

Salvation of the Saviors 15_series 369

Do we agree or not? Most importantly is what we will agree on...

Author: Fedor Dmitrievich Shkrudnev

Society is indeed a contract, but a contract of the highest order. It cannot be considered as a commercial agreement for the sale of pepper, coffee, and tobacco, or any similar contract concluded for practical gain or for the exercise of insignificant, transient interests, which can be terminated at the whim of one of the parties. The state, not according to today's definition, but the state as it should be, requires respect, because it is an association whose goal is not to satisfy animal needs or solve insignificant and fleeting tasks. This is a society in which all the sciences and arts, all the virtues and perfections should develop. Therefore, the social contract is concluded not only between the living, but also between the present, past and future generations.

Today, it is very difficult for those of us who are watching and feeling all that is happening to appreciate and grasp the revolutionary globality of what is transpiring (the global is difficult to appreciate at the moment of its occurrence). Trying to imagine it will prove to be a lie —because it is only possible to imagine tomorrow's reality now with today's mind. It will not be tomorrow's reality, but an **UPGRADE** of **TODAY's**. The reason is that ***the present only partially follows from the past***. The second part follows from the future. The moment of the present is a kind of contact of processes moving towards each other — one from the past, the other from the future. With this representation, there is no present tense, **NOTHING MOVES**. There are only successive moments of being. To know what the next moment of being will be, you need to know not only what has already been (the past), but also what will be (the future). At best, we know the past. We don't know what comes from the future. Therefore, we cannot predict the next moment for the same reasons, as we cannot predict the state of water in the next period of time, if we do not know, for example, whether it will be put in the freezer or on a red-hot stove.



On this basis, people's heads are buckets. ***What you pour into them, is what is inside of them.*** Many people are unable to analyze information, not because they can't do it, but because it is work (in our understanding today), labor intensive. Why work if you do not have to work ... Our brain always takes the path of least resistance, of course depending on the individual mentality ***"It is more peaceful to believe once than to live and think every day"***. (N. Korzhavin).

We live in an ever-changing world. You can save yourself in such conditions only through constant self-change — to keep up with the times. The paradox: to remain yourself, you need to constantly change, getting rid of the old self. **THOSE WHO CAN'T**, they cease to correspond to the environment, and eventually they cease to be.

Those who strive to conform to yesterday's environment, because it is familiar, and therefore comfortable, contradict themselves. If your current comfort is rooted in the past, this is your tomorrow's discomfort. Just like the feudal lords, their comfort under capitalism turned into discomfort. And there was nothing they could do about it, even if they tried to match the situation. ***"The aristocracy waved the beggarly bag of the proletariat as a banner to lead the people. But every time they followed it, they noticed old feudal coats of arms on it's backside and ran away with loud and disrespectful laughter"*** (Marx and Engels, "Manifesto of the Communist Party").

The entire systematic management of human development within the framework of the program for improving brain genotypes and energy biogenesis provided a complete information taboo for realizing the truth.

