Salvation of the Saviors (32-series 369) The Image

How easily people are led to an image, an outer shell that is so easy to create...

Do you know who usually builds the most beautiful facades?

Those who have very dark secrets hidden behind this facade...

And the funniest thing is when these people with a facade themselves begin to believe that they love it, but not the created image.

We used to think that the main function of the brain was thinking. We often hear that "brains are needed in order to think...". In addition, the ideas that "the reality we observe is a product of our brain and depends on our thinking" have been very popular lately. Is this really the case? Man is the only being with a developed brain, and its main function is to create an objective picture of reality (creating an image of something) and physical sensations based on "signals" from various organs of the body. The model of the world that we know is the result of the work of our brain, which "tries" to make this model as **OBJECTIVE** as **POSSIBLE**. And everything we see, hear, touch, feel is the most complete and objective information that our brain is able to receive. This model of reality is formed automatically, even at the level of sensations and feelings, i.e., before we thought something and activated logic, before we even learned to speak. On this basis, an image is created of something that we want to know or for which we want to find the right solution.

Logical thinking is a later superstructure that appeared in a person for making decisions and planning activities. It does **NOT PARTICIPATE** in the creation of a picture of the world! Moreover, it is limited by the picture that the brain has created, by the sensations that are available to us. But in creating an image of something, logical thinking plays a key role, because logic is needed solely in order to solve practical problems and make the right decisions! It is **NOT CREATED** for "cognition of objective reality". And when a person tries to use logic for other purposes and use it to build an "objective picture of the world" bypassing the data that is available to his senses, he begins to come up with a variety of strange theories like black holes, the afterlife or life on Mars. All this leads to the substitution of reality with its theoretical models and makes behavior inadequate, excessively complex, unpredictable. This is a sign of unhealthy thinking that has arisen as a result of the loss of a person's connection with reality. This is dangerous and fraught with mental disorders, various hallucinations and other inappropriate actions, especially people who today imagine themselves to be "the arbiters of our destinies" and do **NOT UNDERSTAND** the consequences of their actions and decisions —

they do not understand because of those processes that go on regardless of the desires and will of people, the processes of the transformation of entirety of everything, including the number of people's brains, depending on the possibility of its real restructuring, even within the framework of the created brain genotypes as a criterion that determined everything that happened in the recent past, as described in previous articles. If we use logic for its intended purpose — to solve life problems, to plan activities, to improve living conditions, to build mutually beneficial relationships — then it really helps us to *objectively change the world*, *creating its image the way we want it to be and through this* — to MAKE IT BETTER, more comfortable, more reasonable, which, in turn, it expands our capabilities and contributes to the development of our brain.

We are witnessing and participating in a grandiose historical event of biblical scale, the likes of which have not been seen in the last two millennia. This is not pathos — these are the realities of today, in which each of us takes part in one way or another (even sitting on the couch and doing nothing but "watching" TV or climbing the Internet garbage cans).

If you look at is(c)toria even from this perspective, every 100 years, it, is(c)toria, remarkably repeats itself. In the 1920s, the West tried to strangle young Russia. As a result, what European politicians COULD NOT even dream about happened: *in 1924, the superpower, the USSR, was born*. In the 20s of the 21st century, contrary to today's efforts to BREAK RUSSIA, the opposite and seemingly impossible will happen. Not only will Russia survive, but it will emerge from the conflict with the West renewed and strong. Attempts by enemies to break the Russian spirit have NEVER BROUGHT and will NOT BRING success. There is no longer any doubt about this, even with all the negative attitude manifested in all variants in the current conditions — to its public administration and specific people implementing this management.

One of the most important questions that we are facing today is where we are going, in which country do we want to live, HOW to CREATE this image and HOW to KNOW this image of the country in order to really embody it in our lives? This is a very serious task for everyone, which includes basic personal knowledge of everyone and the logic of thinking based on this knowledge, the ability to understand what is happening today, comprehending the image of the country in which we live. In the spiritual world, there is A CONVICTION that Russia is under the protection of heaven. And this is obvious — even nature is already resisting and will continue to resist the Western world of false values. And I'm not the only one who is more than sure of it.

The image of Russia in the old context is generated by legends about the "Russian mentality," which, according to researchers, manifests itself: 1 — in duality — the transition from one extreme to another, 2 — in impetuosity — readiness for peak loads and disregard for the measured rhythm of activity, 3 — in inconsistency — the lack of careful development of complex plans and readiness to act at random, 4 — in patience — endurance, gradual accumulation of emotions and, in the end, their rapid discharge, 5 — in credulity — suggestibility, simplicity, etc.

