

14. Meeting on April 19, 2008

Opening remarks by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



I greet everyone present here in the hall, especially those who have come from far away. We will begin our meeting today; I have already received some of your questions, so I will repeat myself a little and explain why and for what purpose I initiated all of this. After that, we will move on to the questions.

Perhaps additional questions will arise so that we can further develop the topics we are going to touch on today. Most likely, each of us has, sooner or later, encountered certain questions, but for me personally, even back in school, I had to pay attention to the problems that existed in our education system. And this is considering that, in Soviet times, the Soviet school was very, very good, as I became convinced. The same cannot be said about the modern Russian school.

I have had to meet with students whose level of understanding, strangely enough, does not even correspond to basic school knowledge. But what I paid attention to were the blunders — or rather, the deliberate distortions. Of course, I cannot say now that, back when I was a schoolboy, I was so smart that I immediately saw, noticed, and identified these things. No, that wasn't the case. It's just that when I was in school, I absorbed what we were taught and believed it to be true, believed it to be the truth, and that we must trust what teachers give us. But later, when you analyze what happened in school, an interesting pattern always emerges.

The same Peter I: in the school textbook it is stated that he introduced the Julian calendar on the territory of his country. (Those who have read my books know that, as of 1717, the territory of Muscovite Rus or Muscovite Tartary extended only to the Urals.) He introduced the Julian calendar on the territory of his country, and from that year the year 1700 began.

But here is the question — no one ever emphasized this in school or in universities: if he *introduced* it, then two possibilities exist. First: our ancestors had no calendar at all, correct? But if there was no calendar, then he introduced one — or was there a different calendar? If there had been no calendar

whatsoever, then everything is clear: it really was progress, a benefit, because introducing a calendar is indeed a social advancement. But if there *was another calendar*, then why was nothing said about it?

And for the simple reason that, according to the Christian calendar he replaced with the Julian one, it was the year 7208 since the Creation of the World in the Star Temple. Not bad, right? The year 7208 — replaced with the year 1700. Something strange came out of that.

Every country tries to push its history as far back as possible to show how great and powerful it is. But here there was no need to invent anything — there *was* a history, at least a minimum of 7,500 years. And suddenly a calendar is introduced that cuts almost 6,000 years off. Why? For what purpose?

And if there was a calendar counting from the Creation of the World, then something must have existed *before* that as well — some events, some history. Yet the school textbooks say not a word about this. It is all quietly bypassed — just skipped over, as if none of it ever existed, as if there had been no calendar at all.

And then they start imposing the idea that our ancestors were so backward that they lived in caves or bear dens. Maybe, as the saying goes, there were “robbers” — or rather *tati*, because the word *robber* acquired a negative meaning only after the introduction of Christianity. *Raz-boy* literally means “one who fights for Ra” — the Vedic people, those who defended their culture, which existed for more than seven thousand years before the introduction of Christianity — more precisely, 6,498 years. It turns out rather amusing: an enormous span of past history — very rich and very interesting — is simply thrown away, and a falsification is imposed on the entire country.

And now tell me: if Peter I introduced the Julian calendar on the territory of his country only in the year 1700, and yet today historians rely on chronicles from the 9th, 10th, and 11th centuries — where did those come from? If before 1700 there was no such calendar, no 9th, 10th, or 11th centuries in principle on the territory of Russia, but instead the 6th millennium, the 5th, the 4th after the Creation of the World in the Star Temple.

Doesn’t that seem strange? So, what does this mean — that all these chronicles are forgeries? At the very least, that they were rewritten and altered to serve someone’s interests. Perhaps the original documents really did exist, but they were destroyed, and others were written in their place — documents that were convenient, and then passed off as ancient.

Some acquaintances gave me a photocopy of the Remezov Chronicle — thanks to them. And in that chronicle the dating is written exactly that way: the year seven-thousand-something, 7208, then the 9th, the 10th ... the 21st, and so on. And later, in parentheses, they began to add the Christian dating — because several generations were writing it, and only afterward did they start noting the Christian calendar. The chronicles exist; this is no secret. These are not classified documents locked away behind seven seals in some security archive — they are fairly well-known sources. Yet they are kept quiet about. If the very chronicle that historians refer to is considered a great treasure of Russia’s past, then why are the years written there in a completely different way? No one talks about that.

The same applies to the so-called “great creations” of ancient Greece and ancient Rome. I have already written about this in my articles: it turns out rather amusing — first, copies are made of old documents in all the libraries, the Alexandrian, the Etruscan, and so on; then, for some reason, all the originals burn

down — every single one of them — while all the copies, for some reason, survive. And these copies are then proclaimed the great heritage of the great ancestors of the past. Doesn't such an approach seem strange? It certainly seemed strange to me.

Perhaps some of you have heard of a television channel called the Discovery Channel, which shows discoveries and travel. They once reconstructed the events of Pompeii — you remember, Mount Vesuvius and its eruption. And what is most curious is that their narrative is based on sources — witnesses to those events. It is said that the nephew of a Roman admiral, who tried to save the inhabitants of Pompeii, wrote a diary.

First of all, according to conventional modern views, Pompeii dates to the 5th century AD, correct? Now, take note: a "diary" means that a person writes every day — just as people normally do, getting up and writing down their thoughts, how they feel, what they are thinking. But for your information, paper appeared in Europe only at the end of the 11th century, in Spain — that is, before that there was no paper at all. Before that, Europe used parchment. You know what parchment is — animal skin, extremely expensive. Good parchment was very costly: a single book could cost as much as an entire city did at that time.

And now imagine this: the nephew of an admiral — not the admiral himself, but his nephew — keeping a diary, writing down things like, for example, that he got up in the morning and had hemorrhoids, on such material. That would mean one would have to be richer than the Roman emperor himself to waste such resources on such trivialities. But that's not all.

Then he writes that he published his book. I'm watching the screen, and my jaw slowly drops. They show the book — published in Latin, a small printed typographical edition. But excuse me, book printing began in the 15th century. How could a nephew in the 5th century publish his book?

And that's not all. They show frescoes and mosaics. Everything was covered with ash, which is good — no one could alter anything. And when they excavated them, they supposedly didn't think to alter anything either. But if you look at the frescoes and mosaics, yes, there are people in tunics — but excuse me, people who go to bathhouses today also go wrapped in sheets. If you draw them in sheets, it will look like tunics as well, right?

But there are many images of people in clothing from the 14th–15th centuries. Knights are depicted wearing armor and carrying weapons from the 14th–15th centuries. So, the question is: how on earth did frescoes supposedly buried in Pompeii in the 5th century end up depicting 14th–15th-century mosaics? What does that suggest?

That Pompeii was buried in the 15th century, and that the eruption of Mount Vesuvius occurred in the 15th century. Perhaps there were eruptions earlier as well — I am not saying there were none before — but at the very least, what was excavated dates to the 15th century, no earlier.

And there are many more such lapses in school history as well. I won't say that I remembered this back then — it was simply later, when I learned when paper first began to be produced in Europe. Take at least ancient Greece, which is presented as the starting point of ancient world history. In my time, they showed photographs of an ancient Greek statue — a girl standing and holding a book in her hand, a small printed book, a typographical edition, supposedly from before our era. Not bad, right?

At the very least, after the catastrophe 13,000 years ago, books began to be printed only in the 15th century; before that, books were not printed. If texts were made, they were written on wooden tablets, on gold plates, on parchment, or on animal skins — things that are actually found and genuinely confirmed. But once again it turns out that a sculpture attributed to ancient Greece, supposedly dating to before the Common Era, in fact belongs to the 14th–15th centuries, no earlier.

And this kind of deception can go on indefinitely — they deceive us, they deceived us in the past, and they continue to deceive us. And the most important thing is that when certified historians are asked what they rely on, it turns out that none of them rely on real documents. That is, they don't refer to actual evidence, but to the opinions of certain authorities: one authority wrote this in his book, another wrote that in his book. Excuse me, but before writing something in a book, one must rely on real documents — or at least have seen the original documents, not copies.

Back in 2003, when I first had the idea to write the book "*Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors*" my wife and I were talking on the phone, and I said, "That would be great, because there are so many maps, old maps, and it would be nice to publish an atlas of old maps to show that our ancestors had a completely different understanding of the world until the end of the 18th century." A completely different one, not the one we are presented with now. And about a year later, I was sent a book in which Fomenko and Nosovsky published "*Maps of the Old World*." It's funny, they hadn't published it before. We had only talked about it over the phone, you understand? The phones were being monitored.

I open it — interesting. And what do you think? There are maps, and he writes: *possibly, presumably*, an atlas by so-and-so — Ortelius, for example — *possibly this, possibly that, possibly* taken from here or there. In other words, not originals, but copies, and so on.

But we have this atlas in its original form. I say to my wife: "Open the title page — what does it say on the title page?" The title page is completely different, not to mention the content itself.

So, if everything is "possible," then why write it at all? Why publish maps that *may* belong to such-and-such a century? And do you know why? In order to discredit the very idea itself. People's psychology works this way: later, when they see other maps from the same periods, they will say, "Oh — we've already seen this."

This is precisely the kind of information war that is being waged very thoroughly. There is something positive in Nosovsky–Fomenko, but I would say there is more negative than positive, and I will explain why.

The positive aspect is that they present real documents and use real artifacts to support their claims. But the conclusions they draw from these materials do not correspond at all to what is actually contained in those documents. Having uncovered the falsification of history, they correctly demonstrate that a thousand years — especially the most recent ones — represent a poorly fabricated history created by Müller. This version awkwardly explains everything as mere chronological shifts. According to this approach, the same events were taken, the names were changed, and they were arranged sequentially in order to fill gaps, instead of relying on real facts.

The most interesting thing is the conclusion that Fomenko and Nosovsky draw. They claim that all of history amounts to just one thousand years — and that's it. Not that something is being concealed behind this, not that attempts were made to fill in the holes, remove one history and then fill the gap with something else, but that history itself is only one thousand years old. So, the question arises: who are they working for?

I have already spoken about how the so-called Mongol–Tatar yoke was invented in order to explain the depopulation of the territory of Kievan Rus after the introduction of Christianity. Because 9 million out of 12 million people were destroyed. And not because the Mongol-Tatars invaded and slaughtered everyone and everything, but because people were slaughtered during the baptism, during the so-called “voluntary Christianization” of the inhabitants of Kievan Rus. They needed an explanation for the fact that cities became deserted, that everything fell into ruin and emptiness.

Before that, Kievan Rus was called *Gardarika* — the country of cities. After that, it became a semi-desert. They invented the Mongol–Tatar yoke, which never actually existed.

And the Battle of Kulikovo, by the way — Nosovsky and Fomenko read it correctly: it took place near Moscow, not on some distant Kulikovo Field. But the most interesting thing is that he actually presents a photograph of an icon of Sergius of Radonezh, where fragments of the Battle of Kulikovo are depicted. And there it is clearly visible that on both sides Russian people were fighting under the very same banners. In other words, it was a civil war.

On the program “*Time*” (Время), when at one point Putin asked historians whether the Battle of Kulikovo had in fact been a civil war between Russians and Russians, they replied that there were insufficient facts to confirm this. The question is: where, then, is the proof of the opposite — that it was not so? There is none at all.

Here, however, there *is* evidence: an icon that has been preserved. In principle, they depicted what was true at that time. And there is no proof to the contrary — there never was. So why is something considered true where there is no evidence, while where there *is* evidence, it is not accepted as such?

I recently received an article about genetic research. Remember the saying, “Scratch a Russian and you'll find a Tatar.” That was the correct saying, only it wasn't Tatar, but Tartar! They changed it because Tartaria is a Vedic worldview that our ancestors professed for tens of thousands of years.

And if we take the version that is commonly used today, “Tatar,” I want to say the following. Research by Russian geneticists has shown that there is practically no Turkic admixture. This is genetic research, not my own research. In other words, there is practically no Turkic admixture, so what trace could the Tatars have left at the genetic level of Russians if there is no trace of it?

And the funniest thing is that, when studying the genetics of the Kazan and Astrakhan Tatars, they found that their Turkic admixture is only a fraction of a percent, a few percent, and the basis of their genetics is Finno-Ugric. They belong to the Finno-Ugric group of tribes in terms of genetics. That's how it is.

