

# Salvation of the Saviors (series 55-369)

## Project 369 – Looking from the outside...

*Let's suppose  
that we are wise enough  
to learn and to know,  
but still not wise enough  
to control our own processes  
of knowing and the knowledge itself,  
and therefore we use it to our own harm.  
Even if it is so, knowledge is still  
better than ignorance...*

If our achievements in science are minor, then our understanding of science itself — its nature, boundaries, and meaning — is **EVEN LESS**. We have become used to working in narrow directions and almost never **LOOKED AT** knowledge **AS A WHOLE**. We don't ask questions — we answer already formulated ones. We **DO NOT DESIGN** — we merely execute. We are builders, but **NOT ARCHITECTS**. Such is the fate today of the majority who labor with the mind: they **DO NOT KNOW** what exactly they are building, why it's needed, or where the construction ends. This is inconvenient not only psychologically, but practically: *working without understanding the goal is exhausting. **EVEN WORSE** — it may all be in vain.*



It may happen that someone, **NOT KNOWING** the overall plan, will build something unnecessary that will later have to be torn down. Or even worse — will begin building in opposition to the rest. One will aim for height and beauty, another — for strength and simplicity. The result is architectural schizophrenia — a shared endeavor pulling in different directions. It seems that this is exactly what's happening in the modern world. The mind, which creates the world, has **LONG BEEN SPLIT** into two worlds — science and philosophy, the latter today replaced by the artificial term "sociology" (not philosophers, but sociologists now philosophize). Even the division of names alone causes **ALARM**. If reason is one, and truth is one — then where does such division come from? The difference is not in words, the difference is in essence. Science

НАУКА/SCIENCE, СКЕПТИЦИЗМ/SKEPTICISM,  
ФИЛОСОФИЯ/PHILOSOPHY, ИСТИНА/TRUTH, СОЦИОЛОГИЯ/SOCIOLOGY

and sociology (philosophy) not only call the same thing by different names — they oppose one another. Their arguments are unconvincing to each other. Their methods are mutually exclusive. Their goals — **DO NOT ALIGN**. Each considers itself the sole source of truth. And therefore, unity of knowledge **DOES NOT EXIST**. With such a divide, skepticism becomes the only reasonable choice. How can we believe in the power of a work that has no single purpose, no shared method, not even agreement on what exactly is being built? **One path remains: to step back**. Try to see the **WHOLE PICTURE**. Not as a scientist. Not as a philosopher, and even more so — not as a politician, rather as an observer. We, who have always been inside, are trying for the first time to **LOOK FROM THE OUTSIDE** — and that is undoubtedly a step forward. Such a thing is impossible unless one **STEPS OUTSIDE** the boundaries of science and philosophy. To think beyond the disputes — without taking either side. We must stand on the platform from which all knowledge begins: — **UNDERSTANDING**.

It is precisely understanding that unites reason and truth. It is not a school, not a system, nor a method. It is the original capacity of reason: **TO COMPREHEND MEANING**. Everything a person does is an expression of this capacity. Everything they seek is meaning, not just knowledge. If this is so, then the conflict between science and philosophy can be resolved not within their frameworks, rather **ABOVE THEM** — in the realm of understanding. Understanding is not knowledge about something, on the contrary, it is knowledge of the **FORM** of knowledge itself. It does not provide content — it defines the framework. It is what allows us to see what can be considered knowledge, where it begins, and where it ends. Based on understanding, we will be able to:

- define what science is in its pure form;
- outline its boundaries;
- describe the internal structure of scientific knowledge;
- understand how science relates to humans, nature, and to will.

If we accept understanding as the **ORGAN OF REASON**, then we can speak not only about form, we can also speak about content. We will be able not just to describe the structure of knowledge, but to indicate what truths should fill it. And if those truths do not yet exist — to show how and where they can be found. And this is the most important thing in the context of what is happening today...

### **Possibility**

Overcoming aging, within the broader concept of victory over death, is possible through two fundamentally different paths. The **FIRST PATH** focuses on preserving the body: this could involve the abolition of the Hayflick<sup>1</sup> limit, the cultivation of new organs, bioengineering, or even radical forms of transformation — such as metamorphosis, where a person, like a caterpillar, transforms into something entirely new, while still preserving the core of their personality. The **SECOND PATH** emphasis the

---

<sup>1</sup> The Hayflick Limit — is the boundary for the number of divisions that somatic (body) cells can undergo. It is named after its discoverer, Leonard Hayflick. In 1961, Hayflick observed that human cells, when cultured in a laboratory, stop dividing and die after approximately 50 divisions, showing signs of aging as they approach this limit. If we multiply all the cells created for a human before birth (along with all the cells they produce) by the average lifespan of each cell, we arrive at an approximate figure of 120 years. This is considered the final Hayflick limit — the maximum number of years a person can live, according to cellular division potential.

