

9. Meeting on August 23, 2008

Opening remarks by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



Good afternoon to everyone who came today. I hope your time won't be spent in vain. Perhaps not everyone will get answers to their questions, but I will do my best to respond to as many as I can — those that have come in, those coming in now, and those that will come.

Some of you may not like the answers to the questions that will be asked, but that's just how it is. I'll share my opinion, I usually back it up with reasoning, and whether you like it or not is a secondary matter. I hope that most of you will gain some understanding — maybe a little more, maybe a little deeper than you had before this moment.

I've already been given the questions that were sent via the internet by people who, for various reasons, couldn't be here in person. I'll start with those, and as questions come in from the audience, we'll move on to them.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

9.1. QUESTION: Alexey Uklonnikov asks: *Please explain the concept of continuous logic. Provide real-life examples based on discrete and continuous logic.*

ANSWER: The concept of *continuous logic* isn't that easy to explain, because we're not used to such concepts; but still, it's possible to give at least a general idea. We are used to, or rather, we've been trained to think in terms of — only **YES or NO**, only **LIKE or DISLIKE**, **GOOD/BAD**, **RIGHT/WRON**, and so on. The answer is always expected to be binary. In some cases, of course, it's appropriate — for

example, you're either a parasite or you're not. There can't be multiple options in that case. It doesn't matter what nuances exist. The essence is what matters. But in many other aspects, it's not like that.

Here's an example of continuous logic: There are almost 200 people sitting here. The temperature in this room feels just right for some, a bit chilly for others, and for someone else, slightly too warm. The water, the same temperature, feels different to every person.

Each person reacts to the exact same temperature in completely different ways due to their individual biophysical, psychological, and other factors. Even the same person may react differently to the same temperature at different times. This is continuous logic — where responses and conditions exist along a spectrum, not in rigid black-and-white categories.

For example, a person wakes up, just out of sleep, and perceives temperature one way. That's one scenario. If the person is sick, their internal temperature is different, and they perceive it another way. After intense physical activity, again, it feels different. Or if someone has just come out of cold water, yet another response. Look how many factors influence how the same person reacts.

So, what is continuous and discrete logic? It's the reaction of the subject, the carrier of that logic — in this case, a conscious being, a human to the events taking place. We've looked at just one example, the most ordinary and familiar to everyone: how people respond to the same temperature, and we see that there are many possible reactions. This even depends on what emotional state the person is in.

But how do we usually treat it? Just hot or cold — that's it. We erase all the variety, castrate the full spectrum of responses, and reduce everything to a narrow, limited version. In some cases, of course, binary logic is indeed necessary — inevitable, even.

For example, a person either killed someone or didn't. There can't be any ambiguity there. You can't say the person who was killed isn't actually dead. In that case, it's a matter of facts. But there are many situations where the same action can be judged completely differently depending on the circumstances.

Let's say someone kills another person while defending themselves or others. Technically, they committed a killing, right? So, are they a criminal? But if we look at it properly, it's an example of how all factors must be weighed, including cause-and-effect relationships. The more factors we consider, the deeper the understanding we'll gain of the phenomenon we're examining. Instead, we reduce everything to something primitive — especially now, with the introduction of computer logic, which is based on binary logic — yes/no, plus/minus.

Young people, especially children, who grow up using computers, often end up unable to do anything else. They can't even write without a computer, or add numbers in their head. They get used to operating on the level of computer binary logic.

I've encountered people who told me about scientists who, even though they were engaged in theory, had to start writing programs for computers. And they said that after six months of programming, they noticed a change in their thinking — they started to think in the same logic system as the machine. The way they programmed began to influence their thought process. And if someone remains in that environment long enough, after a while they may become unable to break free from that kind of "worldview." Some people can re-tune themselves back to a more natural perception of the world, but

others can't. There are many such moments that are important to understand, and they must be taken into account. Therefore, when we speak about fundamental logic, specifically *continuous logic*, the more factors we take into account when analyzing something, the closer we get to the truth. The fewer factors we consider, the further we move away from it.

As people often say — “*everyone has their own truth.*” To some extent, that's valid if you're speaking in a complex or subjective context. But in principle — no, there is only one truth. There may be many different paths to reach it, but if the paths are wrong, they won't necessarily lead to the same result.

Because, regardless of how a person thinks or reasons, the sun shines the way it shines, and the sun couldn't care less what we think about how it shines — what processes occur inside it, what mechanisms are at work, whether we understand it or not — it makes no difference to the sun. It doesn't get warmer or colder because of our opinions. If we correctly understand the processes occurring within our star — the Sun — if we truly grasp what is happening, then there's a possibility that we may not only understand these processes but also learn to influence or control them.

After all, processes exist — and the Sun doesn't just shine. But imagine if the Sun's luminosity increased by millions of times in a short period (and yes, it might sound good — more warmth from the Sun — I'm joking a bit, of course), but each of you sitting here understands that such an event would lead to the destruction of all life. And things like that do happen periodically in the cosmos. Stars also die.

As long as a star — like our Sun — remains in a stable state, meaning it doesn't explode and nothing dramatic happens, then essentially, whether we understand it or not is a secondary matter. Because we can't do anything about it anyway, and as long as the star doesn't explode, nothing changes for us.

But if a situation arises where a star could enter, for example, a supernova state, and we misunderstand what that is — and fail to influence or prevent it — then it will very much matter. It will no longer be something we can just dismiss — it will matter *a lot*. And that's why understanding the truth is so important.

Unfortunately, many people think, “*I have my truth, you have yours, and someone else has theirs.*” Yes, it's true there isn't just one path — there are many paths. But still, the truth is one, and not all paths lead to it.

There is only one point of arrival, but many ways to get there — for example, I can reach that table by taking just two steps, or I can go around the entire planet and approach it from the other side. The result is the same — I arrive at the table. But how much time and effort would it take me to cover the same two meters if I go the long way around?

It may seem like it makes no difference which path you take to reach the truth — and in theory, yes, it doesn't. But in practice, the difference is significant. Taking two steps straight to the table, or climbing over mountains and crossing oceans to reach the same point — the result may be the same in terms of location, but the real question is: is it an adequate result? The answer is — no. Does it make sense to take the long way around, when you could simply go directly? It doesn't.

But if someone says, “*I have my own path,*” and insists that he must go *that* way — well, that's his right, of course. However, he may never reach the goal, because he might not make it over the mountains, or

across the seas and oceans — which might not even be calm. He could perish many times and never reach the goal he set out for. It's a vivid metaphor that helps us visualize what the paths to truth are like. Yes, it's a bit simplified, even primitive — but it illustrates the point: There may be many paths to truth, but should we really choose the ones that twist and wind endlessly like that? I think not.

So, if a person truly sets a goal of understanding something, of reaching a specific aim, then it shouldn't be about personal blind ambition, like "*I must go this way because I chose it, and you're telling me to go another way, so I refuse — because I didn't say it first.*" That's a clear example of foolishness and ego, and it shows that the person isn't seeking truth, but rather seeking himself in the truth — and that's a big difference. This is just a small introduction to this way of thinking — a kind of "starter dough." It helps clarify what a person is really aiming for in life.

Let's suppose that I set my goal to be the very same book we'll be discussing with you today, and answering questions about it. Understand that I'm not seeking anything for myself in this. For many reasons, this can't give me anything personally.

What I want — what I consider important as a person, as a son of my people — is to restore truth and justice. And frankly, all it brings me is problems. Some of you understand this too — that it's a difficult path. But what can we do? Enemies are enemies, and of course they will fight back and won't be happy about being exposed. But the most important thing — despite their actions — is what we are trying to do here together. Our task is to revive our Motherland.

The recent events in Ossetia are a very clear example, and I hope that now people will begin to understand: the issue isn't about the political system in Russia — whether it's communist, monarchist, or any other system. The issue is that they hate Russia. They hate the Russian people and the other indigenous peoples of this land. Why? Because even after all the suppression, on a genetic level, they still carry certain qualities — qualities that social parasites deeply fear.

You'd think — why the uproar about what happened? It's all very clear. You know what happened — I won't repeat it. But clearly, no one cares about the truth — about what the Georgians were doing. I'm not saying that the Ossetians are saints — but as far as I know, since 1992, there have been clashes between Ossetia and Georgia — sometimes one side, sometimes the other. But for some reason, until now, the Georgians weren't deliberately exterminating civilians. The clashes were between military forces only.

But what happened this time was a real genocide — on a small scale, of course. Not many civilians were killed, but still — for those people, the numbers don't matter. When someone dies for no reason, it makes no difference whether you call it micro-genocide or macro-genocide.

The most absurd part is how the whole world reacted — full of outrage. Do you know why they were outraged? Because they expected Russia to do nothing. They expected Russia to wipe away yet another insult and say nothing — just to show the world that Russia is nothing, that it has been completely beaten down. So yes, it was a shock for them — for the puppets behind Saakashvili (you understand, of course, that he's just a puppet). For the Americans, it was a shock that Russia dared to act, dared to do what it did. And immediately, there was a global outcry — and no one cared about the truth, no one cared who did what, or why.

The media even tried to manipulate an Ossetian girl — for those who saw the broadcast, it was very telling. This all clearly shows how "free" the system really is. For those who were at earlier meetings, you may remember — I was already trying to explain to people how false their perceptions are.

I lived in America for 15 years, and I can say — there's no democracy there, never really was, and certainly hasn't been for a long time. Right now, people in Russia have also finally lost the illusion that *everyone over there is good*, and we're the only dumb or bad ones. People used to think that Russia was primitive. But take a look — who's teaching the world to behave the way they do now? The U.S. You know what they did to Serbia.

Just recently, a friend of mine explained that Kosovo has massive ore deposits — over \$300 billion worth — and the Americans control them. So, it turns out — that's what it was all about. That's why they created Kosovo — they needed to get their hands on those mineral deposits, on those valuable resources.

Remember what happened in Iraq? They invaded, and then said: "*Oops, sorry, our intelligence was wrong.*" Not a single piece of evidence they used to justify starting that war turned out to be true. But oh well, just a "mistake" — a 100% intelligence failure, right? As far as I know, only one or two people got fired. That's it.

But do you know the real reason? Oil. Why did Saddam Hussein suddenly become *bad* for America? Here's the thing: after World War II, we were told that national liberation movements rose up around the world. That people became aware of their freedom — but that's nonsense. The real answer is very simple: finances.

The fact is, all the colonial empires were drawn into World War II, and the only country that came out ahead was the United States of America. Why? Because through the supply of weapons, and yes, they were the ones who triggered the war (for those who've read the relevant books) — they siphoned off all the resources from the colonial powers. Take England, for example. It looted half the world for 500 years — just imagine how much wealth it extracted from India alone. And where did all those resources go? To the U.S.

After the war, England and all the other countries, not to mention Germany, were left in poverty. They didn't even have enough funds to pay their own people, military garrisons, or colonial administrations. So, what did they do? They started withdrawing, dismantling their administrations. And then — all of a sudden — "national liberation movements" appeared, right?

But these didn't just *spontaneously emerge* — they appeared once the colonial powers left. And yes, after the troops were gone, people started to organize. But even the borders of the new nations were drawn by the same imperial powers — the U.S., for example, decided where the borders should lie in the Middle East.

