "gods." This was just an evolutionary status, the quality of development of a person who could reach the level of creation. When a person creates—this is already the level of a god. But not in the way it's understood today, but in a completely different, materialistic sense. Our ancestors were materialists because they knew far more about the world.

Now, when you listen to the clergy or specialists commenting on what happens after life, life, and death, you listen and think, where did they come up with such nonsense? You want to ask – have you even read

anything about this? Do you know anything yourself? – "I read." – And besides books, is there anything else? But really, what happens? The ether gets filled with pseudo-information. And the goal is simply to create an effect.

For example, if a person hears something, someone says something about it, and they spout some nonsense that immediately causes rejection in the person, for instance. When they hear another word of the same type, the person is already immediately set against it. They don't even want to listen to what's behind it. This is an information war. And a very serious one. Because usually, it's like, "Oh, we know this!" Fine, we say some things, but what do you know?

Here's the thing... Do you know the word? Now explain what this word stands for. And, strangely enough, they can't say anything. That's exactly the point—what we have is an information war that has been going on for a long time, but people just don't pay attention to it. However, in any case, we need to start thinking independently. It doesn't mean we should reject everything and become nihilists. But I always stick to the position: a person has the right to their own opinion, that is their right. But if they start offering their opinion to others, they need to prove it. And if it's proven that their opinion is unfounded, they should abandon the false belief, no matter how unpleasant it is to deny their creation and admit, "Sorry, I was wrong." Unfortunately, most people, even when shown proof, continue to push their point.

Again, unfortunately, the society that has been created today inevitably influences people's consciousness and the formation of an individual. Some may know that in ancient China, deformities were deliberately created. How? They would take a clay vessel of strange shape and place a child inside it. As the child grew, their body would take the form of the vessel. Once the child filled the vessel with their body, the vessel would be broken, and the child would be left with the shape of the vessel. This external influence would deform the physical body.

The social parasites who have ruled the world for thousands of years are now facing their own agony, but in the past, they did the same thing with people's souls. The soul, however, cannot be seen externally. Once the vessel was broken, the body remained twisted. Similarly, since the soul is not visible to most people, the deformation, destruction, or blockage of development is often unnoticed. Moreover, who understands what's happening? Most people do not realize that being under constant pseudo-informational influence leads to a distortion of their own perception.

If a person realizes they were mistaken because they lacked enough information, they should move forward for their own good. They should think, "Ah, I didn't consider this, I didn't consider that," and perhaps revise their opinion, take the next step, and discover something interesting. But no, everyone says, "I figured something out, and I don't want to understand anything more. This works for me, and that's it." To make this clearer, let's consider the objective and subjective reasons. Subjectively, it's related to the influence of the parasitic system, and objectively, it's something else...

Imagine a person standing on the first floor. They look around and see what their field of vision allows them to perceive. But imagine there are, say, a thousand floors. If they go up to the tenth floor, they'll see far more than from the first. If they go to the hundredth or thousandth floor, they'll have an even broader view. The higher they go, the more information is available to their sight, and naturally, their understanding will be different. However, on each floor, what they've absorbed will be true to some extent.

To draw a parallel with a mosaic, let's say a mosaic is made up of 100 pieces. On the first floor, there might be one piece, on the second floor, two pieces, and on the hundredth, the hundredth piece. So, on each floor, the person sees one piece of the mosaic. On the first floor, can they make much out of that one piece? Not really. In order to form a more or less complete picture, a person needs at least 70% of the full mosaic. Only then can they start to form a proper image. However, even then, errors are possible because the missing 30% of pieces may hold crucial information—each piece represents the next level of perception, not on the same level as before.

This is the objective reason. The subjective reason lies in a person's desire not to understand the nature of things but to admire themselves for what they have learned. For instance, once someone feels they have achieved some level of understanding, they may say, "This is it. I've reached the limit, nothing beyond this is possible."

I can honestly say I'm very grateful to my enemies for one thing. I never considered the knowledge I acquired to be the final answer, as though there was nothing more to discover. When you're moving forward on your own, you only perceive what you've encountered or developed. There's always more to learn, and understanding is constantly evolving.

When enemies try to bring you down, they don't target your strengths—they go for the weaknesses, the blind spots, the areas where you lack knowledge. And when they strike, it's precisely where you're most vulnerable. This forces you to take action, and either you find a solution, or you don't. In one scenario, things turn out okay, but in another, it could be your downfall.

In those moments, it becomes essential to understand the *why* and the *how*—why you're in this situation, where it's coming from, what caused it, and what is the purpose behind it. This kind of awareness, the constant quest for understanding, is key to navigating challenges and surviving them.

The process of learning from these experiences, constantly reflecting on and adapting your knowledge, can ultimately strengthen you, even if the path is difficult and full of uncertainty. It's about growing through adversity and becoming stronger, wiser, and more prepared for the next challenge.

The most interesting thing is that when there is no interaction, it's like the saying: "Go there, I don't know where; bring back something, I don't know what." Makes sense, right? When you don't know where to go or why, it's hard to bring back anything.

But once action begins—once you start getting hit—then it's no longer about wandering aimlessly. You're being attacked with something specific. And that forces you to study *what* you're being hit with.

I had a certain amount of experience, but of course, I had *so much* time to study—sarcastically speaking. So, I had to quickly fill in the gaps I hadn't addressed before. Maybe I would have discovered them eventually, or maybe I never would have. I might have just kept moving forward in some narrow corridor. But my adversaries actually helped me expand that corridor as much as possible.

And in this way, I not only managed to solve problems but also, thanks to these situations, sometimes even found fundamentally new solutions that I wouldn't have discovered otherwise—simply because I lacked the missing pieces of the puzzle needed to complete the picture.

And there have been many such stages in my life. Unfortunately, most people don't do this. I don't understand why. To me, it's strange. On the contrary, if you've achieved something, if you've understood something—it's a joy to have realized it. But to believe that what you've understood is already the Absolute Truth? That's utter nonsense. I can't call it anything else. Unfortunately, the parasitic system imposes this mindset, discouraging people from seeking further, from digging deeper and deeper.

Like in that joke—let's lighten the mood a bit. It's an American joke, but it's funny, about the inner voice.

A cowboy is riding across the prairie. Suddenly, his inner voice says:  
"Stop your horse, get off, take a shovel, and start digging. There's a treasure here."

So, he starts digging. He digs and digs, then asks,  
"How much longer do I have to dig?"

The inner voice replies:  
"Keep digging."

He keeps digging, and by now, he's dug a really deep hole.  
"There's nothing here! Who would bury something this deep?"

The voice insists:  
"Keep digging!"

He keeps going and finally hits a huge chest filled with treasure. And then the inner voice says:  
"Wow, what a prank I pulled!"

So, sometimes, you need to dig deeper, even when it seems pointless. Because what seems meaningless is often just a result of imposed patterns—patterns that blind people. And to avoid that blindness, we must break free from those patterns.

And again, this doesn't mean rejecting everything outright. Saying, "Everything that was done before can be discarded, and only new knowledge will create the truth"—that's absolute nonsense too.

Instead, we must understand what has already been created. Imagine if progress had stopped because people believed the Earth was flat and that the entire universe revolved around it? Some still believe that today—I've mentioned this before. There's even a Flat Earth Society.

To this day, some people truly believe that the Earth is flat and that all the stars revolve around it. Well, let them believe what they want. But the vast majority of people already understand quite well that the Earth is indeed round and that it is not the center of the universe.

You see, the situation has changed—just a little more information has appeared. Every morning, when we wake up, we don't feel like we're moving. We're standing still. Yet, the Sun rises in the east, moves across the sky, and sets in the west. So, who's really moving? We aren't, right? Our senses deceive us. They create the illusion that we're stationary and that everything else—the Sun, the stars—is moving around us. But, these "objective facts" are actually incomplete. That's why we mustn't be narrow-



minded or cling to something just because someone once established it. Anything created is merely a step forward, not the final truth.

And if modern science openly admits that it knows nothing about 90% of the universe's matter, what does that tell us? If they said they were uncertain about 5%, 2%, or even 30%, that would be understandable. But 90%?!

And yet, despite this, they claim the right to absolute truth? Now that, my friends, sounds like madness.

Alright, now let's move on to the questions that have already been written here.

**1.2. QUESTION:** There is an opinion that tension in Russian society is currently being artificially and deliberately created, which will most likely escalate into chaos after March 4. Officials will flee to Sochi and the West.

**ANSWER:** Why run away from Russia? NATO troops will be deployed, and the future head of state will be Matvienko. I won't comment on Matvienko because I don't know anything about that. As for the previous questions, as you understand, the situation has been escalating for a long time, not just now. Many things were attempted on December 10, and on the 24th, they tried to use people. On December 10<sup>th</sup> there was a protest against the Duma elections. Remember? And people were outraged. It's just that some of those outraged were people who weren't paid for what they were doing. They were deceived, and then they became indignant. And the others, the majority, were those who, upon learning the truth, were genuinely outraged. The question is: was it any different before? When information is deliberately leaked, what does that indicate? Who benefits from it? Those who benefit from it are the ones creating the situation.

On October 10<sup>th</sup> and then again on the 24<sup>th</sup> they planned to do something similar to what happened in October 1993. Remember? The shelling of the parliament. They planned to stage a massacre, and for this, specialists from Israel arrived on the 10<sup>th</sup>—200 people. They flew in and flew out, and not a single person was killed. But they were supposed to kill many, to create a precedent and spark a civil war.

And there is a signed order, an agreement that in the event of a "threat to democracy" in Russia, NATO troops would be deployed immediately, without any formalities. That is, as soon as something happens, they—bam! —are right here!

But would something like that be necessary? In 2010, when they created that heatwave—remember? The same thing. It wasn't just a "threat to democracy," but also an environmental catastrophe. If we list everything that has happened over the years, things that people barely know about, but which really took place—or didn't happen because some people cared and others prevented it—many would be horrified to know the kind of atrocities that have been planned and are still being planned.

But what is being prepared now, another round of atrocities, it will end the same as before. I hope for that, and I hope that they themselves are already in despair. Because all the methods that worked before, for some reason do not work. Remember how it all started? The satellite did not launch before December 24? And not only that one, others too. And do you remember the report? About an unknown

radiation? I did not report this. Well, naturally, for them, it was “unknown.” Because that satellite had more on it than was publicly stated, just like the previous ones.

As it turns out, a ton of extra cargo, or rather, a ton of extra fuel was on the carrier rocket. Excuse me, but who will load an extra ton of fuel if there wasn't a corresponding weight that required it? They just declare only part of the truth. What do you think is hidden behind what is not declared? They themselves are fools, why would they even mention the extra ton of fuel?

This means our space center is completely incompetent. Who would load an extra ton of fuel if it couldn't even be used? Because the stage will be ejected at a certain altitude, and this extra ton will fall and burn, and potentially cause problems. Each kilogram is calculated according to the payload that this carrier rocket is supposed to deliver into orbit. I think any schoolchild understands that.