This mentality "lands" on the borderless expanses of a country occupying one seventh of the planet, mixed with a harsh climate, the Eurasian uncertainty of culture, observations from the time of Mariel Buchanan¹, cursory reports of journalists and official information. **FROM THIS TOTALITY**, an image of Russia is obtained, on the basis of which economic, political, and military decisions are made against it. *Most often* — *erroneous*.

Russia's domestic policy is also based on the image of the country created by domestic researchers. Most scientists fundamentally study certain aspects of the image of Russia, but it is **NECESSARY** to **RECREATE** an integral image of the country from scientifically established particulars, and for some reason this does **NOT WORK**. The fulfillment of this task requires the genius of Pushkin, Tolstoy, Dostoevsky, who left their country and the world the image that still characterizes Russia and its people. But time is inexorable, and there is no longer what it was, but there is a **COMPLETELY NEW COUNTRY** that would amaze domestic classics. Today, hardly anyone knows exactly the signs of what is called modern Russia. There is no integral image of Russia, and without it there can be no reconstruction of a new country and its acceptance by the world community as its partner. In my opinion, in order to fulfill this task with the available resources, it is necessary to agree that there are no historical features of the country to which foreign and domestic policy appeals. The proof is the apathy of people who do not understand the language of politicians, ignoring calls for the formation of civil society, etc. We need to understand today that Russia is changing so rapidly that its image can only be viewed in the context of global changes in the world, from which it does NOT LAG behind at all. No other country has proved as susceptible to radical modernization as Russia. In today's realities, it is necessary NOT to WAIT for the appearance of the geniuses of the "image of Russia" of the Dostoevsky class, but to apply the scientific technology of its formation, accessible to modern specialists in various fields of knowledge and creativity, but taking into account what is happening within the framework of the transformation of the entire world order for reasons known to readers of my works. This will make it possible to form an image of Russia based on a system of features that allow you to characterize the final list of key properties of the image of any country in the world.

RUSSIA is CHANGING. It is changing so rapidly that the creators of the image of Russia do not keep up with its changes, so from century to century they offer the world what was, but what is no longer there. In the history of Russia, one day completely changed the features of the country and the character of the people. All external and internal problems of Russia are determined by the fact that the image of the country, as a rule, does **NOT COINCIDE** with its actual properties, features and condition. It was the mistakes in the image of Russia that caused the events of 1913, 1917, 1941.

¹ Meriel Buchanan (5 September, 1886 – 6 February, 1959) was a British memoirist, daughter of the last British ambassador to the Russian Empire; author of public articles and books about the royal family and Russia.

These mistakes create the impression that the world and Russia are walking parallel paths, and sometimes in different directions, this gives grounds to assert that Russia is in contradiction with the world, and sometimes in contradiction with itself. This is not true — Russia is changing along with the whole world, and there can be no other way. Outstanding creators of the image of Russia: Pushkin, Dostoevsky, Tolstoy recorded the changes of the country for **THEIR TIME**. Their image of Russia was accurate, they predicted everything that happened to the country at the beginning of the twentieth century, but it has already become the property of history: Russia is different again, *but still does not have its own new image*, which requires equally brilliant creators. Foreign and domestic policy, focused on grandiose descriptions of what has **BEEN MISSING** for a **LONG TIME**, has led to many historical mistakes. Even Soviet researchers, in 1974, studying the future of international relations, concluded in favor of the integrity of the world and that Russia is doomed to integration.

The image of Russia must be adequate to the global changes taking place in the world today. Global changes are much broader and more radical than they are assumed today by those who have believed (as part of the Old Management Program) that states and peoples will merge into a **SINGLE PLANETARY ENTITY**, in which ethnic, national, religious and cultural boundaries will be eliminated. Famous authors of the theory of global change - J. Attali, F. Fukuyama², — abstracted from the history, culture of ethnic groups and planned a new planetary state under the aegis of the market and democracy, which unite the world into a rationally functioning machine.

Other authors define globalization as a series of empirically fixed changes, heterogeneous, but united by the logic of turning the world into a single whole. On the one hand, it is the modernization of individual behavior by the influence of macrostructures of the world order, bypassing the NATIONAL-STATE LEVEL. On the other hand, it is the implementation of international relations of representatives of different cultures into routine practice, part of everyday life. The formula of globalization is *global interdependence plus global consciousness*. This is transnational capitalism, formed by transnational corporations (TNCs), and a system of international organizations designed to defend universal "panhuman values". Undoubtedly, HUMAN CONSCIOUSNESS should be the basis of the image of Russia.