This is how skillfully they hang noodles on our ears — how cleverly they mislead us. And one more thing: where Inner Mongolia is shown on the maps of the same Cheremizov, it is labeled not as Mongolia, but as *Kalmykia*. Not Mongolia — Kalmykia. I have already begun work on the second volume, and right now

I am selecting maps. We have a very large number of maps that relate to different periods of Europe and Asia.

Some people write that Hyperborea is pure fiction. But we have original maps from the 5th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, and 15th centuries on which Hyperborea is depicted — and not only Hyperborea.

We have a large map by Aventinus that reflects the 5th century; that is, it was made later, based on earlier maps, because he himself lived in the Middle Ages. This map reflects the 5th–7th centuries AD, according to modern chronology. Do you know where the border of Tartaria runs on that map? Along the border with France — and it is not called France there, but Gaul. And all of this is called Tartaria.

That is, exactly as I wrote in my book: provinces gradually broke away, and the movement kept going eastward and further east. This map fully confirms that — it is a real map.

And do you know what is written there on the territory of Kievan Rus? *Scythia*. And *Great Asia* is also labeled, in parentheses, as *Scythia*. And Scythia is what representatives of Western Europe called our ancestors; the Rus. Scythia is one of their names. Isn't that curious?

This could go on indefinitely, because real materials do exist. They kept purging and purging, destroying and destroying — but it is impossible to destroy everything. Sooner or later, the truth will surface. It is surfacing now, and I hope it can never be hidden again, because the situation has changed and the possibilities have changed as well.

I would also like to say a few more words to give some context about the book. I made my books available on the internet for free download, and they are being downloaded. And to show that there really is genuine interest from people, one can look at the statistics. There are counters that record how many times the books have been downloaded. Usually, it is said that such counters reflect only about half of the actual downloads — you can safely multiply the number by two, or even more. But we won't multiply anything; we'll just look at the raw statistics.

Over three months of 2008, from only two websites that have counters — and there are more than twenty others without counters — my books were downloaded 130,590 times. This indicates that people do not download things that do not concern them deeply or fail to interest them. And how many copies were made? How many were printed out? That is already a secondary question. I think the number is much higher.

And the numbers keep growing. In 2006, a total of 20,500 books were downloaded. In 2007, 170,344. And in just three months of this year — 130,590. Do you see the growth? The dynamics are quite telling, which means there really is resonance among people. Altogether, over these years, more than half a million books have been downloaded from the internet alone.

Does that say something? The topics I addressed in my books — and not only those related to Russia — are all interconnected. You understand this, because in order to understand the processes that are taking place, one must understand other social processes. And to understand social processes, one must understand the nature of how society is formed. And to do that, one must understand the organism. What is an organism? What is a personality? What is a human being? What are the stages of human evolution?

Without all of this taken together, it is impossible to understand anything properly. Otherwise, it becomes nothing more than cutting out a fragment of something larger. That is why my other books also complement one another — they help people perceive everything as a unified whole, which I believe is essential.

And the time has come for us to understand all this, because if we do not begin to understand it now, soon there will be no one left to understand anything at all. And this is not theoretical. The destruction of the environment is underway — it is already obvious, even though lies are also being spread.

Look: they shout that the technological path of development has a negative impact. Yes, it does indeed have a negative impact, because it destroys the environment. But there is no need to fight by canceling everything. What needs to be eliminated, for example, are fertilizers and chemicals completely, because they bring nothing but harm.

As for carbon dioxide — when they shout that global warming is caused by the technological path of development, this is a complete lie. Real data says otherwise. One of my friends presented statistics showing that all human activity produces less than 1% of the carbon dioxide present in the atmosphere. Excuse me, can 0.5%, or 0.7–0.8%, have a decisive influence? Of course not. Yes, it is bad, but it has no fundamental impact.

Global warming has been deliberately inflated by a world government, by social parasites, in order to manipulate public consciousness, to manipulate society, and to prevent the development of other countries.

A lot is happening where the mass media deceive us, hang noodles on our ears, and we all eat those noodles — because we are used to believing what comes to us through the media. Such moments are frustrating, because the time has come for us to open our eyes.

The question we must ask is: *who benefits from this or that piece of information?* If someone benefits, then it becomes clear where it all comes from, and then it becomes clear whether it is worth trusting or not.

That is why what I am doing — what I write about in my books, and why we are creating a Revival of the Russian Social Movement — is meant to unite people. Look at how many people declare that they stand for their nation, for their people. They are labeled nationalists — though it would be more accurate to call them patriots.

At the same time, if we look at Israel, for example, people there speak out most loudly in national terms, and for some reason no one ever calls them nationalists. So why is it that if we love our Motherland, we are supposed to be called nationalists? *Patriots* — that is the correct word. And loving one's own people is forbidden to no one; it is not a crime. In no constitution has it ever been a crime for a person to love their own people.

I lived in the United States for fifteen years, and I can say that patriotism there is extremely strong. From early childhood, they instill patriotism — American-style, of course. On Independence Day, July 4th, everyone hangs out American flags. Every house displays its own American flag. And at stadiums, everyone sings the national anthem together.

But in Russia, the moment someone says that we love our people, our culture, and our country, all sorts of labels are immediately thrown at them. Isn't that strange?

One reader sent me a note saying: "I won't come to the meeting because you have double standards. The Neanderthals died out — that's something you treat as normal — but when Russians are being destroyed, that's not acceptable. So, your approach is inconsistent. You don't feel sorry for Neanderthals, but you do feel sorry for Russians."

Is that a good approach? Probably not. Most likely, he didn't read the books. At the end of one of the books, I give the example of the American Indians: out of 2,200 tribes and peoples that existed at the time America was discovered, only about 700 tribes remain today. About 1,500 tribes were destroyed, and the remnants live on reservations. I have driven through those reservations — they are the worst lands in the country, semi-deserts — and the people there continue to die out.

I also gave the example of the Japanese, who during the war were placed in concentration camps — 250,000 Japanese people. Later I realized that he probably either didn't read this or simply skimmed over it.

As for the Neanderthals, I can say the following — he read that poorly as well. If one takes that kind of approach, one could also complain that it was unfair that the dinosaurs went extinct. In both cases, genetic "time bombs" were involved. Genetic time bombs are when a species gradually exits the historical stage.

In the book, I explain that our planet was saved from destruction many, many millions of years ago. And according to the laws of the White Cosmos, one is not allowed to interfere in the life of a planet at one's own discretion — only in the case of saving the planet itself.

You save the planet, and in doing so you do not violate the Laws of Nature. Because if the planet were destined to perish but did not, then living nature on that planet would not have existed anyway. In other words, you did not suppress anything, because that nature would have been destroyed regardless. But once you have saved the planet, then you are already allowed to influence the evolution of life to a certain extent.

And that is why genetic "time bombs" were embedded — to cause the extinction of the dinosaurs. Interesting research has shown that during the time of the dinosaurs there existed a species that occupied the same niche as modern humans, but among the dinosaurs. They were called *dinosaur-pithecs*: about three meters tall, upright-walking, though with a tail, and they were intelligent. They died out very quickly, as did all the other dinosaurs.

When you sometimes read modern so-called "scientific" theories claiming that a large meteorite fell, lifting huge amounts of dust into the atmosphere, triggering volcanic eruptions, and that for a thousand years the Earth was covered in darkness and night — resulting in the extinction of the dinosaurs, while everything else survived...

You know perfectly well that any plant consumes oxygen at night just like living organisms do. So, imagine this: if not for a thousand years, but even for just one year the Earth were plunged into

continuous night, all life would perish — not just the dinosaurs. Plants would not be able to synthesize oxygen, oxygen levels would drop sharply, and very quickly all living nature would die.

And yet they talk about a thousand years. And the most astonishing thing is that they put forward such a theory, consider it scientific and accepted — but it is absurd! I simply cannot understand how they fail to see that this is absolute absurdity.

After the planet was saved, the evolution of the planet began to be guided. Dinosaurs could have existed for another hundred million years and, by doing so, delayed evolution for hundreds of millions of years. That is why help was given to free up the ecological niche — through genetic “time bombs.”

What is a genetic time bomb? It is a form of intervention in genetics after which the birth rate sharply declines, as a result of which a species dies out very quickly. The same thing happened with the Neanderthals. Genetic time bombs were embedded, and almost all of them disappeared. No one chased them with knives or clubs or smashed their heads in. So, when people do not know the situation and start saying things like.....

Even if one takes the position, “Well, you’re being slaughtered — so why are you protesting?” If we do not consider ourselves sheep, then we have the right to resist when our rights are being curtailed. That is exactly what I am calling for — that we resist, because we have been cut down and destroyed.

And now there are genetically modified food products, food additives. What is that, in your opinion? It is genetic weaponry that leads to changes in genetics and to degeneration — direct degeneration. So even now, genetic weapons are being used. Bitter enemies of the human race, social parasites, are trying to destroy everyone and everything. So why should we be sheep and allow our throats to be cut? Maybe someone enjoys that? I, for one, do not intend to provide anyone with that pleasure — and I hope you don’t either. That was a bit of an introductory statement. Now I will move on to questions.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

14.1. QUESTION: *The Moscow region turned out to be in a zone where the compensation of the flow from the Source of Power was the weakest.*

ANSWER: I can say the following. At a certain point, dark forces seized the Source of Life in a specific way and began to modulate it with their own flows. As a result, it no longer mattered where someone was or who they were — the influence on everyone was negative. This is precisely one of the reasons why people saw what they were meant to see, rather than what actually was.

That is why, when a person frees themselves from such influence and looks at the real situation — even the one described in the Bible — when this influence is removed, a person reads it and their eyes practically pop out of their head: *How could it be that I never saw this before?*

You see, the dark forces captured the Source of Power at a certain moment and began to use it against those for whom it was originally intended as help. This is a long story, but in any case, several years ago it was returned and is now functioning normally. At present, it helps everyone who wishes to free themselves.

QUESTION: *about demographic catastrophes.*

I can say the following. Pay attention to the fact that the demographic catastrophe has, for some reason, arisen in a strange way mainly in countries of the white race where Christianity was imposed. Doesn't that seem strange?

Analyze it: all countries where population aging and dying out are taking place — not degeneration, that is something different, but specifically extinction — are countries where Christianity was actively introduced and imposed. Where Christianity was not imposed — or Islam, which is also a Christian sect that was excommunicated from the Christian Church in 1058, according to modern chronology. The Prophet Muhammad was excommunicated from the Christian Church. So, this is also Baptism, Protestantism, the Eastern faith. Thus, Protestants, Baptists, Lutherans — these are predominantly the white race.

For some reason, neither the yellow, nor the red, nor the black races are going to die out. Curious, isn't it? Because everything is being done to destroy the white race. Most of us belong to this race. Why should we calmly watch while attempts are being made to destroy us? So, these issues also need to be addressed — at the very least, starting with understanding what is what, in order to be able to act later.

14.2. QUESTION: *Who are the descendants of the Urs?*

ANSWER: One should keep in mind that in the past, in Central Asia, Russians were called *urruzes*. Have you heard of that? Even today, *ur-rus*, *urus* — they have preserved this name from the time when among the white race there were also the Urs: teachers who possessed psychotechnics at the highest level and who were also called Gods. And they were of great stature.

If this is of interest, there is even a museum in Europe called "Skeletons," where the skeleton of a gigantic human is displayed, and next to it the skeleton of a person who barely reaches up to that giant's waist. Those who were gigantic were leaders — chiefs who led people behind them.

Among them there were also descendants born from these giants, and naturally, they are still present today — though not in the form they once were, but nevertheless they exist. That is why this question is both complex and simple at the same time.

QUESTION: *about the removal of blockages.*

Later, at the end, I will try to remove them from everyone who is present in the hall and who wishes to have them removed.

14.3. QUESTION: *Did Earth's inhabitants respond to the appeal to humanity from the CGO (Coalition Group of Observers) of the year 1929?*

ANSWER: As far as I know, they did not respond. But I can say the following: it does not matter that they did not respond. The problem referred to there no longer exists — at least, Earth will not perish from that problem in 5,000 years. Most likely, it will perish because of our own foolishness, if we do not change.

