

5. Meeting – March 31, 2012

Opening remarks by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



Good afternoon to everyone who came today. I hope I'll be able to answer as many questions as possible. I will give priority to questions that come directly from the audience. I'd like to begin this meeting with readers by sharing a bit of information and statistics about what's currently happening. As you understand, the things written in my books—and the reason many of you came here today—do not please certain people. And so, they are doing everything possible and impossible to make things more difficult. For you, to access this information, and for me, to ensure it reaches you.

For example: My website is being illegally blocked. Take Megafon, for instance. They've blocked access to my site entirely. Everyone who uses Megafon to download information is denied access, under the claim that the website is extremist. But first of all—if the site were really extremist, it would be included in the official list of extremist websites. But it's not on that list. So, this is completely arbitrary and unlawful. They're simply trying to prevent more people from visiting the site.

Secondly, out of all the books and articles I have written and posted on the website, only one book has been (illegally) declared extremist — (*"Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors"*). And after the court issued this unlawful ruling, we have continued to fight back. Not a single book has been downloaded from my website. Therefore, there have been no violations — and there *cannot* be any. They are simply waiting for a violation to happen, so they can take further action. That's what this is really about.

Now, for those of you who own this book — whether you purchased it or downloaded it before it was temporarily banned (and I believe this won't last long) — here's what you need to know: You have the right to keep this book at home and read it as much as you like. That is *not* a crime. If anyone tries to pressure you or intimidate you, remember this: You can only be punished if you *distribute, reproduce, or promote* the book. If you don't do that, you are free to read it a thousand times over. Even lending it to a friend to read is *not* prohibited by law. Unfortunately, many people don't know the law — and those "werewolves in uniform" take advantage of that. I can't call them anything else, because they are breaking the very laws they are supposed to uphold.

"Werewolves in uniform" aren't just those who take bribes or beat people. They're also those who violate the constitutional laws of the country — because they *should* know exactly what they're doing. Nevertheless, despite the ongoing sabotage of the website — not just by Megafon, but also other providers attempting to block access — it's not the end of the world. If someone truly wants access, they can file a complaint against the providers that are blocking the site, and those providers will be *forced* to unblock it — which has already happened more than once. Why? Because the site is *not* on the list of banned websites. You can easily download that list yourself and point out: this site is not on it. So, readers who encounter this kind of situation *can* push back against such lawlessness. And this is exactly what they're afraid of — that people will begin taking action. That's why so much depends not only on me — but also on *you*, the readers.

Many people write letters to me, and I advise them on what they should do. Many follow that advice — and everything turns out well. Still, despite the ongoing blocking, the number of visits to the website surpassed five million just a few days ago — and it keeps growing. That's what they're afraid of. Five million visits — and this isn't a porn site, not an entertainment site. Five million visits — two and a half million of them just in the past year. And the number keeps growing, even with all the blocks in place. That shows that people really *need* this — and probably, that's also why you are here today. You're curious, you want to understand and figure things out. The same applies to the books. Blocking the websites has definitely reduced the number of downloads. And yet, as of now (according to the latest stats sent to me today by Dmitry Bayda), there have been **2,849,849 books downloaded**. Very soon, it will be three million. Just think about it — in today's world, print runs of 10,000, 15,000, even 20,000 are considered very large for a book. And here we're talking about nearly *three million downloads* — just from two websites.

As for the other websites where the books have been downloaded — I don't even know how many there are, because we don't have statistics from them. And on top of that, people have made copies, burned them to discs, many have printed and reprinted the books — I have no way of knowing how much of that has happened. All of this shows that people genuinely *need* this. So, as long as I'm breathing, I will continue doing this work. And now, I'll move on to answering the questions that have come in from the audience. I won't be answering personal questions — as many people request — especially those related to healing. Regarding the book "*The Laws of Healing*," which I haven't written yet — I've received multiple messages on my website asking: "Where can I buy *The Laws of Healing*?" But it says right there — it's a *future* book, one that will *be* written. How can someone buy a book that hasn't even been written yet? If you saw the title, at least read what's written at the top of the page. That's why I'm saying this to everyone now. If it were just one message, I wouldn't have paid much attention, but when there are quite a few — please, read carefully. The books that *do* exist — you can download them. And at the bottom, it clearly says: *future*, not yet written, a project. Don't confuse unwritten books with written ones. So, I will only answer the questions that, in my view, will be

interesting to the majority of people here in the audience. My goal is to respond to questions that hold value for *most* of those present. Therefore, I will be sorting through the notes a bit.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

5.1. QUESTION: *Can you explain, at its core, what human dignity is?*

ANSWER: At first glance, this may seem like a strange question. But in principle — especially considering what's happening right now — it is very relevant. Look at how human dignity is being destroyed in every possible way by the mass media. How people are being turned into something like livestock. Especially the youth, who are led to believe they shouldn't care about anything except stuffing their bellies and satisfying their basic physical needs. In such a context, there's no point in even talking about human dignity. I think you'll agree with me. So, what *is* human dignity? Probably, it begins with a person having a *conscience* — and I'm not revealing any great secret here. But even that, lately, is being called into question.

Our people have always been known for having a conscience — even a *painful* sense of justice. I'm sure many of you are familiar with that feeling. It is present at the genetic level. But lately, the younger generation is being raised with entirely different values — and that is deeply regrettable. However, the youth — and not only the youth — (I receive many letters after people read the books that are, for some reason, considered extremist) — they begin to love their homeland. They begin to take pride in *who* they are and *where* they live, in the country they call home. They stop drinking, smoking, and doing drugs — if they had dabbled before, they stop after reading the book.

So then, why was this book declared extremist? Because it leads a person to gain a sense of human dignity. Because it helps them begin to understand the *meaning* of their life. Meanwhile, people today are being brainwashed with the idea that the meaning of life is to stuff your pockets with money and indulge in the "pleasures" of life. Do you know a single billionaire who is truly happy? But how can that be? After all, aren't money and material wealth supposed to solve everything? Everything's for sale, right? Friendship — for sale. Love — for sale. And yet, many of them come to realize very quickly that money can't buy anything — except illusion.

So, human dignity lies in the fact that nothing about a person should be for sale. If someone is a friend — then they should truly be a friend. If someone loves — they should love not for money, not for some gain, but because they *feel* something. For example, a man — for a woman, and a woman — for a man. There's a parable: There was once a very rich man who had an only son. That son had lots of friends — the entire palace was full of them. Before the father died, he made his son promise one last thing: If one day you ever decide to take your own life, there's a certain room in the palace with a hook. I ask you — if you do decide to hang yourself, do it *there*. The son was, of course, shocked — that his father would say such a thing on his deathbed, something you wouldn't wish even on an enemy. But bitterly, he accepted this final wish. The father died. The friends stuck around the palace even more than before. Time passed. The money started running out... and kept running out. And as the money disappeared, so did the friends. When all the furniture had been taken from the palace to cover debts, the last "friend" vanished too. It was then that the son remembered his father's final request. He went to that room... tried to hang himself from the hook — but the hook turned out to be a mechanism. It unlocked a hidden room where the father had stored all his true wealth. Naturally, the son paid off all his debts. And instantly, all the "friends" came back. But by then, he was wise — and he kicked them all out.

Wealthy people — those who *believe* they're rich — are living in an illusion. In my view, happiness can never be bought. Love can never be bought. You can *pretend* anything you want; play whatever roles you wish. But the most important thing is this: if each of you stops *pretending* in life and simply lives as your true self — with your flaws and your strengths (of course, it's better to work on your flaws) — but to *be yourself*, then I believe that is the most important first step: to be a dignified human being. And of course, we all know the age-old truth — I didn't invent it — "*Never do to others what you wouldn't want done to you.*" So, hold to that principle. And set your goal not as some passive mindset — "I'm just on the sidelines, none of this concerns me." Unfortunately, far too many people think this way — "Let someone else do it, and I'll show up later, say thank you, and enjoy the results." But it doesn't work like that. That is a parasitic mindset. And if we keep thinking like that, nothing positive will ever happen in our country. That's exactly what social parasites are hoping for — because for them, a passive population is the perfect situation. I'm not calling for any revolutions — I believe revolutions were created (and there is evidence of this) and staged by social parasites for the purpose of seizing power, wealth, and control. So, revolutions have never led to anything good. But a civic position...When one person stands up and does something, yes — they can be silenced, thrown in jail. That's true. And people think: "Well, he stuck his neck out and got hit. I'm not going to do that — I'll lose my job. Better to stay quiet." When it's just a few people taking action, they really *can* be locked up, sent to psych wards, or even killed. But if everyone starts behaving with dignity and standing up for their rights — they can't lock *everyone* up. These parasites — they don't actually work. They just tremble over their wealth, terrified it might be taken away.

Oligarchs have armies that guard them. But what are they being guarded *from*? From other fools just like them who want to rob them. That's their main task — to protect their stolen wealth and themselves, so a competitor doesn't take them out. Or maybe a wife, a sister, a brother — it doesn't matter who. But *you* — you don't have billions, do you? Or do you have them stashed away somewhere, all buried in the ground? And just pretending you have nothing to eat? There *have* been such cases — I remember back in Soviet times. Beggars would die — people who lived off scraps and begged in the streets — and after they died, they would find 300,000 rubles stashed in their mattresses. You've heard of stories like that, I'm not revealing anything new. That kind of pathology exists too. But I don't think anyone here today is like that — I doubt anyone's buried a few billion "just in case." So, what are *you* afraid of?

Take Siberia, for example — Deripaska. When machinery at the factories breaks down — the same machines the workers use — he says: "If you want to work, fix it at your own expense." Nice, right? And people actually do it. But what if everyone said: "Those are *your* machines — *you* fix them." What if production stopped across the board — that same Deripaska would come running and *be forced* to fix them. But people are afraid — "I'll get fired." What are salaries in Siberia? 5–6 thousand rubles a month? Are there any people from Siberia here? (Someone from the audience says — "A bit more than that.") Well, it varies. But of course, you're *living large* on those salaries, right? Eating black caviar by the bowlful every day? (Audience laughs — "We can barely make ends meet!") You see — it's *possible* to survive. Bread, milk — no one is arguing about that. But the truth is, if people weren't being robbed, the standard of living would be completely different. Have you heard — Russia is joining the WTO? And do you know what that means? That world prices for food will be established in Russia. Sounds good, right? Russia becoming part of the global community. But what they *don't* mention is that working people in the West earn salaries that are *dozens* of times higher. Do you know how much a housekeeper makes in Europe, for example? 1,500–2,000 euros. That's about 80,000 rubles. Anyone here making 80,000 rubles? Any housekeepers in the room? (Laughter) Strange, isn't it? With a salary of 2,000 euros, you *can* afford global prices. Now they're preparing to raise prices again in July — did you know that? Soon,

gasoline will cost more than in Europe or the rest of the world. We're a country — a *people* — who own the wealth of our land. Not the oligarchs — it belongs to the country, *which means it belongs to us*. And yet we'll be paying *more* for gasoline than those who *buy it from us*. Is that right? Is that fair? Or should fuel prices for domestic use be just a bit higher than the cost of production — like they do in many Arab countries? The Arabs can afford that — even though they're not as rich as Russia. But they spend their money on their *own* country, because they *care* about their people. They have a decent quality of life. In some countries, when a person is born, a sum of money is placed in their account. Their education is fully paid — in the best universities of Europe.