The same is true here. That extra ton of fuel was supposed to carry equipment designed to influence the masses, forcing them to act aggressively. In other words, to create an artificial revolutionary situation - to spark a massacre and trigger a civil war. They have been trying to achieve this for several years because Russia cannot be taken by any other means. But this attempt will also fail.

**1.3. QUESTION:** Do Americans really do color correction on images from Mars? What does Mars actually look like, is there life on it, and why didn't Phobos reach its destination?

**ANSWER:** Phobos didn't reach its destination because there was more to it than just that. Before exiting Earth's orbit and flying toward Mars, the satellite makes several orbits around Earth to gain the necessary speed to escape Earth's gravitational pull. During these orbits, there was enough time for certain equipment—equipment related to those extra tons—to take action at the right moment.

And it was no coincidence that the launch took place before the 24th, just like many other launches that were scheduled around other organized events.

There was a recent program that mentioned Gagarin, among other things. And who was Gagarin? Gagarin was not the first cosmonaut. Gagarin was the first cosmonaut to return alive. That is the truth. Because all those who were launched before him perished.

I believe this information is accurate - our people were on the Moon before the Americans. But they had a one-way ticket.

(Someone from the audience: "A film about this was recently shown.")

I haven't seen the film.

You know, others cannot understand the mentality of our people. I've said this before, but I'll repeat it. When the Chernobyl accident happened, the automation failed. There was one worker—no one forced him, no one offered him money—who jumped into the boiling heavy water. Do you understand what that means? He swam through and manually opened the valve with his own hands until he died, preventing a full-scale explosion.

Why did he do it? For their mentality, it's hard to comprehend. They think he must have either been threatened or offered a huge sum of money. But here, no one forced him, no one stood over him, and yet he jumped in, knowing he would die, and did what had to be done.

A nation becomes great only when it has people like this—those who are willing to sacrifice themselves for others without seeking anything in return. Until they understand that, they will never truly grasp our strength.

Not for glory, because, what does glory matter to the dead? Will it make things easier for him? Maybe his essence will hear it, and that might be pleasant, but there is little sense in that. When a person acts out of an inner necessity, because they feel compelled to do so, that's a different matter. And for many other nations, this is simply incomprehensible. Our people, along with other indigenous peoples who lived together on this land for more than a hundred thousand years (those who have read my books know a little about this - one of my books was banned, but it contains this information as well), survived because our ancestors were capable of self-sacrifice. For them, the only shame was betrayal of the Motherland. Treason was worse than death.

Do you know who was considered the worst kind of slaves? The Slavs. They only took small children – because only then could they be broken. Not all, but most of them. Because they are always trying to escape, return to their homeland, free themselves. And other nations have been in slavery, but they. . . they could never truly be subdued.

Religions were invented about a thousand years ago - a "clever" move, a real trap. They wiped out up to 90% of the local population, leaving only small children to impose a new, false worldview—a slave mentality.

After all, the essence of Christianity is the cult of death. The greatest virtue, according to it, is to die. It teaches that this life is hell, and only when you die will you find paradise—but only if you blindly and obediently submit to everything and everyone. If you rebel or protest, you will end up in hell again.

This religion was created for slaves. And only in the 16th century, when Vedic traditions were incorporated into Christian practice, did real progress begin. But even then, there was still chaos.

Despite all these situations, in critical moments, the peoples of Russia—and the Rus in particular—always stood strong. Because we have always been the majority. And it is always the majority that perishes.

Everyone is shouting, but everyone admits that in the same war, 80% of all the hundred-plus nations, of which more than 130 nations lived on the territory of the Soviet Union, perished among the Slavs. I do not separate the Rus, Ukrainians, and Belarusians. This is an artificial division. Geneticists have studied, I understand, our geneticists, who are interested in finding something like that. But when a study by Western geneticists showed that the genetics of a Russian (or rather, a Rus), Ukrainian, and Belarusian are 100% identical, what does this mean? That this is one single people. They are simply artificially divided and pitted against each other. And those who shout the loudest about nationalism in these countries are those who have no connection to these peoples at all. It was on the territory of the Soviet Union, then Russia, that many nations lived for more than 100,000 years, who fought side by side, defended the land, and shed their blood together. There was never a reason to divide them.

Persecution, oppression, and genocide, I believe, mainly began when Rus was baptized. Then, when the Romanovs seized power, and later, the Bolsheviks continued the same practices. The genocide primarily

targeted the Rus. The most disenfranchised people in the Russian Empire were the Russians—that is, the Rus.

The goal was to distort and erase the concept of the Rus. The term "Russian" was left only as a language designation. When you get used to it, you stop noticing the difference—everywhere else, it's clear: a Frenchman speaks French, a German speaks German, a Ukrainian speaks Ukrainian, a Belarusian speaks Belarusian. But only with Russians do both the people and the language share the same adjective.

But we are Rus by nationality. Our language is Russian, our culture is Russian, but we ourselves are Rus! These three so-called "separate" nations are not three different peoples—they are one single people that has been artificially divided and is being torn further apart.

This is the well-known "divide and conquer" strategy. The parasites behind it operate very effectively. That's why, like in fairy tales, it is said: "Here is the Russian spirit, here it smells of Rus." This phrase is not accidental—it echoes everywhere.

In other countries, they cannot even understand what this means or how to grasp it, because they want something tangible, something they can touch. But this is a state of the soul, not money that can be counted.

**1.4. QUESTION:** Does the size of the Solar System change? How does the distance between the Sun and the planets change, and what is the cause?

**ANSWER:** First of all, planetary orbits are stable because they can only change if the object itself changes. The Sun has a specific mass. Of course, the Sun gradually loses mass because solar radiation results in mass loss. However, this change occurs over millions of years, so I don't think anyone alive today will witness a real shift in planetary orbits due to this.

And the planets themselves, in principle, increase in size – mainly due to meteorites. Dust continuously settles on them, and because planets attract this dust, their mass gradually increases. So, while the Sun is losing a small amount of mass, the planets are gaining mass.

To some extent, this creates a kind of natural balance, which is why the orbits remain quite stable and do not change significantly. For any real change to occur, there would need to be a very strong external gravitational influence. Unless that happens, nothing will change.

**1.5. QUESTION:** What is your opinion on the bringing of the Belt of the Virgin Mary to Russia? What is the purpose of this event?

**ANSWER:** O. Henry has a great story called *"The Trust that Burst."* The idea is simple: they would go to small towns. In America, a place with 200-300 residents is already called a town. They had a mystery—something you could learn for a price. "Pay a dollar." Back then, a dollar was worth a lot. People would enter a tent, where there was a mirror, and below it was written: *"You are a fool, but do you really want to be the only fool?"* The person would leave without revealing the "miracle" they had seen. This went on until the entire town had passed through—until everyone became fools together. And once everyone was in the same situation, the organizers would disappear in time, taking all the dollars and vanishing before they got caught.

The purpose here is the same. How many people died in those lines? I think a lot. Do you know how many bodies were taken away by ambulances? Not a single word was said about that. But did this so-called relic save even one person? This has nothing to do with the actual Belt of the Virgin Mary. Many such belts are circulating around the world. Can you imagine the waist size of the Virgin Mary? If all the supposed fragments of her belt were put together, how long would that belt have to be? But that's just a joke. And as they say, every joke contains a grain of truth.

The point is, this is just an attempt to create something similar to religious processions. People sometimes report improvements—some stand up from their crutches, walk without them. Such cases do happen. But this doesn't happen because they are miraculously healed during the procession. It happens because when a huge crowd gathers, their collective energy builds up. In a state of trance, a person can enter a kind of ecstatic state, and this surge of energy allows them to temporarily feel normal. They may walk without crutches. However, after some time, everything returns to how it was before. And the most interesting part? They have *professional* cripples—every religious event, the same ones "come back to life." Again, and again! The same people, over and over.

There are people who can influence others through sheer mental suggestion. When they enter a religious trance, they can imagine that wounds open up on their bodies, just like Christ's when he was nailed to the cross. And wounds actually appear! And the church recognizes this as a miracle. But the funniest part? In most cases, people imagine the wounds in the exact spots where they are depicted in paintings and icons. "Here is the wound!" (points to the palm). But this is complete nonsense! If you drive a nail through the palm, nothing would hold. Any slight movement would tear the tissue apart, and the person would simply fall off the cross. Historically, nails were always driven here—between the ulna and radius bones. That way, the person wouldn't slip off. But strangely, not a single one of these so-called "miraculous" wounds ever appears here (points to the wrist). For some reason, they always appear *here* (points to the palm).

The crown of thorns—well, that's obvious. We have only one head, and there's no other place to put a crown. Of course, for some people, their "head" might be located lower (below the waist), but I've never seen wounds appear there. Yet all these so-called "pseudo-Christ" always get their wounds *here* (points to the palm). Why? Because of misinformation or an inaccurate image. The painters didn't know anatomy well. It was only later that Leonardo da Vinci started studying it. The rest didn't. They were simply told, "*His hands were pierced,*" so they painted the wounds on the palms. If this were real, the wounds would appear *here* (points to the wrist), where crucifixion nails were actually placed. But they always appear where people *expect* them to be. What is this? Autosuggestion! Some people have an extreme sensitivity to suggestion, though they are a small percentage of the population. Such individuals can be put into a state where they genuinely believe something is happening to them. For example, you can tell them, "*I'm about to touch you with a hot iron,*" even though they see nothing. You just *tell* them it will be extremely painful. Then, when you touch them with a pencil or even a cold object, a burn mark actually appears at the point of contact.

Can you imagine? A person can suggest this to themselves. Is this a miracle? No, it's simply the wonder of the human psyche. But it has absolutely nothing to do with anything divine or with God. If we are to talk about something as a fact, we need evidence. For example, let's say someone was sick, they touched the belt, and then they were healed. Do we have any medical records proving they were sick before? A full medical examination showing specific health issues? And then, after touching the relic, did those issues disappear? Has even a single case been proven? Have you heard of any? No—only stories and hearsay.

Several articles about my results were published in American journals. They simply couldn't come up with an alternative explanation. Do you think that happened by chance? No. Medical data was collected—not just my personal records, but X-ray results, blood tests, and MRI scans. Before, during, and after the process—since it wasn't a single moment but a gradual transformation. These findings were published. And what happened? Silence. As if nothing ever existed. Why? Because it's not in their interest to acknowledge it! Now, if I had claimed, "*Oh, this is God's will working through me,*" or "*This is divine intervention!*"—do you understand? They told me: "*Either God is acting through you, or you are the Messiah, or you are God Himself, and then everyone will follow you.*" But why would I need that? I am not God, not a Messiah, and I have no intention of playing into the hands of charlatans. Because that's exactly what they are—charlatans. Even if certain things happen, they have nothing to do with divine power, the so-called holy belt, or anything else like that. Yes, in many cases, I do things—touch an object, water, and when a person drinks it, many of their illnesses disappear. Is that a miracle? No. It's simply a program I create that triggers certain processes. There is no miracle here. Knowledge? Yes. But a miracle? No!