² "Sustainable development" is a metaphor for the new world order, which is nothing more than control over human resources (including information) and behavior (psychosphere). The main thing in this order is the preservation of power, property and privileges of the world elite, whose ideological representatives are Attali and Fukuyama.

It is consciousness that determines the perception of global changes in the information society, anthropocosmism³, experiments on biotic regulation, the creation of artificial microbiospheres, the materialization of electronic cybernetic civilization, the influence of biopolitics, experiments on autotrophication, cyborgization, ecogeism, coevolution, etc. Consciousness forms in us a picture of the world that is acceptable to our understanding, but which basically does not correspond to the realities of today. The context of the modern image of Russia, in my opinion, and I am sure that many will agree with me, is THE PERCEPTION of the *impossible as possible*, *the improbable as probable, the unacceptable as permissible, the unreal as real*. The ESSENCE of the CONTEXT is a political struggle that makes the great, but lagging behind global changes, pygmies, and raises the insignificant, but ready for change, to divine heights. The future is the meaning of the context of global changes in which Russia is included, but which Does NOT HAVE its modern image in these changes. It is useless to argue whether it is good or bad. This is how the world and man work today. SO, IT IS. This fact should be taken as the quality of the material, work with reality and not complain that it does not meet expectations.

I have been looking for a long time for the meaning of the *Worldview* as a system for protecting human consciousness and found it in Blaise Pascal⁴: "Only thought makes a person great. Man knows that he is poor; he is poor because he is, but he is great because he knows it. It is not in space that I can find my power, but in the order of my thinking. I wouldn't be any more powerful if I had countries. In space, the universe embraces and swallows me like a small dot — in thinking, I embrace it." The picture of the world is the result of the formation of a person as an Individual, i.e., a person who INDEPENDENTLY FORMULATES his goals. Strictly speaking, this is the formation of ideas about the structure of the world and society, mastering the language to describe the world and contact with it, the accumulation in memory of information sufficient for accurate self-identification in physical and social space, the perception of the world AS a non-dangerous PHENOMENON, understandable, accessible for reconstruction for your own purposes.

³ **Anthropocosmism** is a synthesis of philosophical, natural science and sociological ideas about man, his place in the universe and the cosmic prospects of humanity's existence; a system of views, ideals, according to which man is an organic part of the cosmos, subordinate to its laws and to a certain extent influencing its evolution.

⁴ Blaise Pascal (born 19 June, 1623 in Clermont-Ferrand, died 19 July, 1662) was a physicist, mathematician, philosopher, and writer. A man of amazing intellectual abilities, manifested already in early childhood. His discoveries in mathematics and physics laid the foundations of modern hydraulics and computer technology, and his writings influenced the formation of the language of French literary. The unit of pressure (1 Pa), the programming language "Pascal" and the university in his hometown are named after Pascal.

Many people I've talked to recently agree that **EVERY PERSON** feels danger coming from the outside. A world that "lies in evil" awakens a state of anxiety. In order to act in it, man must first of all identify the specific sources of external danger. To do this, one must know: what is the source of danger, what must not be done in order to avoid causing this danger to oneself, what must be done to overcome it? Thanks to the Picture of the World, man gets an image of the environment in which all the elements of the universe are structured and correlated with man himself, so that each of his actions is a **COMPONENT** of the **GENERAL STRUCTURE**. But the future appears, and the image of the environment created with so much effort is destroyed.



Of course, the **Picture of the World** is only partially and fragmentarily realized by man. The fact of consciousness is not its content, but its presence and integrity. It seems to man rather that he has some ordered system of perceptions than he has it in reality. But nevertheless, the Picture of the World stands as a protective barrier between external reality and human consciousness. The picture of the world is a product of human thinking. It is believed that each historical period of time corresponds to its own picture of the world, which is constantly changing, but is **NEVER** complete and absolutely true. Implicitly, globalization has been imputed to people, causing them to destroy their already fragile traditional Picture of the World. It is impossible to recreate an Innovative Picture of

the world without external support. Another thing is whose and what kind of support this is, except for those real processes beyond people's control that are going on today. But against the background of what is happening, and this must be objectively understood, thousands of organizations have appeared today that offer citizens their services on the Internet to change the Picture of the world. Quite officially, the media, advertising, PR, modern literature, cinema, election campaigns, etc., work in this field. For the time being, money and the "ways" of withdrawing it from people under various "plausible pretexts" prevail. But such actions Do NOT CAUSE ANYTHING BUT FEAR of the future.