Did you know that Russia is richer than all other countries combined? So, tell me — how much has been deposited into *your* personal account? Zero! Ah, maybe they just forgot to add a few zeros at the end... So, when people say things like that, they're only telling half the truth. "Yes, we're joining the WTO — everything's great. We're getting equal rights!" Well then, raise the salaries of working people. Raise pensions. People should be earning at *least* 50–60 thousand rubles per month. Pensions should be no less than 40–50 thousand. Do many people have pensions like that? Oh, how strange... Do you know why government employees in the West cling to their jobs and don't take bribes? Because they'll get punished — although, yes, they do take bribes too, just not the small ones. Over there, they steal by the tens and hundreds of billions — even worse than ours. But lower-level officials *don't* take bribes — because their pension equals 90% of their salary. For example, if someone earned (they calculate by annual income, not monthly) 100,000 or 120,000 dollars a year — calculate 90% of that. If the salary is \$100,000, the pension is \$90,000 per year. Multiply that by rubles — that's a *low-level official*. Are you getting pensions like that? I may have gotten a little carried away, but what am I trying to say? That human dignity cannot exist when you're on your knees. A slave, by definition, cannot possess human dignity. Yes, he may be a slave — but a person can be *free* even in prison, and a *slave* while walking free. Do you understand what I mean? A person can fight back, even while in prison — and not be broken. And *that* person has human dignity. But most people living outside of prison — well, *relatively* outside — you understand why I say it that way — they don't really *have* dignity, because everyone's afraid. Afraid that if they speak up, they'll get hit. Everyone is afraid to stick their neck out — and waits for someone else to do it first. But is it fair to expect others to stand up if you won't? Some people *do* stand up — and *will continue* to stand up. Because not everyone is indifferent. Those of you who came here today — it seems like *you're* not indifferent. That's why you came. That's why you *stood up*.

If we're truly trying to *do something* — to contribute something to our country — then we shouldn't be afraid that we won't be praised for it. But that doesn't mean we should act recklessly — we must carefully study the situation and understand our positions. Recently, in Omsk, they tried to open a criminal case against some trade unions that were being formed — and members of our Movement were present there too. Supposedly, they were engaged in extremist activity — because of my books. Did it work? No. Because a group of people gathered, came with a camera, filmed everything — and then posted it online for everyone to see. It clearly shows the officer, the investigator, saying on the phone: "*There's nothing I can do; there's nothing I can do!*" It was all recorded — and just like that, the case fizzled out. But in Chelyabinsk, they used fear. There, people signed whatever was put in front of them, out of panic — because they were *ignorant* about their rights and the situation. And what were they afraid of? It's very simple. They were being accused of terrorist activity. Everyone was terrified.

You know, I had to speak with five investigators during the process of them trying to ban the book. I firmly defended my position, and all five investigators refused to initiate a criminal case — even though, as long as the civil court process is ongoing, a criminal case cannot legally be initiated. That, too, would be unlawful. Even so, the Prosecutor General's Office put serious pressure on the investigators,

demanding they open a case. But as long as a book hasn't been officially declared extremist, no action whatsoever can be legally taken. Even if the book is eventually banned — whether legally or illegally (and that's a whole separate issue, because there were *so many* criminal violations committed during that trial — by the judge and the prosecutor — that there would be enough to sentence all those involved to life in prison) ... But everything is covered up by the Prosecutor General's Office. Even if the book has been banned, only its distribution can be prosecuted — and only after the book has been officially entered into the registry of banned materials. So, where is it? Is it on the list? — If it's on the list, *then* yes. If you're out there promoting it, handing it out, or selling it — *then* they can open a case against you for distributing extremist material. Only then. But if you simply own the book and are reading it — that is *your right*. No one has the right to forbid you from doing that, and it is not considered extremist in any way. Even if they find the book in your possession — it's still your right. Now, if they find a *hundred* copies in your home, it might be hard to convince anyone that you're reading them all one at a time — that's another matter. But if they find one book, and try to scare you, to "intimidate" you, as criminals like to say, accusing you of extremism — that's completely illegal.

You have the right to read any book. And it does not constitute extremist activity — unless you start forcing it on others. At the very least, we should take the time to learn our own rights, because our ignorance is being used very effectively by our opponents. Just look at Omsk and Chelyabinsk — in both places there were members of the Movement. In one case, they behaved correctly, and they were all released. In the other, they signed a bunch of fake papers — which were still unlawful. Because my website is not extremist. They didn't distribute *any* books. They didn't distribute the book "*Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors*" either. So, what were they being prosecuted for? It's the investigators who should be prosecuted. That's exactly what I recommended: everyone should file a statement calling for a criminal case to be opened against the investigators. And the best thing to do — immediately publish it online. If you can't do it yourself, send it to my website, or send it to Dmitry Bayda. We'll post it on the site right away — and it will spread very quickly. And once that happens, they won't be able to silence it — because once the truth is out in the open, it's impossible to bury it. And even now, despite the blocks, the two websites are getting over 600,000 visitors per month — and that number is still growing.

So, my advice is: don't be afraid. Because fear is often false, intentionally amplified to mislead people, to make them do things they don't want to do and will later regret, complicating their position greatly. Therefore, my advice is: don't fear things that you shouldn't fear. You should fear reality, not fiction. You might ask, "What can we do?" You can do a lot if you stand on the side of truth. And it doesn't take that much.

5.2. QUESTION: *According to our observations, and I think doctors also agree, lately many pregnant women have experienced fetal demise.*

ANSWER: There are several reasons for this—terrible reasons involving high-ranking officials, among others. Russia is the world's primary supplier of human beta-alpha protein. This particular protein is extracted from the spinal cord and brain tissue of fetuses aged approximately five to six months—already a child by that stage. Many women are pressured into terminating their pregnancies precisely at 5–6 months, being falsely told their fetus is abnormal or defective. In 90% of cases, when a woman does not blindly trust doctors, it turns out to be a lie—the child is perfectly normal. Now, tell a woman who's carried her fetus for six months, would she voluntarily agree to terminate such a pregnancy? I don't think so. Exceptions might be rare situations, such as severe kidney problems or life-threatening health conditions for the mother. But these situations are extremely rare. Why do doctors do this? And note

that it's not just one doctor involved! Because, according to my data from around 1994–95 (it might be even higher now), on the market (stock exchange), one gram of human alpha-protein is worth 8–10 million dollars per gram.

Recently, in 1994–95, Russia supplied the United States with about 4–6 pounds, which is roughly 2–3 kilograms, of human beta protein annually. Just think about it—2–3 kilograms! Imagine how many children—already six-month-old babies—this represents. Any abortion is a crime, but a six-month-old fetus is essentially a child in every sense of the word. How many children must be killed for this? And that's only the 2–3 kilograms sent to America; what about other countries and domestic usage? How many children are killed, and how many doctors must be involved in such an operation? Hundreds, if not thousands of doctors, whose actual responsibility should be the exact opposite: to ensure that children reach full term and are born healthy and on time. Considering that, as far as I recall, there are about 1.9 million abortions per year in Russia. Thus, more than a million children—already human beings—are killed, generating billions in profit. That's the reality. (Audience member: "How are they being killed?") — Through targeted influences, primarily via television and satellite broadcasting. (Audience member: "But how is abortion connected to this?") — This external influence affects the fetus, causing disruptions both in the fetus and in the woman.

Why can pregnancy termination occur? There are several reasons. Doctors are responsible for one reason; those same doctors are responsible for the second reason; and in the third case, those responsible are the ones exerting external influence. Women consume many contraceptive medications—that's a known fact. But what exactly is a contraceptive medication? It is male hormones. The reason is that pregnancy occurs when there is a certain stable balance between male and female hormones, which normally fluctuate back and forth depending on the menstrual cycle. At a specific point, conception becomes possible. However, when male hormones are artificially elevated through contraception, fluctuations of female hormones never reach the levels necessary for pregnancy. Everyone is happy—there is no pregnancy.

But this leads to the disruption of the hormonal system—a system medicine doesn't know how to treat and cannot restore. Medicine only knows how to destroy it. And here's what happens next: when a woman finally decides to have a child and stops taking contraceptives, she faces the consequences of their long-term use. Nowadays, these medications are taken starting at age 14, sometimes even as young as 10. This is taught extensively in schools. Meanwhile, genuine knowledge about Russia, our country's glorious past, and other important subjects is neglected. But contraception—this they teach very thoroughly.

Thus, so many hormonal disruptions accumulate that even after stopping hormone-based contraceptives, many women remain permanently sterile. Their hormonal systems have already been irreversibly damaged, especially if they initially had underlying problems that were worsened by these drugs. But if contraception hasn't entirely destroyed fertility, the following scenario occurs: after pregnancy begins, female hormones continue to increase. What are hormones? They're essentially proteins that act as catalysts for processes, accelerating cell division in the fetus and enabling rapid fetal development.

Those who've read *"The Final Appeal to Humanity"* will recall how I described step-by-step the entry and exit of certain "entities" at different stages. With each new developmental stage, there's a brief moment of fetal stillness, when one entity leaves and the next hasn't yet entered. This phenomenon is scientifically recorded through hormonal releases—these are medical facts, not something I've made up.

These pauses allow a higher-level entity to enter, symbiotically interact, and contribute to the evolutionary advancement of the biomass. If hormone levels fail to rise to the necessary next stage, this transition won't happen. During pregnancy, female hormone levels naturally increase. They must reach a certain threshold; if hormones can only reach a lower level, despite all efforts, the fetus won't develop properly. At a specific point, a so-called fetal demise occurs. That's one aspect.

On the other hand, there's also significant external interference through television and satellite transmissions, which emit harmful radiation. As you know, many satellites have fallen—not only ours—because the equipment onboard, designed to influence various processes, was deliberately destroyed. But it's impossible to eliminate all such equipment; when something is discovered, it gets removed. Nonetheless, a strong influence continues through television. For each device that's destroyed, ten more new ones are produced.

At the very same factories owned by Deripaska, devices for infrasonic influence are being manufactured. Did you know that? Even our esteemed police, as they're now called, possess similar devices. These devices don't kill, because killing requires a certain level of power, but by simply targeting crowds, they can cause bleeding, headaches, fatigue, weakness, and other symptoms. By directing such infrasonic influence toward crowds, authorities supposedly fight terrorism.

Just because someone doesn't know something, it doesn't mean it doesn't exist. Deripaska himself was foolish enough to talk openly about this, and recordings exist to prove it. So, these aren't rumors; they're facts. If you ever notice large gatherings where vehicles covered with antennas are present, there's a high probability that those vehicles contain crowd-control devices. They can essentially provoke any reaction. If such influence hadn't been blocked, "Orange revolutions" would have already happened here. These same devices can induce anger and aggression in people.

And when people gather, they can direct this influence to provoke aggression—people would become crazed and start doing things they normally wouldn't do. You understand who would benefit greatly from that. That's why such things are blocked, but they do exist. Blocking is possible only when it's known what exactly is being planned. Nothing gets blocked automatically.

Did I scare you too much? Maybe the next question won't be so frightening. I remember in our childhood; we had scary stories about a coffin on wheels—did you have that as kids? A coffin rolling out... black gloves approaching... and we'd all scatter. In the evening, when it got dark, we'd gather near the apartment building entrance and start scaring each other.

5.3 QUESTION: *Could you lead the movement to revive our Vedic calendar system?*

ANSWER: This work is already underway, but as long as social parasites dominate society, they won't allow it. Although around the world people celebrate the Chinese New Year, Jewish New Year, yet for some reason our calendar isn't recognized or celebrated anywhere. We can start celebrating ourselves, but first, information is necessary, because many people aren't even aware of this, especially when all Christians proudly declare themselves (striking chest) "We are Orthodox!" But have they ever stopped to think about the meaning of the word "Orthodox"—which means glorifying "Prav?" And what is "Prav?" It is precisely the realm of the gods—that is, beings who have reached a level of creative power—not in the sense of God as interpreted by the Christian church. It turns out all Christians actually praise so-called "pagan" gods. But are these gods worshipped by our ancestors truly pagan? And do they match

our current understanding of gods? No, because the Vedic worldview of our ancestors existed for hundreds of thousands of years, and specifically on the territory of modern Russia, it has existed for more than one hundred thousand years—but everyone remains silent about it.

But why do social parasites consider me their number-one enemy? Not Bin Laden, who actually worked for them, but me—they regard me as the enemy and at the same time are terrified of me. They have reasons to fear me, because in the book [...], I took the Old Testament, the Torah, and placed them side by side, drawing parallels.

I demonstrated that these documents describe the very same events, but from different perspectives—from the viewpoint of winners and the defeated. At that time, our ancestors were victorious, but the defeated learned from their mistakes, and the world we have today is a direct result of those conclusions. Our ancestors made many mistakes, so blindly reviving Vedic traditions exactly as they once existed is unacceptable—especially considering the past 1,500 years, coinciding with the onset of the "Night of Svarog." Notice the strange coincidence: Kievan Rus adopted Christianity exactly when the "Night of Svarog" began in the year 988. Isn't that odd?