When Iraq was being created, they decided to re-establish a monarchy. And how did they do that? There were several aristocratic families competing for the throne. And here's how they chose the king — something few people know: They said, "*We'll put you on the throne if you agree that 90% of the oil goes to us, and you get 10%. Do you agree?*"

Whoever agreed to sell out their people in exchange for a throne and give away 90% of the wealth — that's who became king. Those who didn't agree — didn't get the throne. And so, everything went like that — until Saddam Hussein came to power. Until then, 90% of Iraq's oil was going to the U.S. But Saddam said: *"Enough. Everything will belong to us now."*

That's when he became the enemy — even though he himself had been trained by them. The CIA backed Saddam Hussein — just like they supported Bin Laden and others. And remember what happened with Kuwait? Iraq invaded Kuwait, and then the U.S. came to the rescue, right? They kicked the Iraqis out of Kuwait. But tell me — how much did that "help" cost Kuwait? Well, 90% of Kuwait's oil now belongs to the U.S.

When I was in Hawaii, I realized something that few people actually know — yes, Hawaii is the 50th state of the U.S., but they never wanted to be part of the States. When U.S. troops arrived on the Hawaiian Islands — which, by the way, didn't have a real army of their own — Hawaii had its own queen at the time. Interestingly, electricity appeared in Hawaii before it did in the mainland U.S. (I learned this on a tour). The royal palace in Hawaii got electric lighting before the White House did. Not bad, right? So, they weren't as primitive as many might assume — but they didn't have a strong military.

The U.S. came in and said, *"We're just going to set up a base here for a while, because we're afraid of Japan. We'll use your islands temporarily."* But then they liked it, didn't want to leave — and ever since then, Hawaii became the 50th U.S. state. Not bad, huh? And the native Hawaiians are still angry about this to this day. I was there, and I can tell you — *this is what "democracy" looks like.* Personally, I think what happened was very wrong — and that it's tragic how many people died as a result.

But on the other hand, this has shown the entire world — and more importantly, all the people of Russia — that no matter how Russia behaves, whether it accepts slavery or rejects it, the attitude toward Russia will always be the same: negative. They are afraid — terribly afraid — of Russia. Afraid of its culture, its history, its great past, the legacy of which we are the bearers. And this will always be the case, no matter what or how things change. There will always be efforts to destroy us, and the Communists were especially thorough in doing that.

I can give you just one example. Right now, *"Russian History Viewed through Distorted Mirrors"* is being translated into English. There are volunteers in England helping with the translation — working to make the English version sound natural. And one English woman who is editing the translated text was shocked. It turns out — and of course, I already knew this; it's no secret to me — that they knew nothing. They had no idea that so many people were exterminated in Russia. No one in the West knows about the tens of millions who were destroyed in Russia, or how it was done. But everyone knows about the so-called persecution of poor Jews in the Soviet Union. Everyone knows that Russian brutes — the Communists — oppressed the poor Jews, and everyone equates Russians with Communism.

I've always said: *"If I'm Russian, for example, and a despot, then I'd have to be a complete idiot to destroy my own people. I should be destroying everyone else — but not my own. If I'm destroying my own people, then I'm clearly a psychopath of the highest order."*

When we speak in terms of facts — no one knows the truth. Everything has been distorted to such an extent that people now believe Communism is a product of our twisted Russian minds. But what has happened recently has, in fact, very clearly shown the entire world what's really going on. And most

importantly, many people who don't live in Russia have come to understand that the goal of the parasites who currently control the world is to completely destroy us.

The reason doesn't even matter anymore — it's no longer about which political system we have. They'll always find an excuse to cause harm, to destroy. They are terrified, and for one simple reason: they know that if Russia begins to rise, and does rise, then the entire world will be freed from these parasites — meaning, from them. And leeches don't like being pulled off — especially when salt gets thrown on them. They really don't like that — and that's exactly what's happening.

9.2 QUESTION: *about the stone slab I've mentioned — what exactly is depicted on it?*

ANSWER: I'll explain briefly — and if anyone's interested, they can read the article, which describes all the details and nuances. It's truly a three-dimensional relief image of the territory of Western Siberia, the Altai region. I've already said that on February 15 of this year (2008), there was a commission meeting at Moscow State University, and when I called Chuvyrov, he happily told me the news.

A state commission was verifying the authenticity of the data on this slab. The military provided satellite photographs, which, of course, are classified — and you can understand why. All the detailed satellite imagery was compared with the slab, and they said — 100% match. In other words, it's a real 3D map of that region. An official statement was issued confirming this, although geologists and historians were very unhappy about it — and it's clear why.

So, on February 15, a major event occurred: it was officially confirmed that the slab does indeed depict a 3D relief of the Western Siberian region, clearly showing enormous artificial structures — dams, embankments, and landing platforms for spacecraft, as you may have gathered from what I described earlier. Now, you'd think this would be considered a major event, at least worthy of one day's headlines — if not more. But has anyone heard about it? No. Yet if they find some trivial nonsense, they shout it from the rooftops across every media outlet.

When the tsunami hit Southeast Asia, and they were showing the destruction it caused along the coast, I remember one particular moment that flashed briefly in the news, just once. When a tsunami comes in, it crashes onto the shore and then recedes. And as the wave pulls back, the surf line retreats very far, dragging sand with it. And when this happened, someone managed to capture it on video — and guess what? When the wave pulled back and the sand was washed away, ancient cities were revealed underneath. This was shown on the news just once — and never again.

Do you see how we're kept in a state of informational starvation? They filter the information, only releasing what confirms biblical stories — which are actually fiction. If something even indirectly supports those narratives, they start broadcasting it everywhere. That's social weaponry. But anything that doesn't support biblical history — it's treated like it never existed.

The best tactic is forgetting, because if you publicly refute something, that in itself becomes a kind of negative publicity — it actually draws people's attention to the very thing being discussed. If someone has read a few of my articles — "*Blessed Are the Poor in Spirit*," "*On Prophets, False Prophets*," "*Blessed Are the Poor in Spirit 2*" — they'll understand that when people write libelous attacks, completely unfounded, they forget that they can receive a response — and one that's well-grounded.

And after that, their final attack was something like: *“That’s it, enough of writing about this fraud and charlatan — there are thousands like him. It’s too much honor. We’ve forgotten about him.”* They hadn’t even read the article *“Blessed Are the Poor in Spirit 2,”* or *“On Prophets, False Prophets, and In General.”*

Why? Because readers started writing to me saying: *“Now we understand.”* Turns out — they understood who Jesus Christ actually was. Not to mention other articles, where I clearly laid out what’s what. There was even a situation where I was told that in Arkhangelsk, some guardsmen were furious, saying: *“Why is Moscow silent?”*

At first, they called me a pseudoscientist and an anti-Semitic occultist. Then they promoted me up the ladder — they called me a false prophet, because of the article *“On Prophets, False Prophets, and In General.”* And finally, they declared me the devil himself — you see how I *climbed their hierarchy?*

They were outraged — *how could Moscow just sit there silently*, not responding in any way to the books I was publishing? Well, because in Moscow, at least, they’re a bit smarter than the ones sitting in Arkhangelsk. They understand that it’s not in their interest to take action — because doing so would only draw fire onto themselves. From my point of view, I believe attacking others is wrong.

I can explain things when I’m asked about them. If someone asks a question — I answer, because I’m convinced that you should never force even correct beliefs onto a person if they’re not harming others. But when someone attacks — I have the right to respond, and to say exactly what I think. And they understand this perfectly well, unlike some people in the Arkhangelsk region, or more precisely, a few specific individuals. I even know the names of those involved.

One of them is Archpriest Sokolov — he was clearly set up, as I wrote in an article, because he’s not the real author of the pieces in question. He simply added his signature at the end to give weight to what was written. So, the situation, in principle, is very simple: we must wake up. And I’m sure we will — regardless of whether our enemies like it or not, whether they want it or not.

Right now, there exists a type of weapon that will allow us to do a great deal. And it’s not a weapon of destruction — there are far more effective tools against our enemies, tools that can completely neutralize them, while they themselves remain alive — no one will touch them. The most important thing is that we understand what is being done to us — and believe me, they are working very hard on one thing — while there is still no light. Unfortunately, I can’t yet say *“Let there be light”* — I haven’t learned how (that’s a joke).

What are our enemies trying to do? Their goal is to prevent people from becoming united as one whole. It’s crucial for them to create conflict, to make people turn against each other, to fight among themselves, and to never unite. Because if people do unite, their strength would become unstoppable.

That’s why, by every possible means, they create various movements, different ideological directions — and they fund them deliberately, choosing people with ambitions to be leaders, but without the actual ability. Or sometimes, people who can be leaders — but it’s one thing to lead a hundred people, and quite another to lead an entire nation. Some individuals who are capable of leading a small group want to be national leaders, and that creates conflict — they have the ambition, but not the capacity to fulfill it. These are the kinds of people that are sought out and supported, so they draw attention to themselves, distract others, and promote half-truths — all with the intent to divide people.

For those who attended the first and second councils, this is exactly why I said: Our task is one and the same — to revive our Motherland. And not just for the Russian people, but for all the indigenous peoples of Russia, who have always lived together, always formed a unified whole — apart from the enemies among us. And to achieve this, we need to have a single foundation. In my books, I have presented ... a framework of understanding — a certain worldview on the situation, as I see it. It's not about what I *want*, but about gaining a clear understanding of what is really happening.

Right now, I'm working on Chapter Three, and I'll be publishing it soon. It will contain quite a lot of interesting material, where I'll try to explain why, how, and for what purpose the situation arose on Earth in which so many different races appeared — the red, black, yellow, and white races.

Why did they appear on Earth? Who was behind it? How was it carried out? It's a very intriguing topic. Why was such a situation orchestrated — who was brought here, and by whom? Surprisingly, it was the light civilizations that did this — but they were forced to carry it out through a setup arranged by the dark forces. I'll say just a little about it here — in a few words.

9.3. QUESTION: *What should we do when our children go to school and start studying history — what should they do if everything they're taught is a lie, and I already know it's not true?*

ANSWER: Unfortunately, for now, there is no accurate version of history being taught — so they have to follow the program that currently exists. Parents with school-aged children simply need to explain to them that, unfortunately, they are required to get a grade in a subject based on what they're told, but they should also understand that it's not true — and they should know the real truth.

Sadly, the program is what it is, and they have to follow it — otherwise, they won't finish school. And education is necessary. But just because people in the past believed that the Earth was the center of the universe, and that the stars and Sun revolved around it, doesn't mean that was correct — yet people studied it anyway. It's the same here — children need to understand that this is just a requirement for a specific purpose: to obtain an education, not just secondary, but higher education as well.

Because if our children start resisting and saying, "*We don't want to study this filthy, false version of history*," — that's exactly what the enemies want. Because without a secondary education, a person can't get higher education, and won't be able to become part of our future elite — which our children should strive to be. Meanwhile, the enemies will gladly study this false history — because they created it. They'll pass all the exams, and that will only benefit them. That's why we must understand: as long as the history textbooks remain uncorrected, children have to study them, but at the same time they must know what's really behind it all.