14.4. QUESTION: *Is it possible to create a local inhomogeneity by an effort of will?*

ANSWER: Yes, it is possible if done correctly. The most important thing is not to cause harm, because an inhomogeneity can have either a positive or a negative effect.

14.5. QUESTION: *Why did the CGO not address the Slavs in Asgard Iriysky?*

ANSWER: Who knows — perhaps they did address them, because there are no documents, right? And the fact is that documents did exist, and there was a completely different hierarchy of civilizations there. Asgard Iriysky, as you understand, was a union of civilizations that included not only our Galaxy, but an entire spectrum of galaxies. Therefore, representatives came from different galaxies, not just our own.

As for whether they addressed them or not — that is a complicated question. I don't know, so I won't lie. But I think it is quite possible that they did address them, just at a different time. Asgard Iriysky was destroyed in 1535, according to modern chronology, by a Dzungar invasion. And it had stood in that place for 108,000 years. So, all other cities were, quite simply, a kindergarten by comparison.

14.6. QUESTION: *What is society, and what are prophetic (visionary) dreams?*

ANSWER: The question of society is both simple and complex, and it can be answered in just a few words. Society, as I understand it, is people united by a single idea, a shared worldview, culture, language, and other traditions.

From this point of view, Russia does not currently represent a unified society, because we now have no common, unifying idea, no shared worldview — there are different types of worldviews. Unfortunately, what is being imposed today is precisely a consumerist worldview that came from the United States. We are being imposed with something that has already led to collapse in the U.S.; the United States is already a dying state, an empire that, having existed for about 200 years, is now declining.

The wars they have always initiated — the First World War, which they started, the Second World War, and other wars — have given them “fresh blood.” By this, I mean fresh financial blood, which allowed them to sustain themselves.

But now they are no longer able to unleash large-scale wars. They tried to start a Third World War, and they failed, so now they modestly speak of a recession. They admit it themselves—although this is not a recession, but a full-blown depression, because it will most likely be worse than in 1929. And if not for certain influences...

Unfortunately, the global economy is now built on the dollar, and if the dollar were to collapse today, the economies of most countries would collapse as well. That is why they have been lucky that others continue to support them so they do not fall. Even so, they are still sliding downward.

So how can a consumer-based civilization created in the United States be considered something positive, if the very country in which it was created is already in agony? Now they are trying to impose

this same philosophy on us, the same consumer mentality — grabbing everything for oneself. No one says that living well is bad, but one cannot live at the expense of others, right?

As I said, even though the United States produces about 10% of the world's output, by the most conservative estimates it consumes 40% of the world's output — and according to some data, much more. If someone consumes far more — several times more — than they produce, who are they? Parasites. Thus, by its very nature, a consumer civilization is **parasitic**, and it is doomed to collapse. That is exactly what we are witnessing now.

The fact that a philosophy of consumerist attitudes toward everything is now being imposed in Russia is fraught with danger for Russia and for all of us. So, the concept of society is quite interesting and very broad in its meaning. We still have to create a society in the full sense of the word — that is, people united not only by genetics, but by worldview, understanding, a shared culture, and language. After all, in order to truly understand the richness of one's own language, one needs to live in another country.

I can speak about this not theoretically, but from practical experience, because I lived in another country for fifteen years. I know that world not in theory, not as a guest who came, stayed for a while, looked around, and left.

A young man once sent me a letter saying, "Well, in America the cities are clean. In Germany they're clean." Yes, I was in Germany in the 1990s — there really was cleanliness there. But in America, only certain areas are clean, while in San Francisco the streets are piled with garbage. And if you go into neighborhoods where Black communities live, there is so much trash that you can hardly take a step. And yet he says that everything there is clean. Once again, it's the same approach: a person knows nothing, yet claims that he knows.

Therefore, our task is not only to revive the past. I believe we need to revive precisely what actually took place. Our language is very rich, and if we begin to reflect on the words we use, very interesting things emerge. You pronounce a word, yet in essence you do not understand it — you repeat it like a parrot.

I remember moments from my childhood when I would say certain words and then start thinking about them. And when I began to reflect on a word, I would look at it as if it were an English or Chinese word — that is, it immediately became foreign, because there was no essence in the word for me. No one taught us what is contained within a word. But if we begin to analyze words, to break them down into their components, we can see that practically every word has a real meaning, which we then begin to understand immediately.

One person once explained to me such ordinary words as "*past*," "*present*," and "*future*." We have all heard them. But if you think about it, it turns out that in reality this is not one word, but three.

"*Past*" — I have already passed through it; it has already passed me by. That is the *past*, do you see? The word acquires meaning. The word "*present*" — that which I am standing on now, the present moment. "*Future*" — that which I will still be. And everything becomes clear. The words become **LIVING** — they are no longer dead words.

And almost the same thing applies to "*rainbow*." Look how our language is distorted. The most interesting thing is that I have never seen a single person in America being taught English by immigrants

from Russia. Because a person who was born in another country, whose native language is different, can never penetrate another language as deeply as someone who was born and raised in that language. Right?

But here, anyone at all teaches the Russian language — except, for some reason, Russians themselves. And a curious situation arises. How are the rules of Russian grammar created? How is the word *RA-DU-GA* (“rainbow”) explained? The root is *rad*, *-ug* is a suffix, and *-a* is an ending. A wonderful explanation — everything is clear.

But if you decode it correctly, in Russian terms: *RA* — arc, *DU-GA* — arc, the solar arc, the rainbow. Everything becomes clear and harmonious. Instead of saying that this word has two roots — *RA* and *DU-GA* — two roots — we are told that there is one root *rad*, a suffix *-ug*, and an ending *-a*. Not bad, right?

And this can be continued endlessly. Many words have been altered — I don’t want to dwell on that right now. Each of you can take almost any word, break it down into syllables, and try to see what is inside it. You analyze a few words, and an entirely different meaning emerges.

Take the word “*pora*” (“it’s time,” “time to go”). *Pora idti* — time to go somewhere. But again, it can be broken down as *PO-RA*, that is, to live according to the laws of the Sun, of light, rather than simply “it’s time to run somewhere.” And so on — you can go on like this endlessly.

I simply wanted to emphasize that the Russian language, in essence, is the only language I have encountered in which words truly carry meaning within themselves, in the way I have just demonstrated. I have not observed this in the English language. I know English quite well, but this is not present there. And I have spoken with people who have spoken English from birth — they say the same thing.

This suggests that the Russian language is a very deep and very ancient language. Many words merged and formed meanings, and its richness arises precisely from this merging — the content of the form — which, unfortunately, is not observed in other languages.

14.7. QUESTION: *They are asking about my health.*

ANSWER: I can say that I have never complained about my health. If I didn’t have good health, I would have been a corpse a million times over by now.

14.8. QUESTION: *They are asking about upward evolutionary movement.*

ANSWER: The point is that different actions are not all the same — one action differs from another. For example, if we add two plus two and get four, that is correct. But if we continue doing the same thing a million times, we will not move forward anywhere, even though the action itself is absolutely correct.

So, what does that mean? It means that once we have added two plus two, we need to move on to the next actions — adding two and three, two and four. Again, we are being given completely incorrect notions.

Take the word “*development*” (*razvitie*). *RA-vitok*—a spiral of RA. You see, the word *razvitie* implies movement upward along a spiral, toward the light. If we speak about development, then true development requires that each time a person makes qualitative steps forward.

It is not the quantity of actions that matters, but their quality — that is, rethinking the situation, changing one’s perception of the surrounding world, and then, with this transformed perception, taking the next step. Of course, one can make mistakes along the way — one step forward, one step back — but the main thing is that the overall tendency of movement forward is preserved.

For those who are interested, in my book “*Essence and Mind*” I describe step by step how every action affects us — on the genetic level, on the level of the Essence, on the level of our emotions, and what happens as a result. So read it, and it will become clear that most of the actions we perform today — most of the actions that are being imposed on us — even good actions, are only horizontal. They do not allow movement vertically.

In order to move vertically, it is necessary to change oneself — to change oneself qualitatively. Without this, forward movement is impossible. And this can be done through actions — but actions that are not directed at oneself, do you understand?

In Eastern philosophy, the idea is that we perfect ourselves: we must improve ourselves, yogis say we must prepare ourselves, and so on. But no matter how much they prepare, for some reason they never quite become prepared. Billions of people have passed through these systems over time, and in fact only a handful have achieved anything. And what they achieved are elementary things.

If it is all so good, why do they not develop further? The reason is that the essence of Eastern philosophical teachings is directed inward, toward oneself: work on yourself. Yes, one must work on oneself — that is correct, for self-improvement. But forward movement becomes possible only when a person acts outside of themselves, when they perform actions directed not at themselves, but at others. Through such actions, a person can change themselves.

In Eastern teachings, this is almost absent. As a result, we are told: “Oh, these are wonderful teachings that lead to the light!” And I have always said this: “Fine — think about it. This teaching has existed for 2,000 years. How many people have passed through these systems, and how many of them actually moved forward?”

Only a few — among whom astral travel, the conscious exit from the physical body, is considered the highest achievement. That is regarded as the pinnacle — but in reality, that is kindergarten and nursery school level. So, the question is: is it worth getting stuck there?

Shiromino — you’ve probably heard that name, right? The essence of it is: *wait, be ready, and illumination will come to you*. So, you sit there like, “Hellooo, illumination, where are you? I’m here, I’m waiting for you.” So, what — will something come, will someone come? No one will come, and nothing will come, because there is a good saying: “*If Muhammad does not go to the mountain, the mountain goes to Muhammad.*”

But here it’s the same situation — the mountain will not come to Muhammad, because Muhammad himself must go to it. Movement happens only when a person moves forward on their own, not when

they wait thinking, "I've prepared myself, I'm good, I patted myself on the head, I'm doing everything right, now I'm ready, now I can move forward — come on, enlighten me, come visit me."

And so, they sit and wait, and wait, and nothing happens. Thousands of years pass, and no illumination occurs. Which leads to the conclusion: this is a false path.

14.9. QUESTION: *They are asking about September 11. Was it a deception or not?*

ANSWER: It can be said briefly: it was a complete deception. If the audience is interested, I can explain in detail.

First, think about it yourselves. Most people were warned on September 11 not to come in to work. One person who worked there was not warned, but he fell ill and did not come anyway — and he was very upset that he had not been warned. The mayor of San Francisco was supposed to come to the trade center on September 11, but he was advised not to appear that day.

Second, shares of the airline companies were being sold off several days before the event. A big secret, right?

But do you know what the biggest and most amusing thing is? No civilian aircraft crashed into the towers at all. Even if you take the official recordings released by ground services and airlines of the second aircraft impact — if you freeze the moment of impact and look at the frame, you will see a military Boeing with no passenger windows, painted dark green. Tell me, have you ever seen a civilian airliner painted entirely dark green? And without windows?

In addition, underneath this Boeing, on its belly, there is a large tank attached — this is a military aerial refueling tanker. That's how it is.

And no aircraft crashed into the Pentagon either — there are many facts confirming this, and one can find them, but for that you need to know where to look. They show a recording that was released and broadcast on television — a parking lot, a car park, the place where the plane supposedly landed or crashed. But in that recording, the date shown is September 12, not September 11; there is a timer running at the bottom with the time displayed. They were careless and didn't even remove that, can you imagine?

And think about this as well: there is said to have been a nine-minute difference between the two planes that allegedly crashed into the towers, right?

Now tell me — how, in nine minutes, did so many television companies manage to place their cameras on the rooftops of other buildings, perfectly filming what was happening? A nine-minute gap. After the first incident, all traffic stopped, all roads were blocked, and no one would simply be allowed onto a rooftop — because they would fear another explosion, right?

That means special permission would have been required, helicopters would have had to be used, and even then, they would have had to hover somewhere nearby. One helicopter might be able to hover close by — but not five television companies at the same time, all landing on rooftops, setting up their

equipment, calibrating it, and capturing the exact moment of the second impact. Even this fact alone speaks volumes.

In addition, in the same footage showing the burning buildings, you can see that smoke is coming from the basement. The question is: the basement had not yet been affected — so how could smoke be coming from the basement?