Recall the religion Princess Olga adopted: the Greek religion—the cult of Dionysus. And Askold? He also adopted the Greek religion. Christianity, as such, didn't even exist until the late 11th or early 12th century—the word "Christianity" itself didn't exist. Those who've read Svetlana's book know that I have written about this, exposing it as fabricated lies.

In my autobiography, I exposed how birthdates were manipulated. It turns out, for Svyatoslav to be Vladimir's father according to the official dates, he would have had to father a child at the age of seven. Even today's accelerated teenagers, who become parents at 11–12 years old, don't reach such extremes. So how is it possible that millions of people in our country studied "history," yet nobody noticed such blatant contradictions? Vladimir's birth year and Svyatoslav's birth year are separated by just seven years! And there are many more examples because one lie is covered up by another.

How could there possibly be chronicles from the 9th, 10th, or 11th centuries if Peter the Great introduced the Julian calendar only in 1700? Before that, all chronicles used our ancestral calendar. The year was 7528 when the Christian calendar was introduced (the year 1700 according to the Julian calendar). Proof of this still exists in St. Sophia's Cathedral. Look at the inscriptions on the tombs of the Kievan princes—which calendar is used there? Is it the Christian calendar for Yaroslav the Wise? No, it clearly says "from the Creation of the World in the Star Temple." Everyone looks at it but sees nothing. These are such glaring errors. They consider us all idiots, thinking that just because they invented methods to influence people, forcing them to see what they want them to see, it will remain this way forever.

I've already mentioned that in 1991, when I was conducting seminars in the city of Arkhangelsk, I asked participants to simply read the New Testament, since the Old Testament was too lengthy, but the New Testament was shorter. I had people read up to a certain point, then bring their books to me. Without even touching the books, I merely waved my hand around them a couple of times and replaced the entire text, reprinted it anew, and returned the books to their owners. Later, when they read the same books again, they were astonished: "How? We read this, we didn't see this before—where did it come from?" And I jokingly replied that, while waving my hands, I retyped the entire book. Funny, right? But what did I actually do?

The texts contain an embedded hypnotic program that compels people to perceive only what the creators of that program intended. In reality, a person hypnotizes themselves. When they start reading, they focus their attention without realizing that by doing so, they direct their own energy into the text. This energy activates a dormant program. It's not even the authors of the program who expend energy—rather, the reader unknowingly hypnotizes themselves. After reading such a text, the reader ends up understanding exactly what others want them to understand.

I later explained to people, consider Golgotha (supposedly located in Israel, this small hill). Yet the New Testament describes how Christ, while crucified, observed ships entering a harbor. For reference: Jerusalem, as we know it today, is situated approximately 100 kilometers away from the Mediterranean coast. Remarkably good eyesight, wouldn't you agree?

5.4. QUESTION: *Some claim that a woman reincarnates only into a female body because she has unique genetics.*

ANSWER: Naturally, a woman's genetics differ from a man's—otherwise, men and women wouldn't exist. But there are also hermaphrodites, the fifth race, who carry two XY chromosomes. Their descendants, numbering roughly 800 thousand individuals worldwide, are precisely the ones who rule the world today. These are exactly those people. However, genetics and essence (soul) are two completely different things. One can claim whatever one wants, but when an essence realizes that it indeed incarnated into the wrong body, that's unfortunate. In the past, people were healthier and stronger, especially at the beginning of the last century.

Today, medicine is doing everything possible to weaken people, leaving them virtually without an immune system. Almost everyone's immunity is compromised or completely destroyed—especially starting from childhood. Additionally, hospitals deliberately infect newborns with staphylococcal infections, further destroying immunity. As a result, physiology's natural influence becomes increasingly diminished. Eventually, the essence (soul) may start to dominate. And if indeed the essence finds itself in the wrong body, that becomes a serious problem, because it's essentially an illness.

Why is homosexuality so forcefully imposed on the entire world as something normal? It's imposed precisely by those descended from hermaphrodites because, due to their not-so-healthy genetics—let's put it that way—over 70% among them are homosexual. And as you know, they rule the world as social parasites. Why do they impose it? Because they need to prove that their condition is normal, wonderful, even exclusive—something available only to the chosen. But personally, I couldn't care less about such "chosen ones." They hide very interesting things. For instance, consider Graves' disease (goiter). With this disease, the thyroid gland enlarges slightly—10%, 15%, or 20% enlargement—but a thyroid gland enlarged by twofold is considered a severe pathology, prompting surgical removal of half the gland to normalize hormone levels.

Now, not my research, but medical studies using tomography have revealed something remarkable: male homosexuals have a pituitary gland four times larger than that of a normal man. Conversely, homosexual females have a pituitary smaller than that found in normal men. A normal woman's pituitary gland is twice as large as that of a man. Naturally, a woman with pathology might have a pituitary gland similar in size to a man's pituitary. In both cases, this is clearly pathology—an illness. Of course, they shouldn't be killed for this.

But this pathology is a serious medical issue. And people—especially women—will understand me. Women, on certain days, don't always perceive reality adequately, and men know it's often best to leave them alone. Now imagine a man whose pituitary gland is twice the size of a woman's—just consider the extreme hormonal pressure he experiences (gestures at temple). Such people should not be allowed anywhere near the tasks of governing a country, nor any decisions involving other people. But they have power and money, and their goal is to prove that their condition is normal. It's not just psychological perversion—it's an illness! And this illness leads precisely to such perversions—you know exactly what I mean. But still, it remains an illness. Individuals with such an illness should never be allowed near positions that determine the fate of others. Do I really need to explain where most of these individuals are found? Everyone knows that already.

5.5 QUESTION: *How do the stones charged by you work?*

ANSWER: They aren't simply charged; I create special devices based on these stones, which generate a protective field. These devices have already saved the lives of many people. Individuals found themselves in situations where they should have died, yet they survived, even during head-on collisions. One man wrote about how he was riding in a car driven by his son, while he himself sat in the passenger seat. Only he had the stone with him. Their car was involved in a serious accident, hitting people, including a dog, in a head-on collision with another vehicle. However, nobody in the car with the stone sustained even a scratch.

(A voice from the audience: "*Where can we buy these stones?*") They aren't for sale. They're distributed only among members of the Movement free of charge—only the cost of the stone itself is covered. I don't simply charge the stones; I create a device capable of generating a protective field around the person. Of course, if the impacting force is extremely high (the device can't account for every scenario), these stones may crack from overload, absorbing and neutralizing the negativity in the process—even though they are very durable stones! In such cases, the stone must be replaced. Because if the device were set to withstand extremely high power, it might knock the person unconscious from overload. And there have been multiple such cases.

To conclude the story of the aforementioned individual: in the other vehicle involved in the head-on collision, everyone died except for one small infant. When Nadezhda Yakovlevna contacted me that night, these people asked me for help. I was able to save the child—that was all I could do—but everyone else in the other car died. What does this indicate? It shows that a head-on collision like that was 100% fatal. Thus, these stones aren't simply "charged:" they're actual devices creating protective fields. Naturally, it's impossible to foresee every situation since the device can't think independently. It has certain limits but must perform according to the instructions embedded within it when created. I make these protective charms specifically for members of our Movement, as they become targets of certain dangers once they join. Although provocateurs and infiltrators also attempt to enter our Movement—which has happened before and probably always will, unfortunately—they usually don't last long. The only "success" they achieve comes from the nonsense they invent, nothing more.

5.6. QUESTION: *The sense of calm from Friday night into Saturday and throughout Saturday—is this a result of the Sabbath egregore or cosmic laws?*

ANSWER: First of all, the influence of the Sabbath egregore doesn't extend to us—to "goyim." But if you're not a goy, then yes, certainly. Secondly, dark forces are most powerful in the springtime. That's why they attempt to carry out their worst deeds during this period. It's no coincidence that Radomir was

captured and executed precisely at the end of winter or beginning of spring. They manipulate timing because calendars were entirely different back then. Translations of these events can be quite inaccurate, making your question rather amusing.

By the way, how are we being fooled? We are deceived through ignorance. Even the Indians (Hindus), for example, deceive us like children from a preschool. Do you recall their description of the great battle, the *Suras* and *Asuras*? They describe, in a distorted way, the battle that happened 13,000 years ago. When the great Slavic-Aryan Empire and Antlaniya—or Atlantis—launched a war against the mother empire.

This war caused a global catastrophe, shifting the Earth's axis. At that moment, so-called gods arrived and fought against them to stop the disaster; subsequently, Fatta fell, and everyone knows the consequences. That's the true story, clearly described. In my book *"Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors,"* which some people strongly dislike, I've detailed and restored all these dates. Many things in the texts of the Old Testament and the Torah mention these events. One just needs to dig deeper—I found what I needed and reconstructed the sequence of events, where and how it all happened.

Now, what does "goy" mean? Everyone knows. And what about "yog"? Did you know that it's actually the same word, just read differently? Depending on how you read the word—from left to right, as we're accustomed, or from right to left—you get "goy" or "yog." I'm not referring to the term "izgoy" (outcast), but specifically to "goy" and "yog."

And consider the words "sur" and "asur," or "Rus" and "Asur"—what does this mean? The Assy (Asuras) were gods living on Earth. The Rus were our ancestors who arrived from other planets, beings our ancestors considered gods because they had reached the level of creators. Just read about it in *"Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors."* However, the concept of "gods" we have now is entirely different from what actually existed back then. In my books and autobiography, I've explained that beings from various planets traveled to Earth specifically to undergo initiation—achieving the level of creator-gods. Even inhabitants from the original mother planets, from which our ancestors came, visited Earth for this purpose.

"Suras" and "Asuras" is a distorted depiction of the war between Antlaniya (Atlantis) and the original Vedic Empire, the mother civilization. That's it! But look how it's been distorted—just read their descriptions. We're simply being deceived because we've forgotten our history. Those same social parasites read everything from right to left, as do many Arabs. As a result, many words take on entirely different meanings and interpretations.

And if we read these words correctly from our perspective—considering that our alphabet and language existed long before all the others, this isn't something I've invented; there are undeniable facts supporting it. For instance, I recommend reading *"Forbidden Archaeology,"* for those who haven't. American researchers discovered inscriptions in fossilized imprints that are over 200,000 years old—written in Russian, using an alphabet barely changed since then. And these inscriptions date back more than 200,000 years!

Even if you take the Jews themselves—there's a book published by them stating that their civilization emerged about 4,500 years ago. These aren't my words—this is their own claim. Our ancestors

colonized this planet approximately 600,000 to 800,000 years ago—it's impossible to say more precisely. And it's not that I can't be exact. To state something exactly, I need factual evidence I can physically present. Without concrete evidence, I'd immediately be accused of falsification or fabrication. That's why there are many things I know but can't yet openly discuss, due to certain reasons. That's the first point.

Secondly, I don't currently have physical proof in my hands to show directly, although there is plenty of other evidence available. However, as soon as tangible proof appears, I will present it. We must clearly understand that a war is underway, and the most dangerous kind of war today is informational warfare. They have a special “affection” for me because I've started waging an information war against them—and it's been rather successful.

They're obsessed only with making money; money is all they see. That's why they never imagined that I would make my books available for free download. I publicly announce that I grant permission to publish my books and explicitly state that I waive any royalties to lower the price of the book. Imagine, from the three million downloads of my books, I must have earned a lot, right? That's just the number I know; the actual downloads are probably over ten million by now.

Even from the printed books, I earn essentially nothing because I deliberately waived my 20% royalties to reduce the price for readers. Why aren't the printed books entirely free? Because paper and printing aren't given away for free. Every book represents thousands of hours of my personal labor.

(A question from the audience: *“What's the difference between electronic and printed books?”*)

There's no difference in terms of information—it's identical. Some people simply prefer holding a book in their hands; personally, I also prefer reading printed books. I only read digital versions when a physical copy isn't available. Holding an actual book creates an entirely different perception, though content-wise there's no difference. By offering both formats, we simply strive to reach as many people as possible. Although the number of internet users in Russia is rapidly growing, not every family owns a computer. Internet access, especially in rural areas, is expensive, and downloading certain books may take hours, or even days. All my materials are available for free on my website www.levashov.info, and I don't make any money from books that are published.