No matter what level of "natural" mind people have at any stage of the development of civilization, ***their Brain has always been the object of information violence from the old System.*** Having only nested functions within the framework of its development program and being distinguished into several genotypes, it was limited by the level of target cognition, which was provided unequally in its capacity and depth for each profile class, i.e., the manager or the managed. This is vividly manifested in what is happening today. Besides, all individuals' comprehension of surrounding objective reality and the subsequent practical embodiment of consciousness when organizing their being differed significantly **NOT ONLY** according to the Brain genotype, but also differed in the group of genotypes of the same name, according to the inherent mentality, because it also depended on belonging to one of the twelve tribes in each genotype. One should also take into account the fact that the functional purpose of each territory, formed by the System, also played a certain role in the comprehension of the surrounding program reality by people identified quite identically both by brain genotype and by belonging to a particular tribe. This diversity explains the **LACK of UNITY IN the KNOWLEDGE** and development of people, the significant difference in the organization of their forms of being, the variety of social structures of management, the reasons for their origin and arrangement, the presence of "national" features of development and much more. This is **ABSOLUTELY NOT DONE CONSCIOUSLY** and **HAS NOT BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT** by all previous and modern science in the basis of the doctrines it sets out in the theory of the development of civilization and everything else. Currently, the field of political science that studies the problems of mentality, consciousness as such, political consciousness is a space of the most diverse, often contradictory approaches created on the basis of assumptions, axioms, mental constructions, preferences and only occasionally — facts. There is no coherent building of a unified theory of both consciousness and political consciousness yet and one is not expected. Unable, for the reasons outlined above, to stand firmly on the shoulders of previous researchers, as is customary, for example, in physics, and which ensures the architectonic growth of scientific knowledge, political scientists and political psychologists continue to build individual structures that stand on sand, and **NOT ON THE FOUNDATION OF TRUE KNOWLEDGE** of the **PROGRAM** for improving Brain genotypes and energy biogenesis, and are not related to each other. At the same time, in the real practice of studying the political consciousness and mentality of a person, as the first step in the knowledge of Brain genotypes, it seems possible to use various criteria as essential for studying this phenomenon, **WITHOUT CONTRASTING** them with the definitions of other authors, and it is better, in my opinion, to discard authorship, to focus on understanding the information itself. The more multidimensional and multifaceted this set of definitions is, the deeper our penetration into the essence of various aspects of information and political consciousness and, of course, mentality as such will be. From the arsenal of the most diverse theories and methodologies, a person, having learned to reflect and learn, can determine for himself whether there is a theory at all that is relevant to the reality under study, and if so, which one. He must decide **WHICH ASPECTS** of this theory will be chosen by him ***to continue his knowledge and in-depth research of what is happening around him.***

The process of rethinking itself began a little earlier, as part of the change of the Control System in connection with the recognition of the "impractical" continuation of the experiment, which has been repeatedly mentioned and we will continue to rely on it, based on the energy-informational dialectic of the **GRADUAL DEVELOPMENT** of Brain genotypes and improvement of management using Complexes, providing and accompanying Systems and Objects with program management from outside.

At the beginning of the twentieth century, a trend was formed in the world of science, and since the second half of the century, a steady trend has been **ACTIVELY DEVELOPING** to study the peculiarities of the manifestation of consciousness of various social and then political subjects through the concept of mentality. The emergence and widespread use of this concept is primarily due to the emergence of non-classical paradigms of social cognition as a new direction, which is identified with the movement from the natural-scientific orientation to the humanitarian, from potentialism to existentialism, from a quantitative approach to a qualitative one within the framework of the "unfolding" Programs of the new Management System. This is in some way the beginning of the manifestation of an attempt to comprehend what is happening, but in a completely new paradigm of today's worldview and understanding of the past that was imposed on us all from the outside. In the past, the global problems and crises of the post-war world caused the need to move from the classical type of scientific research characteristic of the natural sciences to a larger, multilateral and flexible account of the specifics of man as a unique cultural, historical and political phenomenon. At the same time, there was a need *to expand the interpretative¹ potential and methodological tools* by using humanitarian or non-classical methodologies for cognition of this phenomenon, methodologies based not on the measurable, but understandable and interpreted contents. In turn, this led to the **MODERNIZATION AND EXPANSION** of the conceptually and conceptable field and the scientific tools of socio-political theories, the inclusion of civilizational, religious, ethnic, anthropological and, finally, political and psychological characteristics of society in the analytical apparatus. It was also **IMPORTANT** how psychology began to occupy a dominant role, which, at one time, within the framework of the same programs that "regulate" the development and separation of people, was ousted from the scientific field as a tool of cognition, and not only a person, but also everything that surrounds people.



Initially, psychology was created as a science of the soul, but after its exclusion from the list of sciences by positivism, V. Wundt² was forced to reformat it into experimental psychology in order to return it to the number of sciences. But at the same time, psychology had to sacrifice the concept of "soul", and with it the mentality became a "personal matter" of individual researchers. So psychological science has been reduced to the study of mental processes, properties, characteristics and states, but in fact **they study a person's ability to perform tasks set by the mentality!** And they do this at the expense of the visible stream of consciousness: a person's thinking, perception, emotions and will to live. It turns out that whether the world order wants it or not, it is still **DEALING with a political mentality in the face of political psychology**. **But it is impossible to openly accept the political mentality, because the whole ideology of the modern world order is built on the denial of its mentality**. It is technically impossible to change the political mentality, to force it to serve another temporary project of the world order: **it is protected from penetration and restructuring** in the same way as the created genotype of the Brain, of which it is a component.

