

Salvation of the Saviors

(series 45-369)

Relying on the reality...

Man is so arranged that He wants to share the information that struck Him. If you manage to make people think about the absurdity of the ongoing, there will begin a chain reaction of the collapse of the old world, out of which a new reality is structured.

Nowadays, saturated with daily changing events, people make many attempts to present the civilizational picture of modern Russia. However, in general, such initiatives **DO NOT HAVE** a true systemic judgment, but are more often a generally recognized opportunistic template, as a kind of paradigm that has forcibly absorbed the **WHOLE COMPLEX** of "natural historical" circumstances that fit into people, which require a targeted mandatory orientation to the search for enemies and culprits, and not an **OBJECTIVE ESSENCE** in what is happening before our eyes. Unfortunately, some of these circumstances, by their accomplishment, are of a **CUSTOM NATURE**. The roots, the reasons for such a stencil approach and a custom-made nature are connected, as it were, with some forced measures, "the increasing rigidity of the struggle for survival", both in the political and power arena of any local and global character, and in the field of all areas of "market battles", which are, as it were, a consequence of the implementation of an aggressive strategy the foreign policy pursued against our country by the Anglo-American-European parasitic community. But is this really the case, and should this be of interest to us today? Most of the publicly presented attempts to demonstrate the civilizational picture are mainly associated with a **SUBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT** of the current economic, financial, political, military potentials, today mainly related to the framework of a special military operation (SMO), as well as with the analysis of the demographic consequences of the **DEVASTATING CHANGES** that have taken place, such as epidemics of coronavirus infections (COVID-19), earthquakes in Turkey, the tsunami in America and Australia, the disappearance of fresh water in the rivers and lakes of Europe and much more, each time conveniently "tinted and sharpened" for some kind of thematic PR or protection of the personal interests of some **"SEASONAL" POLITICIAN** with the use of various forms of planting "their analytical" conclusions in the "general field of public opinion". This directs the attention of the whole society to the results of some political, diplomatic, military, economic, financial and other confrontations, as the consequences of interstate "ultimate selfishness". But, in essence, this is just a statement of the results of the activities of some ambitious fuss of a very narrow circle of people of the so-called "governing class", **NOT SOCIETY** as a whole, not always organized for the good of the country, but more often in defense of the interests of a customized nature for a limited circle of officials presenting **THEIR PERSONALITY** instead of the state, but on a scale appropriated, on behalf of the state, and only for themselves. Then what does it have to do with the assessment of the conditions from the activities of the whole society, what has it been guilty of and why should it bear some

responsibility, as a society as a whole, for the mistakes or irresponsible decisions of specific ruling individuals? This is an assessment of a completely different kind. This is in **GENERAL** how the "professionalism" of officials and their ability to **MANAGE RESPONSIBLY** should be assessed, using the temporarily granted right and authority on behalf of the whole society through the so-called "mechanism of democracy". The negative from a single inability and lack of professionalism **SHOULD NOT** spoil the general background of the civilizational picture of the whole society with its dirt. However, unfortunately, this is far from the case. Most of the authors-commentators of what is happening both with us and not, are increasingly trying to substitute the guilt and depravity of the results and consequences of being an insignificant parasitic minority for some kind of **INCOMPREHENSIBLE** "mentality" of the whole society, the unwillingness and inability of self-development of certain segments of its population, the irreversibility and insufficient "obligation" in the implementation of some strategic decisions. However, in reality, they cover up the forcibly imposed conscription and slavish submission of the overwhelming majority. Unfair parasitic distribution of goods and services produced by the whole society (including with the use of imposed state violence), these are created values **NOT FOR EVERYONE**, but only for the circle of "chosen ones". That is, this will somehow be dealt with "at the top" and this **SHOULD NOT** concern taking into account some interests of the unwanted and abandoned existence of the overwhelming majority of society, ways to solve the enslaving social problems imposed on them. The emergence of various forms of external state threats and the corresponding "concern" about this, the solution of for some reason "not voluntarily solved" problems with conscription into the army and navy, especially in the zone of ITS own, the growing threat of non-repayment of borrowed funds to commercial banks (previously stolen from the state budget or taken away from that the same people through Sberbank of the USSR), the growing power of the protest potential, the depletion of the "power of human capital" and other hardships and troubles among the ruling class, coming from the "wrong existence of the overwhelming majority" – this is the problem of the whole society! Isn't it an interesting **CUSTOM APPROACH**, which, unfortunately, occurs most often. And such a tribune tone sounds louder and louder. However, people in the country are already fed up with "their public opinion" spewed from the mouths of the same "subjective heralds" who have seized the entire information space of Russia. An example of a certain commercial group is quite enough, for money it is capable of **ANY VICIOUS ACTIONS** within framework of the main television channels. Society, due to its inert habit, partially preserved from the civilizational communist development, still believes in television with auto-motorism! But that's for now. With the help of such an approach, **NOT** a **PICTURE** of the civilizational states of the development of modern Russia is steadily formed, but the main attention of society is purposefully diverted not to **"those enemies and the guilty"**. A surge of protest movements is being initiated **"in the wrong place, with the wrong goals and at the wrong time."** A foggy veil covers the **TRUE PICTURE** of the distribution of goods and services produced, or rather, **ROBBERY WEANING** instead of distribution. Concealment of the main artificially organized social and other problems, raising problems of a **SECONDARY NATURE** to the level of national non-repose, and much more. And do we even have professional analytical centers in our