5.7. QUESTION: *Is there a secret alien laboratory in Kurkino, in northwest Moscow, where children are taken, etc.?*

ANSWER: I haven't directly encountered this specific question, although such laboratories exist—not only in Russia but everywhere. It's not necessarily the aliens themselves running these facilities; often, our own special services manage them using alien materials, attempting to create hybrids. Clearly, our intelligence services haven't read the Slavic Aryan Vedas (SAV), although they should have. It's explicitly stated there that high-level hierarchs from the original home planets—civilizations from which our ancestors came—travel to Earth to achieve further development. Earth is unique, as it's the only place where beings can ascend to the level of creators. If people who are currently influenced by social-parasitic ideology were allowed to develop properly, you can't imagine the incredible potential humanity could reach. Of course, that doesn't mean everyone has equal potential, because genetics play a critical role. But beyond genetics, each individual possesses an essence (soul) that must act correctly, respond appropriately, and draw the right conclusions, among other things.

You can't even imagine the levels of potential achievable by a person born on this planet, especially someone from the white race. Not because the white race was specifically created as superior, but because it inherently carries qualities that other races do not possess. Other races were brought here about 40,000 years ago by our ancestors, as refugees. And even their relocation here was intentionally organized.

And again, there's no disrespect or contempt implied. Can you compare an infant, or even a preschooler, to someone who's graduated from university? If you ask a five-year-old child what they think about quantum physics, for example, they'll be unable to respond. Does this mean the child is stupid or primitive? Absolutely not. The child simply needs time to grow, attend school, finish their education, enter university, and study quantum physics—then they'll be able to answer your question. There's no humiliation involved here. It's simply a matter of young and more mature races. Just like there are children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly, the same applies to different races. That is why I introduced the concept of an "evolutionary number," which doesn't define something as better or worse, but rather qualitatively different.

Can you find two people who look exactly alike? Aside from identical twins there are no people who look alike. And if people are different, should we take one person as the standard and destroy everyone else because they're different? If someone differs in height or other parameters, is that discrimination or an insult to national dignity? It's all nonsense. There are newborns, children, young adults, teenagers, mature people, and elderly individuals, each with completely different experiences and paths in life. And there's nothing wrong with that. A person who has lived a long and active life doesn't degrade or insult someone who's just been born. There are real, objective differences, and there are purely fabricated ideas. We need to clearly distinguish and understand these differences.

Indeed, things like this are happening. Various experiments are being conducted, both here and in America, attempting to create hybrids to produce superweapons. Just consider: if a spacecraft arrives here from elsewhere, who typically travels on it? Usually, it's just a pilot or, at best, a scientist or researcher. I doubt that a great luminary or high-ranking hierarch would arrive for exploratory purposes. Even if they did, it doesn't mean they possess all the knowledge and achievements of their civilization. It's comparable to grabbing a taxi driver and demanding they provide the code for the president's nuclear briefcase. How much do you think that driver would know?

The same absurdity applies here: people assume if aliens come from another planet with advanced technology, they must know everything. In reality, the pilot knows only how to control the ship—and that's it! They probably don't even know how the spacecraft was built, who built it, or on what principles it operates.

Ask any pilot currently flying spacecraft—they know exactly which buttons to press, when to pull certain levers, and how to handle different situations. And that's excellent, because their primary task is to ensure the flight operates safely. They may have a general understanding of how things work, such as that certain oxidizers react, ignite, and generate thrust, allowing the craft to move. They also know what kind of stresses they'll experience because they've trained for it. But that's the limit of their knowledge. So how are pilots arriving from other civilizations any different from our own pilots? They aren't. They're just pilots, with no superhuman capabilities. Nor could they possibly have them by nature.

And those Hierarchs who exist in their civilizations, who really own, have opportunities (and that depends on which civilization), in most cases do not appear here. And if they did, having such

opportunities, they would never fall into the clutches of the same special services. Do you realize what primitivism exists? There's a good anecdote. American astronauts, their shuttle crashes on a planet of monkeys, the monkeys capture the pilots, put them in cages and show them a banana and a red button, press the button and you get a banana. The pilots started to resent, shouting, we are reasonable beings, why do you keep us as idiots? One day, the second day, the third, the fourth, the fifth, but hunger sets in45=-56, I want to eat. The pilot thinks, I'll press this stupid button, at least I'll eat. He presses the button, gets a banana, eats it. The observing chimpanzee records that after long training, a simple conditioned reflex has developed.

The way our people approach such phenomena is based on the same principle. They project their own notions and ideas onto something they do not know at all. And they think that everything must be exactly the way they think it should be. Unfortunately, there are labs like this. Not realizing that children without any interventions, if they are properly developed, will achieve 1000 times more. The civilizations that fly in and send their saucers here, that's the reason they send them, that they can't understand what's going on. They never will, ever. Also, what's happening, and why it is not happening or is happening in a distorted way.

Unfortunately, the social environment created by social parasites affects a person from birth. And a person, even a good person, grows up in this environment, it influences him, he doesn't even know about it. And this influence manifests itself in many aspects. I've been watching people whose brains I've been rebuilding since 1991. And I can say this: you give a person opportunities that he never had and will never have if he does it himself, and you explain what needs to be done and how to do it so that these opportunities can be realized and developed. To achieve something really worthwhile.

And now, after so many years—since 1991—I don't see a single person (though there have been several hundred, over 200 in fact) who has realized even a tenth of what could have been achieved. Why? Because social parasitism—the parasitic social environment—influences people in such a way that, once they gain some knowledge, they immediately believe they know better, understand more clearly what needs to be done, and start acting based solely on their limited perspective.

I explain everything to a person in great detail: "Do it this way; here's the mechanism; this is exactly what happens, step by step." I clearly state the rules and explain precisely why things must be done this way. But no—unfortunately, they don't want to listen. They desire instant results and, above all, money, money, and more money. Of course, I don't see anything wrong if a person earns money through genuine work. After all, it is labor, and often difficult labor at that.

One of my listeners once attempted to break a small stone remotely within five minutes. Afterward, he ended up sleeping for about 30 hours—and, by the way, he never even succeeded in breaking the stone. Such activities involve tremendous energy expenditures, and even a small mistake can cost a practitioner their life. I always tell people clearly: to develop your abilities, you must practice systematically, starting with simple tasks that are easy to master and control quickly.

For example, if approached correctly, even a chronic stomach ulcer can be completely healed, except in rare cases when it's directly connected to brain function. There are several reasons why ulcers develop. If it's not a functional (neurological) issue but an ordinary one caused by overstimulation of the vagus nerve due to stress, it can be fully healed in no more than two weeks, leaving no trace whatsoever—documented and confirmed medically.

I explain exactly how it's done: you create a program, apply it, and clearly observe whether you've reached the goal. If the goal isn't achieved, then you've done something wrong. Keep searching and adjusting until you find the right approach, successfully solving even the simplest problem. Only then do you establish feedback, sensing clearly what you've created in your mind and what's actually happening in reality. Yet what's interesting is that nobody cares about this; nobody wants to try healing even a simple ulcer, to work with it. Consider how many people suffer from ulcers and how many could be helped. Do it for free! But no! To this day, over 90% of the work I do is completely free—even though I perform tasks that no one else has ever done, tasks for which I could rightfully demand millions. But I help people for free when I see they're truly deserving. Moreover, in some cases, I even give them money myself to help out—yet, by the way, nobody gives me money just like that.

5.8 QUESTION: *Regarding evolutionary development.*

ANSWER: The thing is, evolution — the development of a person — if we put it in our words, is "revivat," the turn of RA. That is, a movement in a spiral, enlightenment through knowledge. Knowledge is necessary in order to understand what and how to do things, but knowledge itself changes you. And I can say that the books I write have an impact to make it easier for a person to perceive the information; I don't hide this, and many people are very grateful because they absorb it very well and easily. But even having gained understanding, that is only a necessary, not a sufficient condition. In order to move forward, it is necessary to act, that is, to apply this knowledge in practice. And practice must first be understood. Right now, we are doing a kind of "liquidation of illiteracy" (basic education).

For those who have read Svetlana's book, you might remember that Sever said people are not ready, the time has not come. But people will never be ready on their own, social parasites will do everything to make sure people never wake up. And it is useless to wait for people to wake up. That is exactly why I personally started to act, to write these very books, to spread them in order to help people. At the very least, my conscience will be clear, because I can say that I did everything I could to help people awaken. But whether people wake up or not no longer depends on me. It depends on the people themselves. Whether they want to apply this knowledge or not. "Oh, we need a school!" — but first of all, much needs to be done, and not immediately — like, "we want to go to space." Yes, everyone wants that right away. But we need to go through and accomplish a lot on our ordinary earthly level first. Simply put, every person can act, if every deed is done with honor and conscience. You probably all know that familiar feeling, like goosebumps running down your skin, when something significant happens, and it even gives you chills.

When a person does something truly right, with honor and conscience, with fairness, they get those goosebumps. That happens because there is a qualitative change within the person — even if it's just a tiny drop, the smallest of drops. But if there isn't just one drop, but ten, a hundred, a thousand, they accumulate and change the person, and they move forward. And without this kind of progress, until a person reaches a certain level where the application of other qualities becomes possible, nothing is achievable. Experience has shown this clearly: without it — no. You give something to a person, and they regress into primitiveness. Even good people, when given something they're theoretically ready for, roll back. Because the temptation is just too strong.

In fact, I've hardly seen people who can resist this temptation. Personally, if there is something I don't know, I always say, "Sorry, I don't know this, I haven't studied it, I haven't read it." It's impossible to study everything, to read everything. But many people, who have read a thousand times less than I

have, and know far less, think they know everything there is to know. And they do absurd things, thinking they are acting correctly, because they've seen something *their way*. And without even understanding what they've seen, they start interpreting it.

But how can you interpret something when you only have 10% of the mosaic that you can actually see, while 90% remains unseen? And that's assuming you even perceive that 10% correctly. The problem is that parasitism — unfortunately, the social environment has been shaped in such a way — that a lot of it exists within us. And as I've said before, we need to get rid of the slave within ourselves first and foremost. We should rejoice in the success of our neighbor, of others, if they have achieved something honestly. Have many people read Nikitin? In one of his books, the main character ends up in hell, and there, the Rus people are boiling in a cauldron. And as soon as someone's head rises above the rim of the cauldron, dozens of hands appear and pull them back down. Now, is there that much falsehood in this? No. We have been divided to such an extent that people tear each other apart. Everyone cries about how much they love their Motherland, but when it comes down to the essence, they forget about it. They start claiming their rights, that they are the most righteous, the truest, and so on. Even though there is no factual evidence to back it up — only their personal opinion. And so, it turns out that the parasites have achieved a lot; they've managed to make sure that we are not united as one, as a single fist...

I had the chance to attend a gathering of patriotic forces. I was there, and apart from empty talk and discussions about who would hold which position in the government, I didn't hear anything worthwhile. So where is the interest and the mission of truly awakening people? To stand up for justice? It wasn't there, because the majority of them are infected with this parasitic disease. And as long as this infection sits within us, as long as we don't burn it out of ourselves with red-hot iron, little will change. And there's no point in complaining that the parasites are so bad. Yes, they *are* bad. But if the parasites have latched onto us and are sucking our blood, and we just complain, "Ah, such terrible parasites!" — well, at least sprinkle some salt on them! You know, leeches and ticks will immediately let go if you sprinkle salt on them. There's no need to yank them off — just salt them. But figuratively speaking, if a person doesn't squeeze this slave mentality out of themselves, it will be hard to accomplish anything. Yet, this must be done. People will never be ready on their own, and that's why they need help. Not because people are bad — but because they are overwhelmed, they are under an influence of a kind they don't even suspect exists, they don't understand what it is or how it works. All the more so, they cannot resist it. How can a person, in such conditions, be ready on their own? It's impossible.