preservation of the personality itself, regardless of its biological carrier. It suggests that personality could be transferred to another "platform" — whether material (another body, a computer) or immaterial (a virtual environment, a digital avatar). **The first path** falls under the domain of medical and biotechnological science. **The second** — still has no formalized basis: just as with the appearance of the concept of the subconscious, which was not taken seriously by experts at the time of its emergence. And even today, this direction remains more theoretical, associated primarily with the prioritization of consciousness over the physical shell. This is what the authors of such theories believe. However, there is also a **THIRD PATH**, which in the minds of most people today seems more like science fiction. It involves the **DEVELOPMENT OF THE BRAIN'S POTENTIAL**, which itself, within the framework of the processes taking place today, is capable of extending the life of the body (or rather, its shirt) **to at least 134 years, WHILE OVERCOMING AGING**, as confirmed by the calculations of **A. Khatybov<sup>2</sup>** in his well-known works. And if this process begins to be realized, then the second stage in the evolution of the Brain would be the extension of the body's life (the shell's life) to **NO LESS THAN 300–350 years, without signs of aging**, just as it was in Russia, beyond the Urals, at the end of the 17th century, at the beginning of the reign of Peter I.

Within our current understanding, it is **IMPOSSIBLE TO SAY UNEQUIVOCALLY** which path will become the primary one. However, there is an empirical rule: **THE MORE** minds are focused on a task, **THE MORE** likely it is to be solved. The maximum concentration of resources — intellectual, organizational, economic — can radically accelerate the achievement of the goal. Conversely, if resources are scarce, progress will be slow, and **VICTORY OVER DEATH** and the overcoming of aging, within the limits I've described, will only become possible after several generations.

A historical analogy is the mastery of atomic energy. Some of the greatest minds of the 20th century, including Einstein, believed that humanity would not be able to harness atomic energy **UNTIL AT LEAST** 500 years later, around the year 2400. Yet the first atomic explosion occurred in 1945, just 34 years **AFTER THE DISCOVERY** of atomic structure in 1911. What was the secret of such rapid progress? The key was not natural development, rather a concentrated effort. In 1939, German physicists warned

---

<sup>2</sup> **Alexander Mikhailovich Khatybov** (real surname — Morozov) was a Russian researcher and theorist whose work spanned a wide range of disciplines — from mathematics and physics to biology, medicine, and celestial mechanics. He was born on 9 February 1945, in the town of Cherepanovo, Novosibirsk region, and graduated from Bauman Moscow State Technical University (MVTU) in 1969. Khatybov became known for his work in the field of psychotronic weaponry, which he developed in collaboration with Alexander Deev. After Deev's death, Khatybov founded the "Ritm-Fund" laboratory, where he worked on the Theory of Branching Systems and other scientific works, such as "Mathematics of Real-Time Scale," "Synergetics," and "Cold-Plasma Fusion." These studies were linked to the defense industry and viewed as a foundation for creating new, high-tech strategic weapon systems. His scientific interests included Mathematics, including the development of his own formulas and concepts such as the 18-axis symmetry theory. Physics, with a focus on unknown atomic structures and the golden ratio within the atom. Biology and medicine, exploring the principles of living cell function and the frequency balance of the human organism. Celestial mechanics, including the creation of specialized computational formulas. Khatybov also asserted that Earth is under biological control, and his work often dealt with concepts related to the noosphere and the structure of the habitat. He passed away on 19 March 2011. Despite their unconventional nature and lack of recognition by mainstream science, A. Khatybov's works, continue to generate interest and discussion across various fields and communities.

the Nazi leadership about the potential for a new kind of weapon. This prompted the launch of the "Uranium Project" in Germany. That same year, Einstein and colleagues alerted the U.S. President about these developments, leading to the creation of the American "Uranium Committee," which by 1942 evolved into the Manhattan Project, a massive government initiative that surpassed German efforts by hundreds of times in terms of resources and manpower. The result — the UNITED STATES **WAS THE FIRST TO CREATE** an atomic bomb. Today, science advances steadily but without such intense concentration of effort. As a result, forecasts are cautious: scientists estimate that death may be overcome in 200–300 years. However, if the intellectual and organizational resources of even a single major state were directed toward this goal, the chances of success within this century would **INCREASE DRAMATICALLY**. Thus, overcoming death is not just a scientific challenge — it becomes a civilizational choice, a political and cultural project. In order to focus national, and ideally global resources on this goal, it is necessary **NOT JUST** to have scientific functions, but to **CREATE CONDITIONS for its rapid development**. Humanity today is like a ship without a course, whose passengers are busy fishing, not knowing where the vessel is heading. The most important task is to set its course. The fish — the earthly goods — may remain a pleasant bonus along the way, but must **NOT BE THE GOAL**.