Familiarity with modern literature on theories of mentality and the results of numerous mental studies demonstrates that the very concept of mentality is even more axiomatic, **MULTIPLE, UNDETERMINABLE** and **POLYSEMANTIC than the concept of consciousness**. At the basis of all the rules governing the choice and use of words, we find the same basic requirement: the preservation of attention... The easiest way to direct the mind to the desired concept is in many cases the only goal and in all cases the main goal.

Many domestic and foreign researchers also focus on the fact that the **CONCEPT** of **MENTALITY** **REFLECTS** *a system of originality, a set of features of consciousness and faith, a way of thinking, a system of images and representations, a special worldview and world perception, attitudes of consciousness, stable stereotypes, the specifics of people's psychological life.*

These are traits, typical behavior, cultural and behavioral code, mental warehouse, a peculiar mindset, the matrix of spiritual life, national characteristics of peoples, identity, etc. Discussing the phenomenon of mentality, those who want to know, in one way or another, talk about the specifics of various ethnic groups, peoples, nations, races, religious confessions, social strata, generations, classes, elites, as well as about professional, regional, political and other differences between group and individual subjects of activity. It is also believed that it is possible to **UNDERSTAND** a **PERSON** in the context of social relations by studying the peculiarities, the otherness of a person's worldview. Summarizing numerous points of view, it seems possible to conclude that mentality, as a psychological equipment of social and political subjects, is manifested in the peculiarities of thinking, beliefs, feelings, will, which are explicated in concepts, attitudes, representations, stereotypes, values, identity and, finally, in the peculiarities of political behavior. Deepening our knowledge, let us take the liberty to continue: *the mentality is a condition for the possibility of being in its originality and uniqueness.*



The dogmatism of a mentality, as its immanent quality, is almost invisible and indistinguishable for most modern people, like the pressure of an atmospheric column, because for centuries it has been habitually perceived as truth "*a priori*" and **DOES NOT** constitute any question for its bearers. This is why it is extremely difficult for the thinking of anyone within any mentality to determine what exactly its dogmatic conditionality is.

Dogmatic thinking forms a mental matrix, and it, in the form of public consciousness, as an invariant code, unites various masses of people who have lived for a long time in the space of the prevailing religion, as if in a kind of gravitational system inaccessible to direct perception and formed within the framework of programs for creating specific Brain genotypes. The mental matrix acts as a program of a multivariate algorithm, in the course of which an ethnic group or a people develops and implements its socio-cultural, economic and political practices. But the most important thing is that all this **CANNOT BE FORMED AT ALL** without gravitational support processes (for those who wish more information can be found in my articles and books)! However, they did not know about this and even today they do not know — and it is not just politicians, philosophers and physicists of the past and present. However, it does not matter, but they have been using it for thousands of years in the implementation of their existence through the executive participation of people in all worldly spheres. Could the relativists³ of the past have assumed this? Of course not, because for them they still lack the very **NECESSARY "PHYSICS OF FIELDS"**, with the help of which it (public consciousness) is formed! "Such a scientific postulate can be the subject of judgments only in the field of fiction" — so the current relativists and materialists will say! Let us leave them to their reasoning.