That's why I see my mission precisely in helping people free themselves from all of this. So that a person can become their true self, and once freed from this influence, they can then decide for themselves whether they want to be a slave or a free person. But at that point, there will be no excuse like, "I didn't know, I didn't understand." For example, when a woman has an abortion, not realizing what she is doing, she is led to believe: "Oh, it's just a few cells, there's no fetus yet, nothing's there." They scrape it out, clean it up, and that's it. But they don't understand that in doing so, they destroy and inflict severe harm upon the soul that entered the body at the moment of conception. And this is worse than murder, because sometimes the soul itself perishes. In the case of murder, the soul rarely perishes, but during abortions, the soul dies much more often. When a woman doesn't know this — it is very unfortunate, but at least understandable, since her ignorance led her to such an action. And in such cases, I help people to free themselves from the consequences of that action. But if a woman understands this and still acts knowingly, then in such a case, I will not help her. Because it's one thing when you act in ignorance — that doesn't fully absolve you of guilt, but there are mitigating circumstances. And it's another thing entirely when a person acts consciously, understanding what it leads to, and still chooses

to do it. Then they are a criminal. It's the same here. I help people to wake up, they begin to awaken, and if after that they still continue with the attitude of "It's not my problem, I don't know anything," then they are a slave. And they become an accomplice to the crime, because if they allow a crime to be committed, fully understanding what is happening, they become complicit.

5.9 Question: Who built Saint Petersburg? The false Peter I, or someone else?

Answer: Naturally, if you take into account that it was built after the real Peter never returned from his embassy trip. Do you know that 200 people left with the real Peter I? And how many of those 200 returned alive? One! Menshikov. All the others were killed. What does that tell you? There is a very good website, *The Great Impostor*. Baida — not Dmitry Baida. He did excellent research, where he laid out all the materials about Peter before the trip and after the trip, and the artistic image of Peter. If you haven't read it, it contains 100% proof that it was not the same Peter who returned. The one who came back couldn't even write in Russian anymore — he wrote in Latin script, and very poorly at that. But before, the Peter who left was perfectly literate, highly educated. He just turned out to be uncooperative.

The Romanovs were placed on the throne by the West, illegally, by the way — they had no rightful claim to the throne. They were put there by the Vatican and the English queen of that time. There are letters from Ivan the Terrible. So, it turns out, he was the last true tsar of the Moscow Tartary, essentially. Boris Godunov also ruled, but only briefly, though he was a lawful tsar.

Now, do you know who the English queen was writing to? To her vassal, meaning her subject. Didn't know that? Well, those letters exist. And what language were they written in — English? No, Russian. And the whole of Europe read letters in Russian. All the khan's decrees (yarlyks) were written in two scripts. Or rather, in one language but two alphabets: in Russian and in another Russian alphabet, the one used by the military, which nowadays has been adopted by the Arabs — except they read the letters in reverse, from right to left. And if you insert vowels into Arabic words and read them correctly, from our perspective, you get Russian words — strangely enough. These are not my conclusions; these are the conclusions of linguists. So, much changed after the Romanovs were placed in power.

What was one of the main orders given by the false Peter? To gather all the old books in the capital, supposedly to make copies of them. And all the books were brought in, except those from the Solovetsky Monastery. Fine, the books were brought in, copies were supposedly made — but where are the originals? They're gone, destroyed. And what did Peter do? He sent troops who besieged the Solovetsky Monastery for two years until they finally took it. By the way, those hiding there under the guise of monks were actually *volkhvs* (pagan priests). Did you know that Sergius of Radonezh was a *volkhv*? Even his nickname, *Rado-nezhsky*, speaks volumes.

Many *volkhvs*, in order to preserve at least fragments of their knowledge, were forced to go into Christianity — masquerading as monks, and not just priests, to save as much as possible. The Solovetsky Monastery was a center for the *volkhvs*, who were hiding there and preserving a truly priceless library. I believe that in the two years during the siege, they managed to move out most of the valuable books, or at least make copies, and hide the originals.

The monastery was besieged for two years because the monks refused to surrender their books. Now, if those books contained information beneficial to the Romanovs, why would they fear them? Think about

it — what could have been in those books? Something that fully exposed the Romanovs and their version of history. So sometimes, we just need to analyze the information that each of us has. If it had been so advantageous to the Romanovs, why did they have to use weapons? Where are those revelations that came to light? They should have shouted them to the whole world! The Romanovs would have proclaimed that our empire is the most powerful, the oldest. But they didn't shout this. The false Peter himself said: "*If other rulers inherited people, I inherited cattle, beasts.*" Would a real ruler ever say something like that about his own country and his own people? I don't think so.

(*From the audience: did the Romanov tsar really abdicate from the throne?*) — No, as far as I know, there was no abdication. Although the Romanovs were not executed, they were held in a special camp and died a natural death. The same goes for the princesses. Others were shot. And where do you think Stalin got the gold that he used to pay for *Lend-Lease*? It's all been fabricated, even the forensic examinations are fake. Even the church refuses to recognize it — at least under the previous Patriarch Alexy, they refused to acknowledge it. It's all fabricated. The Empress died — Nixon saw her alive for the last time and paid her honors when he visited Russia. The Romanovs were alive and were being held. And when the war began, Stalin went to Nicholas II, and Nicholas gave him the account numbers and access codes to part of the Romanov family's funds — as you can imagine, the funds were enormous. And to this day, Russia is not allowed to access what remains of those funds.

Let me inform you: to this day, Russia still cannot access the deposits that the royal family placed in their own names in various banks — in Switzerland, and not only there. Half of America, by the way, belongs to the Romanovs. Nicholas II handed over the gold to Stalin, quite a substantial portion, which he then used to pay for the supplies from the same Americans who themselves started this war. They created everything to make sure the war would happen. If you are interested, you can read about it in the books in which I have already written about this, mostly in my autobiography so far. Sometimes I get carried away in my biography, and I start writing about something other than my autobiography.

5.10 Question: *Could you please clarify the situation with the summons of Vasily Krasnikov, a representative of the trade union of the Omsk region, for interrogation?*

Answer: I've already spoken about this. What our movement is doing is waging an information war, exposing lies. We are bringing the truth to people about our country — who we are, what we are, what we should do, and how we should do it. And this, of course, displeases many, because people are waking up. And especially the parasites — they are very afraid of this, because if people wake up, as they say, it's enough to sprinkle some salt, and the parasites will fall off by themselves.

So, the same applies here: if people wake up without any revolutions, social parasites will have nothing left to do. And they know this very well. That's why they try to fabricate cases. They take advantage of the fact that people don't know the laws. Participation in the movement *Revival – The Golden Age* was used as a pretext, under the request from some center for combating extremism. And where is the extremism here? Saying that our Motherland is great, that we are a great nation, that our ancestors accomplished great deeds, and that we deserve a better life — is *that* what they're calling extremism?

Let me clarify: so far, my website has not been declared extremist simply because they don't have the grounds to do so. They are trying to find ways, trying to catch people out, to use them as a means to achieve something that does not exist. For example: "You admitted you consider yourself an extremist? Well then, you are an extremist, aren't you?" These are unlawful actions.

As I've already said, the prosecutor's office tried five times to open a criminal case against me, even before the civil case was concluded. This is already a violation of everything — the Constitution, criminal law, and so on. For instance, on February 25, my assistants and I were at the Supreme Court because I demanded a review of the decision from December 23 due to newly discovered circumstances. And I proved in court that the information used by the Central Election Commission (CEC) to deny my registration as a presidential candidate was entirely fabricated. Meaning, a criminal offense. Based on this, there are grounds to open two criminal cases against the CEC.

I presented and explained all this in court. But the most amusing part is that they appointed the same judge who had previously ruled on the case — which is illegal. How can a person be forced to overturn their own earlier decision? What were they counting on? They were hoping that I would request the judge's recusal. They even begged my representatives — maybe you will request the judge's recusal? They were pleading. I said: no one is to request a recusal.

Then I laid out the facts in such a way that I proved the information they used was fake and fabricated. I presented this as newly discovered circumstances. I simply sat down with the laws myself, analyzed everything, and came to these conclusions. Neither the judge nor the prosecutor who was in court could object to a single word. And then, you know what the prosecutor tried to pull? She showed me a list of cases that qualify as newly discovered circumstances and said: "See, what you're talking about isn't on this list. So, we won't recognize your claim as newly discovered circumstances." But when she turned it around — it turned out to be the Civil Code. But what was revealed falls under the Criminal Code. And under the Criminal Code, forgery and falsification are criminal offenses.

They tried to hand me the Civil Code, where the Criminal Code isn't even considered. "It's not here — so it doesn't count!" And they didn't even try to argue that this falls under the Civil Code rather than the Criminal Code. Still, the judge played it slyly. She stated my position fully but concluded: none of this qualifies as newly discovered circumstances. As if the forgery and falsification had never been addressed or proven. But I did prove it. I represented myself in court instead of hiring a lawyer. And what happened? The complaint was reviewed by the constitutional panel of the Supreme Court. From what I know, they sat for several hours, deliberating on what to do, and rushed to make a decision quickly. Because the facts are facts. Around one o'clock in the morning, they issued a decision: there is no case. And you might ask — did I make any of this up?

Let me give you a simple example, so it's clear how cases are fabricated. And how, unfortunately, the Supreme Court and the Constitutional Court are not what they should be. But we will find justice for them too. My documents were accepted by the Central Election Commission (CEC) on December 13. They spent five hours checking the documents, scrutinizing every single letter. Only after 7 p.m. did they finally stop, unable to find any serious fault. They were forced to accept the documents. I personally went there, and they signed that the documents had been accepted. They accepted the documents as those of a presidential candidate. Meaning, on the 13th, the CEC had already completed its work.

Then, it turns out that on the 14th, the very next day, they returned to reviewing my paperwork. And at best, only on the 14th could they have sent a request to clarify the situation. They sent an inquiry to the Ministry of Justice. From the Ministry of Justice, it should have gone to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation. From the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, it should have gone to the General Consulate in San Francisco. And from the General Consulate in San Francisco, it should have gone to the U.S. Immigration Service.

Do you really think all these documents could have passed through all these instances in just one day? In the morning, when they supposedly sent it — even if all the internal stages in Russia were completed in a single day — is that even possible? Personally, I highly doubt it. If a telegram sent by the Supreme Court directly to me arrives only on the second day, that's a fact — a telegram takes two days to arrive. Now imagine, in the U.S. on the 14th (which is still the 14th here), it would be nighttime there while it's daytime here. The General Consulate would be closed. At best, the Consulate would receive the document the next night, during their daytime. After that, the Consul General would have to submit a request to the U.S. Immigration Service, with the date and timestamp. And then, the U.S. authorities — who work no faster than ours — would need at least two weeks to respond to such a request. Naturally, they wouldn't have an answer on the same day.

However, on December 15th, only in the evening after 5 p.m., they accepted documents from me. On December 15th, when, at the very earliest, the documents were just reaching the Russian Consulate in the U.S., by 5 p.m. they already had the response that suited the CEC. They had already posted the schedule for the next day, the 16th, on their website, listing their agenda and stating they would reject the nomination from the voter group — which is nonsense in itself. Voter groups cannot be rejected; it's absurd. The CEC wrote nonsense: they said, "Reject the voter group." They could have rejected Levashov personally, but not the group of voters. Regardless of how they phrased it, by 5 p.m. on the 15th, the decision to reject had already been made. I said, "Show me the outgoing documents, the dates they were sent and received." There was nothing. Even in the very best-case scenario, it was impossible to do this so quickly. And what do you think? It turned out to be a criminal offense — forgery. And the Supreme Court? Nothing. They said, "Everything is fine."

Let me explain so you understand. I specifically took the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, where it clearly states that citizens of the Russian Federation, temporarily residing in the territory of another state, do not lose either their active or passive rights. *Passive right* means the right to be elected, and *active right* is the right to vote. This is Article 3, paragraph 1. Passive rights are lost only by those citizens of the Russian Federation who have official permanent residency status in another country. Only in that case do they lose the right to be elected.

For reference: I have never had the status of permanent resident in any country in the world. In the United States, I was there temporarily. And the article does not specify any time limit — whether it's six months, a year, five years, or ten years. Because people study and work in other countries for extended periods and do not obtain permanent residency status. In the U.S., this status is called a *Green Card*.

And here's the interesting part: I had to spend half an hour in the Supreme Court proving to the prosecutor that living permanently in the city of San Francisco does not mean being a permanent resident of the United States. These are two entirely different things. Having a Green Card and simply residing in the city are not the same. We, too, have many residents of Moldova and other countries living and working in Moscow. Does that make them Russian citizens or give them permanent residency status? No! And yet they live and work here for decades.