#### **Variations.**

If all hares, without agreement, avoid meat, this means that meat **DOES NOT CORRESPOND** to their nature. Similarly, if nearly two hundred countries on the planet, independently of one another, **DO NOT PLACE** overcoming death on the agenda, it means that this goal contradicts the very nature of the state and the existing world system. To understand why this goal is ignored, imagine the world as a room filled with balloons — each balloon representing a state. Each balloon exists only because it **RESISTS THE PRESSURE** from its neighbors. If a balloon weakens, it is immediately compressed by the **INEXORABLE LAWS** of politics, society and physics: the pressure continues as long as there is room to press. The growth of a state's power leads to an increase in external pressure. National borders are the lines where forces have reached equilibrium — where further expansion becomes impossible.

Under conditions of constant pressure, the state is forced to concentrate resources on its defense capabilities. This requires the mobilization of a significant portion of intellectual and material potential for the creation of **NEW TYPES OF WEAPONS**. If a state were to direct these resources not against external threats, but rather, for example, against death, into science and humanitarian goals, it would become vulnerable. Other states, still acting according to the old laws, **WOULD NOT HESITATE** to take advantage of its weakness. This explains why there is not a single country in the world today that places the overcoming of death at the center of its political agenda. Moreover, within the current system, such a state project is impossible. For resources to begin working **NOT AGAINST LIFE, BUT AGAINST DEATH**, it is necessary to change the very architecture of the world order, eliminating the constant threat posed by other states. Only in a secure environment is it possible to reorient the civilizational vector. And we **MUST NOT** forget the main point — the state itself is a "product" created within the framework of the Brain Genotype Development Program. With this understanding, everything falls into place. Everything we are witnessing today is the dismantling of the structure of the state and statehood within the activity of a new System of Governance, carried out through the so-called "ruling class," which simply **DOES NOT UNDERSTAND** what it is doing or where it will lead, and this will become apparent very soon. We are witnessing extraordinarily complex processes of global transformation, with

**CONSEQUENCIES BEYOND** people's control. However, there is already a stable understanding that these processes are leading us toward an entirely new order of human life, and this marks the **FIRST STEPS toward defeating death and overcoming aging**. Everything today is interconnected. It is quite possible that, amid current events, an **ALTERNATIVE OPTION** will emerge — to concentrate intellectual resources **OUTSIDE STATE STRUCTURES**, with a capacity comparable to that of a major power. Such an approach **DOES NOT REQUIRE** a full restructuring of the global system, but is capable of influencing it through example and achieved results. All other scenarios represent movement along a broad front with scattered efforts and extremely low chances of success in the foreseeable future.

To change the world order, one must begin with an understanding of its structure. **NOT TO RELY** on textbooks or news headlines, rather to look into the essence. For this, it is necessary to determine who is leading this world — where the locomotive is and where the carriages are. Today, this locomotive is the West (in the broad sense of the word, including the "ruling elites" of each country). And if we want to change the direction of the train, we must **CHANGE THE COURSE** of this leading force. The rest of the world will inevitably follow. The West calls its political system liberal democracy. Its core is the **INSTITUTION OF ELECTIONS**. This is the logic: *no elections — no democracy*. **CHOICE IS ONLY POSSIBLE** when there is knowledge. If there is no knowledge — the choice is illusory, and with it, democracy itself disappears.

The theory claims: the people are capable of consciously choosing their government. Therefore, they **MUST POSSESS** the necessary knowledge. However, in practice, this is impossible for three reasons. **First**, not everyone is capable of mastering the required amount of information. **Second**, most are unwilling to spend the effort and time. **Third**, a significant portion of the information is classified — it is under state secrecy. The conclusion is clear: even in theory, the people **CANNOT** possess the full body of knowledge necessary for a conscious choice. This is not just a hypothesis — it is strictly proven. For example, Arrow's General Impossibility Theorem mathematically proves the impossibility of constructing a perfect democratic model. Hundreds of researchers have tried to disprove this theorem — **NO ONE HAS SUCCEEDED**. As a result, it is usually ignored, much like Gödel's theorem, which demonstrates the incompleteness of mathematics itself. Ignoring the truth does not eliminate it — it merely suppresses it from consciousness.

If knowledge is inaccessible, then all that remains is an **IMITATION OF CHOICE**. A person is offered a set of candidates, like packages of unknown medicines. They are urged to choose one — through manipulation, rhetoric, and visual presentation. This action resembles a choice; however, in essence, it **IS NOT**. It is not freedom — it is a soft form of coercion.

Some apologists of democracy claim that knowledge is **NOT REQUIRED** for informed voting — it is enough to be a good citizen with an "honest heart." Slogans like "Vote with your heart!"



ПОНИМАНИЕ/UNDERSTANDING,  
ИСТИНА/REASON-TRUTH, СМЫСЛА/ MEANING



sound noble. And to make sure the heart doesn't make a mistake — television, social media, and advertising technologies will guide it in the right direction.

Plato, back in the Gorgias, already warned about this: "If a doctor and an orator stood before the people's assembly, and the question arose of whom to choose as a physician — they would choose the orator. Because there is no topic on which the orator cannot persuade the crowd better than a specialist... He can defeat anyone, on any issue, and achieve anything he desires."