In the United States, civil defense has never really been taught, but we studied it at school. You remember that when a building collapses, debris is thrown outward to about half the height of the building, right? And that one must run away from a building at least a distance equal to half its height. But these towers were extremely tall, they collapsed inward, and not a single neighboring building was damaged — only the windows were blown out. The question is: how can that be?

They were blown up — professionally demolished. And why? Why was it decided to remove them? There are two reasons. First, to launch a false narrative about international terrorism. And second — an important point that many people overlook.

Do you remember the scandal involving the Bank of New York, when there was talk about money laundering from Russia? During the years of Yeltsin's rule, Russia lost around five trillion dollars, by the most conservative estimates. And all of that money passed through the World Trade Center — that is, all the documents were stored in those towers. And investigations had begun.

Remember in Soviet times, when the OBKhSS (the Soviet financial police) showed up, a warehouse would suddenly burn down — some drunk guard dropped a cigarette, a short circuit occurred, and everything was lost, everything burned down. Are you familiar with that situation? It reminds me very much of the same scenario. That's all.

14.10. QUESTION: *They are asking about the manuscript about the life of the real Jesus Christ.*

ANSWER: The issue is that it was not a manuscript, but a book that was published based on his manuscript. One of the descendants of Christ, at the end of the 20th century, decided that the times had changed and that it was now possible to make this information public, and he passed these manuscripts to certain people — writers who were writing about this individual.

They published a book called, in Russian, "Jesus Christ — a Pagan God," and in English, "Jesus Christ — The Pagan God." The book was published, and we have a copy of it, because my wife at the time placed a pre-order by subscription, and when the book was released, she received it through that subscription.

However, as soon as the book appeared in stores — and when I informed my students about it — one of my students managed to contact the publisher himself, the owner of the publishing house "London — New York — Sydney" (New York — USA, Sydney — Australia, London — England). This is a major publishing house.

He said: "My company has been bankrupted."
She asked, "Can I buy a copy of the new book?"
He said, "No."
She: "What about a used copy?"

He: "No."

She: "Then how can one obtain it?"

He: "You know, they have now bankrupted me, cleared everything out — even floppy disks. Everything was destroyed completely, down to the root."

It turns out to be rather curious. The words attributed to Christ himself — although *Christ* is not a name. *Jesus Christ*, translated from ancient Greek, means "the Messiah." His actual name was entirely different. In some sources it is mentioned — though it is difficult to say how reliable this is — that his real name was Radomir, which is why his name is not mentioned directly. Do you understand? Have you seen the reconstructed face of Christ based on the Shroud? It is a typically Slavic face — so there is not even much to prove here; it was reconstructed from the Shroud itself.

Unfortunately, the book is very difficult to find, so you will hardly find it on the internet — just as you will not find the book titled "*Six Million Losses Found*," which speaks about the falsification of the Holocaust. Although it is not considered a banned book — after eight years of court proceedings, the author proved that not a single document in the book was falsified; everything was taken from open archives. And he claimed that a total of 256,000 people died over the entire course of the war — which is terrible, but not six million. You will not find this book either, for understandable reasons, even though it is not officially banned.

What is most interesting is that books by Satanists fill bookstores everywhere — although *sata-na*, if translated literally, means "holy water," if one speaks of the original meaning rather than the modern interpretation of "Satanists." There are plenty of books on black magic. But here, supposedly, are the words of Christ himself — the one whom people call Christ — which should be the most valuable of all, and yet they are banned.

At the request of friends, my wife once tried to buy this book in a store — she knew exactly which store had it — but all the books were removed from sale. An order came to confiscate all copies from the store. Not bad, right? That is how, in these strange Christian churches, the word of the one they supposedly worship is valued.

14.11. QUESTION: *All great civilizations leave evidence of their existence. So where is the proof that they existed?*

ANSWER: And who told you that there is none? If we do not know about something, that does not mean it does not exist. Many such traces were destroyed and continue to be destroyed, but it is impossible to destroy everything.

For example, remember when I spoke about the map — the three-dimensional map carved in stone, on a slab, which A. N. Chuvyrov discovered. This is a far more tangible piece of evidence of the existence of a highly developed civilization on the territory of present-day Russia. There is no evidence of this level in any so-called civilized country; all other evidence is quite primitive by comparison.

This proof indicates that the level of the civilization that created this three-dimensional map far exceeded that of modern civilization. The technology used by the creators of this map is still unknown, and the available amount of information is insufficient. Even the Americans do not have enough data to

create a map like this, whereas our ancestors created not just a single fragment, but maps of the entire Earth. They were simply destroyed, and only a few pieces have survived.

The last time I spoke with Alexander Nikolaevich Chuvyrov, he said that on February 14 of this year they were forced to officially recognize this map as authentic. That is, the map was in his possession, and an official protocol was signed. Of course, historians protested against it — and it is clear why. Geologists also strongly protested against it. But the military provided satellite images of the modern terrain, compared them, and fully confirmed that this is a real map. On February 14 of this year, it was officially confirmed and proven that this is a real map reflecting the real territory of Western Siberia with 100% accuracy.

Again — an event of global significance, right? A major event! Not just a discovery anymore, but a confirmed one. Have you heard anything about this? Of course, everyone everywhere is talking about it, right? Or maybe all of your ears are plugged? Or your eyes don't see anything?

You see, this should be talked about all over the world! People should be shouting: "*Sensation! Sensation! A global sensation!*" — that it turns out a civilization once existed on Earth that was many times more advanced than the one we have today, that had cosmic contacts with other civilizations, traveled from one planet to another, and so on. But for some reason, no one is shouting.

So, the evidence is not limited to this alone — there is a great deal of other evidence as well, and more continues to be discovered. There are the pyramids in China, which were built 15,000 years ago, and built by our ancestors; the same applies to the Egyptian pyramids. And many other things. There are pyramids in Yugoslavia, I was told; there are pyramids in Crimea as well, and many, many other things.

And even the so-called Tisul find — an article about it came across my desk; I mentioned this earlier. They found a tomb with the body of a woman. Not only were her garments unusual and made of material from an unknown technology, but there was also an object found with her. It was discovered in 1967 or 1969 — it doesn't really matter.

And what? Has anyone heard about it? No one! Yet this should be a sensation for the entire world. But for certain individuals — social parasites who still rule the roost — it is not beneficial for such information to come to light. And they do everything they can to destroy it, or at least to conceal it. That's all.

14.12. QUESTION: *Was it planned to introduce Orthodoxy into schools?*

ANSWER: I consider this to be a negative phenomenon as well. If a person wants to believe, let them go and believe — if they wish to attain what they consider the highest bliss. As was announced on television, "Lavr has died" — an archimandrite of the Russian Orthodox Church Abroad. And it was reported that he had fulfilled his highest purpose. When I heard this, forgive me, I was stunned. So, it turns out that, for Christians, the highest purpose is to die.

Well then, why wait? Let everyone do it at once — why don't all Christians immediately fulfill their highest purpose and die? After all, they are supposed to fulfill it, right? I believe that for a human being, the highest purpose is to live in such a way that one is not ashamed — not to die. Because any fool can die, but living with dignity is something far from everyone being able to do. So as for trying to impose

this, I think it is very bad. Those who want to can go and study it, but imposing a falsification on everyone — something that has nothing in common with reality — is unacceptable, in my view.

14.13. QUESTION: *They are asking about isolation from other civilizations and contamination.*

ANSWER: The point is that when Earth came under the control of dark forces, it was natural to prevent the possibility of the rapid spread of the dark virus to other planets through Earth. The same Gates of the Interworld were blocked so that no one could pass through them and seize other planets. This is normal. If someone becomes ill with the plague or cholera, they are isolated, right?

But that does not mean that doctors do not come here, so to speak — those who, to the very end, tried to cure this disease. That is all I can say, so as not to speak at length, because there are many questions today.

14.14. QUESTION: *They are asking what is happening to my body physically.*

ANSWER: Apparently, nothing.

QUESTION: *Why don't you have children?*

ANSWER: Because if what I am doing required me to think not about the work, but about children, then naturally I would not have the opportunity to do what I am doing now — you understand. So, one has to choose: either you do the work, or you raise children. Someone has to do the work as well, right? And someone has to raise children.

What I am doing involves great risk, because due to what I do, attempts have been made to destroy me more than once — and they continue to be made, and will continue. Therefore, if there were children involved in this work, they would be the first target, in order to get to me through them. This is already happening to some of my friends and associates who have children.

To condemn them to death — or to situations where you must either save them or betray what you are doing — you understand? Why doom them in advance to suffering and torment? First, it is necessary to create a world where there is no danger, and only then will I think about that.

14.15. QUESTION: *Do you communicate with representatives of the Old Russian civilization?*

ANSWER: Both yes and no, if we put it briefly. This is a special question when one speaks of "communication." What is meant by communication? One can shift into the past and communicate there as well. As for saying that the creators of the civilization came here — they still exist, which is why they are called the Old Russian civilization.

You see, the question is not formulated correctly. But if we are speaking about the Old Russian civilization, then yes, I have had to interact with it. One can calmly shift even billions of years into the past, and even communicate with civilizations that ceased to exist long ago as well.

14.16. QUESTION: *Can a person develop while having karma and poor genetics?*

ANSWER: Any person can develop, although to do so one must act correctly. We do not choose how we are born, right? We are born as we are — no one really asks us; we simply come into the world. Therefore, we do not get to choose what we start with; our birth is not our choice. If one may put it that way, our parents are responsible for this, at least in that sense.

In most cases, the Essence enters into resonance with the qualities that arise at the moment of conception, and it is drawn into that which is identical to a given genetic makeup. Thus, any Essence, in the majority of cases, enters into a genetic framework that is congruent with that Essence. Therefore, in any case, in the overwhelming majority of situations, we find ourselves in ideal conditions for moving forward — that is, our genetics correspond to our Essence. But unfortunately, the following happens. If you have read about this, we are all born as animals: we pass through the animal phase, then move into the phase of the rational animal, and then, if we are fortunate, we become a human being.

The difference between a human being and a rational animal is that a rational animal is also a human, but one whose emotions and instincts govern them. If a person reaches the level of controlling their instincts and emotions, then they have the right to call themselves a human being in the full sense of the word. But if instincts govern us, then how are we any better than animals — even though we possess intellect?

You see, our notion of animals is rather strange, because we are used to animals making their own sounds, which are incomprehensible to us. But do you think animals understand our sounds? Do you think animals understand what we are saying?

Many animals possess intelligence, and quite a lot of it. And you have probably noticed that with dogs and cats: some cats or dogs are smarter, others less so. They differ in intelligence and abilities, just like people do. But many of them are intelligent, and many even understand and pick up on a person's thoughts and act before the person has said anything, when they know their owner well. Many of them even think, and a dog or a cat reacts to words accordingly.

Are these very primitive creatures? Yes, in terms of intellect they may not be like us, but that does not mean they have no communication. And if we take bees, termites, ants — there everything is perfectly organized.

What social parasites are trying to “build” out of us already exists among bees, termites, and ants — it was built long ago: an ideal mechanism, a technocratic civilization system where every living being is a cog, a little screw in the system. That is exactly what they are trying to do to us as well.

Is there intelligence there? Yes, there is collective intelligence, for example, among bees. But in any case, it is impossible to study everything. However, before saying anything, it would be desirable to study everything that is available, before making conclusions.

I once had to read a work — I won't name whose — in which the author described the system of how bees function, and even claimed that bees carry out genetic correction when the queen dies. But this is complete nonsense and ignorance; that person simply does not understand the subject and is not above the level of an ordinary beekeeper.

The reason is simple: a worker bee is essentially the same as a queen bee, only underdeveloped. If an ordinary bee larva were fed the same food as a queen — royal jelly — it would grow into a fully developed queen. If it is not fed that way, it becomes a worker bee. Bees do not perform any genetic correction at all. When their queen dies, they simply begin feeding ordinary bee larvae with royal jelly, which they themselves produce. If the larvae are fed flower pollen instead, they become ordinary worker bees — that is, underdeveloped bees.

That is the first point. The second point is that bees, ants, and termites exist in a state of a super-organism. That is, it is not the individual organism that possesses intelligence, but a collective intelligence.