country capable of fulfilling such an important independent state mission as the **FORMATION** of



the **TRUE STATES** of the civilizational picture of the country? Formally, they exist, however, independence and professionalism are not only unnecessary for them, but harmful for the protection of their personal interests. Plus, all the "inconvenience" and without (with) the usefulness of their existence is enhanced by non-state and corrupt irresponsibility. The formation of **TRUTHLESS**, a "**PR product**" for its subsequent successful

sale in the information services market. The inability to develop optimal solutions of a current and strategic nature, and many other things characteristic of their "professional" significance and usefulness in society. I would like to emphasize – do we really need such an evaluation picture? It is necessary to pay tribute to fundamental higher educational institutions, among which scientific judgments related to the **ASSESSMENT** of the **LEVEL** of the role and significance of Russia in the field of planetary geopolitics in the interests of finding possible options for the development of future events, are still in the field of attention. Maybe all this is enough for some private political decisions, but to determine the path of transition to another, i.e., the **TRUE DIRECTION** of the civilizational development of the future, it categorically does not suit. Traditional evaluative approaches in determining the state of Russia, both from the standpoint of the old orthodox classical economy, and from the positioned views of **A KIND OF** "market" economy (which does **NOT** really **EXIST** as such), are not appropriate in today, of any reasoning. This is due precisely to the fact that the "classical" economy, as a management system of the past, **NO LONGER** has either capitalist or communist types. And the impressive parasitic and vicious market chrematistics¹, which displaced at least some of the past rudiments of a socially oriented and partially humane "**socialist economy**" for an objective assessment and accounting of the current state of Russia, in the interests of the majority of society, is fundamentally **UNSUITABLE**. And moreover, it is alien with all its destructive power of violence against the vital interests of the same, the overwhelming majority of people. Today we are directly confronted with the fact that the **REMAINING AUTOMOTENCY** from the entire system control of human development within the framework of the program for improving brain genotypes and energy biogenesis, still **RESIDUALLY** (within certain limits of each brain genotype) **PROVIDES** a certain informational taboo for realizing the truth. Because – no matter what level of "natural" mind people have at any stage of the development of civilization, their Brain has always been the object of information violence from the Old system.

¹ **Chrematistics** – "enrichment" is a term that denoted the science of enrichment, the art of accumulating money and property. The accumulation of wealth as an end in itself, as a super-task, as the worship of profit.

In order to clearly understand this, we need to sum up some of what happened in reality, before our eyes, and what we took for granted, **WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING** the very essence of those processes that were largely hidden from us or manifested precisely in the form which we took them for granted and objectively, **WITHOUT TRYING** to comprehend their meaning. However, that information and, above all, the possibility of its comprehension, which became available from the moment of the final change of system power (from 2011.04.24) and especially with the entry into executive and accompanying force of different System Management process (from 2013.12.26) **IN ALL** directions of general changes in the being of the energies of the entire surrounding reality, of course, many people were **FORCED** to **RECONSIDER** the main "key" truths that have been hammered into them over the centuries in various ways and especially actively, starting almost from the late eighties – early nineties. How do we "get out" of this imputed state now? What do we rely on in order to **BEGIN** to **UNDERSTAND** what is happening around us, which is more and more, every day, delaying, forcing (making) us to take part in these processes, even if our feelings are opposed to it.

To increase the horizon of vision today, it is necessary to break with faith in the truth of feelings. On the one hand, what is fixed exists, and feelings really reveal the truth. However, on the other hand, feelings **HAVE NO** priority over the intelligible way of fixation, and therefore the speculative is true to the same extent, but extends much deeper and wider than feelings. The entire film becomes visible, not just the currently visible frame. If the world is understood as a movie, then it is **NOT** a **TAPE**, without (with) a finite set of branching tapes. In fact, this is a plane and the observer can move along it not like a locomotive on rails, not a step to the side, but like a person across the field - at any moment you can go in any direction. Most people today are always in the role of a locomotive, because they got into a rut of feelings. If they come out of it, something will become possible that it is unthinkable to dream about today with all the tension of creative forces. It is necessary to stop **CONSIDERING THE KNOWLEDGE** given by the senses **AS TRUTH**. Or, more precisely, to stop considering the knowledge gained by feelings as the absolute truth, and refer them to the sphere of practical knowledge, i.e., knowledge that is applicable in everyday life, and **DO NOT TRY to make judgments about what is happening on a larger scale on the basis of this practical knowledge**. It is also necessary to avoid the other extreme, that the truth can be comprehended only by the mind, so as **NOT** to **FALL** into the situation of Aristotle, who comprehended everything with thought. He believed that the thrown stone first flies in an arc, and then, when the driving force runs out, it falls sharply down. Centuries later, Galileo set up an experiment and showed that the nucleus flies in an arc, and not in Aristotle's direction.