The voter is an adult child. Age and a beard **DO NOT MAKE** him a wise man. His thinking is mundane, emotional, focused on the moment. He may understand that he is **NOT CAPABLE** of choosing the chief surgeon or the commander-in-chief, yet **SINCERELY BELIEVES** he is capable of choosing the person to run the entire country. This is a paradox: the more complex the control object the more complex the object of governance, the simpler the choice seems. An example: imagine that the owner of a candy factory secured the right for children in a kindergarten to decide how to allocate the budget — for heating or for sweets. When asked: "Can children really make such decisions?" — he would reply: "Our children are exceptional. Intelligent, aware, they'll figure it out!" Anyone who disagreed with this approach was accused of inhumanity, hostility to progress and democracy. And in the end, children were given the right to vote. The result is obvious. They don't think about winter or the cold. But **BRIGHT WRAPPERS**, that's what will decide the vote. And the discussion won't be about heating or strategy, but about which candies are better — chocolate or caramel. It's the same in society: it's naive to believe the crowd is capable of a balanced choice. Gilyarovsky once aptly put it: "The crowd is a flock of sheep. Wherever the goat goes, they follow. But try convincing a lone man at Sukharevka to buy something he doesn't need — it's a hundred times harder. The crowd? I can talk it into swimming in winter!"

In small communities — villages, settlements — democracy can function. **PEOPLE KNOW** each other personally, and the scope of issues aligns with their level of understanding. Electing a village elder is not about ideology, but a practical decision. Everyone knows: this one doesn't drink and works hard, that one is lazy and full of hot air. But the scale of a state is different. When it comes to a multi-million-person system, personal experience ceases to be a tool for making choices. Which means, through the sieve of nationwide elections, **NOT THE BEST** administrators get through, but the best actors. Those who know how to charm, smile at the right moment, wag a fatherly finger, crack an encouraging joke. Those who resemble the people in form, but not in substance.

The circle has closed: — The people have no knowledge. — Without knowledge, there is no choice and — there is no democracy without a choice. Then why does the West continue to insist that the people are the source of power? Why build legitimacy on something that's impossible even in theory. Imagine two companies. At one — a permanent director. At the other — a temporary one, elected every 4-6 months by all employees. And he **CANNOT** hold the position for more than two terms. So, a maximum of 8-12 months, and the seat is vacated. All else being equal, the first company — with stable leadership — should be more efficient. However, if the second suddenly shows better results, an obvious question arises: How is that possible? The answer is simple: it's all an illusion. Real power at the second company **HAS NOT DISAPPEARED** — it's simply **NOT PUBLIC**. The visible turnover is just a performance. Control remains in the same hands. It's just more profitable to hide real power behind the curtain of "democracy."

Keeping the proportions, one could say: changing a president every 4-6 years is the same as changing a CEO every 4-6 months. In reality, such a system **CANNOT** be stable. And if it persists — it means that behind the presidents stands a **PERMANENT FORCE**, unaffected by votes. This force is the true power. This power **DOES NOT PARTICIPATE** in election shows, does not make promises, does not flirt with the masses. The people do not even see it. Those they vote for are appointed as temporary managers. A change of name in the presidential chair **DOES NOT CHANGE** the course. In the United States and in other countries, no matter who wins the election — it's just a figurehead. The real masters remain offscreen. This model is similar to a ship's command structure. The owner of the ship is the elite. The captain is the president. And the people are the sailors. The sailors are allowed to choose a captain from a list provided by the owner. After voting, the new captain takes office — with a uniform, an office, and speeches. However, he holds **NO REAL POWER**. He is merely an executor, acting within the interests of the shipowner. The sailors may be convinced that the captain is in charge. He gives orders, stands on the bridge. But the **STRATEGIC COURSE** is not set by him. He is not the source of power, merely its representative. The course is set by transnational politico-economic clans who control resources, information, technology, and finance. Their power **DOES NOT DEPEND** on elections. They are not elected — they are not even discussed. They are the invisible architecture of the modern world.

Why does this power hide? Why doesn't it declare itself openly? The answer lies in stability. A regime built on coercion is inherently unstable. It can only be maintained by force, and, as Talleyrand once said, you can't sit on a bayonet. To understand this, let's return to the religious era. The world then was built on a vertical structure: just as in the heavens there were God, archangels, and angels — so on Earth were the king, the nobles, and the peasants. Power came from God. It **REQUIRED NO** explanation — it was sacred. The Church taught the poor and the subjects that their suffering was pleasing to God, and that humility brought them closer to Heaven. Nobles and clergy were the elect. Their superiority was taken as a given. The common folk **DIDN'T ASK** questions: this was the order of things, and it was unchangeable. The central pillar of this structure was the idea of God. It held everything together — the hierarchy, the order, the social peace. However, as science developed, it became clear that the picture of the world could be explained without God. And everything began to collapse. Titles became hollow sounds. The emperor became just a commander-in-chief. A count — a bureaucrat. A duke — an administrator. Their wives became "general's wives," their children — "little generals." The sacred aura vanished. And with it, legitimacy. It turned out that superiority was nothing more than a heritable myth. The people began to ask:

- Why are some born above others?
- Why is birth more important than intelligence and talent?
- Why does the majority starve, while a minority lives in gold?