9.4. QUESTION: *There are more questions about our ancestors — a whole bunch at once — about Perun visiting Earth, his father Svarog, and who the ancestors were, the full hierarchy of our gods. There's also a question about whether the Rus existed before they came here.*

ANSWER: They weren't called Rus back then, because that name only emerged here on Earth. There were ancient races that created a colony on Earth, bringing here representatives of the white race from various planets. These groups later merged among themselves. Of course, it's interesting to know what came next — who was whose mother and father, who was the father of Svarog, who were the parents of the god Ra. That's certainly curious, but I think right now it's not a priority.

Because our priority at this moment is to figure out what's what, to gain a clear and accurate understanding of what actually happened. Then, once we've cleared out our own stables, so to speak, from certain things — *then* we can start thinking about who their ancestors were. But for now, the stables are so full that we're practically swimming in them. I think it's time we stop that. So, as for the questions about the ancestors of our ancestors — those will have to wait for now.

9.5. QUESTION: *There are questions about primary matters, and then about six-rayed symbols.*

ANSWER: There are a lot of questions, and unfortunately, I can't answer so many at once from one person — but I'll say a few words.

Primary matters — where did they come from? In the book *"The Heterogeneous Universe"* and others where I mention primary matters, I'm only touching on a tiny fragment of the Cosmos. What's discussed there — as immense as it may seem — is actually less than a grain of sand. So, to explain where primary matters came from and what they are, one first needs to understand the whole picture.

I haven't done that yet for one simple reason: If a person is suddenly overwhelmed with that level of information — that there are hundreds of thousands of variants of primary matters, each with slightly different qualities and quantities, all existing right here in our space — their head will start to spin, and they'll become completely confused.

My goal is not to confuse, but on the contrary — to bring clarity. And in order to bring clarity, you first need to lay a small foundation. At least to be able to stand on one leg, so that later you can build the foundation to stand on both. Right now, explaining all of this simply doesn't make sense, because to explain it properly, we'd have to explore all the aspects I just mentioned — and for the moment, it's premature. Otherwise, instead of gaining clarity, people would only be left with chaos in their minds.

The Cosmos is truly immense. I won't claim to know all of it, but from what I *do* know — the scale is absolutely colossal. There are so many variations, so many surprises. When you encounter these things once, twice, a third time, a tenth time — you start to get used to the strangeness. And when you've encountered a thousand of them, it begins to feel ordinary.

For me, it's normal now — but even so, sometimes things happen that still surprise and astonish me, even though I'm supposedly used to such things. So just imagine how it would affect people who know nothing of what I know — it would completely blow their minds. That's why my task is not to knock someone off balance, but to set them on stable ground, to create a foundation from which a person can move forward. So, for now — we'll need to wait. First, we'll deal with one level, and then move on to the next. It's not as fast as we'd like, but that's the way it must be — even though, yes, it's necessary.

9.6. QUESTION: *They're asking why, with a certain level of development, it becomes possible to move through the past, present, and future. And there's another rather amusing question: If Midgard is so critically important to all the light civilizations, then couldn't they have stationed guardians here who would be able to respond adequately to any actions taken by the dark forces?*

ANSWER: If you read what's written in ..., you'll see that the same Perun, who arrived 40,000 years ago, described not only the events that happened before his arrival, but also everything that would happen in the 40,000 years after he came.

So, the point is — the Light Hierarchs were already capable of seeing the future, and they could describe it with remarkable accuracy, even down to the details. However, they didn't describe minor details — they focused on major events and aspects. So, the question is not entirely accurate — perhaps the person asking hasn't read everything in full.

The question isn't whether the future can be seen — the real question is: can it be changed? For example, what our ancestors, the Light Hierarchs, tried to do was essentially lay down a soft landing — to place a cushion, so to speak, to make the fall less painful. They couldn't change the future at that time.

At best, they could only lessen the impact — and that's exactly what they did. That's why the Source of Life was activated and brought in — to minimize the effects of negative events. That "cushion" was indeed laid down — and the fall still happened, though it was slightly softer because of their efforts. But the fall wasn't prevented.

So, we can say that at that time, the Light Hierarchs could not yet manipulate space and time on a scale large enough to actually change the future and prevent certain events from happening. Now, however, the situation is somewhat different, and therefore things are happening in a completely different way. And now — moving on to the notes and questions submitted from the audience.

9.7. QUESTION: *Once again, someone is asking what I think about the Mongol-Tatar Yoke.*

ANSWER: It seems this person is new here — I've spoken about this many times. I believe that the Mongol-Tatar Yoke never existed. It was fabricated as a way to destroy and distort the history of our ancestors. The so-called Mongol-Tatar Yoke was invented to explain what happened in the western province of the Vedic Empire — to justify and cover up the real events. Because historical records do exist. For example, during the reign of Sviatoslav, the population of Kievan Rus was about 12 million. But during the reign of Yaroslav the Wise, records show the population had fallen to just 3 million.

So, what do we get? Twelve million under Sviatoslav, and only three million under Yaroslav the Wise — 9 million people lost. And what happened during that time? The Christianization of Rus. And we're left with 3 million. These are facts. So, they needed some explanation — how, during this so-called time of "enlightenment and spiritual growth" of the Russian people, more than a third of the cities were destroyed, and the land fell into desolation.

Great "enlightenment," right? Great "spiritual growth." They had to invent a scapegoat, someone to blame. So, they invented the Mongol-Tatars. But in truth, "Mongol-Tatars" never existed — at all. You know, the word "mogol" comes from Greek and means "great." Naturally, the tribes that lived — and still live — in what is now Mongolia were never actually called Mongols. They only started being called "Mongols" in the 1920s, and before that, neither they themselves nor anyone else used that name. And you don't even need to dig into highly classified or secret archives to confirm this — it's enough to read modern historical books that have survived, and you'll see: there was no such thing as "Mongolia" or "Mongols." It was all fabricated to push the narrative that Russians had always been slaves, though that's a complete lie.

(Question from the audience: Who destroyed the 9 million people?) Who? During the Christianization! And yes, Russians themselves were involved. Just like after the revolution — the leadership had a

particular orientation, right? Those were social parasites, the majority of whom were Jews by nationality. They carried out the shootings, of course — even against their own. Tell me — what kind of countries shoot their own people?

We — Russian people and people of other nationalities living in the Russian Empire — need to understand how Trotsky operated. Do you know how Trotsky operated? I've already quoted some of his statements in my articles, particularly in "*Blessed Are the Poor in Spirit*," the first part. But in order to force people to fight, he would line up Red Army soldiers — the so-called great creator of the Red Army — and if any units were retreating, he would stop them and order them to count off — one, two — and then, at his own discretion, either the first row or the second row was executed.

No one cared whether in the first row there might be brave men who had never run from battle, who had fought valiantly. He would execute either the first or the second line, without warning.

No one knew which row would be shot or hanged, and he intentionally switched it up so no one could anticipate or resist. And after that, people had no choice but to go into battle — and win. Still, the Russian people went and did it.

I specifically laid out the reasons behind this in my latest article, "*Blessed Are the Poor in Spirit 2*." I'm convinced that the level of madness we reached — where brother killed brother, and father killed son — the Russian people and other peoples of Russia only reached that point because, over the course of a thousand years, people were turned into slaves. Slaves filled with fear, conditioned into obedience, trained not to resist evil, and taught to see every hardship as something that "God sent you."

Do you know that according to Christian doctrine, if a person is healthy and happy, priests will say, "*God has abandoned you*." You're supposed to be sick, suffering, poor, and miserable — *then* God loves you. (*Question from the audience: "Which god?"*) Yahweh, Jehovah — which other one?

For a thousand years this was forced upon people (read the article, I explained the psychology of it there in more detail), and it could not help but leave a mark, could not avoid influencing the behavior of people — our ancestors, Russian by nationality. They were given orders, and black magic was used as well. All of it was used to exert influence on the masses, and under the effect of that influence, people became controllable.

But still — people only became controllable because slavery had already been implanted in their souls since childhood. That's what made this possible. So yes, people were being destroyed — and at that time, it was the same story: you either obey orders, or you're punished. There were mercenaries, who didn't care about anything — and they intimidated their own.

I studied how the Byzantines tried to introduce Christianity into Rus — do you remember Askold? Or his other name — Nikolai. When the Rus were in Constantinople, he lost his sight there. And Christian priests came to him and said: "*If you want to regain your vision, you must accept our faith.*" And he accepted the faith — and his sight returned. Read about it — it's quite something.

And now take a look at what they say about Vladimir, supposedly "*Vladimir the Baptizer*," also known as Vladimir the Bloody — allegedly, he went blind too, and again, Christian priests came to him and said: "*Do you want to regain your sight? We can give it back to you — but you must believe in Christ.*" (Not

even Christ, in some versions they say Dionysius — it doesn't matter, since Christ and Christianity only appeared in the 12th century.)

It's all quite amusing — in every version, someone goes blind, and then regains their sight only after changing their faith. It really looks like a scripted trope — and when something looks like a template, it's clear that no real "god" is behind it. Most likely, those who were manipulating these events were, as I believe — and not without reason — using certain poisons that, in small doses, could temporarily cause blindness. And if the dosage was increased, especially through drinking, the person could die.

Naturally, if they knew about the poison, they also had the antidote. Once a person reached the point of desperation — ready to do anything to get their sight back, to return to life, to see the world again — they would stop giving the poison and administer the antidote. And the person, without even realizing it, would recover, and think it was a miracle. Or perhaps just stopping the poison was enough for the eyesight to return on its own. And that's what was really behind these so-called "holy miracles."

(Voice from the audience: "Recently, there was a small article in a newspaper about the so-called Mongol-Tatar Yoke. It said that in the 1950s, Stalin sent the NKVD to Kazakhstan, and from there, a cavalry unit of 100 men was formed. The NKVD accompanied them — they had a route all the way to Moscow. Along the way, they were fed and watered, fully supported. After three months, the cavalry arrived in Moscow — meaning, they couldn't have made it on their own. And then they were sent back by train. The article was short, but it basically said that even Stalin was curious whether the Mongol-Tatar Yoke had ever really existed — and wanted to verify it for himself.")

Exactly — they had to invent something to justify the destruction that had occurred. The story illustrates once again that the Mongol-Tatar Yoke was likely fabricated, used to explain away the massive loss of life, cities, and culture — not due to foreign invaders, but due to internal actions like the Christianization of Rus, forced submission, and the rewriting of history. In essence, the myth of the Yoke served as a convenient scapegoat for deeper and more deliberate cultural and civilizational sabotage.

9.8. QUESTION: *They're asking about Evgeny Kolovrat.*

ANSWER: I haven't investigated the matter deeply, but it's quite possible that there really was a person who fought for his true faith, for what he believed in, and who fought bravely. That only proves one thing: that a Russian person, if unafraid to die like he was, cannot be stopped — not even by a massive army. And that's all that matters.

I haven't specifically verified whether Evgeny Kolovrat was a historical figure — but that's not important, it's not essential. What is important is that if a person has no fear of death, or has an idea worth dying for, then such a person cannot be stopped. That's why they try to turn everyone into slaves — so that people fear death, but at the same time, don't actually die. Because you're not supposed to die quickly — you have to suffer, be tormented. That's your "*atonement before God*," and only then will God take you into heaven — after you die. Because the highest goal, the meaning of life for any Christian — is death. They themselves say it: To die is the ultimate purpose.