A bear can't fight a shark in the water. But if you pull it out on dry land, it will tear as well as the shark tore in the water. The first step to get out of the water is to free the mind from the web of liberal rhetoric about rights and freedoms, universal human values, and so on. Those who are captivated by these bows will not see the essence of the current situation. Unfortunately, today it is the majority that does **NOT UNDERSTAND** and does not see anything, instead, ***confidently believing that they understand and see everything***, making the right conclusions. Especially the population of large cities and, of course, Moscow, because Moscow,

as the capital, is in the position of the "brain". If the body (read the state as a structure) dies, the brain is both (with) the blood and oxygen (of the regions) until the very **LAST OPPORTUNITY**, and therefore residents of the regions, and the capital itself, feel the problem according to the information. They no longer feel it in their bodies. Many intuitively, others rationally feel ... as in V. Vysotsky's song – *"Oh, guys, everything is wrong. It's not like that guy."*

In the process of the development of brain genotypes, at the penultimate stage, within the framework of the imputed worldview (humanism), only the **BEARERS** of an **IDEA**, a certain idea connected by the control system with the masses of people, both in the state and in other types of organizations, rose to the top. At the last stage of the "creation" of the so-called "golden billion", the system rejects the idea carriers. First, she begins to lift up the organizers and administrators. When the design settled down, the winners of the hardware wars went up. A person could be a complete idiot in state matters and have zero scale of thinking, but if he was a genius of bureaucratic struggle, the system was guaranteed to lift him up. Against this background, the ideological era ends, and the last stage of the implementation of the plan within the framework of the Old control system begins. **ATHEISM FINALLY DEGRADES** in the late eighties of the 20th century and transforms into **VULGAR MATERIALISM**. Its representatives recognize as existing only that which can be smelled, touched, seen, heard and tasted. What is impossible, they refuse to exist. Based on such a foundation, humanism turns into an **UGLY EXCESSIVE** consumerism. People at this stage become pipes whose function is to pass through as many things as possible. *The meaning of life in the new era is consumption.* Figuratively speaking, in the ideological era, people, after saturation, came to the opinion that there are things more interesting than food. In the era of consumption, after saturation, people move on to refined saturation, then to the super-sophisticated, and so on to infinity. Humanists ate **WITHOUT** being hungry and drank **WITHOUT** being thirsty. But suddenly, they began to change new things for other new ones, not because the previous ones had lost their functionality, but



because they were prompted to do so by various attitudes that were recorded to their subconscious through the economy through various technologies (mainly through the media). Consumer society acquires radically new features. If the main feature of an ideological society is a common goal derived from understanding the world, everything else is either secondary goals or bonuses that can be abandoned, then the main feature of a consumer society is the **ABSENCE** of a **SINGLE GOAL**. It is characterized by the fact that everyone has their own small goals – everyone goes in their own direction. This began to manifest itself starting in 2012, especially due

to the reasons described above. **This is the real "automotor"**, as **A CONSEQUENCE** of the termination of the Old control system.

An ideological society is like a ship sailing to its destination. Passengers and crew members all have their own small goals, which they achieve along the way, as they know how. Some fish, others sunbathe, others dance, but in general, everyone goes to the main goal.



Consumer society is like a ship on which **NOT** a single living soul **KNOWS** where they are going. Everyone just floats. With this strategy, the ship is always shaken by scandals, like disputes where to sail – to where there are a lot of fish or a lot of sun. Without having a single goal, people in their opinions spread like cockroaches, wherever they look, in different directions. There is nothing wrong with a good life. But provided it's **NOT** an **OBSESSION**. When the way of life becomes the highest goal, a person

involuntarily becomes a fat snake who does not understand what the sky is ... "**Well, what is the sky? — empty space... How can I crawl there? I'm fine here... it's warm and damp!..**"²