But when ignorance prevails, when people confuse knowledge with miracles—that's when deception thrives. Take this, for example: "*The tomb of Muhammad floats in the air.*" Sounds incredible, right? But did you know that the tomb is made of iron? Now, if they had placed the body in a wooden coffin instead, it wouldn't be floating. Do you know why? Because the area where the tomb is located has strong deposits of magnetic iron ore. Naturally, the metal of the coffin became magnetized and is suspended in the air. We already know that trains can levitate above magnets. Is there a miracle in this? No. But in ancient times, when people knew absolutely nothing about magnetism, this seemed like magic. And those who staged it? Oh, they knew exactly what they were doing.

**1.6. QUESTION:** Here is one of my old acquaintances —though "acquaintance" might be a stretch. Please help me get rid of the blockages set in me by Dmitry Rasskazov. I need removed the blockage in my brain set through him, a blockage imposed by the system through an impact, and a blockage of the crystal on my chest that I received two years ago.

**ANSWER:** This man (Nadezhda Yakovlevna also knows him well), who has never been to any of my lectures. But after I left, he suddenly declared himself my best student! And that I instructed him to teach people. He doesn't even know  $2 \times 2 = 4$ ! But, of course, people who think he's telling the truth get into such trouble. I'll help you; I'll try to save you. And some people are still listening. He also came to people, saying I got a fax from Nicolai Levashov, or Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov, and he approves of everything I do. I haven't heard about him since September 1991. I haven't seen or heard anything. And every fool can print a piece of paper and go out, show it, wave it in front of the public. And they believe again! They thought he wouldn't lie.

**1.7. QUESTION:** Tell me, please, what is the function of the crystal located in the center of the chest? Why do enemies try to destroy or block it?

**ANSWER:** It is not really a crystal yet, it is an energy center, in which a crystal can indeed form as development progresses. However, this energy center is what Hindus call a chakra. It is essentially an antenna that absorbs dark matter from space—matter that modern science does not yet understand, comprising 90% of existence. These are the seven primary matters of our universe which is why there are seven chakras. There are also additional chakras, but they are secondary and unrelated to any soul. The seven main ones, however, do exist.

Why do they try to block it? Because by blocking the reception of a certain energy flow in a person's essence, they hinder their ability to develop. The extent of this blockage determines the limitation of a person's growth (I describe in *The Last Appeal to Humanity* how a specific chakra can be blocked). The specific chakra targeted depends on the intended goal. Most often, the solar plexus is blocked, but if the blockage is in the chest, it affects consciousness and the ability to evolve. However, the solar plexus is still the most commonly blocked area. This is done deliberately to prevent development.

**1.8 QUESTION:** On the website midgard.info, there is an article from January 24 titled "*Retribution at the Door.*" It states that the so-called *Coalition of Perun* (which, after reading, we know never existed—there is no such thing as a *Coalition of Perun*) is allegedly preparing for an imminent occupation of Earth to physically eliminate non-humans—draconians, robots, and harmful viruses, which supposedly make up more than 40% of Earth's population. What can you say about this?

**ANSWER:** Oh! . . . Indeed, all I can say is—Oh! There is no *Coalition of Perun*. They don't need to carry out any kind of landing. What would be the point? They are already here. They have been coming and continue to come incognito because, until recently, our planet was within the *infernal worlds*. The *infernal worlds* are the territory of social parasites, also known as *Koscheis* (as they call them). But the name is not important—the essence of what actually exists is what matters.

As long as the solar system was located within the territory of these parasites, the overt arrival of ships belonging to the forces of light would have provoked a new *star war*. Therefore, in most cases, they came through reincarnation on Earth, like everyone else. There is no other way to come here. Ships did arrive as well, but not on official visits. The only ones who ever came on *official* visits were the parasites themselves, who established contacts with various governments—including, at different times, our own government.

But there is no need for them to fly in because even if they do, so what? They flew in last time, when the Ants rebelled against the mother empire - Great Asia. As a result, Fatta fell. It was blown up. The question is - why was it necessary to blow it up? After all, after the debris fell, what happened? The last ice age, a change in the axis by 23.5 degrees. Civilization was thrown back to the Stone Age. This is a fact, whether we like it or not. And what does this mean? Just like Svarog destroyed Lelya before. Daariya also sank to the bottom of the sea and there were problems as well. Did they wish for bad things? No. It's just that at that moment, and in this case too, they did not have the understanding and knowledge to neutralize all enemies without having debris fall onto the Earth.

Since those times, I believe they have advanced significantly. Hopefully, they have not remained stagnant in their development. This suggests that, at that moment, they had no other choice. Because if they had been able to do something different, then no debris and moons would have fallen.

Yes, it was the lesser evil they caused. But this lesser evil led to the consequences we are now experiencing. The parasites still managed to seize power in the world, and now we live under the occupation of a parasitic system.

Another question, why could it even happen at all that the priests of Antlani did what they did? Did they suddenly just decide to take it because they wanted it? Like the old woman in the tale:

*"I don't want to be a noble lady, I want to be the ruler of the sea,  
And to have the golden fish at my beck and call."*

What kind of madness or whim struck them that these priests suddenly decided they would rule the world? Would a properly developing being even strive for that? No. This means there were distortions. They had contacts with those controllers, those gods, who were watching them. Perun, remember? No, not Perun... (from the audience someone suggest - Diy!) - Yes! He was the observer. This means they were feeding him disinformation. Like they are now feeding it to us from screens. And what happened? At that time, our gods were accustomed to the fact that lying is complete stupidity. That a rational being would not lie. Because, according cosmic laws, any lie gets unraveled down to zero. So logically they thought: if someone is giving information, it must be the truth. But for this rule to work, those who provide the information must be at a certain level of development- one where they understand what truth is, and do not think, "Oh, let's not be naive, we're clever enough ourselves. We'll feed lies as it suits us."

The habit of not lying to each other comes from the fact that, for millions of years, no one lied in those ancestral civilizations from which our forebears came. Why are our people so trusting? Because we believe. Because we assume that people will not lie. And they take advantage of this. I am not calling for unbelief. But it is necessary, as our ancestors said, to respect those who deserve respect, to love those who deserve love, to help those who deserve help and to believe those who have earned trust. That means, before believing someone, a person must prove that he has the right to be trusted. But in our case, we trust everyone. Why? Out of habit, we still believe. We are deceived - yet we believe. We are fed lies, told, *"We are doing everything for your own good,"* and so on. And people believe that the person really tells the truth. Why? Because at the genetic level there is something ingrained in us that has been going on for millions of years before and hundreds of thousands of years on Earth, that people spoke the truth.

In a society where lies are the law, one cannot simply believe, because this is deliberately imposed upon us. And they consider us fools, simpletons. Do you agree with such a definition? I, for one, do not.

I am not saying that one should be a complete non-believer, ignoring everything. But first, the person in whom we place trust must earn it and prove that they deserve to be trusted. Not just anyone who babbles something.

Have you heard of such a person? (Referring to V. Megre). How many hundreds of thousands of active people, especially from the urban intelligentsia, ended up left with nothing in some remote countryside, having no real understanding of what a family estate truly entails?

Who fell into such a trap? Are there such people? Of course, having a family estate is possible. Do you know when? When you build a farm with hundreds of cows, where hired workers—maybe fifty, or even a hundred—are employed. You pay them salaries. And as an employer, you receive your profit and can live and focus on your own development. That is when it becomes viable.

But the whole point was to make people sell their apartments. On top of that, he pulled off a scheme where *Anastasians* were sold land plots at ten times the price at which the land had been purchased from the state. He profited from this as well.



People sold their apartments for next to nothing, were left with nothing, bought plots of land, built houses, and then...

(Voice from the audience: "This is incorrect information!")

- Oh?

(From the audience: "Of course. No one sold their apartments. For example, in our settlement, not a single person sold theirs.")

- Well, maybe *you* didn't sell apartments. But many who left the city did.

(From the audience: "There are more than a hundred estates in our settlement. Not a single person sold an apartment.")

- That means you had the money.

(From the audience: "Do you know how much a hectare of land costs there? 12 thousand rubles.")

- Well, maybe. That means you were lucky. Because I personally know many people in Moscow who sold their apartments at a time when they were worth mere pennies. And now those same apartments are worth millions.

In any case, to truly establish and develop a family estate, one must have sufficient finances to set up production—a serious agricultural enterprise. But to achieve this, many things need to be organized, and significant financial resources are required. One must have the talent of an organizer and an understanding of what agriculture entails.

I have encountered people who wanted to get involved in something—for example, beekeeping. A person thought that by attending seminars for some time, they would learn everything about bees and even be able to make a profit from it. And this person went ahead and did exactly what they planned, despite being advised otherwise—including by me.

My grandmother was a professional beekeeper, one of the best beekeepers in the Soviet Union. She even received an order for her work. Since childhood, I have known firsthand what bees are all about. I simply described to this person what needed to be done, how to do it, so that beekeeping really becomes profitable. But he did not listen; he left, but never actually started beekeeping. However, it's not just about bees. A person must understand, know and be well-versed in various agricultural fields—because farming is hard work. And once again, let's remember how the so-called *kulaks* were dispossessed. What kulaks, for heaven's sake? Five million people were deceived in one way or another. Do you remember, older generations? The younger generation probably doesn't study this anymore. Regarding the whole collective farm epic—when five million kulak households were dispossessed.

Do you know how many people were exiled to Siberia, and most of whom did not survive? Five million households - on average, at least 6 people per household, at the very minimum. Because there were grandparents, parents, and several children. At the very least, there were two or three children per family. Calculate, five million households multiplied by six, and we get thirty million. And in reality, there were even more. The vast majority of these people worked in the fields from dawn to dusk, every single day. Yes, during the harvest season, they hired additional workers who worked alongside them, and ate

from the same table. And these were the so-called kulaks? And they were exiled.... First, they did this to the aristocracy, and then they did the same to the peasants. Now think about how many millions were destroyed - because out of these thirty million families, they did not have just two children, as is common now. Back then, 5 or 6 children usually survived.

How many millions of people were deported, loaded into train cars like cattle and thrown out in the middle of the taiga. For the entire train there was one shovel, one axe, one saw. Those who managed to dig themselves in, dug out earthen shelters. Those who didn't... Siberia in winter, do you understand? If five million of them survived, that would be considered a miracle. Isn't that an example of genocide? They were destroyed. After all, Russia fed the whole world before the revolution. Do you know what struck me? When I was still in school, I don't remember the data exactly, but the point is that when they compared several large countries, the number of cattle in the USA in 1975-1976 was less than the number of cattle that had been forced into collective farms in the Soviet Union.

First came World War I, then the Civil War, and then World War II. And after all that, many peasants and farmers slaughtered their own cattle rather than hand them over to the collective farms. How many animals died in the barns! Because when the cattle were rounded up, many died there, too, because this had never happened before. And yet, even after all that, the livestock population was still larger than in the United States in the 1970s. Can you imagine what wealth agriculture once held?