But — you can't leave right away. You must suffer, endure pain, and ideally even whip yourself, cut off your ears, your nose, and anything else that can be cut (I won't go into detail). That would be just perfect. That's what they call Christianity. Naturally, this kind of ideology breaks people, breaks their

psyche, turns them into slaves. And when a person has a slave mentality, that's the most dangerous thing. Because a person can have his freedom restricted — his ability to move around, his physical space. But he will never be a slave until he becomes a slave on the inside. Someone can sit within four walls and still be free. That's not poetic rhetoric — it's the real truth. Because if a person is broken inside, he can be killed. But if he is not broken — then he remains forever free.

9.9. QUESTION: *Does the essence (soul) of Jews reincarnate again into Jews?*

ANSWER: That's not quite the correct understanding. A soul doesn't go around looking for "*where should I be born*." As for reincarnating as a Jew again — sure, they would certainly like that, so they could continue to be the "chosen ones." That's precisely why there is no concept of reincarnation in Judaism.

It's no coincidence that the one they call Christ said: "*I am sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel*." He came to save them from the madness imposed by the idea of that people being "*chosen*." A "chosen people" — such a thing never existed, doesn't exist, and cannot exist in principle. So, in order to eliminate any doubt about that idea, the concept of reincarnation was completely excluded from Judaism.

I can give you an example. When I was in America, I conducted two cycles of seminars — one in 1992 and another in 1993. During the 1992 seminar, there was a Jewish woman of Palestinian origin attending. She had gone through rabbinical school — quite a rare thing for a woman, to be a rabbi. When I touched on topics of Judaism and Christianity, she objected: "But how can you speak poorly of Moses? He was good!" I said: "Then name me at least one deed of Moses described in the Old Testament that brought even a little bit of good. Just one." She fell silent — because there isn't a single deed of Moses in the Old Testament that brought good. Only destruction and devastation.

Then I asked: "What do you consider yourself by nationality?" She said: "I'm Jewish!" She was a *sabra* — that is, from the group of Jews who never left the land of Israel (Palestine, the Middle East). All native-born Jews there are called *sabras*. She was one of them. But she had a very sensitive and perceptive nature. I said: "If you want, go home after today's seminar and just try to see who you were in your past lives."

You should've seen her reaction the next day — figuratively speaking, her jaw had dropped as far as humanly possible. She came to me and said: "You know, I looked into my past lives... In one life, I was in Africa. In another, I was a Chukchi. In another, I was Russian. I even saw my gravestone — it had a strange name... something like 'Vyach...'" (It's hard for them to pronounce "Vyacheslav.") I said: "Yes, that's a Russian name." Her eyes were wide, and her jaw was still dropped.

So, then I asked her: "Now tell me — who are you? A Jew? A Russian? A Black African? A Chukchi? Who?" The answer: a Human Being. The genetics that dress the soul — it's just clothing that the essence puts on for a particular lifetime. When they mess with your mind, they do it so that you stop being a human being and turn into... something else entirely.

The essence has no nationality. The essence enters into resonance at the moment of conception, aligning with the qualitative level that matches the essence itself — and thus, conception occurs. I described this in more detail in "*The Last Address to Humanity*."

Many factors influence the quality surge that is formed at the moment of conception. What the parents created at that moment — their conditions, mental states, emotions, even the environment — all of it determines what kind of essence, and at what level, will enter the forming body.

From that point, the essence begins to build its body. It's not about genetics alone, though genetics certainly plays a role. For example, among the Jews, their genetics have been zombified, altered, and manipulated — including through genetic engineering. A lot was introduced there, and many other factors came into play, which I won't go into now because it's a long and complex topic. But truly, there are astonishing things that have happened — and are still happening.

Yet the most important thing is: Who are you? That's what truly matters. But when a person is indoctrinated from childhood with the belief that they are exceptional, that all other people are inferior — like one of my acquaintances once said: *"You should be grateful that you were even allowed to come near me — people of the sixteenth grade."* See how they view us? They consider all the rest of us to be people of the fifteenth, sixteenth, even twentieth grade — meaning *all of us*.

When such things are instilled from birth, it's nothing more than brainwashing from the cradle. The moment a child opens their eyes; this nonsense is being hammered into them. And honestly, I truly, deeply pity them — that they're such fools to believe in this stupidity and begin to act in accordance with it. It's very convenient for those who stand above them — those who use them as tools. They're mere pawns. And the saddest part? They don't even realize it.

9.10. QUESTION: *Does a beginning exist — where did everything come from? How did the dark forces originate?*

ANSWER: Those are two rather amusing questions. But if we talk about what I know regarding the beginning and where everything came from, we'd have to touch on a vast number of aspects — and it's still too early for that. We need to go step by step. Still, I can say the following: Take, for example, our Universe. It has a beginning, and in time, it will have an end — unless that end is somehow altered. That is, everything that exists has a beginning and an end. But the question is: *Is that beginning the beginning of everything? And is that end the end of everything?* No.

Every specific universe dies, and a new universe is born in its place, and so on. But this is more of a philosophical question. And to move it from the realm of philosophy into practicality, one would need to share an immense amount of additional information — which would take thousands, even tens of thousands of hours to go through — and we don't have that kind of time here.

Speaking from my own experience: I've moved forward to some degree, but I haven't seen the end yet. So, I don't know — maybe there *is* an end somewhere... a beginning. I can't answer this question definitively, because I can't claim that I've understood or discovered *everything*. That would be foolish and incorrect. But from what I have come to know and comprehend, I can say this: Everything has a beginning and an end.

However, that doesn't mean destruction in the conventional sense. For instance, the Universe that exists now is already the third version. The two previous universes perished — on a grand scale, not a small one — and in their place, new ones arose. There are many reasons for that. So, for now, I can't give a full answer, and I won't invent one.

Now to the question of how dark forces originated — how did that happen? Dark forces always arise in the primitive phases of development. Why? Because, inevitably, someone stronger appears who starts thinking: "Why should I work for something, when I can just use my strength to take it from the weaker?" As soon as this philosophy emerges — and it does, even at the animal level — it gives rise to the concept of domination. Just observe the behavior of animals in packs or small groups: they have strict hierarchies.

Even monkeys — who, thankfully, are not our relatives, despite what some might wish — exhibit this. They form social structures with a clear hierarchy: alpha individuals (leaders) eat first, and the others wait their turn according to rank. If someone tries to jump the line, they'll get smacked. The same structure is seen in dogs, and in nearly all animals.

So how do dark forces form? When a human being, especially in the early stages of evolution (I discuss this more deeply elsewhere...), passes through the animal phase, the phase of the rational animal, and finally the true human phase — whether we like it or not — the same behavioral conditions arise as in animal behavior: Domination of the stronger over the weaker.

Now, I myself have never been physically weak. Even as a boy I could lift a heavy kettlebell (a "pudovik") — but I never had the desire to punch people in the face just to make them submit. I didn't need that. But someone else, having some physical strength, might go and impose his will just because he could.

When someone tried to challenge me — and that happened rarely, but sometimes it did — you know what I did? I'd grab one of the tougher, bigger guys, spin him around to demonstrate, or gently squeeze his ribs just enough to make them creak. And when ribs start cracking — it sets people straight. After that, the number of people who wanted to fight or show off how "tough" they were usually dropped to zero.

I believed that one should earn others' respect not through physical strength, but through their actions — and people did respect me precisely for my actions and deeds. I had principles that I followed. For example, I have never accepted swearing, because I consider it beneath my dignity. Once I made that clear, guys would even apologize to me if they cursed in my presence — "Kolya, I didn't see you there, sorry." But if girls were nearby, and I was a student at the time, they wouldn't apologize to them.

See how it works? People always respect those who have a position, not those who just put on a show, but those with true integrity. Now, when someone chooses a different approach — like saying: "I'll punch you in the face, now you have to obey me" ... That's a different story. Just watch teenagers, how they assert their dominance — and you'll understand where the potential for following the dark path originates. That's where it begins — and it always begins on the most primitive level.

And if a civilization fails to grow past that primitive mindset and instead embraces it, then it won't reach high levels of development. Such a civilization follows its own trajectory, yes — but it compensates for its limitations with cunning, deceit, and meanness. They look for your weakness — "Ah, there's a breach!" — and that's where they strike. A knife in the back from the shadows — and they're very effective at this kind of behavior.

So, the reason parasitic forces emerge always lies in this: In the primitive stages of evolutionary development of any society, the conditions arise that make such dark behavior possible. For any

civilization, unfortunately, this is the reality, whether we like it or not. But the forces of Light should not be naive, shouldn't be caught off guard — they need to understand this and act accordingly to prevent these things from taking hold.

What do the media show us today? They deliberately push people toward filthy sexual behavior — we are being conditioned to believe that this is good. It has reached a ridiculous level. The moral corruption of youth in the U.S. is just one example. I remember statistics from 2006 — that's the year I left the United States, so I don't have more recent data, but I doubt it has improved — it likely couldn't get any worse.

In American schools, the most popular girl is the one who has slept with the most guys. Imagine — that's what makes someone "popular." And now, our own youth in Russia are trying to catch up to that standard. Can you see how insane this has become? If a girl respects herself, honors her dignity, doesn't sleep around — or even has just one partner — she is not considered popular. Can you see the madness we've reached?

Or take other examples: Look at what's shown in media again — whoever can throw the best punches, whoever can beat others up — that's who's considered cool. Like James Bond — not showing what he stands for, not showing his true motives or goals, but only showing that he's a cool guy who shoots left and right. But that's not what we should admire. We should experience entirely different emotions.

This is exactly the kind of cultural ground that gets laid to ensure that people can't evolve — they just flail around and sink deeper into the swamp of dark forces. And once they're stuck there, they become easy to control and manipulate — and that's the whole point.

9.11. QUESTION: *(Voice from the audience: "Can I ask a question about Asgard-Iriy and our ancestors? My life turned out in such a way that I come from those very places. My great-grandfather, Cossack Chyuryaev-Utochkin, said their entire family line were Cossacks — real Siberian Cossacks. My grandmother was born near Lake Issyk-Kul, my great-grandfather was a real Cossack officer. My grandmother studied in Omsk, in what was formerly Asgard-Iriy. My mother and I were born in Omsk and Novosibirsk, and our whole family comes from there. What surprises me as I read your books is that indeed, we never believed in Christ, no one in our family ever went to church, Christianity barely touched us. All my ancestors were well-educated people and constantly communicated with others. Our home was always full of people — that was the most important feature. Why did just 300 years of Romanov presence in that region lead to a situation where people absolutely don't know, don't understand, don't remember, and never even speak about what was on that land before?")*

ANSWER: Why? It's actually very simple. If you read carefully — Pushkin tried to figure this out. There are chapters that never made it into the final version of *The Captain's Daughter*. They were forbidden, because he showed that the Cossacks — those who didn't stay silent and who understood what was happening — were exterminated completely. And actually, it wasn't even 300 years — Siberia was annexed in 1675.

My grandmother, until her death, was terrified to say a word about who our ancestors really were. She was afraid, because they saw things, and the only reason they survived was that some managed to remain silent. So, one of the reasons is this: everything was destroyed. Books were burned. People who

tried to speak were physically eliminated. That's the answer. What I know, and what I've read a bit about in the last chapters of *The Captain's Daughter*, is that Pushkin tried to travel to those regions that had supported Pugachev. Although that's not entirely accurate — he went to Siberia, collected information. He realized that people were afraid to talk about what had really happened.