Today, in our reality, in order to move a person towards the **DESIGNATED GOAL**, he must see how his bursting desires will be satisfied on the way to it. Imagine hungry and frozen people. Your task is to encourage them to solve the environmental problem. No matter how convincingly you prove to people that it is **NECESSARY** to **SAVE** the environment, otherwise everyone will die, they can agree with everything, but they will **NOT DO ANYTHING**. **Because they want food and heat, not to save the environment**. If the same people who have just agreed that the environment needs to be saved, had a person come and show how they find



warmth and eat through causing **EVEN MORE** damage to the environment, and they will see this as reality, they will begin to act. And the fact that the harm to nature has become even greater... Well... they will come up with convincing excuses for this. A fighter for ecology can really be carried away by an idea if you offer an action that **NOT ONLY** saves nature, but also warms people and satisfies hunger. In the process of this activity, they will be imbued with the idea of ecology. **The idea will unite them into a whole**. What was an empty slogan for them yesterday will become a goal. What was the goal will become a bonus. But it will be later. When the dry embers gather around the smoldering ember, they will create a fire.

² M. Gorky – "The Song of the Falcon".

Other embers will come to it, which will also flare up. come from? An idea at the start cannot be a motive. It can only be a plausible cover for achieving the desires that people already have. Raw coals will come, which did **NOT EVEN THINK** of burning, but will dry out and light up along with everyone else. But at the first stage, you **DON'T** need to count on fire – where does it Well, that's what people are... "People are like people. They love money, the way it's always been... Well, they are frivolous... well, well... and mercy sometimes knocks on their hearts... ordinary people... in general, resemble the former..."³

The situation is stable as long as society is in ideological prostration, there is **NO SINGLE GOAL**, everyone goes in their own direction. But everything changes if an idea appears in society with the potential to embrace society. It is broadcast first to those who are able to accept and comprehend it. The second stage covers the broad masses, and society begins to be structured. If there is no idea, society is a mushy crowd, which by nature has **NO OTHER GOALS**, except for bread and circuses. It is convenient to operate with such a crowd, passing off a performance as reality. One part of it always votes for the Coke party, the other for the Pepsi party. During this period, there is a fashion for religion and consumption for show. With one



hand, **NEW BELIEVERS** drag themselves through the window of opportunity. With the other hand, sticking out of the Rolls-Royce, part of the stolen is distributed in front of the cameras. In fact, they are robbing society, but since the media are inexpensive, they present them as benefactors. As M. Zhvanetsky said - *"If you add up the dark past and the bright future, you get a gray present."*

If children are given the family budget, they will spend it all on toys. If **ADULT CHILDREN** are given the state budget, they will spend it on adult toys – airplanes, yachts and palaces. Under the USSR, "free" money from oil went into service. In post-perestroika Russia, this money was scattered in a competition: who has a longer yacht, a bigger plane, a more luxurious palace, a more numerous harem and a wider pool.



Automotorism in what is happening today has led to a certain stable understanding - in order to truly steal, you must **NOT UNDERSTAND** the situation. Whoever understands that from theft, for example, of this detail, the entire system will collapse and terrible consequences will begin, his hand may **NOT RISE** to steal.

³ M. Bulgakov, "The Master and Margarita".

And those who do not understand this do not have such problems. The one who understands steals, hopes that the system will not fall. And the incomprehensible steals with one reference point – just to **STEAL MORE**. Saltykov-Shchedrin also said: "To steal with success, you only need to have agility and greed. Greed is especially necessary, because for a small theft you can get sued." Russia, lately, is similar to the United States before the Great Depression. Everyone is looking for an opportunity to **SNATCH** the maximum of momentary benefits **AT ANY COST**. And perspiration... Who knows what will happen next, except almighty God, – some devout representatives of the newly-born elites argue. No one understands the consequences because no one thinks on a strategic scale. After me, at least the flood. People with **INCONSISTENT** thinking filled the corridors of power. They are fighting for power, looking for vanity, personal gain, enrichment. Great goals are unknown to them. Look closely at the majority of officials. Do they care about the welfare of the country and the people? Of course not. They understand power **NOT AS THE POWER** of the father, where the good of the family is above all, but **AS AN OPPORTUNITY**, at the expense of abstract society, to acquire new "toys" for themselves. Look at how billionaires manage the resource. They behave like boys who have been entrusted with the kindergarten budget. They buy yachts, palaces, sports clubs. As much as five-year-old boys cannot spend the budget on heating a kindergarten, so sixty-year-old boys **CANNOT** spend a resource for the benefit of society. Not because they don't want to, but because they are small. Any resource will be understood by them as an **OPPORTUNITY** to **BUY** "toys" and "sweets". Neither five-year-olds nor sixty-year-olds will understand that by their actions they are destroying society. They just **DON'T THINK** in such categories. This is how management was built as part of the last stage of the development of a special group of the brain genotype involved in the program of the interventional Control System. The most important, hidden task (one of the three components of the stable structure of the state)⁴, the so-called "administrative and bureaucratic facet", was the elimination of the indigenous peoples of Russia from managing the entire Structure of the state and turning them only into participants in the Structure located at the lowest levels of the state pyramid. Laws, by-laws, all kinds of directives and instructions, regulations and rules (including unspoken) to defend and protect the imperious governing executive state of a **NARROW GROUP** of **OFFICIALS**, which was formed from the environment of the leading genotype of people at this time, from the masses of participants in the Construction, to preserve their right to power at all times. To achieve the **FULL INFLUENCE** of the state-official "estate" on the management of the Construction of the political system of Russia. In the early 1990s, through the special services of Russia (more precisely, through specific people who were charged with a certain action), this "childish thinking" was delivered exactly as required by the framework of the old Control System. When the USSR was collapsing, a temporary system of control was urgently needed over huge raw materials and strategic resources. Under no circumstances should resources be used in the uncontrolled political sector. Efficiency came second.