I think this alone is enough to grasp the sheer scale of the agricultural sector back then. Not to mention the fact that Russia once fed half the world. Are we feeding half the world now? There are many aspects here that were deliberately engineered. Why was something like *Anastasia* developed? Because when a person lacks knowledge or understanding of the things written about in those books, of course, it all seems like a revelation. But for someone with even a little understanding, reading it makes you marvel at the absurdity Megre wrote in his books. It's nonsense upon nonsense. But for people who had never heard or read anything like it before, they couldn't discern what was real and what wasn't. And so, they took it as truth. Their faith was blind—they believed the writer was sincere. There was a lot of emotion in those books—but beyond emotion, there was nothing else.

**1.9. QUESTION:** Does the clothing (pants, skirts, etc., headwear) worn by a woman affect her?

**ANSWER:** Naturally, it does, and in many aspects. It depends on what kind of clothing it is and what it is made of. If it is made from natural fabrics—silk, cotton, linen—then that's one thing. But if it is synthetic, which often has a negative impact, then it's a different matter. Additionally, headwear provided and still provides good protection, but in the past, women tried not to cut their hair, and their hair was truly magnificent. This applied to men as well—they also had better hair.

However, the problem is that nowadays, the chemicals used to treat hair often damage it severely. I can give an example from my own mother. When she was young, she had a braid as thick as an arm, reaching below her waist. She had wavy hair, and over time, she grew tired of brushing it every morning. Then, on what I consider an unfortunate day, she went to a hairdresser and cut her hair to about the length of her neck (showing the level with a hand gesture). It was fashionable at the time, so she also got a perm. She left her braid at the salon, and the hairdressers were very happy about it. However, they did the perm so poorly that they burned her hair. I don't think it was out of happiness for her—maybe out of jealousy. Because to burn hair like that, you really have to try! After that, it took a long time for her hair to recover, but it was never the same again. What does this tell us?

Women love to dye and change the color of their hair. But this doesn't come without consequences. All these chemicals—there's nothing good in them. There are natural dyes that have minimal impact. But chemicals are still chemicals.

This isn't just about hair, but it still concerns chemicals. I'm amazed that people buy milk in stores, and it lasts for a whole month without spoiling. And they are so happy about it! So, satisfied! But if milk spoils in two days, turning sour, they complain. Meanwhile, they enjoy drinking "fresh" milk for an entire month without questioning why it doesn't go bad.

The reason is simple: even lactic acid bacteria cannot survive in that milk! Lactic acid bacteria are some of the most primitive living organisms, having survived for billions of years—they have maximum survivability. And yet, they can't live in that milk. This suggests that about 70% of it is made up of chemicals!

If you read the label, you'll see that one preservative is added to keep the milk fresh. Then another chemical is added to neutralize the first one. Then a third chemical is added to neutralize the second one. Then a fourth, fifth, tenth—each one neutralizing the previous substances that were introduced.

If you calculate it by volume, there's very little actual milk left in there. Now, do you think drinking such milk will be beneficial? I believe it's better to let it spoil. In fact, drinking sour milk—whether kefir or naturally soured milk—is actually healthier. At least it provides some benefit.

**1.10. FUNNY QUESTION:** Putin and many other government officials have started promoting the national idea of Russians and other indigenous peoples of Russia. As you wrote, this is just pre-election PR.

**ANSWER:** I have observed some really interesting things. I will say something during a speech, and then, strangely enough, they repeat it almost word for word – but not entirely. Part of it is said, and the other part is kept silent. Because they understand perfectly well that this is the state into which the Russian people, the Rus, were driven first and foremost. Others are also suffering.

But right now, there is a deliberate effort to provoke conflicts. I'm surprised at how easily some small ethnic groups that have always lived in Russia fall for these provocations. They are being intentionally incited to cause trouble for Russians. Anything goes! If a representative of a small ethnic group kills or beats a Russian, or rapes a Russian girl, for example, it's labeled as mere hooliganism.

But if Russian guys stand up for the girl and beat up or kill the attacker, suddenly it's called chauvinism, nationalism. Isn't that funny? I don't understand, what's the difference? A crime is a crime – it should be treated the same way on both sides. If you say - this is hooliganism, then there should be hooliganism on the other side too. The result? We are not given the right to defend our own dignity.

There is a saying: *You can't come into someone else's home or monastery with your own rules, right?*

When people move to another country, I'm not saying they should live by my rules—rules that I believe are right, and that I can even prove to be right. But it's their country, and it's their right to live by their own rules.

They can ask me for my opinion, and I can share it. They may accept it or reject it. But forcing them to live the way I want them to—that is wrong.

So, just a piece of advice to the small ethnic groups living in Russia among the Russian population—don't fall for the provocations of certain instigators who really do exist among these groups and who are being paid for it. These individuals push you towards aggressive, arrogant behavior that humiliates Russians. Because this will not end well in any case.

When a representative of a small ethnic group does something wrong, it's dismissed as mere hooliganism, not a criminal offense. But if Russians or Slavs respond in kind, it's immediately labeled as chauvinism, nationalism, or extremism. These are double and even triple standards.

How many real men are left—men who can stand up for their dignity? Not many. And in this sense, I respect those who can, but I feel bitter for our Russian boys and men. In the Caucasus, for example, if someone rapes a girl, her relatives won't just let it slide. Some may call it *barbaric laws*, but let's be honest—maybe killing is not the answer, but punishment is necessary, right?

And honestly, I'm also disappointed in some of our girls. They see someone flash some cash, show them a few bills, and they're willing to do anything for that money. I'm not making this up—this is the reality. And it truly pains me and makes me feel ashamed for our girls.

Do they really value themselves so little? Do they really think they will be respected afterward?

(Someone in the audience says, *They are taught this way.*)

But what about family? No matter what anyone tells me, I will never do something that goes against my inner beliefs.

And do they want to live well? There's nothing wrong with wanting to dress beautifully. But the question is—what do they have to sell to get it?

Now, let's talk a little about our current candidates. Prokhorov stated that he paid 500 rubles for each signature. I mentioned this when he was collecting them, remember? But he officially admitted it. Right on camera—it's not something I made up.

This wasn't whispered in some backroom (*whispers*: "500 rubles per vote"). No, he said it openly, in front of cameras and journalists. And he even said it with bravado!

After such a statement, he should have been thrown out immediately! Vote-buying is a crime. A criminal offense, by the way.

Yet no one arrested him.

And soon, an article will be published where I'll be giving Prokhorov a serious grilling—he won't like it one bit. But here's the real question: this man is paying for votes. What about the people who are selling themselves for 500 rubles?

Then these same people ask, *Why are we treated this way?* But if someone values themselves and their vote at just 500 rubles, do you think anyone else will value them any higher? So why are we complaining? Why are we surprised by how we're treated?

Because at least two million people took Prokhorov's money. They received 500 rubles and handed over their vote. Yes, I understand—indifference plays a role. An extra 500 rubles doesn't hurt, right? But in doing so, they sold themselves for 500 rubles. And yet, no one seems to think about that.

Then they wonder why people treat them accordingly. Well, if you sold yourself for 500 rubles, that's exactly what you're worth. If you say your name, your vote, your integrity can be bought for 500 rubles, then that's your price—500 rubles.

Or take Mezentsev, for example, who was caught forging votes. Students and pensioners were sitting there, faking signatures—how much were they paid per thousand signatures? Five thousand rubles, I think? Something like that. It was also shown on screens, with video evidence.

Just imagine—someone sits there forging a thousand signatures just to get 5,000 rubles. Do they really not understand that they are committing a crime? That they themselves are criminals? For those 5,000 rubles, they are not only selling themselves but also those thousand people who have no idea their names are being used. And then people wonder, *Why is everything like this?*

Or take another example I heard—an elderly woman saying, *I'm happy. They gave me a loaf of bread and a kilogram of buckwheat. They are good people, I will vote for them.* Sure, buckwheat is a decent grain. But how long will that bread and buckwheat last her? A couple of days? A week at most?

She gives away her vote for it, not realizing that the very people she is selling herself to for a loaf of bread and some grain are stealing from her—stealing her pension, amounts worth a thousand times more. And if these thieves weren't in power, she would be receiving a proper pension, and the level of support would allow her to buy not just a loaf of bread, but also red caviar to go with it—every single day. And yet, people keep asking, *Why are we in this situation?*

Forgive me, but when people behave like this, it truly pains me. I hope that those of you sitting here will not act this way. Because if you do, you will be putting a price on yourselves. You will be walking around with a price tag on your back.

I believe that a person has no price—they are priceless. Every single person. And no one should sell themselves, even if they are starving to death. Because the moment someone sells themselves, they set a price on their own worth—500 rubles, 1,000 rubles, 5,000 rubles.

If such things didn't happen, maybe we wouldn't have government officials being elected who steal billions and funnel trillions—not rubles, but dollars—out of the country. And somehow, everywhere else, others are prospering, others are rising, while in Russia, people are just tightening their belts and suffering.

I believe that the root of this kind of behavior among people lies in a thousand years of Christianity, followed by Soviet times. When they sent to concentration camps anyone who had their own thoughts—anyone who dared to voice even the slightest independent opinion.

Alright, let's say they send the most active individuals to concentration camps—one, two, ten people. But if everyone stood up, they wouldn't be able to send everyone away. They still need people to do the rest of the work for them. You see, people think, *Oh, they went after that guy, but I'll stay quiet, or else*

*they might come for me too.* And yes, maybe they won't come for you—until the moment comes when it's too late (*makes a throat-cutting gesture*). And when that moment comes, don't complain, because you did nothing to stop it.

That's why I'm not calling for revolutions—I believe that benefits *them* (*gestures toward "them"*). But at the very least, people should take a stand and not sell their votes. They should act according to their conscience and fairness.

I remember a scene from a movie—someone is getting fired, and as they protest, they ask, *Why is no one standing up for me?* But no one does. Then they fire the next person, someone who also stayed silent before. Now they shout for help, and again, no one supports them. Why? Because everyone is afraid for their own position. *If I speak up for him, I'll be fired too.* How pathetic!

So, when people live in this state of *internal slavery*, what else can you expect? Of course, parasites will continue to thrive. Naturally, they will exploit and manipulate! A flock of obedient sheep, herded and led to slaughter. Of course!

Alright, I got a little carried away with this topic.

**1.11. A QUESTION about the elections:** How do you feel about the statement "*A Russian revolution is inevitable*"? What is your assessment?

**ANSWER:** Oh, they're waiting for this! They can't wait! And they're even willing to pay money to make it happen. The moment someone attempts a revolution; NATO troops will be deployed immediately—there's already an agreement in place. Do I even need to explain what happens next? Does anyone need a detailed breakdown? I don't think so. (*Someone from the audience asks, "What kind of agreement?"*)

Yes, it exists. It was signed in 2007 and renewed in 2008 between NATO and Russia. According to it, if there is a threat to democracy in Russia, or in case of ecological or technological disasters, NATO forces will be deployed *without warning*. They will simply enter, land planes, seize key locations, take control of banks, television stations... Do I need to go on? Yes, such an agreement exists.