In the past, religion answered these questions. And **NOW — NO ONE** does. The old elite has lost its foundation. The situation has become fragile, like in North Korea, where power is inherited by blood, but without religion, without any sacred support. There's only one thing left — the bayonet. Complete control over information and power. Yet, such a system is unstable: if information breaks through, everything collapses. That is why the modern elite is looking for a new form of legitimacy. The bayonet is a temporary solution. Appeals to the minds of the masses **DO NOT WORK**. As Orwell wrote, "You can

give the masses intellectual freedom, because they don't have intelligence." And now the solution has been found: democracy. A new religion.



Instead of God — the people. Instead of a priest — a candidate. Instead of commandments slogans. Just as the church once said, “You don’t have to understand the dogmas — the main thing is to believe,” so does democracy now say: “You don’t need to understand the system — just have a pure heart.” **THE MAIN THING IS TO BELIEVE.** And who to choose will be prompted by television, sociologists, and bloggers. This is the new sacredness. The old elite can no longer say: “We are from God.” But they can say: “We are the voice of the people.” And as long as the people live in an ideological vacuum, this formula works. Whoever wins the election — is **TEMPORARY.** And the structure — is eternal. But everything changes

if a new Idea appears. Not populism, not a slogan, but a real, organizing, **MEANINGFUL IDEA.** And if it captures minds, power inevitably flows to it. There **WILL BE NO** place for the old elite, just as there were no feudal lords in the era of the bourgeoisie. The atmosphere will change, and the flora and fauna will change with it. Thus, the type of domination will shift: Instead of a consumer elite, will come an **IDEA-DRIVEN ELITE.** Instead of a simulated choice — a choice with meaning. Instead of a spectacle — a movement. And election victories will depend not on manipulation, but on alignment with the Idea — just as before, they depended on alignment with religious dogma. And then everything will begin to change. Not instantly — but irreversibly. Which, in fact, can already be observed in its initial manifestation.

### Trap

What kind of power must be attained to realize a great goal? Usually, this question isn’t dwelled upon—it seems the answer is obvious: **STATE POWER.** Whatever the strategy may be, it doesn’t work without resources. And the main resources are institutions, money, and influence. Therefore, **POWER IS NECESSARY.** But **how can it be seized?** All possible paths boil down to two strategies: forceful and parliamentary. Some are convinced that elections are an illusion, and real change is only possible through uprising. Others believe that armed conflict is impossible, and victory can only be achieved through street mobilization, elections, referendums.

**The first group** claims: power **NEVER** gives itself up voluntarily. If a candidate threatens the system, they won’t be allowed to run or will be labeled an extremist. Constitutions may guarantee access; however, in practice everything is 100% predictable. **The second group** insists: in the digital age, the main force is public opinion. If the majority desires change, power will be forced to retreat. And they point to countries where democratic norms function. **BOTH CONCEPTS** are unworkable in today’s world.

Let’s start with the forceful option. Its foundation is conspiracy. That said, in the modern world, conspiracy is impossible. Everyone carries a tracking device — a smartphone, with a microphone and