I caught them on these two points: violation of the Constitution on two counts, and fabrication and forgery — which is a criminal offense. So, who has become an accomplice? Not only the CEC, but also the judge who issued the decision, and the Supreme Court itself. Because instead of accepting my demands for consideration, they declared: there are no violations, no newly discovered circumstances. It turns out that two criminal cases that were uncovered do not qualify as newly discovered circumstances.

Now think about what kind of justice system we have. Does this mean they will get away with it? No! Because I plan to write another article about this. The title will be: *"On the Question of Democracy – Part 2, or The Field of Miracles in the Land of Fools."* I will write this article and describe everything in detail, and I think it will be very interesting for people to read. I will publish it online and make it public knowledge. We continue the fight! I never give up, and I do not intend to. I can say the following: I was never eager to become president, and I never sought that role. I don't need it. What I truly want is to write my books, to do my research, which will bring far greater benefits. But the facts show that the technologies I am creating — and have already created — I do not intend to hand over to the parasites so they can continue to profit from them.

I agreed to the proposal to be nominated as a presidential candidate because I know what needs to be done to revive our country, and how it needs to be done. (*From the audience, indistinct question*). — Sorry, I won't speak about that for now. Do you know why? (*From the audience: we know*). — Because even some of the phrases and ideas that I mention here immediately start appearing from certain individuals who speak on television — although, of course, in a distorted form. And this nullifies everything I say. So, what's the point? (*From the audience: but can't you be more specific, to give some hope? For example, I'm ready to give you my vote — there should be some kind of assurance...*) — Assurance of what? Of tomorrow? The thing is, I alone will not be able to accomplish anything.

There's a lot that I can do, but I cannot force people to act justly. That is why what I consider to be the most important thing is the information war — exposing the truth, waging the most crucial battle, and winning it. Because our enemies have never been able to defeat us in open battle. You don't need me to prove this to you — you already know it's true. So, what did they come up with? They invented an information war, in which, for now, they are winning. And if you consider what they are giving to young people now, they've reached the point of absurdity: Russian language lessons will only be taught in schools for two hours a week. And if you want more — you have to pay.

Is our country really so poor that it cannot provide free education to its own citizens? If someone wants to get paid education, fine — there are private colleges, lyceums, let them go and study there. But in a regular school — at least, during Soviet times — even though the parasites of that era made many mistakes, they still made one important decision correctly, because they were aiming for world dominance. Stalin's dream was *The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics of the World*. In other words, his goal was to conquer the world according to the social system he created in the Soviet Union. And to achieve that, he needed educated people, because only well-educated people could create something of real value. And indeed, they did.

When I was studying, the education system was very, very good. There's no comparison to what it is now. My fellow classmates, with whom I studied and who have been teaching for many years already, tell me, "Kolya, these students who are entering university now — they don't even know the basics." And these are students applying to technical universities! They don't know basic things in mathematics, physics, and so on. And if the new generation is only given two hours of Russian language lessons, two hours of science, or if natural sciences are taught only in general terms, where will this lead? To ignorance. Because ignorant people are very easy to deceive; it's easy to slip them some information that is a half-truth. And what is the most effective lie? A half-truth. Part of the truth is told, but the other part is left out.

Recently, I was asked to give an interview for REN TV for a program discussing the summer of 2010. I said, "You know, I've given so many interviews already, and then what happens? They cut out fragments

and don't even ask me, and it ends up looking absurd." If you ask me, "Can we use material from your articles and writings?" — I say, if you don't distort them, then please, go ahead. The next set of materials was supposed to air on Friday. I don't know if they used it — I haven't seen it. Has anyone seen it? No? Then, it seems they got scared. Although they did say, "We hope to collaborate with you for a long time."

I told them, "Look, I specifically watched the previous program in which you also asked me to give an interview about this topic — the lizard people. *Planet Zeta* — it's complete nonsense, you understand?" I watched it, and there were several people speaking there. I said, "What is your goal? To completely confuse people? Or do you actually want people to come away with some understanding?" The people who spoke on your program were saying things that completely contradicted one another. And if someone who knows nothing about these matters listens to it, all they'll get is chaos and total confusion. Secondly, what these people are saying — in my opinion — is complete nonsense. And what does that tell us? Either these people are deliberately misleading the audience, or they themselves have no understanding of what they're talking about.

They mentioned that Muldashev gave an example about the monks of Tibet — how they created and preserved knowledge. He claims that all races originated from Tibet. Well, that's complete nonsense. And he says that these same monks hold secret knowledge and that they created it themselves. But if he had at least spoken with those same monks, they would have told him — just as the Indians would have told him — confidentially, of course, because they stay silent about this in public, that the knowledge they possess and the library stored in Tibet were not created by them. They are merely custodians. It was created by our ancestors, who came from the north, relative to them, and brought with them the understanding that the monks later distorted and were entrusted to keep in those books. Such library depositories existed in many places, not just in Tibet. But Muldashev either doesn't understand this, doesn't know, or deliberately distorts the facts. He says things that are completely the opposite of the truth.

In any case, I told them: if you genuinely want to convey understanding to people, you need to give someone the opportunity to speak fully, to explain their position, not just to state something as fact, but to provide evidence and proof. And what's the most interesting thing? No one demands this from others, for some reason. They babble utter nonsense, and it's fine. But with me, they always demand, "Prove it!" — and I *do* prove it, with facts. And then? Silence. Because the facts and what I say do not suit those who would prefer to hear something entirely different. So, I told them, "Excuse me." I'm tired of giving interviews that always end up distorted. That's why, when groups come, besides the fact that you are recording the interview, we also record it with our own cameras — our people from the Movement come to do that.

In our Movement, we have directors and cameramen, and very good ones, who record everything in parallel, so that if anything happens, we can prove that something was distorted. When the material doesn't make it to broadcast, we publish the full interview on our websites. That way, people can listen and hear exactly what I really said on the subject. Whenever these groups come, along with their producers and directors, they all leave with wide eyes: "*Oh, wow! This is so interesting, so amazing! Oh, we're going to make something great!*" And then — silence, dead silence, like the dead standing with scythes.

So, I say, "If you're interested, I will give interviews live." "Well, it's a documentary film," they say. Fine, but then you cannot release the documentary without first showing it to me. For some reason, they

don't want to do that. And I say, if you want to do it, it has to present my position fully. It needs to be well-evidenced. But they don't like things to be well-evidenced. Even though what I wrote in my article '*The Anti-Russian Anticyclone*' — *Parts One and Two* was later confirmed dozens of times. You saw for yourselves, how much material was shown on the screens. But no one mentions that this material came from me. And yet it's already been proven, confirmed entirely.

But it doesn't matter whose material it is. The main thing is that it was exposed. And now they are trying to build criminal cases over that article, even though it wasn't extremist at all. They claim that I — can you imagine — insulted poor Americans. Our prosecutors are protecting poor Americans! They accuse me of slander, saying that I, it seems, accused them of waging a climate war and using geophysical weapons against us. And they need to be protected from me. Yes — our own Prosecutor General's Office is defending them. Think about that: who is our Prosecutor General's Office really protecting? And yet, in those articles, I provided undeniable facts, information that I did not personally collect. If I had gathered this data myself, like some trickster shuffling cards, it would be one thing. But I didn't gather that data! These facts were obtained by people who had never even heard of me or what I'm doing. This information is fully confirmed — even in publications in Western articles when these events took place. And in the advisory memo for American tourists planning to visit Russia, which the U.S. State Department issued even *before* these events happened! I'm not saying everything burned down and there was radioactive or chemical contamination of Moscow. Was there? According to the American version, there *was*.

I'll let you in on a little secret. Do you know who was responsible for that operation? (*From the audience: Luzhkov!*) — Correct. And why? Because in their system, the "black" structure, things are very clear: when someone is given an assignment, if they carry it out successfully, they get everything — honors, money, and so on. But if they fail, they are punished very severely. Luzhkov was responsible for that operation — the destruction of Moscow and the destabilization of Russia, so that foreign troops would be brought into Russia. He was removed immediately afterward. Even though he could have been removed dozens of times before that, because apart from everything else, he was a massive thief. Does anyone doubt that? Does anyone believe that Luzhkov was an honest man, who cared deeply, who laid his life down on the tracks for the good of the country? Oh, of course, poor Luzhkov — there's nothing in his pockets. But everything is in his wife's name — billions in his wife's name! But his own pockets are empty, and he says: "I have no claims against me." Who is this supposed to fool? That's why the article is titled "*The Field of Miracles in the Land of Fools*." They take us all for complete idiots. And do you really think there is anyone there who doesn't have money? And where do they get their money from? Salaries? Of course! So, draw your own conclusions.

5.11 Question: Nicolai Viktorovich, you mentioned that you found part of the unfinished book Templars. Will it be published?

Answer: Yes, it will. I'm planning to prepare it in the near future, but I still need to find the illustrations that she used. There were some very high-quality illustrations. Part of what [Svetlana] managed to write will be published, and even that part is enough to understand the lies... Do you think that everything social parasites are doing in our country is only being fabricated now? They've been doing this for thousands of years. And what they did in the 13th century to the very same Templars, who were nothing like the way they are portrayed today — it's all connected. Just read the books about the Templars that are out there now. There is nothing even close to the truth about who they really were.

Moreover, Svetlana managed to find some very interesting documents that have been preserved. Did you know that Pope Innocent II (*Innocent* meaning pure, guiltless) issued a bull — that is, an official decree — which, if you refer to it, clears the Templars of all accusations *before* they were even arrested and executed? But for some reason, this decree, this bull, was placed in the wrong archive, and it was only discovered 700 years later. But the important thing is — it was discovered. The Pope had calculated in advance: if the Templars were to win, he could say, "*Look, I absolved you, I'm not to blame.*" And if the others were victorious — namely, Philip the Fair — then all was well for him, too. No one would have ever pulled out this document. Such things are found "by chance." But it's good that they are, even if by accident. These discoveries provide confirmation — and much more besides.

5.12 Question: *Recently, the mass media and television have been spreading information about an inevitable collision of Earth with some massive asteroid. The preliminary end of the world is said to be in 2037.*

Answer: You see, they've already moved it from 2012 to 2037. And this happens every time. Tragic events were already supposed to occur in 2003, but they didn't happen. And I think others won't happen either, even if such things exist. I haven't studied this question in depth because, well, we still have to live to see 2037. It's only 2012 now. We need to solve the pressing problems of today, and as for this asteroid — if it is flying toward us, it's still very far away. So, let's not worry about it. I think they're talking less and less now about the end of 2012, although there are still some fools who keep going on about it. You see, they got confused. And recently they even claimed that they discovered another calendar that extends 4,000 years further. Because the Maya calendars ended at specific eras.

The Night of Svarog, as our ancestors called it, is coming to an end, and the calendar ends around this time as well. They created the calendar following the principles of our ancestors — a life cycle of 144 years. And the last life cycle they used as their reference point ends in the year 2012. But that doesn't mean the calendar they discovered ends there. Another calendar has been found that adds another 4,000 years. So, they push the dates forward again! And even then, the year 2037 doesn't fit either. How many times have they already promised the end of the world? They've even prepared coffins! There was a time when people were killing themselves, lying down in coffins, waiting. And yet, it still hasn't come. Although, in reality, there *have* been many times when Earth faced genuine disasters. But for some reason, they never talk about those real threats. Instead, they do this to keep people in a state of panic and fear, to distract them from the real problems by focusing their attention on imaginary ones. It's a very effective tactic — it's also a form of social weaponry, an information war, and it is actively being waged.

5.13 Question: *What is an idea? How does one look for them?*

Answer: That's a bit of a tricky question. What is an idea? How do you search for one? I think an idea arises when a person tries to build upon the understanding they already have, when they move forward — and this happens in two stages. First comes the quantitative accumulation of something — of concepts, of understandings. It builds up, accumulates, until it reaches critical mass. When there is enough data and facts collected, the person begins to realize that the ideas they held before no longer work. They are incomplete, not whole. And when this moment comes, if the person is able to free themselves from the patterns they've been immersed in all this time, they begin to push beyond what they are used to, and reach a new level of understanding. And that's when an idea is born — that is, the comprehension of accumulated data as qualitative information, which pushes them to seek a new solution, a new understanding.