⁴ Three facets of "state power" – "administrative-bureaucratic", "national-demographic", "financial".

The main condition was controllability. Controllability of processes by the Old control system. It was decided to transfer state assets to private individuals. But **NOT EVERYONE** in a row, rather, very selectively. The key condition determining the "passing score" was very interesting. To appreciate it, you need to understand the logic of the situation. During a crisis, you can earn a lot of money quickly if you are allowed to earn it. What does "allow" mean? What special qualities do you need to possess in order to be granted permission? The question is interesting. But the answer to it is even more interesting. To be at the right time and in the right place is a matter of course. Many were there. But **NOT MANY** were entrusted to master budget flows. **NOT EVERYONE** in Russia has become an oligarch. Because **NOT EVERYONE** had the main quality. Applicants were required to **NOT HAVE** too large-of-a-scale of thinking. Yes, it's not a typo. The key requirement is **NOT TO HAVE**. A candidate for the role of an oligarch **SHOULD NOT** have the scale of thought and political ambitions. His maximum dreams should not go beyond the *"beautiful life on golden toilets"*. Which, in fact, we have seen, starting from 2013-2014, with our own eyes. This installation is explained quite simply. Imagine what would happen in Russia if people with the ambitions and thinking of Stalin, Gandhi or Napoleon had billions of resources at their disposal? It is easy to calculate that very soon they would redirect the available resource to politics. Its policy. Then they would ask the question: "Why don't I manage, but they manage me?" And then the options with all the accompanying problems. To avoid this, initially the bet was placed on people of **SMALL THINKING**. In general, this condition was met. Almost all the newly rich people of Russia have **NOT RISEN** above the material sphere. In the maximum version, the authorities were interested in them as additional security and commercial opportunities. This is the limit of toy oligarchs. For the sake of justice, it must be said that individuals jumped out "for the flags". But **NOT FAR AWAY**, because none of them **HAD** a distinct ideology, within the framework of their automotency from the termination of the management of the Old system. The rehashes of democracy, implicated in vanity, could not constitute a real force. Today, all these "politicians" have either fled Russia, or received a sentence, or died under unclear circumstances. And this is also an objective process.

Everything that is happening with Russia today is the result of a long-term purposeful work of the Old system of governance, within which conditions were created that let people of small flight into power, **NOT COVERING** the situation. In the maximum version, these are good administrators and caretakers. Today, Russia is ruled by commoners **WHO HAVE** no lofty goals. Our rulers strive for goals in the corridor of personal well-being and material prosperity. It doesn't take a lot of intelligence to calculate the behavior of a "small" person who has received a large resource. Actions beyond the horizon are impossible for him. Not because he's bad or good, but because it's **NOT HIS** size. If a child starts commanding a kindergarten, in the end he will destroy it. And he will never understand this, because he thinks in other categories. Some will start by hook or by crook to get themselves new "toys". Others will fight for freedom, the right to build a civilized society. The totality of the "toy" aspirations of both will liken the state to a ship that **NO ONE** globally **CONTROLS**. The dishonest will sell off fuel from the ship's tanks, the honest will dismantle weapons for the sake of "world peace." And no one will understand what is really happening. However, against the background of what is happening, including its own,

the very process of which has **VERY MUCH** "shaken up" most people and not only in Russia, and forced them to comprehend the current situation, seeing its manipulative nature, the terrible consequences of this, reflected primarily on them. All this has led to deeper and deeper thinking – and where are at least some elements of democracy, democracy itself with its democratic processes, which have been told to **EVERYONE** in a **REASONED** and persistent manner from all levels of the media and all levels of heralds for the past few decades. Already many, disappointed in this very democracy and the democracy of everything, **BEGAN TO SEARCH** for a new model of the structure of society. They openly talk about it and, mostly, of course, on the Internet, on all its sites – for now...