And here is an important detail: if a bee flies more than 5 kilometers beyond the limits of its hive, it loses all its intellectual qualities. It can no longer orient itself in space, cannot find its way back, cannot function properly. Only within a radius of about 5 kilometers does a bee behave “intelligently” — within the range of the collective psi-field.

All of this is described in detail in the book *“The Last Appeal to Humanity,”* in the section on the theory of the psi-field in nature and in the evolution of intelligence. So before saying anything, a person should at least study not only what is written in my books, but also what is already known to modern biologists and zoologists about social organisms that exist in nature — bees, termites, ants. When people start drawing conclusions without knowing this, they end up claiming that bees engage in genetic correction. That’s how it is.

14.17. QUESTION: *They are asking about the metabolism of the Essence — what genotype determines metabolism, and so on.*

ANSWER: There is no such concept as the *metabolism of the Essence*. Metabolism implies the breakdown of something and a transition from one qualitative state to another — that is, matter moves from one qualitative state into another qualitative state. In an ordinary state, such a phenomenon does occur.

If a person develops to a sufficient degree, they evolve and form many additional bodies of the Essence, which are just as material as the physical body, but composed of a different type of matter. At such a level of development, a person can achieve the ability to create systems of transformation of matter from one form into another that is necessary for them, on other levels of these bodies. However, this is not metabolism, although one could say that the question partially touches on this idea. In any case, for this to occur, a person must develop — that is, advance forward evolutionarily.

14.18. QUESTION: *They are asking about the metro system, when energy flows can be seen through the escalators (about Generators).*

ANSWER: These are not the generators we were talking about. It is simply that any surface of the Earth emits flows of primary matter. Even after the planet Earth was formed, primary matter continues to permeate and pass through it. If a person is sufficiently sensitive, they can see these flows. They can be either negative or positive. That is why in some cases people speak of positive geomagnetic zones, and in other cases of negative geomagnetic zones. Sometimes, indeed, generators are installed at the nodes

of such energy centers, which modulate the flows and direct them at people. Most of these generators have been destroyed, and any new ones that may be installed will also be destroyed.

Therefore, one should not mix one thing with another. There are natural phenomena that are natural and inherent, and whether we like them or not, we must understand their reality. And there are things that are artificially created. And what is artificially created — especially if it is directed to harm people — certainly needs to be dealt with, to put it briefly.

14.19. QUESTION: *In your book it is written that Eden is a land in the East.*

ANSWER: First of all, the question is: from which point of reference should the East be considered? If from Asgard-Iriysky, that is one position. And secondly, I read in the Slavic Aryan Vedas (SAV). Nowhere does it say there that it is in the East, nor does it say from where this East is counted. As for the commentaries...

You forget that many people who prepare commentaries do not always correctly understand what they are commenting on. Very often they see what they want to see, rather than what is actually there. The same SAV contain several levels of information — this is embedded in them from the very beginning. It is even stated that there are three lines of runes: Yav, Nav, and Prav. Even in the very writing of runes there are three levels.

And I say that there are at least three levels present in Slavic Runas and others as well. Therefore, if a person knows how to read these runes on those levels, then the information obtained by reading only the meanings of the runes on the physical level gives very little. I have demonstrated this to some people who did not understand it. But when I showed them, and they saw the other levels of the runes, they said they were amazed. A completely different understanding emerged for them than the one they had when they read the runes knowing only their physical form.

Our ancestors were far from foolish. They recorded information in such a way that only a person who was prepared, literate, and who had evolutionarily reached a certain level could correctly read the information embedded there. Many people simply memorized things — went to courses and learned by rote: "This rune is written like this, and it means this word." Very often, those who teach do not know themselves that other levels of runes exist, and unfortunately, they also do not know how to read on those levels.

That is why the problem arises. That is why translation is important — and even then, it is again subjective. But if you see the runes yourself, you will understand where the translation is correct and where it is not. Commentaries, however, are very, very often erroneous. It is better not to rely on commentaries at all.

14.20. QUESTION: *Two questions — regarding the Great Wall of China, and regarding the Romanovs, Peter I, St. Petersburg, and so on.*

ANSWER: As for the Great Wall of China, I will still be writing about it. I am already working on the second volume — I don't know how many volumes there will be — but it is already more or less approaching the modern period, which is the most interesting for us. Because what happened long ago is interesting, but what happened closer to our time concerns us more directly.

Nevertheless, on all old maps the border between China and the Slavic-Aryan Empire — Great Tartaria — runs precisely along the Great Wall of China, oddly enough. Exactly along it, not the other way around. And the territory lying south of the so-called northern wall was annexed by China only after the Vedic Empire was crushed by the Romanovs by 1775. Before that, this territory belonged to the Vedic Empire.

I will describe all of this in detail in my book. Remember *Kitai-gorod* in Moscow? It still exists. It is a walled-off city. The Great Wall — *Kitai*. Why is China called a walled-off country? Do you know whom our ancestors called *Kitai* before the wall existed? *Aramia. Ariman* were the rulers of China. *Aramia* was, so to speak, China.

As for the Romanovs — my attitude toward the Romanovs is negative, because it was precisely due to them that, over the course of 300 years, many negative events occurred for Russia. Although some of them tried to do positive things, nevertheless they could not — or would not — reveal the truth about what was really happening.

The very first of the Romanovs — Mikhail — issued, as one of his first orders, the destruction of the *Razryadnye knigi*. Do you know what the *Razryad Book* was? These were books in which the genealogies of all Russian clans on the territory of the Moscow Principality, Moscow Tartaria, were recorded. Why did he destroy them? Because his lineage was very “weak” and had no legitimate right to the throne, and they needed to justify their rule. So, they destroyed those books and wrote new ones.

Is that a new trick? A well-known trick. The authentic record is destroyed, and a falsification is created. And in addition, what they did for Russia, I believe, played an overall negative role.

Look at what happened under Alexei Romanov, Alexei Mikhailovich. Nikon introduced Orthodox Christianity in place of what was previously *true-believing* Christianity. Because before Nikon, Christianity was *true-believing (pravovernoye)*, not *Orthodox (pravoslavnoye)*. Orthodoxy had nothing to do with Christianity at all.

So, what did he do? In order to eliminate *dual belief* — that is, when people lived according to Vedic laws in their everyday lives, but for official purposes went to church simply so they would not be beaten or killed — by that time literacy had already been destroyed. Remember the birchbark letters of the 8th, 9th, and 10th centuries: in the 8th–10th centuries, peasants were literate and wrote letters to each other even in remote villages. But by the 16th century everyone was illiterate, because a literate person is very dangerous, while an ignorant one is very convenient.

So, Nikon acted cleverly — he deceived the people. He took Vedic rites that had existed among the people for thousands of years and had always been there, and he introduced them into Christianity, thereby merging the two. And after several generations, no one remembered that Orthodoxy and Christianity are completely different things. Everyone began shouting that “we are Orthodox.”

But I want to ask: Orthodox — or Christians? Orthodoxy is one thing; Christianity is something entirely different. Look at Peter I: he introduced the Julian calendar, discarded and effectively stole history both before and after that point — tens of thousands of years. The conclusions regarding the Romanovs are therefore very unfavorable.

So, Nikon carried out his reform and, as a result, deceived the people. Even now, if you ask any priest why, on Easter, people run around with dyed eggs and knock eggs against each other, not a single priest will answer that question — they will avoid it. And this custom is 118,000 years old. I have already written about this, when, according to the Vedas, the god Tarkh destroyed the Moon Lelya along with the fleet of the Dark ones. Since then, symbolizing victory over the Dark forces, an egg is broken — this represents the splitting of Lelya into parts as a result of Tarkh's actions. But if you ask a priest, none of them will explain what this really means.

They explain it differently.

(Voice from the audience: "They say it's because Jesus Christ was resurrected...")

I can say the following: I lived in the West for 15 years. There are Catholics and Protestants there, and there are no Easter eggs — no one runs around knocking eggs together. The question is: where did this come from?

You see, that's exactly the point. You can explain anything any way you like, but that doesn't make it true. If this fact were genuinely known throughout Christianity, then in every branch of Christianity the same practice would exist — or at least be mentioned. But do you know what they talk about there? *Easter bunnies*. They don't give eggs; they give chocolate Easter bunnies. Is that the same thing — knocking eggs together and knocking bunnies together? So sometimes you really need to live in another world to see the difference — and the falsehood.

And what is most amusing: Catholics call it *Great Friday* — in English, *Good Friday*. Not bad, right? How can it be "good" if the person you revere as God was crucified and died on the cross? How can that be a good day? That is a *black Friday*, in my view. But for them it is "good." The question is — good for whom?

And if you read the Old Testament and read the Torah, then the Torah states the following: any prophet who deviates even by a single word from the word of the god Yahweh must be sacrificed to the god Yahweh on the holiday of Pesach. This is the Jewish Passover.

Thus, according to these laws, Christ was crucified by the Jewish high priests, because he exposed the word of the god Yahweh. That is, he departed from the literal word of Yahweh, and for this he was crucified on the Jewish Passover — Pesach. And in the Old Testament it is said that he will come to foreign peoples and will bring love, for which he will be crucified, and they will make a god out of him, and later worship him. That is, from two different sides the same thing is described. But in any case, Passover is a sacrifice.

And one more thing regarding Christians. I once had to study, to some extent, so-called Black Voodoo. One of my students came from a lineage that had practiced Voodoo — not Black Voodoo, but Voodoo for a thousand years. And this is what I learned: the most vile and horrifying ritual of Black Voodoo — do you know what it is? When a part of a defeated enemy's flesh is eaten and their blood is drunk. This is a cannibalistic ritual, and the essence of this black-magic rite is that the Essence and power of the defeated enemy are supposed to pass to the one who defeated him. Not bad, right?

Communion. And now, in all churches, when people drink what is supposedly blood and eat what is supposedly flesh — of course, it is not actually blood or flesh, but that is not the point. What matters is that it is a symbol — this is his blood, this is his flesh. And essentially, no one thinks about the fact that this is a cannibalistic rite — that is the first point.

And the second point is that when such an action takes place, the believer in that same Christ — regardless of the fact that his name is not his real one — is, in this way, trying to turn him into their servant, so that his power will pass to them. Not bad, right? That is how the Dark ones mocked this.

And who here is familiar with the Order of the Templars — did you study this in history? Do you know why the Order of the Templars was destroyed? Because they preserved the truth about who Christ really was and protected his children and his wife.

To this day, in the south of France, there is a castle with a chapel in which there is the tomb of Mary Magdalene. Now tell me — how did the tomb of Mary Magdalene end up in a 12th-century castle? No one transported her there. That means she died in the 12th century, right?

So why did Philip the Fair and the Pope destroy the Order of the Templars? Philip the Fair was a poor king, and the Pope was striving for absolute power — to become the spiritual leader of everyone and everything. And the Order of the Templars was headed by Jacques de Molay, who, incidentally, was the uncle of Philip the Fair.

You know, for a long time I could not understand Jacques de Molay's final words — the words he spoke during the interrogations, when they demanded that he acknowledge Jesus Christ as our sent god. He said: *"How can I acknowledge that my grandfather is God?"* I could not understand this for a long time, until I received information from various sources that Jesus Christ lived, first of all, in the 11th century and was crucified in 1093, and that Jacques de Molay was indeed his grandson — his real grandson. That is why he considered him his grandfather and did not consider him the Lord God.

So, Philip the Fair received the wealth that had belonged to the Templars, but the Templars themselves never received it back. And the Pope tried to destroy the authentic documents written by Christ himself, because they would have completely exposed the power of the Pope. In reality, those documents were hidden afterward — and hidden very thoroughly. Only parts of them were later published in the book *"Jesus Christ and the Life of God."* Do you see what is still happening with them to this day? They do not want to see them.

14.21. QUESTION: *They are asking what will happen in 2012.*

ANSWER: First of all, in any case, what is being said is completely incorrect — if anything more precise is to be mentioned, it would not be 2012, but 2014. And secondly, to put it briefly: many say, *"In 2012 the entire civilization will transition to a subtle-material level of existence."*

What is a subtle-material level of existence? It is when everyone loses their physical bodies — that is, only Essences remain, meaning everyone dies. But this is not a true form of existence, because without the corresponding level of development, the loss of the physical body turns a person into merely an Essence — a frozen Essence — and such a person is forced to become a parasite, that is, to feed at the expense of others.