The simplest form of human protection from fear of the Future is Lifestyle. This is what B. Pascal wrote: "We do not linger in the present. We anticipate the future, for it is coming so slowly - in order to hasten its arrival; and we cry out for the past to delay its passing - for it is disappearing so swiftly. We are in the present, which weighs us down.

We hide it from our gaze if it torments us; and if it is desired, we are overshadowed by the sight of its disappearance. We try to make the present bearable by means of the future, and we think about how to subdue that which is not in our power..."

The way of life is the result of the formation of a person as an individual, i.e., a person who experiences his condition independently. Most people know about the existence of a lifestyle by the example of a "healthy lifestyle" associated with sports, proper nutrition, work and rest, etc. In reality, lifestyle means first of all a massive struggle between body and spirit (as one of the components of the "activity" of the Brain), between bodily temptation and spiritual asceticism. It is this struggle, in particular, that sets the limits of what is permissible in nutrition, alcohol consumption, sexual life, the maximum loads on the body, methods of treatment — i.e., in the performance of the functions of the body.



Globalization was imposed within the framework of the Old Management Program, and today what is happening is destroying its entire architecture before our eyes, changing first of all the way of human life in a way that has **NEVER HAPPENED** in the history of mankind. Through GLOBAL CHANGES people have been put in the position of "pioneers," who are allowed the unacceptable, unreal, impossible, and the way of life that made up people's strength and brought them joy, is difficult. The change in *lifestyle* is manifested in the fact that masses of people began to move in space at such distances and at such speeds that DO NOT allow them to correctly understand their own feelings: space was compressed. Global *lifestyle* changes have manifested themselves in the fact that time has lost its meaning: a person is "connected" to life around the clock by means of communication and acts according to external necessity, not internal need. The lifestyle was changed by energy luxury, which took away from a person the need to achieve results at the expense of their own bodily energy. Lifestyle has changed the global information flow. The stream is looking for a person, not a person, is looking for him: he has access to all the information about the world, but he does **NOT UNDERSTAND ANYTHING**. Almost nothing needs to be achieved at the expense of one's own spiritual power and Spartan bodily asceticism. The desire for bodily comfort prevailed over the desire for the heights of the spirit, for the heights of understanding and comprehension. In my opinion, the way of life is the desire to ensure that every action, every situation, every life choice is aimed at flawlessly following the values that are revealed to the seeker in the process of his practice. **Almost no** one knows what values correspond to global changes today. Lifestyle becomes an insurmountable mystery — NO-ONE KNOWS the standards for using mobile communications, receiving information on radio and TV, by e-mail and the Internet.

The order and size of the consumption of food and alcohol, drugs, medicines are completely unclear, all restrictions on interest in sex have been lifted, the importance of the family disappears and it is unclear how to raise children: what is possible and what is not? A NEW **WAY OF LIFE** has appeared — a network style, which marks the beginning of a complete connection of a person to computer networks. Another way of adapting to life is a Worldview as a moral form of human protection. Its origin was best described by the same B. Pascal: "Before the omnipotent majesty of nature, mountains, seas, the infinity of a starry night, a feeling of depression comes to a person. The eternal silence of endless worlds terrifies me. I see these terrible spaces of the universe that cover me. Man is between two infinities infinitely large and infinitely small — and they both penetrate into him; the visible world in which we live is only a small prison cell suspended between two abysses — infinity and nothing". Strictly speaking, a worldview is a system of views, principles, values, ideals and beliefs defined as an ATTITUDE to REALITY, a common understanding of the world and the position of the program of people's activities. The subject, the bearer of the worldview is both an individual and social (professional) groups, ethno-national (religious) communities, classes, and society as a whole. The worldview is the result of the formation of a person as a Person, i.e., a person who INDEPENDENTLY DISTINGUISHES good from evil, possible from impossible, permissible from unacceptable.