The Russian civilization and the state were created not by Russian citizens, not by Russians, but SPECIFICALLY RUSSIANS. A Russian, as a **KIND of UNIVERSAL PERSON** of the late XX — early XXI centuries, living on the territory of the Russian Federation, is not a mature fruit of a thousand — year national-historical development, but ***a primitive product of post-Soviet social engineering and political propaganda, created within the framework of the same executive programs of the Old management system.*** I would call the **RUSSIAN MENTALITY** just a metaphor. But this metaphor is not only a ridiculous simulacrum, symbolizing the absence of a systemically structural methodology and a hierarchically ordering principle for considering the phenomenon of mentality in Russia in its complexity and diversity. The Russian mentality is essentially an identification project aimed at modifying the Russian mentality in a given direction. This is extremely important right now, because the political mentality is the spiritual and stationary basis of a human being, which allows him to change his conduct without (CS) of course, **WHILE REMAINING** the same. To remain the same is the only way to "preserve humanity" in conditions when the old system of the world order will be finally demolished, and there is no new global digital power yet, and I am sure that there will **NOT BE**. Relying on a political mentality is the only hope for preserving the country in the political chaos that is brewing due to disillusionment with consciousness as a management tool. This hope is real, but on the condition that the "upper and lower classes" of Russia remember that they have the same political mentality, and they have no place in countries where life is determined by completely different political mentalities. ***Due to a lack of understanding of this simple scientific fact, the USSR no longer exists, and the Russian FEDERATION CAN ONLY BE SAVED by a SOCIAL CONTRACT on a single political mentality.*** To do this, you need to know about what has been embodied through people for many millennia, what it was embodied for, how it was embodied through the development of specific Brain genotypes and political mentality — to know everything, like for instance, knowing about a life jacket on a ship in distress.

In today's enormous flow of information bombarding us, much is known about mentalities, but there is no concrete method of research, especially for the conditions of the new political reality: sudden, unusual, unacceptable, unexpected changes both in our life itself and in politics. Every so-called "scientist" has seen mentality within the ideology of his time, and we will **RISK NEGLECTING** the political assessments of the mentality in order to present it as an integral, harmonious, unchangeable psychological and political phenomenon in the deepest understanding of our consciousness. And if we do not limit ourselves to any prejudices "hammered" into us from school (and especially today's false information flow created by the automotor activity of those who undoubtedly leave the "political scene", but are still **TRYING to INFLUENCE** the audience — If we look) at the politicians of many neighboring states not only as representatives of parties, business, culture, but also as carriers of a certain kind of political mentality, it will turn out that ***it is the mentality, and not the political or economic position of a person and people, that is crucial in almost all past and current political events.*** The Russian Federation has been cooperating with many states for many years, and sometimes fought with some, but **NOT AT ALL FOR** the **REASONS** that were announced.



Today we must apply a completely new method of studying the psychology of political mentality for modeling, as the most important means of cognizing the phenomena of the objective world, and especially those that are not given to us directly, are not felt by us, and for which we do not have a special organ. This model, as an integral element of the scientific picture of the world, also contains an "element of fantasy", being a product of creative imagination, and this element of fantasy to one degree or another should always be limited to facts, observations, measurements.

Such modeling is determined **NOT ONLY** by the goals and objectives, but also by the conceptual foundations of the research being conducted, the prevailing scientific paradigm at this stage and our worldview attitudes as researchers. We have to learn (study) a phenomenon that: **a)** is not directly felt, **b)** for the perception of which we do not have a special organ, **c)** to some extent is a product of creative imagination, but **d)** there is a long-term observation of the psychology of people from different countries and political mentalities. That is, we have enough grounds to designate our observations and the results of their analysis as New scientific Knowledge. The combination of cognition and mentality within the framework of specific Brain genotypes opens up a picture of the political mentalities of different countries, which we did not know until now and which is absolutely amazing. It may even seem that throughout the history of relations with different countries, we have had disagreements with them not on ideological, economic or territorial issues, but because of a completely different vision of the world picture, life position, lifestyle and worldview, that is, because of differences in political mentality. And in the search for peace, cooperation with neighboring peoples, it was more correct to look for common things not in ideology, but in mentalities. All conflicts over the centuries have arisen precisely because of the differences in the political mentalities of different peoples, but always within the framework of implemented programs for the development of the Brain genotype. This makes it possible (and in my opinion, this is important) to imagine and understand, for example, the so-called Jewish mentality in a completely new way.

Only the Jews have an ancient injunction to regularly read and publicly interpret the texts of the Book of Laws, which from childhood developed their interpretive thinking as an active understanding of the natural, social and spiritual world.



The Torah is **REQUIRED READING** in its entirety in the synagogue every year. At the same time, there is a tradition: after listening to the Torah while studying in the synagogue, one sits among the adults and interprets the text he has read. Thus, "The theological and mystical doctrines of Judaism have been converted into political attitudes, and the central one is now Zionism as a Jewish secularized nationalist messianism.