But the destruction of the planet, in any case, was supposed to have happened several times already, and the most recent one was supposed to occur in 2003. The planet truly was supposed to perish — but as you can see, it has not perished so far, and nothing happened. I hope that neither in 2012 nor in 2014 will the planet perish.

Because in 2014 an event is expected: an acidic cloud is moving toward our system, a cloud that breaks down all matter into its constituent parts. Therefore, if this cloud were to pass through and affect our system, it would naturally break down our Earth as well. I hope that this does not happen — that is all I can say. And once again, this is not some divine phenomenon, but real cosmic life.

(Question from the audience: "Is this the shifting of the magnetic poles?")

Of course not. The magnetic poles are constantly wandering — you know this, right? People do not talk about it, but they are constantly moving back and forth. And there will be no sudden changes. Magnetic poles will not lead to the destruction of the planet. Shifts of the magnetic poles have happened more than once, and they have never led to the destruction of life.

14.22. QUESTION: Yav, Nav, and Prav — how do they relate to the etheric, astral, and mental levels?

ANSWER: First of all, **Yav** is the physical world, so it does not relate to those levels at all. **Nav** is the world of the dead. The world of Nav can be said to relate to all the other levels, because depending on the level of development of a living being, it can end up on any of these levels. Therefore, it does not correspond to just one of them, but to all of them together.

And **Prav** is the world of the higher hierarchs. Therefore, none of these levels relate to it at all. One enters the world of the higher hierarchs only when all of this has been developed to that level — only then does one enter that world. That's all, in a few words.

14.23. QUESTION: A curious question: is there a sun in the etheric or astral realms?

ANSWER: Not in the way you are thinking — no. The point is that a star itself is a curvature of space connected to another Universe. Incidentally, quite recently (and this was not widely reported), the Hubble Space Telescope detected what was initially called a *white hole*. That is, an enormous curvature of space, on the order of a billion light-years in size. Then a follow-up report stated that through this curvature in space, another Universe could be seen. This was a real report, based on real telescope images — it was not something someone invented. You see, there are many Universes; they simply differ qualitatively from one another.

If you have read my books "*The Inhomogeneous Universe*" and "*The Final Appeal to Mankind*," they describe first-order super space. Only identical Universes can connect through such curvatures — at points corresponding to an identical Universe. When Universes oriented horizontally or vertically intersect, a zone of convergence forms at the point of intersection.

In this zone, it is possible to pass from one qualitatively identical Universe into another qualitatively identical Universe. This zone functions as a transition area through which one can calmly move from our Universe into another and then continue movement within that other Universe, because it is a zone where identical Universes converge.

14.24. QUESTION: *There are very many questions, so I am trying to answer the most interesting ones in order to move on. QUESTION: How can one learn this, and so on?*

ANSWER: I have already spoken about this. For now, I am not creating a training school. And if such training does take place, it will involve testing people to determine who is worthy, because this is a weapon. It is very good that there is a desire to master something, but in my view, desire alone is not enough — a person must prove that they are worthy of it. Not because I am reluctant, believe me, I am not.

It is simply that practice shows the following: when you give something to a person in advance, hoping that they will change and become better, unfortunately it often turns out that if a person is not morally and ethically prepared for it, this quickly destroys them. This happens because they receive powers that exceed their level of understanding, and as a result they begin to use them not quite for their intended purpose. Then everything has to be shut down and removed. That is why transformation is important. The kind of transformation that I carry out, for example, is a purely mechanical process — it is not difficult for me to do.

But the most difficult part is not the mechanical transformation. The most difficult thing is for a person to learn to think differently, to reason differently, to perceive differently. If a person undergoes a transformation of the brain that allows them to do fundamentally different things, but continues to think exactly the same way as before, then there is no benefit from it at all. Because first a person's thinking must be transformed, and only then the brain itself. I have become convinced of this through my experience over many years.

That is why, first of all, I try to do this through books. Later on, courses will be conducted, so that people first develop understanding — so that they begin to think differently. And only then will those who are truly worthy move forward.

It is very important that a person does not use this incorrectly or against others. For example, if I simply do not like someone, does that mean I should punish everyone according to my own method and desire? Do I even have the right to punish? No. Action must always be appropriate. If someone merely insults you with words, you should not react to it at all.

This does not mean that you should do nothing at all. Simply respond in a refined, intelligent way — put the person in their place with words. But using force to punish an uncultured person is unacceptable. Yet many people think: *"Oh, you're like that? Then may you turn over in your grave three times."*

In this regard, I recall something one person once told me. He said that long ago, back in 1988, he traveled through villages looking for knowledgeable women and men — *veduny* and *vedunyas*. In one village he found an old man who possessed certain powers. And the old man said: "You're not the first to come to me to learn my secrets. You know, people came to me and advised me that if someone offended me, I should put that person into a little sack and tie it with a string on top. And strangely enough, if I don't tie it, he bends over in pain."

Do you understand? The old man did not realize that by putting someone into a sack and tying it above their head, he was simply blocking the Essence and, in effect, committing murder — he did not understand this. Such an approach is unacceptable. Because a person must understand the

consequences and bear responsibility for every one of their actions before being entrusted with such a weapon. I think you would agree with this.

Therefore, this will take place — and in some sense it is already taking place — for those who are most prepared. I have “other” children — several thousand children — who are trained in my school during sleep. These children enter my school from childhood, even from birth. They are already given a correct, undistorted foundation, and it is much easier to work with them, so that from an early age they understand what is what, and why, and for what purpose.

Then there is no need later to break down what has already formed in a person. Even if what has formed is partly correct and partly incorrect, it is still already established, and it cannot be removed in a single day. A person experiences any change in their own worldview very heavily and painfully. Unfortunately, this has to be done, but it is painful and takes a long time.

Therefore, everything depends on how each person who wishes to receive such a transformation will change — how they will rebuild their thinking in a different way — before receiving anything like that from me. That is all. Again, not because I am unwilling, but because it is dangerous.

14.25. QUESTION: *What is spiritual development, and is development through sexual energy possible?*

ANSWER: Well, excuse me, development through sexual energy is fundamentally impossible. There are simply certain concepts — *yin and yang* and harmony between the masculine and feminine principles. That is, at a certain stage of development, a person embodying the masculine principle cannot move forward without acquiring qualities of the feminine principle. Likewise, the feminine principle cannot move forward without the masculine principle.

In this case, only through intimate closeness is it possible to transmit these qualities to one another. But first of all, this is possible only with *one* person — with whom there is maximum, one-hundred-percent harmony on all levels. Not with many people, but with one person — with whom there is love, not the opposite, not mere sex. Sex by itself only drags a person down into filth.

And secondly, there are methods that make it possible to manage even without this. This does not mean that there should be no love between a man and a woman. But there is a way by which one can develop with absolute independence. Because a person can search for their other half their entire life and never find them. Right? Or trying everyone who happens to come along is also not a method. By doing that, a person only sinks very low. Therefore, development cannot depend on whether a person meets or does not meet their other half. This does not mean that one should not look for them.

But what is being imposed now — once again an American-style approach being pushed in Russia — is the idea that one should have as many trials and errors as possible. That through sexual experimentation one will find what fits. This is completely false and incorrect, because it leads to destruction. Unfortunately, this is especially harmful for women. A woman accumulates from each intimate partner — she absorbs their illnesses, including genetic and psychological ones, and then passes them on to her children. And if you look at places where this has been most widespread, such as in the United States, there are now practically no healthy children — almost all children are born very sick. One of the reasons is sexual promiscuity. So, think about it. Development through sex is impossible — in the sense in which it is commonly understood.

14.26. QUESTION: *There is a link on your website to another site, and it talks about the erasure of reality and so on — what does this mean?*

ANSWER: You see, when links exist, it is usually a link exchange. Someone wants to place their site, and so on. This does not mean that if you place a link to some website, you fully agree with everything that is posted on that site. Therefore, I can only speak for what is posted on my own website. I do not bear responsibility for what is posted on other sites.

As for the essence of it — yes, some points there are indeed correct, but that does not mean that everything there is correct. That's all.

14.27. QUESTION: *Do you believe that the experiment of the Light Forces to create a new civilization — a cosmic human — is currently proceeding along its intended course, despite the difficulties?*

ANSWER: Yes, I do — briefly speaking. Because the main thing is that this experiment continues and is not destroyed. If the planet were destroyed, then there would be no further development at all.

14.28. QUESTION: *Is negative Rh factor evidence of racial mixing?*

ANSWER: I have not studied this issue, so it is quite possible that it is related, because there are different blood groups. In the United States, I encountered a curious situation: there are medications produced specifically for Black people — for example, heart medications. For a white person, such a drug would not work on the heart at all. Why? Because the metabolism of the Black race differs fundamentally and sharply from the metabolism of the white race and other races.

Therefore, some medications — in fact, all medications — may not work for a white person but will work for a Black person, and vice versa. So naturally, when mixing occurs, there is an overlap of different types of metabolism, and phenomena such as a negative Rh factor may appear.

But I did not study this question specifically. Still, it is curious — do you know how Black people sometimes refer to white people? “*You white people smell like dogs.*” I have heard this myself; this is not theory. So, to them, our smell is like that of a dog. And they have a different smell — very sharp and strong. I cannot really compare it to anything, but it is very intense and pungent for us.

You see? We become accustomed to our own smell, and they have their own. And smell depends on the type of metabolism. After all, what is smell? It is the secretion of sweat. And if sweat and metabolic processes are different, then naturally the composition of substances in the sweat is also different, and a person's smell will be different. So, these are the kinds of observations regarding races and their influences.

14.29. QUESTION: *They are asking about sedition and how this concept came to be understood as slander.*

ANSWER: From my point of view, seditionaries were not just anyone, but those who fought against the existing order, at least in the old days. Why? Because they opposed religion, were against the official line, (*kramola*) “sedition,” thus carried a negative meaning in this sense. As for (*lyudi-ogovory*) “people

of slander" — I have never heard this meaning of the word, although in reality it does indeed mean those who pray, who turn to the sun.

14.30. QUESTION: ...*The issue is that Asov, in his commentaries on the Book of Veles, writes...*

ANSWER: First of all, I do not consider Asov to be a great expert on the Book of Veles — there are others who truly are. He takes their translations and then starts adding his own commentaries. There is Slatin, for example, who is a translator who worked on the Book of Veles.

In the Book of Veles itself, it is clearly stated when the Night of Svarog began and its duration, as well as in the SAV. As for Asov writing that the Night of Svarog will end in the 25th century — that is his problem.

14.31. QUESTION FROM THE AUDIENCE: *May I ask — you mentioned the Last Night of Svarog. Why is it called the last?*

ANSWER: It is called the last because it has ended — not because there will be no more. They continue to occur constantly; it is just that if it becomes possible to properly eliminate what currently exists, then in the future even negative influence will no longer significantly affect events.

Why did this particular last Night of Svarog have such a strong impact on the development of civilization? Because civilization had been pushed back to a primitive level, and therefore even minor influences of this last Night of Svarog had a very strong effect on people.

For example: if you have a potential of 100 units and a negative influence reduces it by 5 units, you are left with 95 units. Right? That is quite acceptable. But if you have, say, only 7 or 10 units of potential, then a reduction of 5 units sets you back by 50%. Right?

So, this last Night of Svarog was precisely such that its influence occurred at a time when people were at a very primitive level of development — that is, they had not yet risen back to a high level. And therefore, this influence turned out to be very strong and destructive for many. That is why such special attention is given to it.

And the Night of Svarog is simply a periodic movement of the galaxy around its own axis and around the axis of our common metagalaxy, which rotates and passes through positive and negative zones. This is a normal phenomenon. However, these influences are felt most strongly during periods when a civilization is at a very primitive level of development.

From my point of view, the war that was unleashed by agents of the dark parasitic forces 13,000 years ago had the task of pushing civilization back to a primitive level, so that it could later be captured. Because at a high level of development, capturing it is almost impossible. That's all.