Any revolution in Russia today would mean total collapse. And tell me—has any revolution in history ever truly benefited anyone? Can you give me at least *one* example, not just in Russia, but anywhere in the world, where a revolution brought positive change? (*Someone from the audience says, "In Cuba."*) Cuba? Really? Have you actually spoken to Cubans? Because I have. And they're not exactly thrilled about it. The poverty there is beyond imagination. Nothing really changed—except that now, they live in even worse poverty.

Revolution in Libya? Do you even know what happened in Libya? A classic example of an "*orange revolution*." And what happened to Gaddafi? The man didn't even resist. And yet, despite leading policies against the U.S., he still kept all his money in dollars—stored in American banks! (*Someone from the audience says, "And our leaders do the same."*) Yes, but for different reasons. (*Someone else asks, "If NATO enters, won't our forces fire back? What about air defense? How will they even get in?"*) Do you know how much of our military is actually left? Do you think our army is still strong? They've been systematically dismantling it. A deliberate, strategic destruction of the armed forces. (*Someone from the audience asks, "Does our nation have no brains? Just a backside?"*) You phrased that correctly. But the

problem is not in the people themselves. (*Someone from the audience asks, "Then who? Who from among the people gets involved in all this?"*) The issue isn't with the people.

Unfortunately, the problem is that people sell themselves for 500 rubles, for a loaf of bread, for a kilogram of grain... (*Someone from the audience says, "But the elderly have no choice."*) They have no choice because the very people they vote for under these conditions are the ones pushing them into that desperate situation. (*Another audience member says, "Only morally strong and responsible people should participate in elections, not just any riffraff."*) (*Someone else asks, "But who decides who is 'riffraff'?"*) Exactly. That's the key question.

You see, I believe that the term "*riffraff*" is actually a concept created by parasites. A person can fall to rock bottom, become completely lost, sure—that happens. But such cases are not as common as people think. And do you know who is really considered *riffraff*? Ordinary working people. The ones who actually labor, who break their backs every day. Those are the ones labeled as *riffraff*. (*Someone from the audience says, "Well, they turned them into riffraff."*) No, they didn't *turn* them into it. They *allowed* it to happen. That's a big difference. (*Another audience member says, "They don't even let us defend ourselves anymore...."*) Hold on a second, let me answer that directly.

I don't remember which town it was, but there was a place where a lot of young people were dying from drugs. (*Someone from the audience says, "Dolgoprudny."*) Dolgoprudny? Well, here's what happened there: the people whose children had died from drug use said, *Elect us, and we will stop this!* And people actually voted for them. They replaced everyone. They cleaned out the entire police force. And now, in that city, there are no drugs. There's a real example for you. These were people who had reached their breaking point, but instead of just complaining—*Oh, things are so bad, someone should come and fix everything for us on a silver platter*—they actually took action and did something about it. It happened in one city. And surely, similar things are happening in other places too.

So, excuse me, but look at what happened. They replaced the corrupt police force entirely and took control themselves. And after losing their own children, they knew that only a complete monster would continue dealing drugs and killing more kids. But why wait until people's children die from drugs or something else? Why? Why let it get to such an extreme? Why couldn't this have been done earlier? It's not as if people didn't understand what was happening. They did understand. Granted, it was a small town, which worked to their advantage.

How many small towns are there in Russia? A lot, right? Where people know each other pretty well. In Moscow and other big cities, people live in neighboring apartments and don't know each other. But in small towns, and in settlements and villages in general, they know everything about you. They know who's who. Now imagine if people, knowing exactly who's who, elected those they trust, those whom they could later grab by the collar and hold accountable. (*Someone from the audience asks, "But who will take the initiative? Who specifically?"*) Then you take it! Why don't you step up? That's the whole problem—everyone is waiting for someone else to do it. (*Someone else says, "Why doesn't Prokhorov step up? Why doesn't Abramovich do something? Our government does nothing!"*) Because they're all on the same team. (*Another audience member asks, "But don't you see the fight against corruption?"*) Alright, maybe you can't hold Prokhorov accountable. But you *do* live somewhere, don't you? (*Someone from the audience responds, "Yes, I do. But I just mind my own business, quietly."*) Quietly. Well, why not make sure it's *not* quiet? (*Someone else says, "But it doesn't work!"*)

Look, there's a man in the Far East—he's a member of our Movement. He worked in the Fisheries Oversight Agency, where there was theft and corruption. He started fighting it, and they fired him. I told him, *Don't even bother filing complaints with the prosecutor's office—they're all tied together. Do something simpler: send your complaint to the prosecutor's office, and at the same time, publish a copy online.* When he started doing that—when this transparency became something they couldn't suppress—things changed dramatically. Not only was he reinstated in his position, but now he's making big moves. It was hard for him. There was a time when he had no way to feed his family. But he said, *I will not back down!* Because too many people want everything to be fine—but without any hardship or risk to themselves. But that's not how it works. Especially when you're surrounded by parasites.

A person must take action, no matter what, and strive to achieve their goals. It will not always work out. But if a person does not even try, then nothing will happen at all. That's why action must be taken not just quietly, but openly. Quiet efforts achieve nothing. If you quietly submit a complaint on paper, it just gets placed on a pile, or worse—thrown straight into the trash without even being read.

I remember, I think it was in Kuban, there was a mass murder. Someone uploaded just a small fragment of it online. Immediately, it spread across Russia and the world, drawing massive attention. If that hadn't happened, everything would have been swept under the rug—because everything had already been bought and paid for. But when transparency reaches such a massive scale, even when everything is corrupt, they have nowhere to hide.

A lot can be done. Yes, there may be consequences. Yes, you may suffer. But do you expect everything to magically improve without any effort or risk? That has never happened in history. (*Someone from the audience says, "But people are afraid."*) (*Another person says, "A wave of discontent will build up, and then—revolution!"*) No, it's possible to bring change *without* revolutions. (*Another audience member says, "At least something needs to be done."*) (*Someone else adds, "Look at how they treated you—they betrayed you."*) And do you think I've just let it go? (*"Well, what have you done?"*) A lot. And I'll do much more. Just wait and see. (*Someone from the audience says, "I believe that you will be president one day."*) You see, for me, that is not the goal. It would only be a *huge headache*.

**1.12. QUESTION:** Why do entities of the cosmic level incarnate on Earth?

**ANSWER:** Because someone has to move things forward, to push people and events in the right direction. If these entities didn't incarnate—those who sacrifice themselves, who don't think of their own well-being, who aren't afraid, who fight not for personal gain—then what would happen? The majority would be turned into obedient sheep, lined up to have their throats cut. That's why they come. To prevent that from happening. But at the same time, ordinary people must also take action in their own places.

For example, have you heard about independent trade unions? There's the Union of Independent Free Regional Trade Unions—I'm involved in that as well. This is one of the ways to resist the parasitic system. Each apartment building can create a trade union of the building, elect the person they trust—even just on a small scale, within their own building.

Legally, within the framework of the current system, if such a trade union is officially registered, it has the right to make many decisions, including choosing service providers and setting prices. Any building



can do this. It doesn't require an entire country—just one house, for instance. (*Someone from the audience says, "That all sounds easy in theory, but try implementing it in real life... Many are afraid."*) Yes, people are afraid. But how long will they keep being afraid? Tell me.

I have fought from the very beginning; from the moment I started to understand certain things. And I understood them quite early in life. And I fought. I was given choices, and rejecting them meant death. This isn't just words. Even at the age of 24, I chose death over betraying my conscience. Everything depends on the person. Do you think when someone says "*I'm not afraid*," they're lying? No.

What distinguishes a person who acts? He is able to suppress his fear. And when someone says that heroes are not afraid of anything, this is a complete lie. Heroes are afraid just like everyone else. I do not consider myself a hero, I'm talking about others. I don't see myself as a hero. So, the difference is that those who can suppress their fear begin to act. And yes, they might die. So what? The point is to understand what is more important for a person - to live his life on his knees as a slave? Maybe he will live, if he is allowed to. Or to at least try to make a difference. At the very least, I have acted. And I have achieved a lot. Without selling out, and despite how many times they tried to kill me. And I don't mean theoretically - I mean for real. They've tried to blow me up, they've done all sorts of things. So, in the end, it all comes down to a personal choice. Every person decides for themselves.

Our ancestors used to say: "*To the free—freedom, to the saved—paradise.*" But the meaning here is deeper. "*To the saved—paradise*" means that those who are willing to be slaves can just wait. When they die, they've been promised paradise—so let them wait for it. If, of course, they ever get it.

But "*to the free—freedom*" means that a person can be free anywhere—even in prison. Because freedom is not about territory or space—it exists inside a person. A person can fight to their last breath.

I've walked past death more times than I can count. My friends were always shocked by it. I've looked death in the eye—not just once, but hundreds of times. Do you think I enjoy it? Do you think I get some kind of thrill from it? I'm not a masochist. But at the very least, I have always fought. Not just against those who tried to kill me, but also against those who sent them. That's exactly why they tried to kill me.

If every person fought back in their own place—not through revolutions, which only benefit those who orchestrate them, but exactly where they stand—then things would change. First, try doing something yourself. People say, "*It didn't work!*"—but did you even try?

I gave an example of a small town that was completely cleaned of drug dealers and addicts. (*Someone from the audience asks, "What happened to the drug trade?"*) They ended up in prison. Personally, I would introduce a law: 20 to 25 years in prison for drug dealing—immediately. This would drive drug prices so high that only the children of people like Prokhorov could afford them. (*Someone from the audience says, "Prokhorov doesn't have kids."*) I said, *people like Prokhorov*. And I know exactly why he doesn't have children. (*Someone else adds, "He's a pervert, in my opinion."*) I know that too. But that's his personal business—that's not the point. I'm saying that only the children of people *like Prokhorov* would be able to afford drugs. Because think about it—who would risk getting 25 years in prison just to make a few thousand rubles? Even for ten thousand, or a hundred thousand? Not many fools would take that risk, right? But if the stakes were a million dollars—maybe *some* would.

If the legal system worked properly and there were no corrupt judges or prosecutors, things would be different. The law needs to be changed. Do you know why corruption arises? Because the law allows for too much flexibility in sentencing. For example, a crime carries a penalty of 5 to 15 years. (*Someone from the audience asks, "But where is their conscience, their humanity?"*)

Hold on a second. You can't expect conscience from people who don't have it. This is a mistake many people make—they project their own morality onto others. Just because *you* have a conscience doesn't mean someone else automatically does too. And when it comes to the people engaging in corruption, their worldview and mentality are such that conscience simply doesn't exist for them.