The democratic theory asserts that power is **NOT SEIZED** by force. And it is not given from God. ***The government is chosen by the people themselves. The people, understood as the source and justification of power, choose the worthiest, whom the government trusts.*** The driving force sets the nature and contours of every system. This force was and still is the Western states. Understanding the root of the world system comes down to **UNDERSTANDING** the **NATURE** of these states. Since they claim to be liberal-democratic, the **NERVE** of the **SYSTEM** must be sought in democracy. ***Everything else is engineering and technical tasks.*** A key sign of democracy is an **INFORMED CHOICE**. There is no democracy without a choice. You can make such a choice provided that the chooser **HAS KNOWLEDGE** about the object. If there is no knowledge, it is anything – divination, manipulation, but not a conscious choice. For example, you cannot choose the right medicine from two if you do not have knowledge about them. Through psychological and physical violence, you can be induced to take an action that looks like a free and conscious choice. But in fact, your "choice" will be the product of manipulation by the one who pushed you to this action. The only option to make a choice and not become the object of manipulation is **TO HAVE KNOWLEDGE**. I will transfer this statement to the democratic theory. She declares that the people of the many candidates for power are **ABLE** to **CONSCIOUSLY CHOOSE** the worthiest. But for this, the people must have the appropriate knowledge. No knowledge, no choice. ***No choice, no democracy.*** Democracy is real if the system **CAN give EVERYONE knowledge**. But, alas, this is impossible even in theory. **Firstly**, not everyone can assimilate the necessary amount of information. **Secondly**, they will not want to spend time and effort on mastering such knowledge, others will not be able for thousands of different reasons. **Thirdly**, part of the necessary knowledge is a state secret, the disclosure of which will lead to the collapse of the system. But let's ignore common sense and imagine the impossible — imagine that **ALL PEOPLE** are able to get the knowledge they need to choose, have the time and energy and are ready to learn. Let's also assume that they can be told information that is a state secret, and no problems will happen. And here in front of these magical people are two candidates for power. One is building his election campaign in order to give knowledge – he talks about political, economic and other subtleties. The other manipulates the subconscious, says what they **WANT** to **HEAR** from him in order to please. He promises to fulfill the instructions of the voters, **WITHOUT INTENDING** to do so. He also sings and dances, makes cute jokes, threatens the enemies of the people with his fist and sheds a tear from his overflowing love for the voters.



I suppose **THERE** is **NO** need to prove that the first will lose miserably to the second. The system is designed in such a way that the one whose election campaign is organized according to the principle of **COMMERCIAL ADVERTISING** has a real chance to get power – not to explain anything to anyone. Emphasis on emotions that prompt the advertiser to take the desired action. To fully inform the buyer about the product and service - no advertisement in the world has such a goal. Everyone stands on the basic principle of trade "**you can't cheat, you can't sell.**" Similarly, there is not a single election campaign in the world aimed at **GIVING KNOWLEDGE TO VOTERS**. All are aimed at this or that kind of seduction of a person, at the manipulation of his consciousness and

emotions. Candidates for power competing for votes can be imagined as Coca-Cola and Pepsi-Cola competing for buyers' wallets. There is no fundamental difference between these two drinks. But since both manufacturing companies want their products to be bought and they get a profit, there is competition between them. If Coca-Cola advertising gave full knowledge about the product, its chemical composition, what its use leads to, and so on, and Pepsi-Cola advertising was based on emotional images of how it is fun and carefree to drink, and the world around is changing, as if under hallucinogens, the first would be **GUARANTEED** to **LOSE** the second battle for the buyer. Competition would squeeze out of the market a company that does not comply with the rules of the game. And the rules are simple: to manipulate consciousness and speak in black and white. If one Democratic candidate tells the voters the real state of affairs without making impossible promises, and the other will say how smart and good he is and he can do anything, the first is guaranteed to lose to the second. Political competition works just as effectively as economic competition – squeezes out figures that **DO NOT COMPLY** with the rules of the game: **you do not cheat – you do not get into power**. Commercial competitors are looking for ways to encourage the buyer to buy from them, not from a competitor. Democratic competitors are looking for a way to encourage voters to give them their vote, not a competitor. How they achieve this is not important. It is **IMPORTANT TO ACHIEVE**. Yesterday, the way to power lay through "**who will shoot whom.**" Today lies through "**who is promising whom**". The political struggle is the seduction of the masses with the help of slogans from the series "lower taxes, raise wages and reduce housing and communal services." People are promised what they want to hear. To know exactly what to promise, politicians analyze requests on the Internet, conduct various surveys, and as a result, encourage people to express opinions about areas in which they do not understand anything. People think they choose candy, but in reality, they always choose a wrapper. "The crowd is a flock of sheep. Where the goat goes, there she goes. Wherever you want to turn. But try it on Sukharevka!