Siberia and the Far East had always been Russian territories, and not just since the time when Yermak supposedly came and "discovered" them. Christianity was never forcefully imposed there. Yes, churches stood there, and people may have been baptized — but only for appearances' sake. Serfdom was never introduced there either. No one dared to touch the people or impose serfdom — had they tried; there would have been an uprising.

Still, some fear remained. People saw how anyone who spoke out was destroyed. And maybe after a few generations, people simply stopped talking about it altogether. I believe that's one of the reasons. But the main reason is fear. Because when you live in an occupied country, and you realize your task is to survive and preserve what you have until the opportunity arises to liberate yourself from the occupiers — that's it. I believe that is the real reason.

9.12. QUESTION: *They're asking you to conduct a session to decode, unblock the Krasnodar region.*

ANSWER: That can be done, if someone is present here and wishes it. Later I will do it for those sitting here in the hall, for anyone who wants it. There are certain laws in order to remove something. Of course, I could do it by force, but I don't believe in using force when it's not necessary — I must remove it only when there is a reason. It's important that a person *wants it*, that the person is *in tune* — "I'm open to having this removed." That's when it works. But just to take the entire Krasnodar region and blanket it to remove the blockage — there has to be the desire of the people who live there, and many of them simply do not want that. So, I can only do it for those who do want it. But if everyone wants it, then it can be done for the entire Krasnodar region.

(*Voice from the audience:* "Then a question arises, Nicolai — why don't they want it? Is that the result of influence?") — Of course. The fact is, many influences have already been removed. Many of the people sitting here and asking such questions are able to do so precisely because many of those blockages have already been cleared. But even when the influences are removed — you can't break a person suddenly. One must lead a person out gradually.

You know, if a person is a sleepwalker and you suddenly wake them up, they can lose their footing — even if they were walking freely — and die. It's the same here: you can't force a bud to bloom before it's ready, it must be done gradually. Many people, just by reading the article "*On Prophets and False Prophets*," even those who were devout Christians, are beginning to awaken because they can now see clearly. Not everyone just yet, but the awakening has begun — and that's already a good sign. More and more will follow.

If a person wants to be a slave, then let them say so honestly: "*Yes, I want to be a slave, I'm happy to be a slave, it's my lifelong dream to be a slave, I enjoy it when people stomp on me with dirty boots and spit on me.*" But if a person is a slave due to ignorance, then they are like a sleepwalker — and you can't jolt them suddenly, or they might collapse, and things will only get worse. So, you have to go slowly — it'll take some time, but it will happen.

(*Voice from the audience*: "But what is a slave — it's just a word! We're not slaves — it's just an expression, nonsense, it's all allegorical.") — Yes, allegorical... So, I say to everyone who says that — let them come to me and be my slaves. I agree. Then we'll see whether it's just an allegory. All those who were slaves never thought it was an allegory.

(*Voice from the audience*: "Excuse me, but even my father, in his understanding, said: 'God is the Father.' Does a parent really want their son to be a slave?") — Of course. (*Voice from the audience*: "That's what I told them too! There's even a song: '*Children of God, come home.*' If we are God's children — then how can we be slaves?") — A more detailed answer to this question can't be addressed just at this surface level — you see, this kind of thing becomes demagoguery.

In my last article, I simply laid out side by side the wishes directed by the god Yahweh — from the original apostles to their chosen people, the Jews — and then the same kinds of wishes directed toward everyone else. And it turns out that this one god wishes one thing for some — prosperity, that *all nations shall be your slaves*, and *all the wealth of the nations shall be yours*, for the chosen people — while for everyone else, he says something entirely different. So, a slave is a slave — that's written clearly in both the Torah and the Bible.

Excuse me, when the wealth of all peoples is to be brought to one group — that's not an allegory. And when it's said that *even your kings shall be your slaves* — is that an allegory too? That's a concrete thing. So no, you can't just dismiss it all as metaphors anymore.

The problem is, they (meaning the religious authorities) were foolish enough to publish so many versions of the Torah and the Old Testament in Russian and other languages. And for a long time, they resisted this. You know, it used to be forbidden — and considered heresy — to translate the sacred scriptures into any language other than the approved ones. Only Greek and Latin were officially accepted — naturally, Hebrew as well.

But now, the Jews living in Russia are too lazy to study the language. They already speak Russian, and they're too lazy to learn Hebrew, which is a difficult language. I looked at the inscriptions — the Torah I have includes both the original ancient Hebrew and the modern Russian translation.

Of course, if a person knows Russian, they'll have to work hard to learn another language — but they (meaning Jewish scholars or religious authorities) decided to make life easier for themselves, and their own rabbis translated the Torah into Russian. And we can read the Torah in Russian too. Yes, the language may be spoiled or corrupted, but it's still Russian.

It's not by accident that the Torah says: if a goy reads the Torah, he must be killed. But everyone sitting here — we are all goyim, unless one of us is Jewish. So, when we talk about the word "slave" — you can say whatever you like. If someone wants to be a student, I'm happy to accept as many students as wish to learn — come and study. But if you want to be a slave, then a slave is a slave. Always was, and always will be.

In the Book of Joshua, it says that when Moses was dying, God summoned Joshua (Yehoshua) and said: "My slave Moses is dying. Now you will take his place." And one of the listeners in the audience — he was Jewish — said: "You see, what he meant by 'slave' was actually 'student.'"

So, I said to him: “If even Moses is considered a slave to that god, then what can we say about all the other Jews — and especially about all the non-Jews who don’t belong to the chosen people?” But the funniest thing — and I say this with a bit of irony, though it’s fitting — is that Moses was lucky. You know why? Because he was born in the time he was born, whether that’s a legend or not.

Now just imagine — someone comes today and says: “I went up a mountain, and while I was there, a burning bush started talking to me. The bush said it was God and gave me stone tablets, which I must deliver to everyone so they follow them.” Tell me, what happens to such a person today — and not just him, there are plenty of people like that sitting in certain institutions. But for some reason, we don’t call them prophets or messiahs anymore. (A voice from the audience: “Usually they ask — *what was he smoking?*”) Not all of them were smoking something, let’s not offend — not all of them are addicts. There are those who didn’t smoke anything, yet they also claim to speak with God.

Here’s a funny example from my personal experience. There’s a man living as a hermit in the taiga of the Northern Urals, his last name is Solovyov. He’s written a few books, by the way. And he says that he’s been appointed to rule the universe. Nadezhda Yakovlevna is sitting here, she won’t let me lie — he called her several times and said: “Tell Levashov to submit to me, I’ve been appointed ruler of the universe.” He annoyed her so much — “General”? That’s nothing — he rules the whole universe!

He harassed Nadezhda Yakovlevna to such an extent that I told her: “If he calls again, tell him this — if he doesn’t stop this nonsense, I’ll knock some sense into him *and* those who appointed him ruler of the universe.” And you know what? He stopped calling. Went quiet. What kind of “ruler of the universe” is that? I, a regular man, said I’d give him and his ‘appointing gods’ a smack on the head — and he suddenly got smart and shut up.

Another case — at my lectures, there were people who came on behalf of Vladimir, the messenger of the Almighty, who wrote several books and declared himself the messenger of the Most High. In his books, it says: “If you don’t read this book, the Almighty will destroy you.” Not bad, huh? Someone passed his book to me — I skimmed through it. What nonsense! But then I was told, through some of my people, that he said: “Levashov will be wiped off the face of existence within a year of returning to Russia.”

Well, I returned to Russia in August 2006. I purposely waited until over a year had passed and then said during a lecture: “Seems like the Almighty’s eraser broke — or ran out — because here I am, still not wiped out. Two years have passed, and I’m still here.” And I added: “If needed, let Vladimir himself come — I’ll help him break free from that ‘Almighty’ who’s been telling him these things.” And guess what? The voices stopped. He disappeared. Never heard from him again.

There’s another person who receives information and is writing a book — not a bad person — who accidentally had access open to other levels that he was unfamiliar with. And the information started flowing through him as well, and now he believes he’s communicating with the Most High. I passed along a message through someone I know: “I’m willing to help this person, but unless he comes to me, I cannot act by force. At the very least, let him ask: ‘Most High’ is not a name — let him ask what His actual name is.” You know, he did ask. And as far as I know, the “Most High” didn’t give his name. You know why? Because a name is a real key — through it, you can reach and strike the one who pretends to be something he’s not and actually amounts to nothing.

(A voice from the audience: “Can’t he lie about the name?”) No — that would be even worse. He, at least, knows the laws. He understands that giving away his true name means he’d get punished — it would expose the deception. So, he’s a full-fledged parasite, just a more developed one. But even if a parasite is more developed — for the person being parasitized, it doesn’t matter what kind of “epaulets” that parasite wears while sitting on their neck. That’s why he won’t reveal his name — because revealing it means giving access to himself, and he can’t defend against that. To lie about it — is even worse, because it brings an even greater punishment. They know these laws very well — the cosmic laws.

You probably know that many people who begin to follow Vedism — and, in my view, misunderstand it a bit — start giving themselves names like Iriai, Radomir, and so on. But they don’t understand that they are not authorized to give themselves a name. If we’re talking about the traditions they claim to follow, then they should know that a name was traditionally given to a person, usually a child, when they turned 7 years old. That’s how our ancestors did it — children under 7 didn’t have names at all. They were simply called *chada* (a generic term like “child”), without distinguishing boy or girl.

At age 7, a volkhv (spiritual guide/priest) would examine each child and give them a name that resonated with their essence, their genetics, their potential, and how they might realize it. This name was sacred, and it was not shared with anyone — only with the closest people the person could trust, knowing that a name is a key to that person.

It’s the same as taking off your armor, exposing your chest, and saying: “You can strike my heart if you want.” Only a friend will never strike the heart — a foe certainly would. That’s why a sacred name was kept secret. No one shared it, and a person never chose it for themselves based on what “sounded nice.” It was assigned based on the inner qualities of the soul, the person’s individual traits. That’s the first point.

The second point is: a name is not absolute. If you were given a sacred name, and you lived your whole life with it without evolving to the next level, then the name remains the same. But if you evolve upward — move from one step to another — then your name will change. And as you progress, it will continue to change, corresponding to your new level. Because a name is not just a sequence of sounds, not even the sacred name that we can pronounce in words. But if it’s activated, if it’s unfolded, it can literally blow a person away, in the strongest sense.

When fully unfolded, a sacred name contains the complete information about who that person is and what level of development they have reached. That’s why in cosmic terms, it’s enough to utter the name, and a specific symbol unfolds. And those who can see that symbol immediately understand who they are dealing with, and usually stop messing around. But generally, no one reveals such names or shows such signs unless absolutely necessary.

A common situation is this: when you encounter primitive beings, they often start behaving arrogantly, like: “Who do you think you are? We’re going to hit you on the head!” Then I just slightly unfold, and immediately it’s: “Oh, sorry, wrong person.” According to the law of the Light Forces, one must not boast about one’s titles or abilities — it’s not appropriate. But sometimes, when people don’t understand, you have to show a bit. That’s why names are very important and serious.