An idea is, once again, a driving force, and the person begins to move forward — but not everyone will be able to go further. Many, upon reaching that threshold, are afraid to step over it, because doing so means they will have to give up a lot. Why? Because it would force them to think differently. For example, if a person in science has achieved something, then they would have to let go of their previous beliefs. Let's say you think the Earth is flat, while I am certain that it rotates and is round. Well, not exactly round — it's pear-shaped. But that's not the point; such factors exist. So, what do you think? Will all the others, who are convinced the Earth is flat, suddenly rush to accept this? No — they will think, "He's a fool," and he will be stripped of all his titles, honors, and awards. A person who has accumulated qualitatively new information, who has gathered data and realized that this data is pushing them toward something new — at that point, courage and bravery are required to take the step into the unknown. And when a person takes that step, they are like a blind kitten, because it's an entirely new realm. And it's at that moment that different ideas start to emerge — ideas about what might be different, and how it might work. As for how to search for ideas — you cannot search for them. You need to be prepared for them when you reach that stage.

For example, from my own experience — the accumulation happens, and you don't necessarily think about it all the time. The accumulation just continues, and then a moment arrives. But it's not simply about quantitative and qualitative changes. Quantitative changes by themselves never automatically become qualitative. There must always be someone who transforms them into a new quality. And for that, a person has to find something to push off from.

Remember the old saying: "*Go I know not where, bring I know not what*" — it's more or less the same thing. The accumulated previous experience tells you that something is wrong, that something is completely off. But you still don't see it clearly. What worked in my favor is that in such situations, I don't rely on the original tools I had. Because the original tools can only give what they are capable of giving. So, I begin to change those tools — meaning, I change myself, rebuild myself. And when I rebuild myself, it's not always a pleasant process; it can be very difficult.

When you do something for the first time, you don't know what you're doing, you don't know the consequences until you go through it yourself. It's like testing something on yourself. Until you go through that trial, you won't fully understand what and how things need to be done. And if you survive those internal transformations, you gain the ability to see and understand farther than you ever could before. Without that, unfortunately, it's impossible to see further. Because what a person possesses at any given moment only allows them to accumulate to the point they've reached. Beyond that, new tools, new qualities are needed — without them, it's impossible.

Here on Earth, we have all the conditions necessary for a person to transition to these new qualities. But for that, you have to learn to think differently, to understand differently, to let go of your arrogance, your ego. And that is something not everyone can do — and many simply don't want to. Because as soon as some people achieve even a little bit more than others, they start to think of themselves as gods, as chosen ones. And at that moment, you can write them off completely. Such a person will never create anything new. Because any "god" or "chosen one" is already dead the moment they think of themselves that way. They are living corpses. That is my conviction, and my experience. And within the time we have, it's impossible to explain everything.

There is a lot of information I could share, but in order to present it, people need to be prepared for it. If I were to just reveal it all right now, for many it would be overwhelming, because the connecting links aren't there yet. When there is no bridge, the human mind simply cannot build the connection. It needs

to be guided, led step by step, and then everything makes sense. Then, there are no problems. But to just throw out information for the sake of shocking someone, to make them go "Oh!" and fall into a stupor — I don't need that. On the contrary, I want people not to fall into a stupor, but to climb out of it.

5.14 Question: *Is there a connection between the souls (entities) of parents and their children?*

Answer: There is, of course. Especially strong between the mother and her children, although this, too, is not so straightforward. It varies from case to case. Sometimes the connection is very strong, and it's not necessarily only between parents and children — for example, a husband and wife can also have a very strong connection. Do you know what our ancestors used to do? When a child was born, they would plant a tree. But according to this tradition, I should have been dead long ago. Because when I was born, my father planted a poplar tree, but it died about 5 or 6 years after it was planted. According to our ancestors, if someone saw a dead poplar tree, they would say: "That person is already a corpse." But I'm still here, even though they've been trying to send me there (to the grave) very aggressively.

So, there is a connection not only between relatives, but also between husband and wife, even though they are not genetically related. It depends on how much a person can feel another person, how attuned they are to them. But again, for this to work, a person needs to understand *how* and *what* to do. Then, it's not just a spontaneous thing, but a conscious use of this method.

Our ancestors planted trees — but why? Back then, there were no mobile phones, in fact, no communication devices at all. And very often, especially when men went off to war or were away on business for several years or more, the tree they had planted and which was thriving and blooming would indicate that everything was fine. If the tree started to wither, it meant the person had fallen ill. And if the tree died, it was believed that the person had passed away. Because when a tree was planted in honor of a newborn child, a special, simple ritual was performed to link the tree to the person. In this way, the tree became a kind of protector.

Because trees in general provide protection. Many of you have probably felt this when you go out to the forest or even to a wooded park — you feel very good there. There are many reasons for this, too many to list here. But one of the reasons is that trees create a field around them. They generate a unified field of the forest, and each tree also has its own individual field. And if a person genuinely appeals to them (because they do not give their energy to just anyone), the trees can simply take and cleanse them — like a shower, washing away all the accumulated negativity, all the bad emotions, with clean energy. And when a person returns from the forest, they feel as if they've just come out of a sauna — only better. But that is, if the trees choose to help the person.

With the exception of one tree, unfortunately — the aspen. Aspen draws energy away from you. So, if someone, in a burst of affection, hugs an aspen tree, I'm not sure they'll feel very good afterward — I wouldn't recommend it. Trees can think too, and they also have feelings. They just think and feel in a way that is completely different from us. But the fact that they think and feel differently does not mean they don't possess these abilities. If they sense that you genuinely need help, they will help. And they do help many people — they cleanse them, and some are even healed of illnesses. If you're in a forest, the trees can unify their individual fields into one whole and, together, they can do a lot. But again, you need to understand this, you need to know how to approach a tree properly. And it's not with words — you understand? If we could restore the culture of our ancestors in its original, uncorrupted form — the way it existed in the Vedic tradition — people would know the language of birds, animals, and plants. This is real! You just need to understand it, and then animals and plants will truly become your friends.

Our ancestors, when they cut down a tree, would ask the tree for forgiveness, because they were cutting it down to preserve life. And in that case, the tree would not take revenge on its "killers," because it understood that it was making a voluntary sacrifice. It might seem strange: to ask a tree, "Forgive us, we are cutting you down because we need to build a house, or else we will freeze to death." And it was the same when they hunted game — they asked for forgiveness. And then the meat was not poisoned. But the meat we eat today *is* poisoned. Because animals are slaughtered, and so much adrenaline is released into their bodies, which later turns into cadaveric poison, that when we eat this meat, it kills us — because cadaveric poison is deadly. Of course, you can't stand in a slaughterhouse and ask every cow for forgiveness — though it wouldn't hurt, because they *do* feel it. Has anyone seen how animals behave when they are led to slaughter? Until that moment, the owner might have come a thousand times to feed them. But when that person comes — saying nothing at all — to lead the cow to slaughter, the cow cries. The person says nothing! The very same person who used to come, feed them, the person whose hands the cow (and not only cows!) would even kiss in gratitude for the food. But on the very day the person comes with the thought of killing, those thoughts — which we think are just sitting here in our heads (knocks on head) and no one will guess — are no secret to the animals. If it happened just once, you could call it a coincidence. But there are thousands of such cases: when a person comes to kill, not only cows, but many animals, they cry, because they understand they are about to be killed. Even though outwardly, nothing seems different. And when they are led to the slaughterhouse — well, there is nothing more to say.

If we understand these things correctly, then of course, as much as we might wish otherwise, if we were to stop eating altogether, we would perish. Unfortunately, nature is built this way — at least for now, until a person evolves to a level where they no longer need to eat at all, they must eat. Which means they must kill both plants and animals to feed themselves. Plants are living beings, just like animals. So, when vegetarians say, "*You are murderers! We eat only plants, which were made for us,*" — well, have they asked the plants if they grow just to feed us, just to fill our stomachs? Plants live just as we do — to live and to give life to their offspring. And the fruits they produce are their children. Yes, their children. These are the fruits from which new life is meant to begin. A woman carries a child — that is a new life, just in a different form. And a plant produces fruits that, when they fall to the earth, give rise to new life.

If people were to eat human children, what would we say about that? Would it be considered normal? No. So how are the children of plants any different from the children of humans? For the plants, they are just the same — their children. Yes, they think differently, and we cannot hear them. They do not cry out with a voice, though studies have shown that in certain situations, plants emit specific signals, just not in the audible range. And there is much more beyond that. That's why we need to free ourselves from the template thinking in how we treat our "lesser" brothers. Although, in some cases, given how they behave, they are far from lesser — especially these days, when humans are behaving far from how they are supposed to.

5.15 Question: Please comment on the Vedic commandment: "*Do not sell your land for gold and silver.*"

Answer: This isn't exactly a commandment. Because as soon as you call it a commandment, it leans in a particular direction, you understand? "*Do not sell your land for gold and silver.*" The thing is, there's hardly any need to comment here. Just look at what we see today. First of all, this land is being sold not by us, but by those who, in most cases, have no connection to our country, to our peoples, to the indigenous peoples of Russia. Although, unfortunately, even among the native peoples, the Rus, there are plenty of traitors who have sold their souls and are ready to do anything to stuff their pockets. Regrettably, there are quite a few of them. I can understand foreigners, who couldn't care less about

our country, about our land, whose only goal is to line their pockets as much as possible by robbing the country — something they have done time and time again in various countries. You can analyze this and trace the pattern, and you will see: everywhere foreign invaders passed, flourishing empires were turned into impoverished lands and perished. Always and everywhere. That is their nature. But is it also the nature of the people who live in these empires? In this case, Russia is the country — and yet they are selling their own nation, their homeland, the future of their children. But they have become deeply infected. Some more so, some less. Everyone has been infected to some extent. Some — only a little, and that can still be fought against. But others — profoundly so, to the point where they either cannot, or no longer even want to fight it. And that is the tragedy.

So no, you must not sell your land. Not for gold, not for silver, not for anything at all. This is our land. And our ancestors did not choose it by accident. After Daariya sank beneath the waves, they chose this region specifically — the place where Great Asia, or later known as Great Tartaria, was located. The Eurasian continent is the most stable and enduring continent on Midgard-Earth. Even in all the forecasts, it is said: everywhere will suffer, except Russia. And this is not by chance, because our ancestors knew very well how to choose the place for their settlement.

5.16 Question: *People have loyal animals. Are animals, such as dogs, protectors of humans on astral levels?*

Answer: Unfortunately, no. Dogs are loyal animals on the physical level. But on that other level, they are evolutionarily below humans, and no matter how much they might wish to, they cannot protect a person on those planes. Moreover, the parasites that operate on the astral levels are experienced, and you won't scare them off with a dog, unfortunately. But this does not mean that dogs and other animals are not loyal to humans in everyday life. More often than not, it is people who betray them, not the other way around — as you yourselves know.

5.17 Question: *Are Jehovah's Witnesses right in forbidding blood transfusions?*

Answer: Both yes and no. If it's a matter of life and death, then without a blood transfusion, a person could die. So, transfusing another person's blood saves a life — that's a fact. Yes, foreign blood differs from our own, and it is not of our genetic lineage. But — there is a "but." There is no significant harm, for the simple reason that the lifespan of blood cells is from just a few days to 180 days. Red blood cells live about 90 days in general. If someone receives a blood transfusion, the foreign cells will all die off within six months at most. And the new cells that the body produces in that time will already be the person's own. So, there is no fundamental harm.

As for the fact that foreign blood does have an influence on a person — yes, there is an influence, since the blood is of different genetics. But not to the extreme extent they claim. Therefore, extremes in either direction are always harmful. That's all I can say on this topic. (*From the audience, indistinct, possibly referring to injecting blood into muscle tissue — so-called autologous blood therapy.*) — I don't know what procedures they're doing; I haven't heard of blood being injected into soft tissue. Never encountered it, I don't know. Usually, except for a hematoma, it won't do much. Transfusions are typically done into veins. (*From the audience, indistinct.*) — I don't know, that's a question for our wise doctors. I can't answer for them. Because if they're injecting into the muscles, it will simply cause a hematoma, nothing more. It's like when someone hits you hard — a bruise appears. What is that? It's the same thing: when a capillary bursts, the intercellular space fills with blood, and a hematoma forms.