Jews as such are defined as "leading genotypes" or so-called "quadrupeds". But since **THERE ARE NO** nationalities, but only *genotypes with territorial reference and functional allotment*, the use of the word "Jew", "Chinese", "Arab", "Ukrainian", "German", "French", etc. is **INCORRECT**. For reference: in **421 genotypes there are 12 tribes, in 441-12 tribes, in 461-1 knee, in 422-12 knees, in 442 — 12 knees, in 423 — 12 knees**. As we can see, the total number of species of the leading genotype was brought to 61, or 22 % of the total population of the Earth. At this point, the Program of the intervention (Ebrov) System was stopped. In total, it was supposed to increase the number of species of leading genotypes to 66. Accordingly, it was **PLANNED** to **ENTER 462, 463, 464, 465 and 466 genotypes**. Each subsequent genotype formed had to take all the best from the previous ones. This is how the processes were implemented, which were presented to us in a veiled and deliberately distorted way as the history of the development of peoples (by nationality), their languages and Civilizations. However, I believe that it is better for the reader to draw his own conclusions after reading this part, placing his emphasis precisely within the framework of understanding the purpose of specific Brain genotypes. For example, when analyzing Western polymentality⁴ from the perspective of New knowledge, I wondered: "What is the reason that since the XVI century, the Anglo-Saxon world, a **VERY INSIGNIFICANT** part of humanity on a planetary scale, dictates its will to the whole world, instills life standards, introduces its own images and concepts, legal and cultural norms, instilling spiritual postulates, psychological attitudes and behavioral stereotypes, the dogmatic foundations of Western political mentalities, their differences and unity-highlighting one very important thing — The distinctive features of the Western mentality are characterized, firstly, by its uniformity, or totality within a specific genotype of the Brain. These features imply the adoption by the bearers of the mentality of universal postulates for all. This is due to the fact that for centuries the confession of dogma in monotheism was put forward in an indisputable and generally binding form, and therefore it was uncritically assimilated by the overwhelming majority.



If we carefully look at the dogmatic basis and practice of the Anglo-American mental and political expansion, it is difficult not to notice that, since in the dogmatic system faith is directly oriented to the will, and not to thinking (consciousness), to the extent that the main provisions of faith form orthodox voluntarism here... Its center is also dogma, appealing primarily to practical reason. The very dogma of Protestantism, as the "civil religion" of America, expressed in the values of democracy, market economy, freedom, liberalism, the cult of individualistic self-realization, has come into conflict with the original Christian values. This is an important conclusion in our knowledge. But special emphasis should be given to the political mentality of the British ruling elites. The special position of England in the world is explained to some extent by the fact that in the first half of the XIV century, the **DOGOMATIC FOUNDATIONS** of the political phenomenon that will later be called British imperialism were developed and formulated. These are individualism as "human rights", liberalism as the principle of freedom without equality and the basis of bourgeois law, the emphasis on empirical knowledge as a belief in progress and as the basis of utilitarianism, finally, voluntarism as the primacy of will over reason and political expansionism. As a result: Britain (through "selected" and System — defined people), within the framework of the development of the Brain genotype, exercises its long — term influence on global political processes thanks to the system of Protestant values, the continuous development of elites, project thinking, pragmatic flexibility, reflective methodologies, a special system of law, financial strategies, intelligence, the globalization of the English language and humanitarian technologies. Here it is advisable to recall that the entire information "flow" of the Control System was executed only within the framework of the so-called "English language". That is why the policy of global achievements is the ultimate expression of English national subject⁵. Britain has been a political "super-entity" for centuries in the context of its continuous history. This is manifested in such a "gift" of the British political elites as the ability to effectively implement mental and dogmatic expansion at the global level. So, when the British ruled a certain country, they sought to instill — or at least pass on to it certain features and **PROPERTIES of THEIR MENTALITY**, among which the most priority were the English language, island Protestantism, as well as the Anglo-Saxon concept of bourgeois law, which became an important element of the system of global political control. All this was implemented by the Management System through specific genotypes, the carriers of which were the so-called "elite".