When you see people today choosing names for themselves, calling themselves whatever they like, that's not right — it is already a distortion of the Vedic tradition, and it should not be allowed. The Vedic tradition was precisely about the idea that a name should reflect a person's inner and outer qualities, the potential they carry, and the task they are meant to fulfill. And that name will change as the person grows and moves forward.

What is being promoted now — even if by people who might not fully understand — I consider to be harmful. We should not force people to go back to how life was thousands of years ago. But we should know what was, what traditions existed, how and why they were followed. What would be correct, from my point of view — and I'm not saying everyone must accept this — would be to stage public performances during the old Vedic holidays, where actors demonstrate to audiences what festivals and customs our ancestors had. So that we can know about them — but that does not mean we should all do the same things today. We should simply be aware.

And what they're trying to do now — saying that if you want to be considered a *Vedist*, you must attend all these holidays, from morning to evening *sing praises to the gods*, glorify the gods, because we are "*Pravoslavnye*" (those who *glorify Prav*, the higher divine order), glorify *Prav*, glorify the higher hierarchs.

Why? Right now, we shouldn't be glorifying — we should be cleaning the earth from the filth, from the manure it has been turned into, due to what they've made of our country. We need to restore our country first. And we will glorify — *after* the work is done. But right now, it's too early. What are we glorifying? That's why I say — people are sometimes being pushed into things just because they don't understand many things.

I don't know runes, I don't know what each rune means — because I don't need to. I have knowledge without any runes. I don't need runes to read or interpret something. I give a new interpretation — I explain what stands behind these runes. And the question is — how can I give meaning if I don't know how to read runes? Because this knowledge isn't direct — it's my own knowledge, I received it myself, no one came to me and "decoded" it.

Understand the following. There was a case — back when I was still in the States, I had to talk on the phone with a man named Vitaly Petrovich Bondarenko. He had studied runes in Omsk, he reads them, and he runs a group here too. I told him: "Did you know that even runes have multiple levels?" He replied, "What do you mean by levels?"

"Like this — there are levels, and they are structured and written in such a way that if a person has a certain level [of development], then taking the runes — a runic text — he will see one thing. Each person will only see what they are ready for, no more than that. And if someone can only read the symbols, that's all they'll read. But that's just a small part — the tip of the iceberg. Even if you collect all the tips of the iceberg, you'll get some idea, but it will still be just a small part of what the runes really carry within."

He became very interested and said: "Is it possible? I dream of seeing those levels, of reading the deeper levels!" I said: "I'll have to change something inside you to make that possible." I did it. (It was a bit tough on him, since the process was fast.) And he saw it. He was absolutely amazed. But then we had a disagreement over words. He said, "Words are everything," and I told him: "I don't fully agree. A word is

basically castrated information. What we speak — we're converting a thought into codes, into vibrations of air through the lungs, just to transmit sound. That means we're only giving a tiny fraction of what the original thought carries. But through direct telepathic communication, in a fraction of a second, you can transmit the amount of information that would take billions of years to speak verbally."

I said: "How can you speak about the power of words when you didn't even know runes have multiple levels?" He was shocked — "How?!" I replied: "I just showed you, gave you the chance to see it practically, not just in theory." But then he got very upset and said: "Wait — did you do something to me? I did all this myself!"

Later, several other people — including even Nadezhda Yakovlevna — asked: "Couldn't Levashov give it back to him?" And I said: "Why? Can't he ask himself?" He still hasn't asked, because he has pride, arrogance.

Runes carry information — specific ones do. Even just reading them, a person who knows which concept corresponds to each rune can understand a lot — and that's a very positive thing. But as I understood it, the vast majority of people who call themselves Vedists, and who've simply learned what concept stands behind each rune, are not truly Vedists in the full sense of the word. They're easily manipulated by the same parasites.

Just look at how many different Vedic movements have now emerged — when in fact they should be unified into a single whole. But instead, they fight among themselves, clash, punch each other in the face. And do you know what their biggest goal is? Each one says: "I'm the main one. I'm the first." Then another says: "No, I am the first." They're like roosters, flaring their peacock tails, and that's very beneficial for our enemies. Why? Because unfortunately, they don't understand what's really going on.

The key is: we must not turn the Vedic worldview — which our ancestors had — into just another religion. Religion is not needed. Our ancestors had no religion — they had a worldview, and that is something entirely different. Enlightenment through knowledge means people understood what and how things are, they understood the existing universe, how it is structured, why it exists, what for, and they understood how to live and interact with that world. That is not religion — that is a way of life.

Religion is when a person drills into their own head that they must recite prayers every morning, glorifying this or that god, performing "slavenie" (praise rituals) — *that* is religion, because they don't even understand what stands behind it, that it is something completely different.

Therefore, the most important task, in my opinion, is not to allow the awakening of the Rus (Russians) and other indigenous peoples of Russia, the revival that has already begun, to be derailed again — through the dull and primitive tactics of our enemies, whose favorite method has always been: Divide and rule.

9.13 Question: *Next question is about prayers — what kind of prayers help a person transition from the world of the living to the other world, especially if someone was killed and their relatives don't know what happened to them? Some people never return home, and their fate remains unknown — many people died during the war. How can they be prayed for if their names are unknown, and it's unclear whether they died or not?*

Answer: That's a good question. Again, the same prayers used in Christianity — their essence lies not in the words being said, but in the action they produce. If the priest performing the funeral rites for the deceased has even the slightest paranormal abilities (which is rare, but does happen), then the prayer helps the soul of the deceased to separate from the dead body — that is, it enables the soul's release.

Now, as you may know, black magicians go into churches and light memorial candles *for the living*. Why? Because it's a real tool of influence — for the dead, it helps separate from the dead body, but when done for the living, if the person doing it has sufficient power, it can become dangerous. The prayer itself is an empty sound — it is not the prayer itself that matters, but who says it.

What is a prayer? When a person pronounces certain words, it's a modulation of flows — of forces, conditionally speaking — that pass through the person. If the person has strength, they may not even understand what they are doing, but if they pronounce a certain code, modulation occurs, which has a specific effect.

If a person who naturally possesses a certain strength places and recites a funeral prayer *for someone still alive*, there's a high chance that the living person will die — because their soul is already separated from the living body. The same prayer can be either a tool for murder or a form of help. Why does this happen? Because some people misunderstand what's really behind it, while others understand it very well. That's why, if you're not sure the person has truly died, if there's no 100% proof that they're dead, it's better not to conduct a funeral service for them.

Do you know how our ancestors determined whether a person was alive or not — back when there were no phones, let alone airplanes? When a child was born, they would plant a tree and, through a specific ritual, link this tree to the person. When that person left — sometimes for many years or even decades, such as for long military campaigns — the family would observe the tree.

If the energetic connection was established between the person and the tree, then:

- If the person got sick — the tree would get sick.
- If the tree died — it meant the person had died, no telegrams needed.

And if someone cut down the tree, there would be a certain energetic blow to the person it was connected to, but the person would not die. I myself am an example of this.

It so happened that even when I was a child, certain forces didn't much like my existence. For example, my father planted poplars in Kislovodsk — where I was born — for me and my brother. Those poplars died by the time I turned ten, but, as you can see, I haven't died. Of course, there are other reasons.

Sometimes, if a tree is very powerful and the connection with it is very strong, then the loss of nourishment from the tree can kill the person — killing the tree may cause illness in the person. But one thing is certain: if the person dies, the tree they're linked to will wither.

Many people also know that when elderly women pass away, the houseplants they took care of — even if they're still being watered — often die too. That's another kind of connection. Our ancestors used much of nature's knowledge very effectively — and very precisely.

(A voice from the audience: "When people walk in the mountains and through underground places, they sometimes see dead people there. Is that because those souls can't leave the earthly plane?") That happens for different reasons. Most often, it's because the person got "stuck" between Heaven and Earth.

(A voice from the audience: "And how can we get them out of there, do you know?") Specific actions are needed. You see, a soul — a *sushchnost'* — doesn't create its own potential. It only uses the potential of its physical body. So, when a person dies, at that moment there is a release of powerful potential, and a corridor — a tunnel — opens through which the soul can exit. But if, for one reason or another, the soul didn't pass through that tunnel at the time of death, then the tunnel closes. And the soul is stuck here. Meaning: the soul can no longer leave on its own. That's when it becomes a ghost.

(A voice from the audience: "They say that in Leningrad, after the deaths and starvation, there are huge numbers of ghosts who couldn't leave. Is it possible to help them somehow?") In principle, yes, of course, it's possible.

(A voice from the audience: "A clairvoyant said that there are so many of them, and that's why all these misfortunes happen in Leningrad. Is that true?") First of all, don't forget that during the construction of Saint Petersburg, Peter the Great sacrificed a third of Russia's population. Just think how many human lives were lost — souls left without peace — because they weren't ready for death. Many of them didn't leave because most often, when a person dies unexpectedly... On the battlefield, at least, a person is mentally prepared, he knows he might die. But even there, strange things happen.

I remember one case from Afghanistan. In 1991 I was giving a lecture, and one of the audience members was a military man who at the time was studying at the Frunze Academy. He was quite sensitive, and had begun to see and hear things. One day, he came to me visibly shaken. He said, "You know, there's a soldier — now a cadet here at the academy — who fought in Afghanistan, and he seems to have a split personality. I wanted to help him, tuned into him, and got some information."

This was his first such experience. It turned out that the issue wasn't multiple personality disorder at all — but that the soul of another person, a captain (whose name I forgot), had entered him. That captain was the commander of a combat helicopter that had been shot down in Afghanistan. He didn't move on after death and instead jumped into the body of this nearby soldier. The soul inside gave detailed information — the unit number, the names of other soldiers — all of which the man later confirmed and matched 100%. He was stunned. This wasn't a hallucination or madness. It was a soul that had not left and found itself lodged in a living person.

I explained what needed to be done to help both of them. I didn't see the man again, because I left, but I hope he managed it. So yes, these problems can be resolved. Unfortunately, many souls who miss the chance to leave — when the doorway is open — are forced to remain here.

(A voice from the audience: "Can Leningrad be helped?")
In principle, yes.

(A voice from the audience: "Does it require a formal request?")
No, no request is necessary. What's needed is simply time to carry out the action — that's all.

9.14 QUESTION: *The next question: From your book — how did the outcasts become hermaphrodites?*

ANSWER: That's an interesting question. In my book, I never said that outcasts became hermaphrodites — not a single word like that exists. What is written there is that the fifth race, the rulers of the universes — the social parasites who ultimately took over the universe — they, including the same god Yahweh—Jehovah, were hermaphrodites. That is, their race was hermaphroditic.

That's why they imposed the lunar cult, because their gender would shift according to the lunar cycle. Women here know very well how strongly their bodies are tied to the Moon. So, the lunar cult — Christianity, Judaism, and others (like in China, where dark forces dominate) — has been forced everywhere by those same parasites. The lunar cult reflects the ideology of those hermaphrodites who imposed a parasitic system on Earth.

Now, outcasts became a tool in the hands of the hermaphrodites. They were used because outcasts tend to have distorted psyches, and the parasites took advantage of that — manipulated them to achieve their goals. Outcasts themselves never became hermaphrodites, though hermaphrodites may be born among them, since people are sometimes born with extra chromosomes or mixed genetic markers. It's rare, but it happens, and it often results from genetic mixing.