So how do we fix this? If the law states a crime carries 5 to 15 years, here's what happens: A judge's representative approaches the defendant's lawyer and offers a deal—

- *5 years costs this much,*
- *10 years costs that much,*
- *If you don't pay, you get 15 years.*

But if the law said "If guilt is proven, the sentence is automatically 15 years"—no options, no bargaining—then these kinds of manipulations would disappear. There's a lot that could be done. If we build a system not on a parasitic model, but on fairness, everything can be achieved. The problem is, that opportunity doesn't exist *yet*. Many laws need to be changed.

**1.13. QUESTION:** People are saying that our numbers are growing—hundreds, thousands. Wouldn't it be better to start organizing businesses, farms, and pooling our efforts?

**ANSWER:** Yes, it's time. And it's already starting to happen, little by little. Not as much as we'd like yet, but it has begun—people are coming together, creating businesses, establishing farms, and so on. But unfortunately, this is just the beginning. As you understand, nothing can be done in a single day—no matter how much we wish for it. I would love to close my eyes today, wake up tomorrow, and find everything perfect. But that's an illusion, unfortunately. I don't live in illusions, and I don't recommend you do either. Because without struggle, without effort from each and every person, nothing will happen.

**1.14. QUESTION:** At one of the Keys to Knowledge conferences, it was stated that from 1812 to 1825, catastrophic events occurred on the territory of our country, leading to the disappearance of a large number of people.

**ANSWER:** That is true. However, the speaker who presented this topic should have provided a more complete and structured explanation to give a clearer picture of events. In previous meetings with readers, I specifically brought a three-volume edition of the British Encyclopedia, where, up until 1775, the Russian Empire was referred to as *Great Tartary*.

This territory stretched beyond the Urals to the Great Wall of China and occupied a significant part of North America. After what I consider to be the first world war against Great Tartary—also known in different sources as Great Asia or Great Scythia—this empire was ultimately defeated. When the troops of Catherine II—who, by the way, had as much connection to the Russian people as she did to the

Papuans—finally succeeded in their conquest, a global war was fought to completely erase and destroy the Slavic-Aryan Empire. And that goal was achieved.

And it was after 1775 that the territory from the Urals to the Far East was finally taken over. The Romanovs managed to seize part of North America from this empire—Alaska and even Northern California came under their control. The rest of the territory, however, was taken by the Americans. Now, what do you think was happening in those lands?

The Russians (Rus) lived there—just as they had lived there a hundred years ago, a thousand years ago, and even a hundred thousand years ago. Naturally, they resisted the occupation by a province that had broken away earlier, which was now ruled by traitors. And what was done to them? They were massacred! Just as people were massacred after the Christianization of Rus. Indeed, a huge number of people perished in this war—an unimaginable slaughter took place.

But notice one important fact: even after all this, serfdom was never introduced in Siberia and the Far East. They did not dare to enforce it. Why? Because the people had been free too recently, and even after exterminating a massive portion of the population, the rulers feared imposing harsh measures like serfdom.

Have you heard about the famous expedition of Lewis and Clark, the Americans who reached the Pacific Ocean? What year did it happen? In 1802. The question is – why did this expedition go to the Pacific Ocean only in 1802? The official explanation is: "*Oh, there were wild Native American tribes who would attack and kill anyone.*" But wait—did those tribes suddenly stop killing people after that? No, they continued fighting. So, what really changed?

The real reason is simple: once Great Tartary—the Slavic-Aryan Empire—was destroyed, everyone rushed in to grab as much land as possible. The North American states, which were then concentrated on the East Coast, immediately launched an expedition to claim new territories. A strange coincidence, isn't it? In 1775, the empire fell. And in 1802, suddenly an expedition was sent that reached the Pacific Ocean. If we analyze these events and draw historical parallels, very interesting patterns emerge.

Just like in more recent history—take the so-called Pugachev Rebellion. The official story claims that Pugachev declared himself Peter III, the husband of Catherine II. That is a complete lie.

This false narrative was fabricated to cover up the fact that events unfolded completely differently. And here's another interesting detail— In the first edition of the British Encyclopedia, Great Tartary was the largest country in the world. Its territory was clearly marked and described in detail. And within that, there was also Muscovite Tartary, which stretched only up to the Ural Mountains—a separate entity from Great Tartary itself.

But after 1775, in the second edition of the encyclopedia— Great Tartary vanished. And from that moment on, no later editions ever mentioned that such an empire had existed.

After all, history constantly writes and talks about the Roman Empire, which never actually existed, right? And yet, an empire that lasted over a hundred thousand years—a whole civilization—vanished completely from history. What does that tell us? It's a conspiracy. A global conspiracy. People are always searching for a "*global conspiracy,*" and here it is—real and undeniable. A conspiracy against our country

and our people. Not just against the Rus, but against all the indigenous peoples who have lived and continue to live in the territory of Russia.

We must fight for our land—the land our ancestors shed their blood for. But we must fight not in the way that benefits our enemies but in the way that is most harmful to them. That's all there is to it.

**1.15. QUESTION:** Our ancestors called February 29 Koshchei's Day, but Christianity changed it into another holiday - Kas'yanov Day.

**ANSWER:** Yes, that's true. When Christianity was imposed, it was done only formally. People went to church only because they were ordered to, but in their daily lives, they continued to follow Vedic traditions.

Patriarch Nikon, between 1553 and 1556 (in modern chronology, which, by the way, is incorrect), pulled a clever trick. Christianity is full of saints—there's a whole list of them, enough for multiple saints per day. So, what did Nikon do? He attached a Christian saint to every Vedic holiday. People continued celebrating their traditional holidays, but now they were told, "This is a Christian holiday." Before this, these celebrations were condemned as *pagan rituals*. But after this trick, they suddenly became *Christian holidays*. And considering that by that time, most common people were completely illiterate, it was easy to manipulate them this way.

If in the past everyone was literate, by the 16th century, people had already become completely illiterate. Naturally, after a few generations, very few remembered that these holidays had nothing to do with Christianity. And that's how they won. At the genetic level, people still carried the memory of Vedic traditions and their holidays. So, what did they do? They gave these holidays a Christian coating, and in no time, the original meanings were forgotten. And yes, they achieved a major victory in this way. The betrayal of Nikon played a huge role in this process.

Let me bring up another point— Recently, people celebrated the Chinese New Year. The Chinese follow their own calendar. At the same time, they also celebrate the global New Year. The Jews celebrate their New Year. Other peoples celebrate their own traditional New Year. And I have nothing against that.

But why are we not allowed to celebrate our own New Year? Did we have our own New Year? Of course, we did! So why not start a movement to revive the celebration of the true Russian New Year on the lands of Rus'? (*Someone from the audience asks, "When was it?"*)

In September. More precisely, on the Autumn Equinox—from the 21st to the 22nd of September. It was called "New Year's Day" (Новолетие). So why is it that the Chinese can celebrate their New Year, and everyone accepts it as normal— But we are not allowed to celebrate ours? Did our New Year really exist? Yes, it did.

And as confirmation of this, we have chronicles that state: "*Two thousand years since the Creation of the World in the Star Temple.*" See how it all starts? It doesn't have to begin with grand actions—although those are necessary too. It doesn't start with small things, but with the revival of traditions—with the correct understanding of them. Like celebrating our New Year—the true New Year— Which is far more connected to reality than the one we celebrate today. And things are already starting to change. Most people don't even know why we celebrate New Year as we do now.

But when they start asking, "*Why is New Year celebrated like this?*"—they learn: "*Because according to our original calendar, today would actually be such and such a year.*" "*And what did that mean?*" "*It meant this, this, and this.*" And suddenly, people begin to realize: We have a great past. Not just a few thousand years, as we've been told, But tens of thousands of years of history! Even Putin said, "*A thousand years!*" Ha! I wrote about this in one of my articles—has anyone read it? "*A thousand years?*" Come on!

Let modern historians read their own claims—that supposedly, a thousand years ago, the Varangians came to the wild Slavs and brought them statehood. Really? Here's a fact-check for you:

First of all, the Varangians on our land had nothing to do with the Swedes. That's the first point.

Yes, some of them may have been from Sweden, but the majority of so-called Swedes—their ancestors actually had Rus roots. They were Scythians-Sarmatians. Who were the Scythians? Do you know who the Sarmatians were? Were they different peoples? No. They were one people. The difference was only in name:

- "*We are the people of Prince Scyth.*" → They were called Scythians.
- "*We are the people of Prince Sarmata.*" → They were called Sarmatians.

The parasites who rewrote history understood this perfectly—so they deliberately distorted and twisted it. They split them into separate, unrelated groups:

- Scythians—a separate people.
- Sarmatians—a separate people.
- Cimmerians—a separate people.

And suddenly, these huge nations—hundreds of thousands, even millions of people—vanished without a trace! But did they actually disappear? No. They continued to live exactly where they always had. They were simply given different names. And now, finally, we can begin to reveal the truth. Meanwhile, the Chinese loudly proclaim their "*great culture*", while calling Europeans "barbarians."

And for those who read the second volume of my book – before it was banned – I clearly wrote about this. According to legends, it turns out that our ancestors came and taught the Chinese everything they know. At that time, based on their own historical records, the Chinese were at a primitive level, comparable to the Papuans of New Guinea. Because their worldview was still based on ancient misconceptions—dating back to the axis shift of the Earth. And when they described these events in their oldest Chinese chronicles (not something I invented), their descriptions matched the most primitive understanding of the world.

For example, they had no concept of planets. To them, the world was flat. And yet, in their texts, they clearly wrote that "gods came from the north" and gave them knowledge, technology, and culture. And how did the Chinese repay our ancestors for this? Over 7,500 years ago, they tried to destroy Great Scythia—the Slavic-Aryan Empire. But they lost.

**1.16. QUESTION:** Another question about Prokhorov.

**ANSWER:** I've already explained why things happened the way they did—because he had so many violations. I'll go into more detail in my article, but I can say the following:

Regarding the situation with me—not because I'm desperately trying to become president, but simply because facts are facts—

This issue was even discussed in PACE (the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe). Have you heard of that organization? Because of this, our Central Election Commission (CEC) was forced to respond. Serious violations were uncovered.

Documents have already been submitted to the Saratov court, and we'll see how this ends. What happened wasn't just about "throwing me out"—what they did was a criminal offense. Why? Because they committed fraud—falsifying facts. The CEC engaged in forgery. But I won't go into details now—you'll read more about it in my article. And forgery is a criminal offense.

The CEC officials and everyone involved in this forgery should be held criminally responsible for this crime. If they aren't punished, at the very least, this will become widely known. And that's already significant. You see, victory isn't always about making your opponent fall to the ground. Sometimes, victory is simply when people see the truth. You know the famous saying: *"But the king has no clothes!"* Well, that's exactly it—when people start realizing and saying out loud, "The king is naked!" That, too, is a victory. Because when the masks of deception are torn off, that in itself is a huge victory.