14.32. QUESTION: A curious one — the person did not sign their name. *Nicolai Viktorovich, how should one act in a situation where, after my friends read your books, various troubles start happening to them — someone twists an ankle, someone ends up in the hospital, fear emerges, they stop communicating? I understand that one should not be afraid, and I suspect that they had weak protection and their own negative qualities surfaced. How should one behave in such a situation?*

ANSWER: You know, if you read a book and then twisted your ankle, I could still say that this might somehow be related — although perhaps when reading, the influence of the book is at work, and one could say that it can be difficult for a person, that there is a slight overload of the system.

But the question is — when your friends end up in the hospital? I think that most likely, even if they had not read my books, they would have ended up in the hospital anyway — and later you would have as well. Therefore, if they had read my books... perhaps you could recommend that they read my books — maybe then it would help.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: (*"They were reading at the time."*)

They were reading? You know, sometimes bricks fall on people's heads — you can connect anything to anything, but for some reason it was connected specifically to reading a book. Situations simply happen. Of course, my book does have an effect on a person — you have almost understood that, and I do not hide it. And when a person frees themselves from various influences, from parasites that feed on them, naturally those parasites do not like it.

Today I spoke about a woman who practices Voodoo, whose family has been involved in it for a thousand years. That is a special and interesting case, but in this instance, I will say the following. I explained to her — and made her understand — that what had been instilled in her since childhood as something good, namely being bound to an entity that supposedly helps her, is in fact the opposite. The entity does help, but so to speak, it takes a million and gives back one ruble, if we put it that way.

After that she said to me, "Alright, I understand. Then free me from them." I freed her from them. Do you know what they began to do? They began to strike her with very powerful energetic blows, because they had lost their source of nourishment. This was essentially the only possible reaction — when their attachments fell away, they clearly did not like it.

However, I do not think that this is a direct cause-and-effect link in every case, because life goes on, and unfortunately people do get into accidents, end up in hospitals, and so on. And if you start linking everything to reading a book, then you could just as well link, for example, the number of antennas to how many homosexuals live in the world. Right? You could say, the more antennas there are, the more homosexuals there are, yes? (*Laughter in the audience about the "blue screen."*)

14.33. QUESTION: *They are asking about conception — whether the higher the level, the better the "entry," and how this works in the case of artificial insemination.*

ANSWER: I describe this in detail in *"The Final Appeal to Mankind,"* explaining which factors influence the amplitude of the surge at the moment of conception. It states that the primary factor is the genetics of the parents. When the egg cell and the sperm cell merge, the potential they carry produces the main surge. Additional factors are then superimposed on this: the parents' feelings — whether they loved each other at the moment of conception — alcohol, drugs, place, time, and the influence of external factors. All of this is secondary.

With artificial insemination, all of these positive and negative factors that are present in natural conception do not exist. There is only the surge produced by the merging of two qualitative genetic lines. Therefore, in some cases this can be slightly better, and in other cases worse. If the parents have

nothing positive besides genetics, then during the surge they will add only negative influences, not positive ones. So, in this case, it can go either way.

14.34. QUESTION: *There are other questions here regarding burial through cremation according to the traditions of Vedic culture.*

ANSWER: Yes, that is indeed how it is. When the Vedas were brought to India, cremation practices followed. This truly remains a legacy of Vedic culture, which is why they still cremate their deceased today — because it is considered a relatively fast way of freeing the Essence from an already dead body. And in most cases, this is very positive.

After all, the body is only a part of us, not the whole. And when we observe the decomposition of our own body, it is not a pleasant sight — agreeably, for many people it is not for the faint of heart. Therefore, when the remains of the body are burned, the Essence is immediately freed from its attachment to the already dead physical body. That is why I consider this a positive aspect.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: (*"Then why do they preserve the bodies?"*)

That means the Essence is imprisoned forever — yes. This is very bad. When we were in Malta, there were many carriers of good genetics who had been walled up in the tombs of pharaohs. And you know, when my wife and I were there, we freed them — tens of thousands of Essences were rising upward, being released from captivity. It was, of course, a beautiful sight, but for thousands of years — and some for hundreds of years — they had been bound to their dead bodies, removed from the cycle of the circulation of Essences, which is very bad.

This is already a method of the Dark forces. In order to remove the most outstanding personalities, the most powerful Essences, from circulation, they were sealed up, and thus excluded from the cycle. Cleverly devised? Very much so.

VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: (*"And the Essence—is that the Soul?"*) Yes, the Essence is the Soul — the same thing.

14.35. QUESTION: *Here they are talking about maps and the idea that after 1775, after the defeat, and so on. But what about what was happening with Demidov, and how could he make a profit from the factories if they belonged to the state?*

ANSWER: First of all, regardless of whether he was making profit, Russians lived on both sides. And the fact that someone lives in Ukraine and makes profit in Russia, or vice versa, does not really change anything, does it? Does it change something for you?

These were two states that differed in only one thing. In one state, which was called Great Tartary, there was a Vedic worldview. And in the other, which was called the Romanov Empire, there was a Christian worldview. That is the difference. The people were the same, the culture and traditions were the same, except for religion. That's all.

And therefore, Demidov's factories were mostly in the Urals, not in Western Siberia, as far as I remember. And the Urals — at least part of the Urals on the western side — belonged to Romanov

territory. But it depends on which factories. It is quite possible that the territories of Western Siberia had nothing to do with Demidov at all.

So, the person should first study the existing maps more carefully — where which territories are located — and only then ask such a question.

14.36. QUESTION: *How, by what method, can one reach a god-like level of development and higher within a single earthly lifetime — can this be done?*

ANSWER: God-like — if you mean similar to what our ancestors understood by this term, that is, having reached the level of managing the space of Creation — is one thing. But if by “god-like” you mean what religion means, then it is impossible to be god-like, because from my point of view, there is no god. So how can one rise to the level of a god if there is no god-like level at all?

But if we speak of reaching the level of Creation, when a human being can create Universes and so on, that is possible. And it can be achieved even within a single lifetime. All of this is possible, but one must truly know how to do it correctly.

First and foremost, one of the earliest tests a person encounters when moving forward in their development — one of the so-called “tests of purity” — is precisely tested through “love of God,” in the sense not of loving God, but of a person wanting to be God. This is the most primitive, the most initial test of purity. If a person who is moving forward begins to feel and perceive themselves as god-like or as a god, then their development ends and becomes fixed at the level of a so-called god.

But if a person goes further and understands that this is foolishness, then they continue onward, and to a much higher level. And it is possible to achieve this within a single lifetime, and much more besides — one simply needs to develop correctly.

14.37 QUESTION: *Much of what you wrote in your books is more fully presented in the edition we brought. (However, I have not seen this edition, so I cannot say anything about it.) Speaking broadly, hierarch, you omitted (calendars) the correct names of the months found during excavations, and by using them in everyday life and for their intended purpose, we brought binoculars for harmonizing space, a celestial hodgepodge, a guardian goddess, and so on.*

ANSWER: You know, at the very least this is a separate conversation — I do not want to start this topic. First of all, I don't think it is worth converting the current calendar to the ones that our ancestors used. It is not reasonable. It is reasonable to know that our ancestors had a different calendar, but to remake everything now is already foolish, from my point of view. One can publish a calendar — that would be good — but for people to re-adjust themselves anew is unnecessary. Moreover, this is not the most important thing now, and in general it is not important at all. What is important is one's worldview.

As for the amulets that were excavated — those amulets were made several hundred years ago, or a thousand years ago. But that was already a time when people had lost all knowledge, and they possessed almost no power. Therefore, they cannot transform space and are unlikely to ever transform it. And if they did transform it, then why did our ancestors lose the war, and Christianity seize the territories where they were found? This means that at that time they did not work, and they do not work now — so this is not it, in short.

14.38. QUESTION: *Are there archaeological proofs of the existence of an intelligent group 6,000 years ago?*

ANSWER: Archaeological proofs — first of all, I presented a map that is more than 100,000 years old; I think that is a fairly real archaeological proof. In addition, a great many other remains are being found. And the same Asgard Iriysky. I know that excavations were carried out there and ancient tunnels and underground structures were found that were built 100,000 years ago. This is something they keep silent about, it is true. But that does not mean they do not exist. Some are already known, and some will become known in the near future.

14.39. QUESTION: *Do different people have different biochemistry and genetics, and therefore different emotional reactions? Is it possible to consciously work with this and achieve success?*

ANSWER: Of course, it is possible. Because if a person has willpower, it doesn't matter what genetics they have, what matters is what goal they set for themselves. If they set a positive goal, they can achieve it and change a lot about themselves. It takes a lot of work, and it can be very difficult if a person has a strong genetic predisposition to certain emotional reactions, because then it is harder for them to fight it. In other words, it is possible, but it takes a lot of effort.

14.40. QUESTION: *When an Essence incarnates, does it intersect with people (acquaintances) it knew before?*

ANSWER: It cannot be stated unambiguously; it can be one way, another, or a third. It may meet them, or it may never meet them, because each essence incarnates at a different time. It depends on its level of development, on what it came with, and on how and when the resonance of the quality it possesses occurred.

How does the drawing-in of an Essence happen? When conception occurs that qualitatively corresponds to the level of that essence, it is simply drawn in at that place — the genetics, as it were, pull it in, like suction. Therefore, it depends on who was where, in what place, at what time, and on what qualities the parents who conceive the new life possess. Thus, it may happen that this person meets those whom they knew before, or it may be that they never meet them. It can be either way; it happens differently, so I cannot give a definite answer.

14.41. QUESTION: *Does artificial insemination affect human development and the child's genetics?*

ANSWER: It does not affect genetics, but it does affect development, naturally. Because it excludes many positive factors. Although, if we understand the phenomenon correctly, in some cases, artificial insemination is a woman's only chance of having a child, correct? If we understand that even with artificial insemination, it is possible to create the appropriate field, the child will receive the highest possible essence. We just need to understand how to do it.

14:42 QUESTION: *Someone is asking about a sister who has a drug problem, an addiction. What can help?*

ANSWER: In principle, it's very difficult to help here. Because first, you need to explain to the person, first and foremost, show them what they're trying to achieve. Why do people initially crave drugs? What

do you think? When a person uses drugs, other levels open up, and they feel and see things they normally wouldn't be able to see or feel. But the person doesn't understand that this opening occurs at the level of a catastrophic state of the body. The brain saves itself from destruction, and the opening occurs, but the result is still destruction. And the fact that a person sees a little something, and hears, and feels more, is, so to speak, on the edge of what's called a foul.

Therefore, it is necessary to explain that through development one can reach those levels without any self-destruction. Not temporarily, but by constantly managing this process, entering any level and far higher, while not destroying oneself, but creating. And that if you want to experience this, then by going that way you will only sink lower and lower — and the facts confirm this. That is, if someone continues along this path, what actually opens up are ever lower and lower levels, until nothing good can be seen at all.

Thus, if it is explained that striving for this in such a way is wrong, because it does not give what a person is truly striving for, but on the contrary destroys the very possibility of achieving it, then by explaining this one can help. Of course, it is also possible to help in another way — by trying to block the influence of drugs and the parasites that immediately attach themselves to a person. But one needs to know how to do this.

14.43. QUESTION: *Regarding the worship of relics in order to retain an Essence.*

ANSWER: In principle, that is exactly what happens there. All these relics — while the genetics still exist — bind the Essence to a single cell that is genetically identical to the Essence. So, this is also a form of attachment. This is a long conversation, but it is a negative phenomenon, because for all those who are bound in this way it is evolutionary death. The Essence becomes, as it were, dead — that is, not dead, but bound to its dead body and falls out of the cycle of life. Therefore, for this Essence it is a very negative phenomenon. No matter how it is described or in what form, it will always be negative.

14.44. QUESTION: *There are a whole series of questions here regarding the cult of Dionysus, why our ancestors lost the war to the Christians, and so on.*

ANSWER: In more detail about the cult of Dionysus — and not only Dionysus — there were even earlier cults of Osiris before him. These are copies of both birthdays and many elements described in the New Testament that fully coincide with the cults of Attis, Adonis, Dionysus, and Osiris — right down to the resurrection. The twelve disciples, the palm branches, the entry on a donkey — all of this is virtually identical everywhere, differing only in small nuances. It is the same cult that was reworked as needed. Therefore, I will describe this in more detail later in the book, in which I want to show that these are constructed religions.