It can also be said that there is a higher rate of hermaphroditism among Jews, for a simple reason. In the Old Testament, remember, Abel was the son of Yahweh, and Yahweh is a hermaphrodite. Then comes Seth (you said "Simon," possibly a slip), and it is said that Seth restored "the divine seed," meaning that Eve's second son was again from Yahweh.

So, the descendants of this divine line — that is, from the hermaphrodite god — became the ruling elite, or Levites, among the Jews. All other Jews were subordinated to them. This is no accident — it's because they carry the genetics of the so-called "koshcheis" (a metaphor for degenerate rulers), or what I simply call cosmic social parasites.

(Voice from the audience): — Tell me, if we analyze history over the last 2000 years, it gives the impression that these "greys" act according to a very clear, well-developed plan — something we don't see from the light forces. Why is that?

ANSWER: Absolutely correct. I partially addressed this in my book — during the onset of the Night of Svarog, they began to act precisely in that way. That's why they tried to impose Christianity as quickly as possible — in all its forms. And by the way, Islam is also a sect of Christianity, for those who don't know. All the major world religions, except perhaps the Eastern ones (though even there, it's a different flavor of the same nonsense), are founded on the same base. The Jews created Judaism, and Catholic Christianity is simply a variant of Judaism — essentially, they're the same religion in principle.

So, what they are imposing is social weaponry — tools of control. Even though Christianity is relatively young in terms of historical timeline, the religion itself, or rather the principle behind it, goes back to the Cult of Osiris. So, the core mechanism remains the same. The parasites created this social weapon, and they've been using it effectively ever since. And, on top of that, they were greatly assisted by the Night of Svarog — a cosmic cycle associated with spiritual decline and darkness.

Now, if you take into account that people were at a relatively low level of development, it made this influence even more potent. The low level of individual consciousness among the masses allowed this control system to work with greater ease.

There were always people who moved far ahead, but when the general population is undeveloped evolutionarily, the influence of the Night of Svarog becomes enormous. For example, if a person's potential is 10 units, and the negative influence of the Night of Svarog suppresses 5 units, then their potential is cut in half. But if a person's potential is 1000 units, and the same 5 units are suppressed by the Night of Svarog, it has no real impact.

That's why, in Chapter 3, which I'll soon post on the website, I explain exactly how it all unfolded, and what was done to create the conditions in which the average level of potential among the people wasn't 1000 units, but just 10. That's what they were working toward. And when you have 10 units of potential that *could* evolve into 1000, but remain at 10, that's the level at which targeted manipulation is most effective. That's also why, as you can see in the Bible or the Torah, what was the first thing they always did? They eliminated the strong people from the local population. Who were the strong people? They were the ones carrying higher potential — and they were exterminated.

(Voice from the audience: – Then it turns out someone is behind this. It feels like someone is managing the process?) Of course — there are forces on both sides.

(Voice: – What's the name?) We already figured out who those with names were. But now we need to clean up the stables here, because regardless of what happened *there*, what's happening *on our Earth* matters most... Because even if someone has the weight lifted off their chest — if they don't start breathing freely, nothing will change. People now need to liberate themselves from this social programming, this social weapon.

I'll give an example — in China, there was a tradition: to create the type of deformities suitable for court jesters, they would place newborn children into special vessels. The child would grow, and its body would take the shape of the vessel it was put in. When it matured, its body would have fully conformed to that shape, break the container — and it would look deformed. That's how the Chinese made clowns for the emperor. A good people?

So, Christianity and all the other filth that was imposed on our people are essentially the same kind of vessel — and it doesn't matter that the vessel is already broken, because the *form* it created still remains. What needs to happen is that the people themselves must straighten up and remove all those deformations. Naturally, this doesn't change instantly — it takes time.

That's why we, too, will have to be patient — but also *active* in making it happen, because nothing will change by itself. Waiting by the sea for fair weather, hoping some nice guy will come along and smack all the bad guys — that won't work. There's a saying: "*Trust in God, but don't be careless yourself.*" And that's exactly the kind of wisdom we need to follow.

9.15. QUESTION: *They're asking what would have happened to Russia if Lenin, Stalin, and Trotsky had died.*

ANSWER: Maybe things would've been a little different, but understand — Lenin wasn't the one being prepared, it was Trotsky. Lenin just acted faster and pushed Trotsky out a bit, and then Stalin acted and pushed out both Lenin and Trotsky. If there had been no Lenin, no Trotsky, no Stalin — others would've taken their place. It's interesting about Stalin — he's a contradictory figure.

(Voice from the audience: "Was he a Vedist?") Not exactly. He was a very complex figure in many aspects. But in my view, he caused far more harm than good — and the good he did wasn't out of virtue, but because he needed to save *his* plan. Stalin didn't care about the Russian people until he realized that only with the Russian people could he realize his plan. His ambition was world domination. He dreamed of the Union of Soviet Republics of the World.

(Voice: "Did he come up with that himself?") Yes, himself — and also Stalin. He was both a friend and student of a very famous and powerful black magician: Georges Gurdjieff. Gurdjieff played a big role — and was well paid — to make the Russian Revolution happen. Christianity had already softened and conditioned people, made them easier to control. That same Rasputin was merely a toy in Gurdjieff's hands. And Stalin was Gurdjieff's friend and disciple.

There was a recent documentary called "*Secret Signs*," an episode titled "*Stalin's Witch*." It barely touched on the witch herself, but for the first time, it clearly showed Stalin's ties to the occult. They directly said Stalin was both a student and friend of Gurdjieff. When Gurdjieff returned from Tibet to Paris (where he later founded his center), he stopped by Stalin's place and gave him his book — the one laying out the idea of a world government. And Stalin tried to make that vision real: where all people are just cogs and screws, with one leader at the top. Stalin implemented this very efficiently.

But here's the curious part — in that film, they unintentionally revealed a big secret. They showed how Wolf Messing gained access to Stalin. Messing came in and (Stalin asked him): "How did you do that?" "I created the image that I was Beria. All the guards saluted me and let me through without asking for ID."

It's said that Messing met with Stalin a few more times, and during one such meeting, Stalin had a massive headache afterward. They were competing — who would mentally overpower whom. Messing tried to dominate Stalin; Stalin tried to dominate Messing. Neither succeeded. After that, Stalin did nothing to Messing — but the communication ended. Supposedly, only once or twice more did Messing appear before Stalin — and always uninvited.

The first time Messing came into Stalin's office without an invitation; he told him that his son Vasily should not fly on the airplane. The "Wings of the Soviets" team plane crashed at that time, and Vasily Stalin was supposed to fly with the team. Messing told him to take the train instead. So, Vasily took a few of his closest teammates and traveled by train. They arrived and found out that the plane carrying the rest of the team had crashed. That's the first fact.

The second fact: it's said that Messing saw Stalin for the last time just before his death. On the day it happened, Stalin received a parcel from Gurdjieff. They even mentioned the content of the note. Gurdjieff sent him a gift — a silver dagger, beautifully crafted in traditional Caucasus style. The note said: "You've achieved much, but you haven't achieved immortality."

Do you understand what that means? It's a code for self-destruction, just like what was used with Tsvigun — a verbal code delivered over the phone. When Stalin began to fully break free of control, Gurdjieff sent him the coded message disguised as a gift. Stalin reads it — and it activates whatever was pre-programmed.

Apparently, they decided to take extra precautions. As it was shown in the film (which I believe was reconstructed from factual material): a few minutes after the package from Gurdjieff arrived, Messing came in with some carnations. Stalin said: "What the hell are you doing here?" And Messing replied: "I came to tell you — today you will die." He put the carnations down and left. A few minutes later, Stalin had a stroke.

For most people who don't understand what was really going on, this may not mean much. But Messing performed the role of a "control shot to the head," to put it in modern terms. He came to verify whether the coded phrase sent by Gurdjieff would kill Stalin. If it didn't work — and if the person was strong enough it might not — it would still deliver a psychic blow. Messing's job was to confirm and, if necessary, finish the task. And he did.

(Voice from the audience: "In literature, it was said that Stalin is the reincarnation of Nebuchadnezzar.") Yeah, of course. There's a funny movie, a good one, made by the Americans, called "*Chances Are.*" The idea is that a soul comes back — a husband dies, and they forget to give him the injection that erases memories of past lives. So, he reincarnates, becomes a journalist, and starts remembering — he falls in love with his former wife, and so on. But when strange things start happening, like him knowing where everything is in a house he's never been to — as if it were his own — he goes to a bookstore to look for books on reincarnation. There's a funny moment related to Nebuchadnezzar. He's searching, drops a few books, and a woman helps him pick them up. She asks: "Are you interested in these things?" He replies: "Yes, I am. Of course, I understand I'm not Cleopatra." And she says: "Of course you're not Cleopatra — I'm Cleopatra."

You know, I've met so many people involved in occult sciences and esotericism, and every single one of them — without exception — is either Cleopatra, Alexander the Great, or someone else grand like that. No one ever wants to be just a regular person.

But the funniest thing is that there were far greater people in the past who, for various reasons, didn't make it into modern history — yet no one ever claims to be them. No one says, "I was that great person, even if no one today knows who I was." At one of the events, there were seven different people sitting in a row who all claimed to be incarnations of Christ. I joked to myself — how did they divide it up? One Christ for Mondays, another for Tuesdays, so they wouldn't fight?

So, about incarnations — especially when they come from uneducated people — it's usually nonsense. Of course, people like to hear that something powerful has incarnated in them. But if you start with the fact that Alexander the Great is allegedly reincarnated in many people... In truth, he was a traitor. Even though he was of Slavic origin, he was a dark warrior. He reached India with a specific task and destroyed what was being preserved in India.

The Vedas, which our ancestors passed on to the Indians — written on 6,000 ox hides — were the *real* Vedas. Alexander destroyed them on the orders of Aristotle, who, by the way, was a dark magician, though everyone reveres him. Alexander was simply carrying out the mission of his teacher. It's actually

absurd when you think about it: he reached India, conquered it, then turned back. But he had fulfilled his task — to destroy those Vedas — so that later, anything could be fabricated and passed off as truth. And that's exactly what happened.

(Voice from the audience: "Stalin was given drugs.") Yes, they killed him with drugs too — it was everything combined. Drugs accumulate. He was a tough guy, with powerful energy — so it had to be done in a complex way: a blow on the energetic level, physical level, and mental level. If a person has weak energy, such an energetic strike can break them easily. But someone like Stalin, with a high natural potential — taking him down was no easy task.

Remember Rasputin, for example. In that program, they presented this — and probably not just pulled it out of thin air. These kinds of things usually go through full documentation and vetting. But the fact that he was a student of Gurdjieff is a real one — and there are many such facts. He really did meet with Gurdjieff.

Another of Gurdjieff's students was Hitler — though not directly. Hitler learned from one of Gurdjieff's disciples; it was the same system. You have to understand that the "dark ones" use someone — like they used Stalin — only as long as he does what they want. The moment he started to resist and veer off course, they decided to get rid of him — by any means necessary. They fully understood that he was truly powerful, possessed a strong psyche. He could easily put crowds of people into a hypnotic trance and control them however he wanted.