camera. It records your voice, movements, and location. Algorithms analyze what you said, what you watched, where you went, and who you interacted with. A face caught on camera is instantly matched with databases. You may consider yourself "elusive Joe," but the moment you begin to act — you instantly enter the radar. As long as you're harmless — you're invisible. But the moment you become significant — your anonymity vanishes. Alright, let's suppose the armed path is impossible. That leaves the **PARLIMENTARY ROUTE**. Then recall Catalonia, 2017. More than 90% of voters expressed support for independence. It should have been a triumph of democracy. The people had spoken. But... Madrid declared the referendum illegal. Why? Because it **WAS NOT** resolved "*in accordance with the established procedure.*" From the point of view of the state, the will of the people is a riot if it is **NOT CONSISTENT** with the law. And the punishment for a riot is up to 30 years in prison. The strong always calls events the way they see fit. They have an army, police, courts. Citizens have only an opinion. And if the opinion diverges from the interests of the authorities, it is **DECLARED A CRIME**. Catalonia, Syria, Crimea, Libya — it's the same everywhere. Where it's beneficial — 0.1% of the population is considered the voice of democracy. Where it's not — even 95% of votes are called a farce. Where the strong benefit — voting is legal. Where it doesn't — it's criminal. The formula is simple: democracy is **NOT THE OPINION** of whoever holds power. The people are **NOT THE SUBJECT**. They are the stage, the backdrop, the electoral resource. They may participate in the play, but they **DO NOT WRITE** the script. From all this follows: *in the modern system, power cannot be seized — neither by force nor through elections.* So, a third path is needed. A new one. But what is it? Before looking for the answer, one must remember: power is **NOT AN END IN ITSELF**. It is merely a tool. It is not needed for seats, money, or status — rather for the realization of a purpose: **VICTORY OVER DEATH**. Everything else is secondary. And then a sharper question arises: why **HAS NO** ideological movement in history succeeded in realizing its dream — even after coming to power? The answer lies in the mechanics of power itself. All ideological groups, once they gain access to resources, start spending energy not on realizing their ideals — but **ON RETAINING POWER**. They face external threats, internal strife, economics, social demands. The idea fades into the background. Without this — they lose power. With it — they **LOSE THE IDEA**. The state, like a spider, digests any force that enters it. It consumes all incoming resources — including enthusiasm. Everything that enters the mechanism ends up serving the mechanism. And in the end: it is **NOT THE ORGANIZATION** that uses the state, rather the state that uses the organization. Every single ideological movement that reached the throne turned into administrators. They lost the goal. Lost the path. Lost themselves. State power is a trap. A snare. It lures with the promise of resources but demands in return the abandonment of one's essence. And if achieving that power requires forgetting the goal — then the game is lost at the moment of victory. No one in history **HAS MANAGED** to gain power and use it solely for the idea. The main conclusion follows from this: *the focus on seizing power is a strategic mistake. The state is not something that needs to be overcome.* This is something to **WALK PAST**. Do not try to reform it, do not integrate it, do not subordinate it, move beyond it. As Nietzsche said, "*There, where the state ends — look there, my brothers.*"

We do not need a government immersed in the struggle for budgets, tariffs and posts. We need a government free from routine. One that is capable of thinking as a whole, seeing the horizon, **WITHOUT DROWNING** in the daily routine. The historical analogue is the papal power in Europe in the

11th-13th centuries. Then the Pope did not control armies and markets, yet his word decided the fate of empires. He **WAS NOT** part of the political process — he was **ABOVE IT**.



I write these lines having just learned that today, **21 April 2025, Pope Francis has passed away.**

Many have forgotten that when he became Pope, instead of the traditional gold Fisherman's Ring (a symbol that the Pope is the successor of the Apostle Peter, who was a fisherman by trade), he was the first to wear a specially made ring — **MADE OF SILVER** and for the first time in the entire history of the papacy, he began his greeting in the **RUSSIAN LANGUAGE**. With this, he emphasized the priorities of the new System of Governance. **Everything happens both publicly and behind the scenes. However, the processes that have already been set in motion CANNOT be stopped by anyone.**

So, we need a special type of political structure. A power that does **NOT ADMINISTER**, but sets the direction. For this, an image is necessary — a coherent model. Without it, neither strategy nor tactics are possible. Without an image, there is no vector. To envision such a system, let's return to the metaphor of the world as a room. Inside — balloon-like states filled with heavy gas. They are material, and therefore **CANNOT** leave the confines of the room. Their interests are limited by its walls — competition for space, influence, and resources. And above them — another kind of balloon. It is immaterial, impossible to puncture or collapse. It is like a hologram — luminous, floating. **THIS IS THE IDEA**. It cannot be destroyed directly — only by dismantling its projection source. This immaterial sphere emits two kinds of light. One — steady and all-encompassing — reminds that the **HIGHEST GOAL** of a mortal being is **TO OVERCOME DEATH**. The second — a focused, moving beam. Wherever it falls, pressure inside the balloons, changes. The political configuration of the world changes **NOT BY ORDER**, rather through influence.

Now imagine that all the countries in the room are democratic, and all people are as deeply convinced as believers were in the Middle Ages. Each person is both a citizen of their own state and simultaneously — a citizen of a supranational structure, a new type of "Church." And this Church has a terrestrial representation — a special kind of state, similar to the Vatican. In it lives the Idea. Its purpose is **NOT TO RULE**, rather to guide.

This structure does not intervene directly in economics or politics. It does not issue commands — it **GIVES MEANING**. And just as in the Middle Ages people considered any power legitimate if it was affirmed by the Church, so here: **THE LEGITIMACY OF POWER** is determined by its alignment with the Idea.

All elections are transparent. Candidates are not handpicked — they register without any involvement from officials. Voting takes place through digital currency: a **PERSONALIZED PAYMENT** — **your vote**. No falsifications. The technology refined to near absolute purity.

Even in a perfect procedure, the key is not technology but the **AUTHORITY OF THE CENTER**. Take

Iran, for example: formally a democracy, yet in reality, power belongs to the spiritual leaders. No one becomes president without their approval — if you're not approved, you're not registered; if approved, your victory is virtually guaranteed. Moreover, these leaders have the legal right not only to dismiss a president, they can also impose punishments — even corporal ones. This is not metaphorical; it is codified law. If all of humanity believed in Islam with the conviction of the Shiites, Iran could become the spiritual center of the world, its sole mission being to uphold the Idea, not to struggle over fuel, borders, or GDP growth. However, the world is **NOT A UNIFIED** organism — it is a collection of conflicting interests. That is why the Iranian system functions only within one state, not above them all.