Now, due to certain circumstances, the veil has been lifted from the United States. Tell me, does any country in the world still believe that the U.S. is a beacon of democracy and freedom? Hardly. But just ten years ago, when the U.S. invaded Iraq, most of the world believed that America was a truly democratic nation—That it was fighting for freedom, democracy, and human rights. People believed it, didn't they? And do they still believe it now? No. Lawlessness exists and will continue to exist. But can it be defeated?

Yes—by exposing it! Exposing injustice is what makes it public knowledge. Because most people still believe that everything is fair, that everything is good. *"And besides that, dear marquise, everything is fine, everything is fine!"*

But do you remember how that song ends? It's the same here—people think everything is fine, until they truly start to see the reality—And once they understand, it doesn't mean everything will change overnight— but it means they will begin to think. They will start to think for themselves. And that is the most important thing! Because until people can think independently, nothing good will ever happen. As long as people think with someone else's mind, as long as they don't start thinking for themselves...it doesn't mean they will immediately understand everything correctly—It doesn't mean they will figure everything out right away. But they have to start. And if they never start, then their sleep will be eternal and endless. So, there is a lot of work to be done. And no, it won't happen in a single day.

**1.17. QUESTION:** Who should we vote for?

**ANSWER:** I recommend that if you don't want to vote, spoil your ballot. Write: "For no one." If you don't want to vote. (*Someone from the audience says, "We have to choose the lesser evil."*) Alright, and who is the lesser evil? Prokhorov? Zhirinovsky? — Is he the lesser evil? Tell me—who? (*Someone from the*

*audience says, "There's no one worthy."*) Exactly. You see, voting is a matter of personal conscience. Some people think, *"I just won't go to the polls."* But do you think if you don't show up, they won't vote on your behalf? You won't even know. So, whether you go or don't go, nothing will change, to be honest.

Now, what should be done? For now, I haven't been allowed to run. You see, my will, your will—it won't change much on its own. (*Someone from the audience asks, "Have you sent anything to the Strasbourg Court?"*) We'll see. (*Another audience member says, "But aren't the same parasites sitting there as in our system?"*) You know—yes. But even they have certain interests that make some things inconvenient for them in Russia.

Don't think that everyone in the West benefits from turning Russia into nothing. Because they fully understand that if Russia disappears, they will disappear right after—just like Russia. There are different groups with different interests over there. But let's focus on what really matters. It doesn't matter what the courts decide or don't decide. We can't sit around waiting for a miracle. We need to act at every moment. For example, I recently delayed the release of my next article. Why? Because I always hold off when I feel something is still missing. And now, I finally have the full picture. Now I can say: I have all the necessary information to complete it.

The article is titled: "On the Question of Democracy, or The Theater of Absurdity Continues." In it, I break down everything. If today we lose in some way, then we must turn that loss into a victory. And what is victory? The more people wake up, the more people understand, the more people begin to think for themselves—the less likely it is that this kind of thing will happen again next time. And if we are truly going to start taking action, then we must focus on the very same trade unions that I have also been actively involved in. This is a loophole, a legal tool that exists to resist the parasites in power. And they can't do anything about it—yet. As long as this loophole remains, we must use it as a tool to fight against the parasites.

**1.18. QUESTION:** Is it true that medicines and water charged by you will be sold, or is this just an attempt to discredit and exploit your name?

**ANSWER:** As far as I know, I have no information about this. Maybe such water will be sold, but I personally know nothing about it. And why would I charge medications if I have always said that medicines are poisons? There is not a single medication that isn't a poison. So why would I do that? If healing is needed, pure, clean water is more effective than any medication. As for charging medications—that is absolute nonsense.

**1.19. QUESTION:** What is the purpose of each person's incarnation?

**ANSWER:** Probably the most important thing is to wake up. Without awakening, everything else is meaningless. But once a person—meaning their essence—wakes up, begins to understand and analyze, then it depends on what they do next. The purpose is for a person to truly become human, rather than remain just a rational animal. I've introduced this distinction before—if you haven't read about it, I'll explain briefly so that no one thinks I'm dividing people into *"higher and lower classes."* Everyone is born as an animal—that's the first phase. Then comes the second phase—the rational animal. And finally, the third phase—what I call a true human being. How do I define the difference between a true

human and a rational animal? At first glance, both look the same— two hands, two legs, one head, no tail. But the real difference lies elsewhere...

And what is the difference? The difference is actually very simple: at the rational animal phase, instincts control a person's behavior. At the true human phase, a person controls their instincts. This doesn't mean instincts disappear—they still exist. But it means that a person can manage and regulate them. This is something many people overlook, yet it's crucial. There's an interesting example from the film "Penal Battalion" ("Штрафбат"). The main character, a penal unit commander, was concussed and captured as a POW. In one scene, Soviet prisoners of war are herded into a barracks, where tables are set with food and drink. Most of the prisoners, starving and desperate, immediately rush to the food, pushing and shoving, tearing food from each other's hands. But a smaller group, although just as hungry, did not throw themselves at the food. And what did the Germans do? Those who rushed to eat—the Germans shooed them away like cattle and sent them off. Because they were not a threat. Why? Because when a person is controlled by their instincts, they become predictable and easy to manipulate. A starving person cares only about satisfying their hunger, about self-preservation. And such people are not dangerous. Because they are still in the phase of the rational animal. Not because they are bad people— But because they haven't yet moved beyond that phase.

But those who didn't rush for the food—they were dangerous. Why? Because such people are not easily scared or controlled. They can't be forced into obedience as easily as the others. So, what did the Germans do with them? They were given a choice: work for us or face the alternative. The main character chose the second option. Remember? The bullet hit his skull but ricocheted, and he was buried alive in a grave. But he clawed his way out. This is a crucial moment. Why did the Germans conduct this selection process? Because this was intentional. The Germans wanted to identify who was truly capable of resisting them. If a person can resist hunger, they can also resist the fear of death. Such a person won't betray others, won't kill for survival. Even during the Chechen wars, captured Russian soldiers were forced to kill their own comrades. They were given a choice: *"Put a gun to his head and pull the trigger, or we'll kill you."* Some refused—and were executed. But many others pulled the trigger, killing their fellow soldiers to save themselves. They were broken, living only by the instinct of fear, the instinct of self-preservation. A person like that would kill anyone, even their own mother just to stay alive. And such people are useful to the system. Why? Because they are easy to control.

So, when people begin to wake up, it doesn't mean they will suddenly stop fearing death. But those who learn to control their instincts become truly free. Completely free. They become uncontrollable by the parasitic system. And that is why such people are so greatly feared. They are the strong people of this world. And the more people wake up, the more will truly become human. Everyone goes through this process—I do not separate myself from others. I went through all the same phases just like everyone else. I simply moved through them much faster than most. But that is a secondary matter. This is a natural process.

What social parasites do is ensure that a person never escapes the state of a rational animal. That their instincts continue to dominate their behavior and consciousness. That is why my task is purely technical and informational. To help people transition from the second phase to the third phase—becoming a true human. This doesn't mean problems will disappear. But those who see reality for what it truly is, at least, some of my friends have told me that it makes them sad. Because when they didn't know all of this, when they couldn't see it, didn't understand it, it was easier for them to live. But what's better? To live in ignorance—not knowing anything? Or to know the truth and try to change something? I believe it



is better to live with awareness and try to change things. Because only in that case do we have a chance to make a difference.

And if we know nothing, understand nothing, and continue living in blissful ignorance, then no one will benefit from this, including us, first of all. And honestly, what I'm trying to do, it's not for me. If I were thinking about myself, I wouldn't need any of this at all. Because it brings me nothing but headaches. I do this to help people wake up, to really realize, to become who they were meant to be. People are different, and no one should try to copy their neighbor. That is a parasitic mindset. Each person must fully realize their own potential. No matter what it is. If a person has talent, strive for maximum results. Even if they are making a simple wooden stool with their own hands, they should put love into their work. Everything should be done with love, with soul, putting your whole self into it. It's important to understand: fulfilling oneself is not about chasing someone else. Competition is also not bad. But the real goal should be to realize your own potential. To find meaning and joy in the work you do.

I had to work and do many things in my life that were not particularly creative. I always tried to find meaning even in the most meaningless work. For example, after school I worked at the 411th aircraft repair plant in Mineralnye Vody, in the precious metals department. They assembled electronics, and our job was to extract gold, silver, and platinum from old electronics, because there was a lot of it there. They would bring in several thousand relays. And what was the job? You take a small hammer... Very intellectual work. You take a relay, smash it just right, making sure you hit precisely in the right spot. If you hit too hard or in the wrong place, you'd ruin it. You had to extract the metal contacts, clip off the gold, silver, or platinum parts with wire cutters, set them aside, and throw away the rest. Again- a highly intellectual job, right? So, what did I do?

I set a goal for myself: how many of these relays can I break in an hour? I would track my progress. I broke this many, but can I do more? Can I go even faster? And suddenly, this pointless job became meaningful to me. It became interesting for me. Because I competed with myself. I pushed myself to beat my own record. And that's how a mindless task became useful. Because I turned it into a challenge. I competed against myself – and that made it worthwhile.

In any job a person does, no matter how dull or meaningless it may seem, it's possible to find joy – the joy of overcoming yourself. Defeating others isn't that important. It's not that difficult. The hardest battle is the one against yourself. And that is what brings the kind of joy that no victory over others can ever provide. That's why everything should be done with soul, with dedication. Whatever you choose to do – either give it your all or don't start at all. Even if today's result isn't perfect, tomorrow you will do better. But today, you did your best. And that is what drives a person's growth – at any level, in any work. From an ordinary worker to the president of a country – anyone can be a creator. And who is stopping us from doing this? No one. Only we stop ourselves. So, let's stop getting in our own way. Others will try to do that for us anyway.

**1.20. QUESTION:** How to use your brain not just at 10%, but at 100%. Is this possible today?

**ANSWER:** The thing is that in order to use your brain at 100, or 1000%, you need to develop yourself to the point where your brain is fully engaged. Remember, in the cartoon "Vovka in the Far Away Kingdom," when two people from the chest, identical in appearance say, "I want ice cream, I want cake!" And they count on their fingers. "What, are you going to eat for me too?" - Aha! That should not happen. Only when a person develops himself can he unlock his full potential. If a neighbor works on themselves-

that's good, but the neighbor develops, not you. Even when you understand something new, when realization hits you, have you ever felt goosebumps running down your body? That shiver, like the truth awakening inside you? This is what happens when a person discovers something profound. When something that he already had at the level of genetic memory has been lying there for a long time, but it slept for a long time, and no one woke it up. When it wakes up, opens up, then unusual sensations arise. A moment of true revelation.