(Voice from the audience: "Excuse me, at what point did he start resisting?") I think it was during the war, starting around 1942. He realized that he shouldn't lose — he began to understand certain things, many things. I can't say exactly what he thought — those were his personal thoughts — but I can tell you what I think.

If you've read my book, I simply present a version in which, when Stalin eliminated Trotsky — whose task had been to seize power in Russia, ignite a revolution on Russian blood, and destroy the entire Russian people in order to conquer the world for the Judaic elite — and when that plan failed, Stalin then said: "We are satisfied with the victories of socialism in a single country." Lenin started it, and Stalin continued it.

That is, when the global revolution didn't work out — the seizure of power in Russia, the most powerful country, to take over the world using that — a split occurred. Stalin refused to carry out the mission assigned to him by his masters, the Levites. So, they created Hitler and pitted him against Stalin to force a confrontation that would serve their goals either way. And essentially, that's what happened. Although Russia won, they didn't care who won — what mattered was the *result*. And the result was exactly what they wanted.

If anyone doesn't know, the famous American Civil War — the North vs. the South — was financed on *both sides* by the same group: the Rothschilds. They didn't care who won; what mattered was that after the victory, the winner would pay the debt *for both sides*.

The same was true here. But when Stalin realized he was being used — that the group of Jews who decided to rebel against the Levites (according to the Torah, Levites are the ruling elite of the Jews, and if a Jew from another caste refuses to carry out the orders of the Levites, he must be eliminated — this

is described in detail in my book) — they were frightened. Do you know what frightened them? The 256,000 Jews who were killed by the Nazis were those who had rebelled against the Levites. No others were touched, except in rare cases.

(A voice from the audience: "And in what form did the rebellion manifest? They were just ordinary people.") That doesn't matter — if they supported the communist movement on Stalin's side, they were considered traitors and subject to elimination.

Let me share a curious fact someone told me: when fascist elements mistakenly seized the Rothschild palace in Austria (as you know, the Rothschilds were everywhere — in every country), they took the paintings, Persian rugs, and looted the place. The Austrian Rothschild filed a complaint with Hitler that someone dared do such a thing — and this is a fact. You see, the Nazis weren't given all the information. They were only told what they needed to act: someone says "attack," and they go. "Rothschild — Jew — loot him." But he wasn't to be touched by law.

Read the book — it's all detailed there. When Rothschild was robbed and his palace in Vienna ransacked, he complained to Hitler. And what happened? Hitler ordered that everything be returned. There were valuable Persian carpets, and Rothschild especially lamented the loss of his carpet collection, which he had gathered over many years. So, Hitler issued an order — and even during wartime, enormous funds were spent to find similar carpets in Persia and return them to Rothschild.

(A voice from the audience: "So the question arises — did Hitler always obey?") Of course. Unfortunately for all of us, he always obeyed.

(A voice from the audience: "And when did Hitler die?") I don't know exactly, but definitely not in 1945.

(A voice from the audience: "Did he live another 10–15 years?") More than that. It was, of course, a double who died — the fool they presented. That's already been proven, not by me, but by intelligence services — although it's kept secret. Still, some things are starting to leak out.

9.16. QUESTION: *Are there any proofs that fragments of the Moon have fallen to Earth?*

ANSWER: Of course, there are — there are huge craters in America and Antarctica, they just don't talk about it. (A voice from the audience: "Tell us, do you have any information about the upcoming pole shift?") Again, the same questions — I have to keep answering them. Who told you that the poles never move? They always fluctuate; they have constantly oscillated back and forth. As for a complete reversal — where the North Pole becomes the South Pole, a pole inversion — I don't think that's going to happen. Even if it did (though I doubt it), nothing catastrophic would occur, believe me. This has happened before, more than once. But I don't think it will happen again.

The real problem occurred when the Earth's axis tilted — and that happened after the impact of fragments from the destroyed Moon, Fatta. It was such a powerful blow that the axis tilted. Before that, there were no catastrophes related to magnetic pole fluctuations — especially since they always fluctuate within quite large margins. Those who work with navigation know this very well.

(A voice from the audience: "And what about the quantum transition in 2012?") If something happens in 2012, it will be something entirely different and not related to magnetic shifts. Due to certain

circumstances, it will not happen — or at least, I hope it won't. Because a cloud of acid is approaching Earth — it spans more than a million miles. A relatively small cloud, but if it touches Earth, it will simply destroy the planet. However, in recent years there have been many events that should have completely destroyed Earth — but they didn't, for certain reasons. I hope this one won't happen either.

9.17. QUESTION: *What is the difference between the Cult of Osiris and Christianity?*

ANSWER: If you look into the essence — there's no difference, except for the names. Even the birth dates and everything else practically coincide 100%, apart from the addition of some attributes relevant to the era in which the cult was being formed. If you read about it, the similarities are quite astounding.

Back when I was still in the U.S., there was a video call, and I was translating live from English to Russian. I asked people in advance: "I'm going to read something now — tell me who it's about." If I say it's a question, that means there's a trick in it. (A voice from the audience: "In the book *Jesus Christ: Pagan God.*")

Yes, it's shown there that Jesus Christ never had anything to do with Christianity. He was sent by our ancestors — the hierarchy of the Light Gods — to stop the spread of parasitism, to free the Jews from the slavery they had fallen into due to ignorance. But he failed to do so, for certain reasons, and later he was killed and offered as a sacrifice according to their own laws — during the Jewish Passover — as a false prophet who had deviated from the Torah.

If you read the texts, you'll see he distorted the Torah, and by law, if even a single word is different from the Torah, that person is considered a false prophet. And according to the laws of the Torah, he was sacrificed to the god Yahweh on the day of Passover.

(Voice from the audience: — How close is that interpretation to what actually happened?) Nothing at all — meaning the Christians — nothing in common, absolutely. How do you think, why did the Pope destroy the Templars? Because the Templars possessed the manuscripts of Christ himself. It's amusing — everyone who wanted to wrote gospels. Do you know that more than 30 gospels existed? After long debates and corrections, only 4 or 5 were officially recognized.

But who were those people? Illiterate fishermen. The only literate one was the tax collector, the apostle Elijah; all the others were illiterate. And yet, they supposedly wrote all the gospels. Meanwhile, Christ — or the one they call Christ (Christ is not a name, it's a Greek word meaning "messiah," but I use it because everyone knows it) — knew many languages and could read the Torah fluently in ancient Hebrew.

By nationality, he certainly wasn't a Jew. His mother was of Scythian origin. Do I need to go on? Look at the absurdity: all the illiterates wrote the gospels, while the very man who did all those things — the one who actually taught — left nothing behind, even though he was remarkably literate.

The Templars are now being slandered, of course — they too made a number of mistakes — but their Order was created to protect the children of Christ. He had two children. Mary Magdalene was his wife and was never a prostitute. Their mission was to protect Christ's children and Mary Magdalene — and they fulfilled this mission for a very long time. They also preserved the actual documents written by Christ himself. Thank God they were not found, because the aim was to destroy them — to eliminate

any competition for the Pope in the Western world regarding who is the true spiritual leader. They didn't want anyone to expose the lies coming from the papal throne — and not only from the papal throne. Something even more interesting on this topic will be released soon — a chapter from my wife Svetlana's next book will be published on my website. It reveals many interesting issues — I recommend reading it carefully. *"Revelation"* — some chapters, especially the last ones, address religion. The upcoming chapter will be especially interesting — about Christ and much more. It's not something you can summarize in a few words.

It's frustrating that such a bright being — the real Christ — and his good deeds were smeared with filth, and that his name was used to do exactly what he fought against. Can you imagine what kind of scoundrels they are? These cunning parasites act in twisted ways: he stirred the human consciousness — and then they turned everything inside out, and with his name began to spread the complete opposite of what he stood for.

He clearly said: *"I was sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* In other words, even if Christianity existed in the form it does today, it should have been a religion only for the Jews, because he stated: *"I was sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* And what did he say about the god of the Jews? — *"His name is Satan, the devil."* This is what has been preserved in the New Testament. And many other slip-ups have also been preserved, because they were so confident in their neuro-linguistic programming — something they had mastered since ancient times — and in the energetic programming embedded in the text at other levels, that they believed people would be so thoroughly zombified that it wouldn't matter what they wrote.

When they were compiling these texts, they couldn't distort everything 100%, because there were still living elders — grandfathers and grandmothers — who passed the real knowledge on to their grandchildren. Any total distortion would have immediately been recognized. So, they had to leave some truths intact, but they believed it didn't matter because no one would be able to deactivate the programming they embedded.

Back in 1991, when I was conducting seminars and schools in Arkhangelsk, I asked people to bring copies of the New Testament. Without opening them, I would remove certain programs and return the books. People would read them again and say: *"How is this possible? We've read this before, and it wasn't like this."* That is, people began to understand the same text completely differently.

This is based on many things that are kept secret. The best tactic is to say that there is no such thing as magic — that all of this was twisted and distorted by so-called esoterics — all deliberately portrayed in a ridiculous light to discredit it. They say: *"This can't exist because it simply cannot be."* And once everyone is convinced of that, they can act freely, because no one will even think to suspect the truth. No one will even imagine that such things could be possible.

And in Western Europe, they created the Inquisition precisely for this purpose — to exterminate everyone who had the natural ability to see through the lies, who had the gifts to overcome this zombification and help awaken others. These people were systematically eliminated. On the territory of Rus, this wasn't as severe, though there were also mass executions. If we consider that 9 million out of 12 were wiped out — that says a lot.

Though everything beyond the Urals was barely touched, only partially after the beginning of the 19th century. And even then, they no longer had the freedom to slaughter as freely as they did in the early second millennium when Vladimir was acting. By then, they could no longer massacre everyone with impunity.

Our time is up — only 2–3 minutes remain.

9.18. (VOICE FROM THE AUDIENCE: *Tell us about Rasputin*).

ANSWER: This man was used blindly, and he didn't even understand that he was a dull weapon in someone else's hands. So, there's really no point in discussing it at length. He was just a tool — a robot-instrument — used by the dark forces. It doesn't matter what he was like personally; he had both good and bad in him. But many of the things he did cannot be called "light" by any stretch, even with the most favorable view of him — and that's that.

To conclude, I want to say this: despite all the machinations of the enemies, they failed. As you can see, with light or without light, we were able to hold our meeting. I hope that what I've shared today helps you understand yourselves a little better, because my goal — as I see it — is for every person to wake up and become enlightened with knowledge, that is, to become truly *enlightened*. Because the dark ones have already created bio-robots, and even *good* bio-robots don't exist.

So, the only real option isn't to *force* people to do something good, but to help them wake up, to help them become aware. And once people awaken and act consciously, I see my task only as helping them awaken and gain enlightenment through knowledge. And what they will do with that knowledge — that's their right. But I hope it will be the right thing. I wish you to be truly enlightened and to use that enlightenment through knowledge for the benefit of others — not for yourself, but for others.

To conclude, I'll say this: no real development is possible if a person is only taking for themselves. As the Hindus say — "Perfect yourself, don't interfere in anything, you have no right." But that's a dead end. A person evolves and moves forward only through action. When you act — you either move forward or backward, depending on what you do. Of course, doing nothing is also a form of action — but it's a fruitless one. You're doing neither good nor bad, but you're also not moving forward or backward. And that is no better than doing nothing at all.