The results of the study of the Islamic mentality, the political and psychological dynamics of the re-Islamization of the south of Russia, which follows from its strength, are worth special attention, so that a new phenomenon of Russian Muslims has appeared in the Islamic political space of Russia as a **RESULT of CHANGES** in programs within the framework of the CPS. Even more attention should be paid to the conceptual model of the Chinese political mentality based on the basic structures of the Chinese mentality in the era of change, and especially after the Main Control Complex — Sichuan - "disappeared". You can write about the new discovery of the mentality without (CS) of course for a long time and quite a lot, because the method of studying the political mentality allows you to see a colored, bright, almost dazzling picture of the world instead of a black-and — white one.

It turns out that in all cases, no matter how atheists and secular people are represented by entire countries and their individual citizens, they are backed by ancient dogmas embodied in their political mentality. **Some of them know this, and some have no idea.** But the main thing is that the development process took place from a single group number of individuals of **42 genotypes** of the Brain, their accompaniment, reproduction and settlement on the Planet in order to give them and work out leading roles in the developing social structures of groups of people **WHO ARE TAKING ON** the **APPEARANCE** of **SOCIETIES**. In the future, the predominant features of Brain development were applied from generation to generation. The development of the Brain genotype was primary, and all the manifested processes connected in a single historical process of the development of civilization were secondary. No matter how humiliating it may be for us to perceive, but the development of all the areas discussed above, and culture as one of the components of the resulting processes, is also the implementation of programs for the development of individual format abilities of the Brain in specific genotypes. There are many examples of this, if you learn to carefully analyze the very "processes" that we are familiar with not even within the framework of New Knowledge, but from school. For example, the **1st International (1864)**, to which Marx and Engels tried to give the proletarian character of the movement, but "something" "destroyed" it in Philadelphia in 1876. This is something — different genotypes (mentalities) of participants, although there are dozens of ideological and organizational explanations in the literature. Then there was the **2nd International (1889)** with delegates from 20 countries, and this meeting of supporters of the social revolution broke up for the same reason. In 1919, on the initiative of Lenin, **the Comintern of Supporters of Proletarian Internationalism** was created, and in 1943 it was dissolved on the initiative of Stalin. There was also the **4th International of Trotsky (1938)**, after whose death it also collapsed. There are dozens of such examples. This "something" was a mismatch of the mentalities of representatives of different countries, and so far there was no way to reconcile them. This is how the reasons for the collapse of the empires, the USSR, were formed within the framework of the implemented programs of the Management System. And modern colossus like the USA, the EU, and the Russian Federation loomed next. But for some reason, it is in our days that multinational corporations, which have already confidently replaced the states, are faced with the same problem: political mentalities. If you carefully read what is written in the previous article and this one, then all objections regarding the existence of specific Brain genotypes and their mentality disappear. And most importantly, those who enter into relations with the carriers of these mentalities should first know what the mentality of a particular Brain genotype is, so as **NOT to HAVE ILLUSIONS** that foreign "partners" feel, think, understand and act in the same way as Russian citizens. They are different.

Instead of a conclusion, there is a problem: Russian, Russian mentality?

Russia has no prospects without understanding the psychology of its political mentality: such as it is, and not such as it was invented by foreign so-called "researchers".

The exceptional political success of the Anglo-American mental and political expansion in recent decades, in addition to understanding the processes occurring within the framework of the old CPS, can also be explained by the fact that they knew so much good about themselves that it allowed them to act quickly, swiftly and accurately. They knew their mentality and actively promoted it everywhere, including in Russia. There is a whole list of English studies of their political mentality, but **THERE** is **NO SUCH LIST** of studies of the Russian, Russian mentality. Russian literature is full of foreign opinions about Russians, and not the best ones. But there is no scientific description of the Russian mentality for foreigners. Maybe that's why F. Tyutchev bitterly wrote something that is still relevant today:

"No matter how you bend before her, gentlemen,

You will not win recognition from Europe:

In her eyes, you will always be

Not servants of enlightenment, but serfs!"