For a system on the scale of the Idea to function, there must be a center trusted not by faith, but by meaning — not by origin, but by the depth of its vision. This center will not cancel elections, will not abolish states; it will rise above them — like a holographic sphere above the material ones. In such a system, elections will remain; however, their logic will change. Victory will go not to the one who best plays to the crowd's expectations, rather to the one closest to the Idea. Today, candidates adapt to the tastes of the electorate. Tomorrow, they will **ADAPT TO THE IDEA** — because it will become the true source of legitimacy. And then, the world will gain not a center of power, but a **CENTER OF MEANING**. Its word will not command — it will guide. And that will be enough to change everything.

### **Vector**

*The minimum task* is to create a transmitter of the Idea — a true ideological center. Not a state in the conventional sense, not a corporation, and not a movement. Rather, a symbiosis of the Vatican and Silicon Valley, protected by a state capable of ensuring autonomy and security.

Like the Vatican, such a center will be free from political and economic routine. It **WILL NOT** participate in wars, market competition, or the production of goods. Its mission is not to govern, rather to **TRANSMIT MEANING**. If the Catholic Church had adapted to the level of modernity, it would have already encompassed the entire world. Now, its message is outdated. The old religions and mystical schools are **OUTSIDE OF TIME**. What is needed is an Idea that corresponds to the era.

An ideological center of a new type will attract people with philosophical, scientific, and engineering mindsets — individuals weary of routine and meaninglessness. Political independence will make it possible to create its own laws, **NOT BASED** on the dogmas of the past. A space will open for experiments that are impossible within the old system. This will become a natural magnet for free minds. For now, it is just a dream — one that could very well become a reality today. However, freedom alone is not enough. What matters is the atmosphere of service, not employment. Work is a function. You get paid — you perform. You don't — you leave. Service is when a person is driven **NOT BY EXTERNAL** incentive, but by internal meaning. A monk is an example of service. An office manager is an example of work. You can't say "he works as a monk" — that's nonsense. Just as you can't say "he serves as a manager." Service is maximum energy. It was this kind of energy that enabled the creation of nuclear weapons in just a few years. At that time, physicists, mathematicians, and engineers were **NOT WORKING** for money — they were serving an idea. We need the same kind of force. A business model won't suffice.

*The maximum task* is for a supranational center to emerge above all countries — like an immaterial sphere of light above the balloon-like states. Yet, this sphere does not rule. It shines. The new world is not a world of a new form. It is a world of new meaning.

I will not go into detail about what the future will look like. That is **NOT NECESSARY**. Externally, for a certain period, everything will remain the same: the same states, the same elections, the same officials. However, a **SINGULARITY POINT** will emerge — *a Center that speaks not of eternity, but of immortality. Not of faith, but of knowledge.* Not of dogma, but of a goal that is clear to every mortal being.

There is no need to strive for a single "Earth" state. This is a dead end. Just as geese are led by a goose, not a crane, so too must each culture be led by its own representative. **DIVERSITY IS ESSENTIAL**. And conflicts between states will happen. Let states live by their own laws. But above them — there must be light. A point free from routine. A Center to which one can look up. Complete freedom from routine is impossible. Monitoring, adjustments, interventions — all of this is inevitable. For now — this will be the function of an **IDEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE**. Yet even in this case, the routine will be on an ideological, not administrative, scale. And in this lies the fundamental difference.

No task in the world **REMAINS UNFINISHED** due to a lack of money. All real obstacles stem from a **LACK OF IDEAS**. And ideas are scarce because most people's thinking is bound by the chains of "obvious" truths — eternal, unquestionable, sacred. The mind **CANNOT** break past the boundaries of the permissible, and the new is always **BEYOND THE OLD**. What exists within the system is merely an upgrade. A true breakthrough always lies outside. A goal that can be clearly formulated is already within reach. If one can consciously say: "**OVERCOMING DEATH,**" then humanity has the potential to achieve it. However, this potential will not be realized until two conditions are met:

1. Concentration of resources.
2. Freedom of thought.

All fundamental breakthroughs in science — from Copernicus to Einstein — occurred not because of money, rather because of the **ABILITY TO STEP BEYOND** dogma. Those who think strictly along set tracks are not researchers, but driverless locomotives — mechanisms, repeaters. If you think in terms of absolute concepts of good and evil, "true" morality, and "eternal" norms, then our **CONVERSATION IS IMPOSSIBLE**. The wall between us is not ideological, it is ontological. Shackled thinking **CANNOT** accept a new idea or generate its own. It reacts to anything unfamiliar like the immune system reacts to a foreign protein — with rejection.