First, you need understanding. Understanding gives you the opportunity to act correctly. And during the action, you change yourself, develop your brain, and evolve. (From the audience: Does that mean we need to load ourselves 100%?). - Of course. You must learn to filter the right information-not just stuff your brain with anything and everything. But even that filtering process is part of learning. (From the audience: When will this happen?) When you read the information and start recognizing what is nonsense and where there really is truth, grains of truth. That is learning. (From the audience: How long does it take?) For each person, it's different. (From the audience: How difficult is it to become like you?) That depends on you, not on me. If I eat your food for you, does that make you full? (From the audience: But you are behind the podium, not me) Then step up, take the stage, and you will be. (From the audience: No, what I am saying is, maybe we should start training people, helping them.) In order for learning to be effective, a person really needs a lot of additional information. That is why I started writing books? I am speaking, naturally, about my understanding, not about someone else's understanding. But in reality, you can't "teach" a person anything. He must at least have the right foundation. What can you teach someone if they have nothing to build upon? That is, he needs to be changed. What, should I spend time on this every time? It's not that simple. I've been observing this since 1991, when I started giving the first seminars. Then I continued doing this in America, when I settled there. And I saw one thing clearly: that without people being prepared in advance, trying to teach them is useless. Because they will remain at the same level of understanding and perception that they had before they even started.

For example, healing is the first stage that allows a person to understand themselves, develop many abilities and master various skills. Because everything that happens during healing follows the same principles as other processes, only on a different scale, and using different methods. But, in principle, the same thing, just applied at different levels. However, many people think they can just jump straight in. They want to rush into cosmic battles immediately. But out there, no one is going to play around. If you rush in unprepared, you will simply be eliminated. And there have already been many such cases.

The funny thing is, when you explain exactly what to do, most people don't want to follow the process. They want to skip ahead, they want to do great things right away, to be supermen and heroes. A simple example. It's easiest to start with something that can always be easily verified. Something that doesn't take several years to see results. In a week you can get an answer to the result. Here's an example: find people who have a peptic ulcer. And first, try to determine where the person has an ulcer. I explain step by step how to do this. You follow the process, identify the location, then ask the person, "Does it hurt here?" And they confirm: "Yes, that's exactly where the ulcer is." Now you know that you've correctly identified the problem. Next step: you have to figure out how to get rid of this ulcer. To do this, you need to find the root cause of the ulcer. There are several possible causes, one is very complicated and it will take a long time to resolve, but in most cases, the cause is quite simple. When the vagus nerve is excited due to stress, excess gastric juice is released. If the stomach is empty, the acid begins to erode the stomach lining, and an ulcer appears. This means that first you need to neutralize the ulcer, then balance

the vagus nerve so that it responds properly to stress and stomach acid is released only when necessary. In a week or ten days, the ulcer should disappear. A follow-up test will show if it's still there. If there is an ulcer this means that something was done incorrectly. Maybe the diagnosis was correct, but the treatment strategy was flawed. So then, you must find the mistake and adjust your approach.

I explained all of this to my students, step by step, how it should be done. And do you think that, in all these years, even one of them started with ulcers? Not a single one. Everyone immediately wants to do great things, without understanding that great things are built from millions of small actions. Only when millions of small tasks have been completed, do great achievements become possible. But no one wants to focus on the small things. They just want to jump on a white horse, raise a shining sword, and charge into battle. A beautiful image, isn't it? But swords were used by our ancestors on battlefields. At higher levels, swords are useless. Completely different principles apply there. But when a person projects their limited understanding onto a new reality, they block their own development. Before anything else, a person must expand their perspective, so they can see a hundred times more. Only then can they begin to move forward. Without this, it's all pointless.

**1.21. QUESTION:** Recently, mass operations have begun on boys to treat varicose veins of the spermatic cord plexus. Isn't this aimed at influencing the level of (inaudible, possibly, birth rate).

**ANSWER:** You are thinking correctly! Here is the answer in the note. So, you don't even have to answer. Indeed, for some reason they have suddenly started doing this en masse. Now, they are already semi-officially starting to cauterize the cervix of girls from the age of seven to prevent possible cervical cancer in the future. Do you know about this? (From the audience: And on the radio ... it was said that Jewish girls cannot have this injection because boys are circumcised). - Wonderful! What does the cervix have to do with the fact that boys are circumcised? It has a very "direct" relation to the cervix! They are simply trying to explain why Jews don't need to do it. They know very well that cauterization of the cervix in 90 percent plus is sterilization of a girl. That's all. And the fact that operations are done for boys is the same. During the operation, they can do several other things that lead to the fact that the blood flow to the testicles decreases, which leads to the fact that the productivity of the testicles is sharply reduced or stops altogether.

Sterility is now affecting boys as well. And even without surgeries, there are already other factors at play. Look at the youth today. Many of them drink beer excessively, but they don't realize that beer contains massive doses of female hormones. After 2-3 years of drinking this kind of beer, a boy mysteriously starts transforming into an "it." And what about vaccinations? They keep giving them to children despite the fact that cases of autism have increased several times over. Did you know about this? When the issue of vaccines was raised, we talked about it and even stated that legally, a person has the right to refuse them. No one has the right to force vaccinations.

But people still do it, and then later they ask: "Why did our child become mentally impaired?" Because he was made that way, and you did nothing to prevent this from happening, although you knew it was possible. (From the audience: And does this affect stuttering?) No, I don't think so. (From the audience: Then what causes it?) Fear. Yes, a strong fright or shock. (From the audience: How can you get rid of it?) Eliminate the cause. Simply remove the source of the child's fear, and it will disappear on its own. (From the audience: Does ultrasound affect the baby?) - Everything affects the baby. What is an ultrasound? Ultrasound is high-frequency sound waves. It is used to break up kidney stones. And if the ultrasound power used for kidney treatments is slightly too strong, then the kidney itself will be crushed. So, an

ultrasound scan of a child, of course, is not as powerful as when crushing kidney stones. But the effect is still there. And if there are no medical reasons for it, for example, an incorrect position of the fetus, etc., then an ultrasound scan of the fetus is not necessary. It should only be used if a problem arises. And even then, the less, the better. Because the brain cells of the developing fetus are the most sensitive to ultrasound exposure.

**1.22. QUESTION:** Is it possible for a civilization to develop without interaction with dark forces?

**ANSWER:** Of course, development is possible. And it would be much better without dark forces, but unfortunately, that is rare. Some of our ancestral civilizations, which are the progenitors and relocated our ancestors here, they have long been developing without evil. However, when they encountered external forces, they became naïve and overly trusting beings which allowed parasites to deceive and manipulate them easily.

I'm not saying that you need to become evil or distrustful, but you always need to remember that dark forces exist and they think differently. How do most people think? Well, if I don't do this, then no other person will do it either. If I wouldn't kill another person, then no one would come and kill me. Is that really true? Very often this doesn't mean that if you don't kill another, then the other person won't kill you. This doesn't mean that you should start killing others, but it does mean you must acknowledge that others do not think the same way you do. And you should never project your understanding, your thinking onto everyone else.

You just need to be more careful. And also, the "dark ones" help with development. I can't speak for everyone, but in my case, they helped me develop quite quickly. Even within a single lifetime. However, at the same time they have tried to eliminate me many times. But I survived, I endured, I won. I figured them out. But if it weren't for this, I don't know how long it would have taken me to understand the things that I was able to grasp precisely because my so-called "friends and comrades" tried to destroy me. Therefore, to some extent, it was necessary.

But the real question is - does everyone need to go through what I went through? I don't think so. It is better if when someone has already gone through it, they can pass on a different kind of understanding to others. So that people truly comprehend what and how to do things the right way.

The key is to provide understanding, to let it sink in, so that it becomes a part of them, so they truly grasp it. That way, even someone else's experience becomes their own through reflection and realization. That is what will help many people. And for those who still wish to go through it themselves—go ahead.

**1.23. QUESTION:** What happens to the atoms of a substance at minus 273°C?

**ANSWER:** Nothing special. The movement just slows down a bit compared to higher temperatures. Nothing else happens. Atoms don't disappear; they don't collapse. They remain the same atoms they were before. That's all.

Right now, it's -15°C outside. If you go out, does anything happen to your atoms? Do you change? Do you grow horns, hooves, or fur? No. Your extremities just start to freeze, meaning your blood vessels

constrict, and it gets colder. You can warm up, but nothing happens to the atoms themselves—they don't change their form, and the structure of these atoms remains the same.

**1.24. QUESTION:** Is it true that dowsing, working with a dowsing rod or a pendulum, is a conversation with the subconscious?

**ANSWER:** Yes, it's true. The fact is that working with a dowsing rod or a pendulum can indeed help when a person is unable to bring information to the level of conscious awareness. In such cases, they can use a dowsing rod or pendulum to access it. However, even this must be done correctly.

It is crucial to ask the right questions. If the question is formulated incorrectly, the answer may also be inaccurate. The key here is to properly set the task so that the subconscious can use the dowsing rod or pendulum to visualize the answer to the needed question.

When done correctly, a simple dowsing rod or pendulum can be very helpful. Many people find it difficult to see or receive certain information, or even when they do, they may not fully understand it.

For example, if a person has lost a valuable item somewhere in their apartment, asking, "Where in the apartment is the lost item?" won't yield an answer. Instead, you need to create a drawing of the apartment, divide it into specific sections, and number them—first, second... fifth... tenth. This method is not limited to an apartment; it can be used for anything, even an ocean.

Then, you set the task: "In which of these sections is the item located?" The pointer (or pendulum) should deflect in a certain direction when it detects the correct area. You systematically go through all the sections, and if the pointer does not indicate anything, it means either the item is not there or you are doing something wrong. However, if the pointer suddenly shifts in a certain section, then you have identified a specific area.

But even this section may still be too large. The next step is to divide it into smaller sections and repeat the process, gradually narrowing it down. Eventually, you will reach a point where you can simply go to the identified location and retrieve the lost item. Running around with a dowsing rod across the entire space won't be very effective. This is not just theory—it's based on practical experience.

One of my patients lived on a yacht that was set up as a home, staying with friends. She lost a ring—not expensive, but valuable to her as a gift. I told her, "Bring me a drawing of that yacht—your home." She brought a rough sketch, drawn by hand. I don't need a dowsing rod; I determine things differently. I checked one spot—nothing. Checked another—found a location. Checked again and marked a cross—"It's right there." She said, "But I already looked there!" I told her, "Go and check again—you'll find it." And she did—exactly where I had pointed. It's simply a matter of scanning. The method can vary—whether using a dowsing rod or another approach doesn't matter. The key is to precisely identify the location, down to the millimeter.

Or take the experiments in the U.S., for example, when I was asked to determine zones of soil contamination. I was able to detect contamination with such accuracy that I could identify a single molecule of toxin among a million normal ones. In other words, I could find one toxin molecule in a million non-toxic ones. And it took me only a few seconds. Meanwhile, the same process done with technical equipment took several months. Moreover, I was also drawing the contours of how the toxins

were distributed—without even thinking about it. And they told me, "You even mapped how underground water spreads the toxins!"

If you know how to do things correctly, you can achieve a lot. And for this, I didn't need to physically go to the location. All I needed was a drawing, a photograph, or a map. I simply connected the map or drawing with reality. The same applies to a photograph. For those who can't do this directly, a dowsing rod or pendulum can achieve the same results. But once again, it's crucial to set the right task and know exactly what needs to be done.