Few people think in this direction, but the problem of disrespect for the Russian mentality was created by the **HANDS OF RUSSIANS**: writers, scientists, diplomats, and actors. The strongest result of the lack of knowledge abroad about the positive traits of the Russian mentality was the Great Russian Revolution of 1917, which could not have happened without Russian literature and Russian theater.

Even worse is the fact that among the Russians themselves, **SUCH BAD OPINIONS** about their own mentality spread, that a Civil War was possible, which surpassed in its harshness all other wars that Russia fought with external enemies. When I asked myself this question, I did not need to look far to find the sources of the bad reputation of the Russian mentality. It was only necessary to carefully **analyze the great Russian classical literature read at home and abroad** from the perspective of the New Knowledge. Yes! Wonderful language, style, plots, and fabulous! Everything is wonderful, except for one thing: there is **NOT ONE positive hero in Russian classical literature. Not one!** Who is the younger generation to emulate? How can you respect a Russian citizen abroad, if all students there study Dostoevsky on a compulsory basis, and all theaters all over the world show plays by Anton Chekhov, but there is **NO POSITIVE HERO** in them.



There is no positive hero in Tolstoy, Lermontov, or Gogol. **They have a literary hero, they have characters, but they don't have a national hero. NOT ONE.** The kind that Mein Reed, Fenimore Cooper, Walter Scott, Jules Verne, Alexandre Dumas have many... Try to argue with me here. And what mentalities of compatriots did Russian literature present to its readers? I will not engage in teaching — I will simply give information for those who are capable of pondering it. **A) The mentality of the "little man":** Samson Vyrin ("The Station Ranger") and Akaki Akakievich Bashmachkin ("The Overcoat"), Maxim Maximych ("Hero of Our Time"), Makar Devushkin and Semyon Marmeladov ("Poor People", "Crime and Punishment"), Captain Tushin ("War and Peace").

B) The mentality of the "superfluous man" Onegin, Pechorin, Chichikov, Raskolnikov, Bazarov, Oblomov, Andrei Bolkonsky and Pierre Bezukhov are "heroes of their time. They are ideological heroes because they are made mouthpieces or spokesmen for the ideas of their time. Lermontov: "the hero of our time" is "a portrait made up of the vices of our entire generation, in their full development."

C) The mentality of "a man hostile to his time": it is a hero-nobleman. Pushkin has Peter Grinev, Vladimir Dubrovsky, Eugene Onegin. Lermontov has Pechorin, Turgenev has Bazarov, Dostoevsky has Myshkin and Goncharov has Oblomov.

D) The "passive protest" mentality: Ilya Ilyich Oblomov. Inactivity, which has become a way of life and brought to the point of absurdity, something like a sit-in - "This is no way to live!".

E) The mentality of a man "who does not agree to live the way his "fathers" lived": I. S. Turgenev - the eternal conflict of fathers and children is translated from the plane of personal interests into the public sphere.

F) The mentality of the "weak man": the weakness of the reckless radical, capable of undercutting the national culture, breaking the bond of time. "Turgenev's and Goncharov's "nest of the nobility" in his novel The Precipice.

The political mentality of Russians in Russian literature is an irreconcilable denial of their country — heroes denouncing "her imperfections"... **MOST** of **ALL**, Russian literature has succeeded in negative images: Alexey Molchalin (Alexander Griboyedov "Woe from Wit"), Grushnitsky (Mikhail Lermontov "Hero of Our Time"), Afanasy Totsky (Fyodor Dostoevsky "Idiot"), Arkady Svidrigailov (Fyodor Dostoevsky "Crime and Punishment"), Lackey Yasha (Anton Chekhov "The Cherry Orchard"), Pavel Smerdyakov (Fyodor Dostoevsky "The Brothers Karamazov"), Pyotr Luzhin (Fyodor Dostoevsky "Crime and Punishment"), Kirila Troekurov (Alexander Pushkin "Dubrovsky"), Alexey Shvabrin (Alexander Pushkin "The Captain's Daughter"), Foma Opiskin (Fyodor Dostoevsky "The Village of Stepanchikovo and its inhabitants"), Andriy (Nikolai Gogol "Taras Bulba"), Fyodor Karamazov (Fyodor Dostoevsky "The Brothers Karamazov"). **It is obvious that such a situation should be explained, explained from the school bench and corrected.**