Push a peasant alone, to some forest coble, and even more difficult - to a steppe a kulugur, but make him go into a shop, and persuade him to buy unnecessary things. This, brother, is not with the crowd under the Girlish, but a hundred times more difficult! And in my thirty years on Sukharevka, no one has passed by the shop. And you're a crowd. I will persuade the crowd... to swim in winter!"⁵. The consequence of the management within the Old System and today's remaining automotency in the minds of many is that the majority of voters are in fact bearded children. They live exclusively by emotions, make decisions in the moment, never analyze their actions, **NOT BECAUSE** they can't, but because such an idea **DOES NOT OCCUR** to them. They don't have such concepts on the horizon, and they don't know about their existence. An ordinary person measures everything on an **ORDINARY SCALE**, evaluates through a household prism and has no idea how else to look at reality. The prison regarding global issues is a child. The only difference between him and a child is the size of his torso and the number of years he has lived. Before encouraging people with advertising technologies to perform an action that requires intelligence and scale, ask yourself, is it possible to demand these actions from seven-year-olds? If possible, then everything is fine. If it is impossible, but for some reason it is necessary, then be aware that this is not a partnership and a dialogue, but an ordinary manipulation. Calling a **SPADE** a **SPADE** is very useful in any difficult case. But with these reflections, one should never forget that people – they are not guilty of all this. They have been made like this for thousands of years. And now, when the processes under the control of the New system have started and accelerated, the automotorism of the Old system has remained and it is **VERY DIFFICULT** for most to get rid of it. Deliverance comes only through cognition and comprehension of the new, acceptance and understanding of information, which becomes New knowledge that allows you to overcome this self-motory.

So, we have firmly established that the vast majority of citizens over 18 years of age **DO NOT HAVE** and cannot have the knowledge necessary for a conscious choice. Now it remains to explain on what grounds the Constitution gives everyone the right to choose. Maybe it comes from the fact that knowledge is needed for an informed choice? Or that knowledge about the chosen subject is **NOT MANDATORY** for the voter, external contours and slogans are enough? If this is true, why not give the people the right to choose Nobel laureates in physics? They know just about as much about this area as about the structure of the state and power. There would be a popular election on which discovery should be awarded the prize. On the one hand, neutrino oscillations, proving that neutrinos have mass. On the other hand, there is a mechanism for understanding the origin of the masses of elementary particles. Or do you think people are not so stupid as to choose what they **HAVE NO** idea about? If you really think so, you are seriously mistaken. Political technologists will easily arrange a show - "***nationwide election of Nobel laureates in physics.***" The media will tell that our people are not stupid, that they are smart and wise. And even if he chooses the head of the country, even if he is a Nobel laureate, it doesn't matter.

⁵ Gilyarovsky – "Moscow and Muscovites".

Next, they will cover the city with posters with heroic faces of candidates for the Nobel Prize. Under each one will write slogans in the style of **"For Science! For happiness!"**



They will fill the air with commercials telling how candidates will powerfully move science, **"not sparing their belly."** In confirmation, they will arrange all sorts of shows showing the merits of the candidates. The people, pumped up with such information, will go to vote. Only **NO** scientist will receive a Nobel Prize with such technology. Through the crucible of national elections, only showmen will pass – who is not a whit in physics, but knows how to promise, sing and dance, smile kindly, shake a finger at the minx in a fatherly way, and so on. "If an orator and a doctor arrived in any city, and if there was a dispute in the People's Assembly or in any other assembly about which of the two to choose as a doctor, then **NO ONE** would even look at the doctor, but would choose the one who owns the word...

because there is no subject about which an orator would not speak more convincingly in front of a crowd than any of the experts in his field... The speaker is able to speak against any opponent and on any occasion in such a way that he will convince the crowd more than anyone else; in short, he will achieve anything he wants."⁶

The state is a gigantic and complex object. To manage it, you need to cover many sectors, foreign policy and economy, internal threats, priorities. You **DON'T** need to know everything down to the smallest detail, there are narrow specialists for this, but you need to cover it in **ONE WHOLE**. To do this, it is not enough to be able to sing and dance, there is a need for a state-scale mind. How will the people determine which of the candidates for power has these qualities, and who does not? According to promises – *"For all the good and against all the bad"*? Or by looking at the portrait of the showman? Maybe through the study of the election slogan **"for happiness and peace"**?

The Church claims that the infant is given such grace during baptism that he effortlessly accommodates the worldview teaching and becomes its bearer (and what he can't tell us is nothing). The state does not claim anything of the kind. But, judging by what **GIVES EVERY** citizen over the age of 18 the **RIGHT to CHOOSE** power, they believe that with the coming of age or at the time of taking citizenship, a person somehow magically has the knowledge necessary for a conscious choice. To see the face of democracy, imagine the owner of a candy factory who launched a campaign for the right of children to manage the kindergarten budget.