As for injecting one's own blood into the buttocks, I don't know why they do that. Maybe, I assume, to stimulate immune system activity. But that is a ridiculous way to stimulate it. There's a joke: the best cure for a headache is a guillotine — use it once, and your head will never hurt again! That's about the same kind of "stimulation" they're trying to achieve — hoping that an artificial hematoma will boost the immune system.

But think about this: if the immune system is weakened and not functioning properly (not because it is lazy or has decided to just give up on everything), it is because it is truly exhausted. And every time it is stimulated in this way, it results in just a small release, a last bit of effort. But this residual effort won't enable the immune system to resolve the problem fully — it only creates a temporary surge. For example, when a person experiences stress, their body floods with adrenaline, and they suddenly wake up completely. Have you ever been in such a situation? Driving drowsy behind the wheel, and then — *boom!* — and suddenly, there's no trace of sleepiness anymore. But when stimulation like this is artificially applied, it's harmful because it further depletes the system without bringing about any real improvement.

There used to be a method in medicine called shock therapy. And it wasn't necessarily about frying the brain with electricity. For example, if someone had liver pain, they would deliberately create a pain shock in the liver. For what purpose? So that a powerful signal from the liver would be sent to the brain, and the body would direct all of its defense mechanisms to the liver, thereby forcing it to heal. Yes, it worked — but first of all, many people died from the pain shock. And secondly, once again, stimulating the immune system must be done with a clear understanding of *what* and *how* to stimulate. What doctors are doing today is absurd.

Tell me, what is the first thing a doctor does when a child develops a fever? They try to bring it down. And they are completely wrong to do so. Because fever is the most powerful and effective immune defense mechanism of the human body. When the temperature rises to 39 degrees Celsius and above, most infections are destroyed at this temperature. Therefore, bringing down a fever does not help a person recover from illness — on the contrary, it pushes the illness into a chronic phase. Because the production of antibodies is the second phase of the immune response. And this is not a very good thing; in fact, it is a negative thing. Why? Because once the body begins producing antibodies, it continues to produce them indefinitely — they never switch off. And antibodies have a negative impact on the body.

Our ancestors, who were not doctors but knew more than doctors, what did they do when a person caught a cold? To the bathhouse, to the steam room! The body would heat up, heat up very strongly, and all the infection would be killed. (*From the audience: And if the body is so weak that it can't handle it... [indistinct]*) — Such situations are quite rare. As far as I know from statistics, it's about one person in 100,000 who cannot tolerate a rise in temperature — meaning, for them, a fever is life-threatening. Yes, in such cases you need to bring the fever down.

But if a person is genuinely weakened, you don't need to put them in a 90-degree sauna. Even a steam room at 40 to 50 degrees Celsius is enough, and that's already sufficient to help the body push the infection out. Especially if the person also coats their skin with honey before entering the steam room — not only will the infection be destroyed, but a huge amount of toxins will come out through the skin as well. Our ancestors knew this and practiced it, and they were as healthy as bulls. But nowadays, medicine is "superb" — and yet everyone is sick. And for the medical industry, that's just perfect. Because the more sick people there are, the more work there is, the more medicine to sell, the more

procedures, and the more salaries roll in. So maybe we need to train fewer doctors? Then people will find other things to do.

And people should become doctors not just to earn more money, but because they have a calling, because their soul is drawn to it. At least in Soviet times, most doctors pursued medicine out of true vocation, because the salary they received was laughable. And back then, bribes were not commonly taken — there were exceptions, mostly in Moscow, involving cancer patients, etc.

But the majority of doctors were true physicians. And now, when people end up in hospitals — even in the so-called *free* ones (which are free only in name) — to get even the most basic thing done, they have to pay for this, for that, for everything. And it's not exactly cheap. I know this because people write to me about it, and so on. And the worst part is, they are charging money for things they are obligated to do as doctors, as nurses. So, when people's mindset shifts in this direction, it's disappointing.

5.18 Question: How is authenticity verified?

Answer: Even though the book is banned, there are people who have read "*Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors*." Don't be afraid — you have the right to read. In this book, I specifically arranged it so that I presented historical facts that have been preserved and not distorted, because they *can* distort almost everything. The *Old Testament*, the *Torah*, and the *Slavic Aryan Vedas* all describe the same events. The timelines match perfectly, right to the letter — only from different perspectives. From the perspective of the victors — at that time, our ancestors were the victors — and from the perspective of the defeated. If you claim that "*Russian History Viewed Through Distorted Mirrors*." is falsified, then you must also admit that all history is falsified, the *Torah* is falsified, and the *Old Testament* is falsified — everything is falsified. If you say, "This is not true," even though it has been proven to match perfectly, I set it up deliberately so there is no way out: either this is true, or that is true. And it turns out, both are true — just from different sides. On top of that, in the book, I included so many confirming facts: the three-dimensional relief map, the tablets that have been discovered — and will continue to be discovered. All of this serves as confirmation.

Even the fact that I became interested and decided to convert the distances given in the *Tale of the Bright (Radiant) Falcon* into light-years and examine them — I described all of this in detail in the book. And what's interesting is that within 100 light-years — which is considered nearby space, in cosmic terms — 50 planets have been discovered that are similar to Earth, where life is possible. And the planets mentioned in the *Tale* correspond precisely to these 50 planets — not all of them, of course, but some. And the *Tale* doesn't mention just 50 planets. The descriptions I give, of how Nastenka sees other planets, I emphasized for a reason. Let me remind those of you who may have forgotten or who haven't read it: what surprised her the most was a planet that was like two drops of water identical to Earth. She wasn't surprised by planets that were drastically different.

Now, imagine yourselves — if you were suddenly taken to another planet where everything is entirely different: several suns of different colors, plants and animals completely unlike ours — would that be an everyday event for you? I think that would be a huge shock, it would amaze you most of all. But what astonished Nastenka was precisely the planet that looked just like Earth. What does that tell us? If you analyze it, she already knew that many planets exist with different suns, colors, clouds, and plants. This was not new to her. But what surprised her was finding a planet so identical to Earth — she did not

expect that. This fact, if you think about it deeply, confirms the authenticity of the *Tale*. Because only someone who knows more about other planets than modern humans do could think in this way.

We shouldn't just listen to the nonsense that opponents shout, claiming that "*The Tale*" is a forgery. Yes, it is a translation, and indeed, any translation is always a distortion to some degree. I can tell you this: the text that was translated is runic — you saw, there is the runic text shown, and then the person translates the runes. But what do they teach in schools about the meaning of runes? Runes convey an image, a concept, not just a word. And this is what I proved back when I was in America. There was a person who could read runes — he had graduated from a school in Omsk where Father Dii taught, and he could read runes.

Runes contain encrypted information. There are three levels: *Yav* (the material world), *Prav* (the world of law and order), and *Nav* (the spiritual or unseen world). Why do runes have three lines? This is a clue. If a person reads only on the level of *Yav*, then they will only be able to read the information recorded on that level. But what is written on the levels of *Nav* and *Prav*, they will not be able to read. And this person wondered, "Really?" I said, "Yes!" If you could see what happens when the runes unfold, you'd find completely different information. "Is that possible?" — he asked. So, I had to help "reformat" his thinking a bit, after which he began to see the runes differently. It was difficult for him because the adjustment had to be done rather abruptly. Along with that, he developed other new abilities — but that's not the point here.

Afterward, I helped him unfold the runes for the first time, because on his own, he could not do it. And when he saw it, his eyes nearly popped out of his head — because new levels of information were revealed, layers on different planes. That's why, when a person reads the runes purely in terms of their verbal meaning — *this rune means this* — they're only getting part of the information, maybe around 10%. Yes, it's much better than nothing, but still, it's not the whole picture. And if a person truly can unfold and read the meaning of the runes on other levels, they will see something entirely different.

And to answer the question — no, I do not know the runes, and I have not read them on other levels, nor do I claim that I have read them on other levels. I obtained this information through other means, not through the runes. The first time this book came into my hands was in 1999; it was sent to me from Moscow. I was very pleased, because it contained a lot of confirming information. But many of those who read these books, including those who translated them, unfortunately, do not have the knowledge that I have. They do not fully understand it, because the meaning embedded in it is entirely different.

I'll finish this amusing story with this man. We only ever spoke on the phone — I never met him in person. During one of our conversations, he started saying, "*In the beginning there was the Word*," and so on. I asked him, "*Where did you get this from?*" He replied, "*I read it, I'm a specialist, I can read runes.*" I told him, "*If I explained to you that runes have multiple levels of meaning, and you didn't even understand that, then surely I understand at least a little more than you about this.*" He said, "*So what, you think it was you who made this happen?*" I said, "*You asked me to help you read the runes. I made certain adjustments in you, after which you gained certain abilities — without which, it would have been impossible.*" He protested, "*I didn't ask you! I never asked you; I don't deserve it! I'll appeal to you...*" I spent about five minutes convincing him that I had helped him. But I warned: "*If you want, I can take it away, but I will not give it back. A gift is given once. I will not give it a second time.*" He thought, "*What an idiot, trying to fool me, hanging noodles on my ears. I achieved this myself!*" He believed that he had earned these abilities — the ability, for example, to "transport" himself to the shore of the lake (where he often went), to sit there and feel the breeze, the grass swaying, to hear all the sounds. I said,

"Wonderful! I'm glad I helped you." He replied, *"What does this have to do with you?"* I said, *"Well, I had to restructure you so you could read the runes — and this comes as a bonus, it can't happen without it."* *"Take it away! I never asked you!"* — he insisted. He thought I was bluffing, that this was his achievement, and I was just trying to belittle him. Frankly, I didn't need any of this. I told him several times, *"I warn you — if I remove it, you'll have to earn it back on your own."* *"Remove it! I don't deserve it! I never asked you!"* *"Alright, I'll remove it."* And somehow, everything disappeared!

This person is here in the audience, I know. There was someone else I knew, who asked me, *"Why won't you give it back to him?"* So, he didn't even have the courage to call me and say, *"I thought you were bluffing, I thought you were trying to claim what I had earned myself, that you wanted to say you had given me this gift. But it turns out, you did give it to me. Please, give it back."*

But I said: *"No. Now you have to earn it. A gift is given once. After that, you must earn it, deserve it, if you want it back."* And after that, he became my enemy number one. He went around saying I'm a fraud, that I know nothing, that he was the one who taught me everything. The only thing I can honestly say is that there was one thing I did learn from him.

I had never really thought about the words "past," "present," and "future." But in fact, in Russian, "past" (прошлое) — means *"I have already passed;"* "present" (настоящее) — means *"what I still stand upon;"* and "future" (будущее) — means *"I will still be."* It turns out, if you say it slowly, you get Russian words — several words fused into one. In reality, we can understand the meaning of each word, not just speak them thoughtlessly. That's three words. Other words, I understood even without his help. But for this insight — thank you to him, that's the one and only thing he helped me with. Well, I thought, maybe I'm just dull — maybe I would have never figured that out on my own. Still, thanks anyway. Such things do happen.

5.19 Question: Could you please explain the term "Entropy of Logic"?

Answer: That comes from *"The Last Appeal to Humanity."* They took it from *"The Third Appeal to Humanity,"* which is cited there. It's not my work — I just quoted it, and only for one purpose: to show that the system of knowledge humanity has at this point — the classical, orthodox system — is very, very far from the truth. Nothing more than that. So, whatever they meant by "entropy of logic," it's hard for me to say, I can't speak for them. Therefore, "entropy" — in this context — is absurd in and of itself.

And as for "logic" — they were simply using words that our people use, but, as usual, our scientists also often do not fully understand what they're saying. Because when you ask someone, *"What does this word actually mean?"* even scientists with doctoral degrees and higher are unable to explain it. They say, *"Well, that's known only to God."* Which, frankly, does not satisfy me. Because if it's only known to God, then why do you call yourself a scientist? You should just go and say, *"I'm a priest."* Then everything would be fine — if it's known to God, it means you're promoting religion. And there's nothing wrong with that. Every person has the right to go crazy in their own way — the important thing is not to mess with other people's heads.