With the appearance of information that can be drawn from the works of N. Levashov, A. Khatybov, A. Luchin and others, truly Russian scientists of our time, with the release of the monograph "Fundamentals of the Formation of Mankind", conditions are created for studying and understanding the real Russian mentality, which is **SO NECESSARY** for **PEOPLE** during the dismantling of the old system of the world order and the formation of a new one. What kind of passport of the "Russian political mentality" will the country present for its place in the world community today? So far, it is either not there, or it was written and is being written by Russia's enemies in order to push it out of its rightful place among other countries of the world. That's what we all need to think about today, realizing what is happening before our eyes.

Can the mentality of a country that gave statehood to nations that never had it be disrespected: Finland (1802), Romania (1878), Bulgaria (1878), Austria (1945), Czechoslovakia (1945), Serbia (1878), Greece (1821), Latvia (1918), Estonia (1918), etc. The USSR ensured the birth and formation of the People's Republic of China, Mongolia, Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, North Korea, India, Israel. It is not possible here to list all of Russia's services to the world. I am sure the reader already understands why all this happened in this way and was carried out from Russia through the people with whose help all processes were and are being solved both within the framework of the Old System, and in this transitional period, already within the framework of the New System.

Today, the description **of the Russian political mentality** should be presented to the world in the **NEAREST POSSIBLE** time in order to overcome that "crisis condition" (or rather, perestroika, not to be confused with Gorbachev's perestroika) created, among other things, by a wrong perception of Russia and the Russian people. All that remains is to implement all of this now and immediately. It will be too late when the new world order is created, and there will be no place for Russia in it, unless the country finally presents its own, worthy, true version of the Russian political mentality. All that is missing is the one and most important component: we all **MUST AGREE**. To agree that **"each of us shall surrender to the common patrimony and place his personality and all his strength under the supreme leadership of the common will, and as a result, for us all together, each member of the association becomes an inseparable part of the whole"**. Citizens can give, or they can take back. Then the whole falls apart. Today, this is the only effective way to reduce the socio-economic tension between the state and the citizens of Russia. Not with the Russians, not with the population, not with the electorate - with the citizens of Russia. This is the way to address them. This is the essence of the contract, the Social Contract, which I will discuss in detail in the following articles.

¹ Interpretive potential — understanding of the mind as an ideal-typical construction, a model of the world as an interpretation, the idea of analytical philosophy about the immanent connection of knowledge and action, the idea of the mediation of knowledge and human activity by symbols. The symbolic nature of cognition and action indicates that they are basically based on the application of schemes developed partly unconsciously, partly conventionally in the course of cultural development, called interpretations, more precisely, interpretation schemes.

² Wilhelm Maximilian Wundt (16 August, 1832 — 31 August, 1920) was a German physician, physiologist and psychologist. In the field of psychology, he used experimental psychology. He is less well known as a major figure in social psychology, but the last years of Wundt's life were marked by the psychology of peoples (Völkerpsychologie), which he understood as the doctrine of the social basis of higher mental activity.

³ Relativism (from Latin relativus — relative), a methodological principle consisting in the metaphysical absolutization of relativity and the conditionality of the content of knowledge. It results from a one-sided emphasis on the constant variability of reality and the denial of the relative stability of things and phenomena. The epistemological roots of Relativism are the refusal to recognize continuity in the development of knowledge, the exaggeration of the dependence of the cognition process on its conditions (for example, on the biological needs of the subject, his mental state or the available logical forms and theoretical means). The fact of the development of knowledge, during which any achieved level of knowledge is overcome, is considered by relativists as proof of its untruth, subjectivity, which leads to the denial of the objectivity of knowledge in general.

⁴ Polymentality is a system of multiple distinctiveness, a set of features of consciousness and faith, ways of thinking and manifestations of will, the structure of ideas, values, attitudes and stereotypes.

⁵ Subjectivity is the property of an individual to be the subject of activity. In relation to a person — the ability to act as an agent (subject) of an action, to be independent of other people.