⁶ Plato – "GORGIAS" ("On Rhetoric") is dedicated to reading the rhetorical art, but also considering the problems of ethics and political theory.

If someone tells him that children do not have the knowledge for such a choice, he replies that this is not the case, that our children can figure out anything "wow". And whoever persists, he places the stigma of being an opponent of democracy, which means humanism and progress. In general, an obvious or hidden fascist. People, out of fear of such accusations, agree that – yes, our children are "wow", how capable. They can figure out anything... And this kind man has achieved for children the **RIGHT** to **CHOOSE** and be chosen. First of all, the little ones chose power from their ranks. The kindergarten's chosen ones sit straight as adults, decide where to put the kindergarten's heating budget – for heating or candy? The choice of such a government is 100% predictable. But when winter comes and the kindergarten starts to freeze, none of the "voters" and "rulers" will understand that what is happening now is a direct consequence of the realization of their rights and freedoms. They will look for the reason in anything, but not in a crooked system. The circle is closed: ***the people have no knowledge; without knowledge there is no choice; without choice there is no democracy.*** As Rousseau writes⁷, "... if the people consisted of gods, democracy would be an ideal form of government." But such an ideal government is **NOT SUITABLE** for people. People do not have time to engage in public affairs (plus the inability to make an informed choice due to the inability to obtain the necessary knowledge for this).

Rousseau comes to the conclusion that the only form of government can be a monarchy. Translated from Greek, monarchy means the power of one (mono – one; archia – power). He misses one crucial point – the monarchy is a political system where the ruler is positioned by **GOD'S ANOINTED**. The first sign of the monarchy – ***the cult of religion – is a matter of state.*** If the people stop believing in God, then they will stop believing that their ruler is God's henchman. Today, in all developed countries, the population is UNBELIEVING. There can be no question of any monarchy here. Only a puppet monarchy is possible, where there is only a word from the monarchy. Instead of the ruling prince, there is a doll named monarch. She has no power. This "monarch" is viewed as a cultural tradition, a bow to the facade. I am not denying democratic principles, I am not saying that they are impossible. Possible. But in **SMALL COLLECTIVES**, like a small settlement, where people consciously choose, because they know each other not from clips and leaflets, but from life. They know that this is an economic and non-drinking man, and this one is a drunkard and lazy. This knowledge allows you to **CONSCIOUSLY CHOOSE** the village headman. But it is impossible to apply this technology of power formation to large collectives. No people, even if they are seven spans in the forehead, will choose the head of the army or the economy, and even more so the president - the head of all this.

The system called democratic has the nature of a dictatorship. It is distinguished from the classical dictatorship by a number of features. A narrow circle of people acts as a dictator. They are **NOT VISIBLE**, they **DO NOT DEPEND** on the election results, but they determine the key decisions.

⁷ Jean-Jacques Rousseau was a great French writer and philosopher, one of the most educated people of his time. Rousseau's works are now included in the compulsory programs of philosophy courses.

Electronic voting, which is proposed to replace the usual one, and which was created by this "narrow circle", puts an end even to imitation of democratic procedures and makes elections a pure formality. Some commentators ironically note that the whole "electronic voting" boils down to the fact that we will have to nod our heads while sitting in front of the TV, everything else will be done for us. A spectacle is being played out on the surface, designed to hide the state of affairs. The quality of the performance is evidenced by the fact that even intelligent people take the show at face value. But **NOT EVERYONE** is mistaken about the true state of affairs. There is already an understanding that in modern democracies, the center of decision-making is shifting from political parties and public authorities to financial centers.

It follows from all the above that the system called democratic **HAS NOTHING** to do with democratic theory. There is no democracy in any country that is written about in Western and Russian textbooks on political science and sociology. The system is thickly hung with magnificent words about freedom and rights, but this is just a screen. If you look behind the democratic facade, a reality far from democracy opens up. Anyway, the implementation of the liberal democratic theory is impossible. What is called democracy is a system that is far from the principles voiced. There are **NO ELECTIONS** at its core. Its foundation is the system-forming forces withdrawn from the elections. If in the search for an answer to the question of how to use the energy of the system, we will understand it as liberal and democratic, then we will come to the wrong conclusions. To come to the right conclusions, you need to rely not on how the system offers to understand itself, but **ON REALITY**. The really pleasing thing is that the armor of inertia of people has been pierced. The launched cultural impulse found a response in the hearts of intelligent and caring people. It is proposed to look not at the bows hung around the electoral action, but at its essence. ***And everyone capable of thinking saw: and the king is naked!*** Today, I am more than confident that many will comprehend the current situation, see its manipulative nature, terrible consequences and ***will abandon democracy. Further, this will provoke a search for a new model of the structure of society, which people will focus on.***

F. Shkrudnev

22 May, 2023