Worldview is among the most painful phenomena crushing the Future in the face of Globalization. The fact is that the Worldview functions as a meaningful system of consciousness in which all questions regarding the external and internal being of the subject have already been answered, where all problems have already been solved in a certain way. In addition, a Worldview includes the ability to use this knowledge to know and transform the world, the conviction that it is true as a

tool of activity, basic ideals, principles, and a readiness to implement and defend beliefs and ideals. A worldview is an **INTELLECTUAL CONSTRUCTION** that uniformly solves all the problems of our being based on some higher assumption, in which, in accordance with this, **NO** question **REMAINS** open, and everything that aroused our interest, occupies its definite place. It is easy to understand that the possession of such a worldview belongs to the **IDEAL WANTS** of men. Relying on it, one can feel secure in life, know what to strive for, to know how to make the best use of one's affects and interests. Nevertheless, each person's Worldview develops as a result of long, complex, often painful intellectual work, and especially in our time today. Such views become the foundation of his spiritual culture, the essence of his "I", the worldview always gives a person a vision of the whole world with all its riddles and solutions.

It is essential that a person's Worldview includes only the knowledge that convinces him, which he feels as true knowledge; only the knowledge that a person is guided by in his behavior is his personal knowledge. Therefore, the worldview is a synthesis and the **HIGHEST LEVEL** of a person's spiritual life. The level of a person's spiritual greatness can be reliably judged only by the level of his Worldview, because only **worldview problems** are **higher than worldview problems**. A person grows spiritually according to the growth of his worldview. This is an interconnected process of brain development.

Even a scientific Worldview is **NOT SOMETHING** complete, clear, ready-made; it is achieved by man gradually, in a long and difficult way. It was different in different historical epochs. Studying the past of mankind, we see everywhere the beginnings or individual parts of our modern worldview in an environment ALIEN to US and in a connection ALIEN to OUR **CONSCIOUSNESS**, in concepts and constructions of long-past times. During the course of centuries, it is possible to trace how the worldview of past generations, alien to us, gradually changed and acquired a modern look. But in the course of all this century-long evolution, the worldview remained scientific only within the boundaries of the science that existed within the framework of the development of specific human Brain genotypes and nothing more. This is confirmed by the statement of Sigmund Freud: "A worldview based on science, in addition to the assertion of the real external world, has essential features of denial, such as: limitation by truth, rejection of illusions. Who of our contemporaries is dissatisfied with this state of affairs, who demands more for his comfort at the moment, let him acquire it where he finds it. We won't be offended by him, we won't be able to help him, but we won't change our way of thinking because of him." The destruction of globalization that is taking place before our eyes is NOT yet CLEARLY CONNECTED with the problem of changing the Worldview from traditional to innovative. But this is already becoming the vital position of Russian citizens. In addition to knowing the picture of the world, a person builds his LIFE POSITION all his life as a strong-willed form of psychological defense. According to the same B. Pascal: "The internal struggle of reason against passion divides people into two classes: some have abandoned passions and want to become gods; others have abandoned reason and want to become animals. But neither one nor the other can achieve this; reason is always present and accuses the insignificance and injustice of the passions, and the passions are alive in those who would like to give them up." A LIFE **POSITION** is the result of a person mastering his behavior — he becomes a subject of behavior, i.e., a person who independently achieves his goals. Strictly speaking, this is the formation of thinking that makes it possible to distinguish the essential from the non-essential development of one's will to a level that makes human behavior arbitrary; giving one's affects a socially approved constructive form of creativity; assimilation of a complete set of stereotypes and standards of behavior sufficient to protect against external arbitrariness. Cities, roads, fields, etc., are the **BRAINCHILD** of the **LIFE POSITION** of the masses of people. The life position, imputed and built within the framework of the development of the Brain genotype, is the attitude of people who have not yet become Human Beings, to the way of obtaining a livelihood, or rather to work (not to be confused with labor).

It is the goal of the state, and it is the dream of the family of every person starting an independent life. It was the LIFE POSITION that until recently divided society into two unequal halves: the first considered work a reward and a prerequisite for life, the second — explicitly (for example, thieves in the "law") or covertly (regulars of smoking rooms, kitchen conversations) considered work a punishment and avoided it by all available methods. Today, a LIFE POSITION is slowly but surely, DESPITE all the obstacles being repaired, BECOMING a GUARANTEE of a correct, honest, respected human life. This is how it should be and I am sure it will become so when people themselves understand the difference in what we today call the word "work" and in what should be called the "Work" of a Person. The life position always affects the image that we all want to create — the image of our Country — our Russia. The basis of this life position is to fight, not to give up and to achieve your goal with all your might. But only your own, not someone else's...

F. Shkrudnev
June 2022