Once upon a time, the mind could not conceive that the Earth was not the center of the universe. Today, the same kind of dogma is the belief that death is final, that the self is inseparable from the body, and that reality is purely material. Ideas of life extension, personality transfer, and going beyond the body seem absurd not because they are false, but because consciousness is bound by dogma. Bound thinking **DOES NOT SEEK** truth. It protects its boundaries. We **DO NOT KNOW** what life, self, or reality truly are. However, ignorance **SHOULD NOT** stop us. The bound mind fears the dark. The free one enters it with fire. I believe absolute freedom does not exist. Thinking is limited by the current capabilities of our brain, by instincts, culture, and imagination. However, to recognize the boundaries is



already a step toward expanding them. Instincts and morality **CAN BE OVERCOME**. The only limit we cannot surpass is that of possible imagination. Imagination is the final frontier. We are like a balloon we inflate from the inside. No matter how far we stretch it — we are still inside. Freedom is not absolute. It is the length of the leash the mind can walk from its cage. The longer the leash — the greater the potential. And the most terrifying thing is not that the mind is bound — rather that it **DOES NOT REALIZE IT**. The more a person acts by pattern, the freer they feel. The more they think independently, the more they sense the limits. He who **DOES NOT RECOGNIZE** the illness will not treat it. He who does not see his lack of freedom **WILL NOT SEEK** liberation.

The first step toward freedom is to recognize its absence — to understand that most of the goals we pursue are **NOT OUR OWN**. They are implanted through culture, media, and upbringing. We merely rationalize these implanted impulses, using reason as a tool to fulfill someone else's will, because all of this has been imposed on us for the past two thousand years. And of course, the hardest thing is to doubt what has **ALWAYS BEEN CONSIDERED** sacred. Yesterday, it was religion. Today — humanism, science, liberal values. Tomorrow — something else. ***The essence remains: sacred truths change, yet our attitude toward them does not.*** Le Bon wrote that for centuries, people believed in monstrous legends. Even the greatest minds — Galileo, Newton — did not question them. Not because they were foolish, rather because they **LIVED WITHIN** a shared hypnosis. Superstition was the norm. Doubt — a crime. **NOTHING HAS CHANGED**. Only the legends were replaced by others. Just like before, any doubt provokes not curiosity, rather irritation. Just as they once burned witches, now they burn the doubters — morally, reputationally, socially. And the strength of dogma lies not in its truth, but in its alignment with the **CURRENT STAGE** of development. When growth outgrows the dogma, it becomes the enemy. And everything that was once sacred begins to hold us back. That is why to think is to exit — not into denial, but into possibility. And that is why the true potential of humanity lies not in resources, rather in the liberation of thinking.



### In the end

When a person first encounters the topic of overcoming death, their initial thought is: this is a task for scientists. Genetics, biology, neurotechnology — that's who should figure something out. Many look at themselves, realize they have **NO CONNECTION** to science, and step aside. They wait. Wait like at a train station — for the "train of immortality" to arrive. And while it **HAS NOT COME**, they fill the time with whatever: entertainment, daily life, career. After all — we're "not involved." But this is the mistake. Overcoming death **DOES NOT BEGIN** in a laboratory. ***It begins with creating the conditions for science.*** We won't be saved by knowledge while science remains caged. And it is caged — by politics, economics, distorted goals, and fear. We don't need to fit

into the system. We need to build our own. The hardest part is to **AWAKEN HUMANITY** from mental



sleep. To make them understand: we are all on a sinking ship. And while everyone runs after possessions, money, and careers, the water keeps rising. Even if there is no exit — **SEEKING IS BETTER** than waiting.

The world needs a center. Not a state. Not an army. Not yet another party. A center **CAPABLE OF THINKING** on a planetary scale and within the timespan of a human life. Climate change, resources, waste, the chaos of technology — all of this goes beyond the scope of any single government. Yet authorities think in terms of 4-6-year cycles. They can't see the horizon. They are like butterflies on the deck of the Titanic — while it sinks, they shimmer. What's needed is a structure that can look beyond the horizon. Not to save power, but to **SAVE HUMANITY**. We don't need to replace the elite, because right now — there's no one to replace them with. We must first gather those who think differently. They will become the true elite.

Even if your mind has accepted everything written above, the heart may **NOT LET IT IN**. I've seen this many times. With the mind, a person agrees: "Yes, it's logical." However, it **DOESN'T BECOME** action. Because the heart hasn't engaged. And as long as it stays silent, the person continues living as before. The Apostle Paul spoke of this two thousand years ago: "The good I want to do — I do not do, and the evil I do not want — I do." That is exactly how it will be. Until mind and heart **MERGE, you will know you're going the wrong way — and still go**. It is ok. This is normal. This is how a person is built. This is how the transition works within the framework of the New System of Governance. This is the Path...

**F. Shkrudnev**  
**22 April 2025**