And why did our ancestors lose? Because, in my view, our ancestors made several major mistakes. The first mistake was the outcasts. Do you know who outcasts are? Those people who violated the laws, committed murders, and other crimes. They did not dare to execute them or punish them seriously, but believed it would be better if they simply left. By doing so, they allowed such genetics to accumulate.

The outcasts gathered into gangs. Later, the gangs grew into large bands, into armies that went to conquer other cities. These genetics accumulated, leading to the Vedic worldview collapsing most

quickly in precisely those areas where there were the most representatives carrying the outcasts' genetic makeup.

If we look at it, Western Europe broke away the earliest. We have maps from the 5th–7th centuries where Great Tartaria extends as far as the territory of France. Yet France, England, and Italy had already broken away — precisely those lands that were first settled by outcasts. And at the genetic level, they are the most susceptible to the influence of the dark Nights of Svarog, to dark forces. Therefore, they were the most suitable targets for the influence of the “black ones.” And the black forces took very good advantage of this.

In addition, I believe that the mentality that existed at the time also played a role — when they allowed churches of any religion to be established on the territory of their states. They believed that a person has the right to believe in anything they wish. On the one hand, this seems like a correct position: a person does have the right to believe in anything they want. But on the other hand, when a representative of a religion clearly begins to wage an information war with the aim of seizing power, action was required — and they did nothing.

As a result, what happened is what happened — a mistake. They failed to take into account certain worldview-related positions, which led to such consequences. And, moreover, Christianity was created. I call it a social weapon. Taking into account the genetics of the white race, this weapon was selected in such a way as to have the most effective influence on the white race.

14.45. QUESTION: *How can one get rid of stereotypes?*

ANSWER: Before getting rid of something, I would recommend first discovering the presence of these stereotypes within yourself. If you detect them — or if someone helps you notice that you are approaching something in a patterned, formulaic way — then once you see these patterns, that is the first step toward getting rid of them. As long as you do not see them, it is impossible to eliminate them. Therefore, first you need to see them, and only then work to get rid of them. That is the only advice I can give in this case.

14.46. QUESTION: *In your opinion, what is the difference between spirit and soul?*

ANSWER: There is no difference at all. They are the same thing. Different words simply reflect the same state. Soul, Essence, soul in English, spirit — all of these are descriptions of the same thing.

What we call an Essence is a system of bodies that remain after we shed the physical body. The number of bodies can vary — from two to infinity. But most often on Earth it is several bodies: perhaps two, three, or four bodies, but all together they constitute a single whole.

Some call this an Essence, others call it the Soul or the Spirit. That is, it is the same thing — just different words used to designate it. Sometimes different words are used to reflect different aspects of a person's manifestations, such as “it smells of the Russian Spirit,” and so on. But in reality, it is all the same thing, from my point of view.

14.47. QUESTION: *They are asking whether I would object to an edition of my books being created.*

ANSWER: In principle, I do not object. I myself had planned to do this. What I did — placing the books on the Internet — I consider to be an absolutely correct move and action, because the books that were published and distributed through the usual channels were sabotaged. Nadezhda Yakovlevna, who is sitting to my left, will not let me lie when I say that even when books were delivered to stores, they were sabotaged: they were hidden, not displayed, left somewhere aside — in other words, people did not know about them. Only when someone asked would they say something.

And in many cases people were simply told that a certain book existed, but that it could not be sold because the publisher had not brought a new batch. Meanwhile, when Nadezhda Yakovlevna called the publisher, they said no — no one had called, no one had asked, because the book was supposedly not needed. Do you understand?

So, the question is: is this true or not? And here is the statistics that I read out today for each book — how many books were downloaded from the Internet. This confirms that people are very strongly interested. Therefore, it is not that the book is unnecessary to anyone; it is clear confirmation of sabotage.

Thus, under the existing system it is, of course, possible to publish this book, but you will still encounter the system of sabotage by the owners of bookstores. To avoid this, it is necessary to create everything at once, including a bookstore that will belong to you. Then no one will interfere. This is possible and is being planned, but not yet today.

14.48. QUESTION: *Here again about sex in the Soviet Union, that there supposedly was no sex in the Soviet Union.*

ANSWER: The Soviet Union after the revolution had the “glass of water” theory — that was precisely after the revolution. Lenin and his team really “loved” this theory; they simply “enjoyed” it, in both the literal and figurative sense of the word.

“There is no other energy in a person except sexual energy. This is confirmed by shamans, by Don Juan in his conversations with Castaneda.”

Understand this: if a shaman has no other energy except sexual energy, that does not mean that others do not have it. Again — propaganda and information warfare? Castaneda was turned into God knows what, but in reality, there is nothing there except insanity, drug-induced delirium, from which there is no benefit, only harm. And this is openly written in the book, and if you read carefully, it is clearly and distinctly seen that it is nothing but drug delirium.

Of course, in a state of drug delirium a person does catch something from those levels we talked about. But does this mean that it is spiritual development? No, there is no spiritual development at all. If South Americans found a method of entering the lower astral through drug-induced delirium, then there is no benefit from it whatsoever.

And therefore Don Juan, who talks about the absence of any other energy except sexual energy, simply did not possess any other energy himself, and that is why he spoke that way. That is my assumption. I hope it corresponds to the truth.

14.49. QUESTION: *A question regarding a device that is (supposedly) a neutralizer for a protective device.*

ANSWER: I am not familiar with this device, but understand the following: if you create a device that uses other frequencies, then how is it better than the frequencies from which it is supposed to protect? Because any electromagnetic field initially has a negative effect on a person. Why? Because it is an electro-MAGNETIC field. The magnetic component is present there. And in our cells, there is the movement of ions — very intense movement.

So, as for the planetary field — we cannot escape it, you understand? A planet is a planet; we cannot get rid of it, and our organism is fully adapted to it. But any other magnetic field or electromagnetic field — such as a variable magnetic field affecting our organism — naturally causes a negative effect.

Therefore, if you use one field in order to neutralize other fields, I do not think this produces a very good result. One can use another method and, without these complex devices, achieve the same thing — and it will be much better.

14.50. QUESTION: *They ask: how do you know that the Creators of all that exists agreed to come in the form of an ordinary human in order to save us all? (Tartaria and the Six-Pointed Star).*

ANSWER: I do not know. But if you know, then I congratulate you. In the note they ask whether there are two “swastika” civilizations, that is, one with the swastika sign and one with the six-pointed star — is this true? I would put it somewhat differently.

The six-pointed star is also a very ancient symbol and, in principle, has nothing to do with the Jews, because it existed before Jews appeared in nature at all. How did they arise? You know that the Semitic tribes belong to the gray sub-race, which emerged as a result of the mixing of the black and white races. So, before the Jews appeared, there existed the white and black races, from whose mixing the gray sub-race arose. This sign (the six-pointed star) existed before they appeared. Therefore, in no way does the fact that they use this symbol mean that this symbol is somehow connected specifically with them.

As for the swastika, there is a negative swastika and there is a positive one, depending on how it is bent — clockwise or counterclockwise. Clockwise is positive movement forward, and counterclockwise is negative. The Germans used the negative swastika, which also belongs to the swastika symbol, but signifies reverse evolution. And the true swastika, clockwise, signifies movement forward.

Therefore, I would speak not so much about symbols, but about the fact that there exist two civilizations of another kind: there exists a civilization of supporters of Light and a civilization of supporters of the cult of the Sun and the cult of the Moon, to put it briefly. The cult of the Sun is associated with the Light forces, and the cult of the Moon with the Dark forces—conditionally speaking, social parasites. That is how I would divide it.

14.51. QUESTION: *They ask how children are selected for my school.*

ANSWER: First of all, they are not selected there — they appear at the school themselves. I do not go from house to house with surveys and ask, “Do you want to or not?” These are simply the Essences of children who have already incarnated and have strong potential.

During sleep, they find themselves in my school and go through a certain test there. If they are able to pass through a certain level of protection, then they end up in the school. And this can happen at any age, but most often it is small children — several years old — and teenagers. But the majority are 4, 5, 6, or 7 years old. This is the most convenient time, when there is still nothing that needs to be broken or reshaped.

The only problem that arose when I communicated with them was that they said: "But what are we supposed to do? We know how things really are, but at school they teach us completely different nonsense. And we know that what they teach us is not what actually exists. What should we do?"

I said: "The situation is such that regardless of whether you are right or wrong, you need to know what others know, because what you know is known by very few. And therefore, if you do not know what others know, you will not be able to help them in any way."

Why is it that I so often manage to dismantle my opponents in debates, these 'scientists' — most of them scientists in quotation marks — so effectively? How do you think, why? Because I know quite well, no worse than they do, the subject they are talking about. I might be absolutely right, but if I did not know what they know, I would not be able to put them in their place.

Therefore, I told them: "You must obtain a classical education to the maximum, in order to know the language that everyone else speaks, so that you can help them learn another language — the one you know."

That is the only problem, and there are no other problems. And those who truly come are those who come to me themselves. I do not search for them.

14.52. QUESTION: *There is information that the Zetas are bringing their planet closer to Earth and will strip away the atmosphere and natural resources. Your comments.*

ANSWER: Here it is clear that the information about the Zetas was read through contactees — on the Internet there is a lot of information about the Zetas, so-called civilizations, and about a planet approaching Earth, and so on. They have simply confused something else: that this is Planet X or Nemesis. This is not a civilization; it is a dead star, the second star of the Solar System.

A very long time ago, our Solar System had two stars, one of which exploded and entered the state of a supernova, as a result of which there was an ejection of matter from which our Solar System was formed. The Sun continued to exist, and that planetary star which exploded turned into a neutron remnant with a diameter of about 2,600 km. It is better known in the scientific world as Planet X, but it is not Planet X — it is a stellar remnant that has enormous mass.

And in 2003, by the way, this object was supposed to approach Earth in such a way that it would have pulled Earth's atmosphere away, and Earth would have lost its atmosphere and everyone would have died.

But again, this is not because of some Zeta civilization, which does not exist and supposedly needs to pump the atmosphere for itself. It is simply the gravitational force of that object — more precisely, the neutron star — that would have dragged everything away.

But you see, the year 2003 passed, and none of this happened for one simple reason. At a certain moment, at the end of 2002 and the beginning of 2003, Planet X or Nemesis, or the planet of death, or Nibiru (it is called differently) was turned by 90 degrees and permanently left the boundaries of the Solar System. And it will never return. This is a fact. That is the answer to this question.

14.53. QUESTION: *What is emerging — what is the alternative to a consumer civilization?*

ANSWER: The alternative is a spiritual civilization. I am not saying that we must give up all material benefits — by no means. It is simply one thing when we serve things, and another when things serve us. That is, if we serve things, then it is a consumer civilization; but if things serve us, then it is a spiritual civilization.

But before things begin to serve us, a person must fill themselves with spiritual nourishment, so to speak. And for this, there must be a worldview that is not consumer-oriented, but one in which a person has values somewhat greater than what most people have now. Because everything was destroyed, but nothing new has been created in its place.

Therefore, in my books I try to give a worldview concept from a different perspective — an understanding of life, nature, civilization, development — in order to try to create a foundation for the spiritual. After all, something exists, it seems to me; I hope so. At least, that is what I am working on in this direction. I hope this will help at least someone, and maybe many people, to find precisely that — meaning. Many people actually write that after reading my books they acquire a sense of meaning in life. And if that happens, then I am very glad. That is exactly what they are for.

Because the meaning of our life is not to be born, consume food, and then at the right moment fulfill our highest purpose — that is, to die, as Christians say. Our purpose is precisely to move forward evolutionarily to the next step, so that next time we can go even further and further. And for this, spiritual nourishment is needed.

By the way, in Old Russian the word “*peshchera*” (cave) had the meaning of “library,” because books were stored in natural conditions, in the cavities of the earth. There was no need to create an artificial microclimate — everything was natural, and they could be preserved for a thousand years without anything changing. And therefore, the word “*peshchera*” was preserved, but people no longer understood that a cave is a library, “*food of Ra*,” that is, spiritual food.

So, knowledge is exactly that spiritual nourishment which a person needs in order to fill themselves and move forward. Therefore, in this sense, we will work together with you and achieve something.