

12. Meeting on 12/15/2007

Introductory speech by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



Hello! I welcome everyone. Thank you for coming and taking time out of your weekend. Let's see — maybe this will be useful for you as well. Then I'll probably take a seat; that will make it easier for me and for you too. I've already been given a few questions, so I'll start by answering those, and then I'll respond to any questions that come in along the way. If that approach works for you, then let's begin.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

12.1. QUESTION: *In the Slavic Aryan Vedas, god Perun gives us the command not to marry foreigners, for there will be no forgiveness for us from the bright gods. In this regard, the question is: did the Urov civilization conduct a genetic experiment? Who were they in relation to us, to our civilization — were they bad or good? From which civilization is the god Perun?*

ANSWER: First of all, who is from which civilization? Whatever answer I give you about which civilization they are from, it won't give you anything useful for the simple reason that our concepts and the very idea of the names of those civilizations from which they came do not correspond to each other at all. You know, sometimes you hear from people who are deceived through telepathic contact, when they are told: "We have come from the constellation of Cygnus" or Cancer — it doesn't matter which constellation. If someone receives such a message, it clearly indicates a profanation for one simple reason.

First of all, what we see as a constellation and name, for example, Cygnus, Aquarius, or Cancer — these stars are separated from each other by billions of light years, and most often they have no interaction with one another at all. Secondly, the constellation Cygnus is our earthly name for that superposition of stars that we see not in three dimensions, but as a flat pattern in the sky, and naturally those who come here have no relation to what we call the constellation Cygnus.

If they arrive, they might say: “We come from a star that is located in the constellation that you call Cygnus.” You see? In that case, one can believe that they understand what they are saying, because they give a reference point. But when they say outright that they came from Alpha Centauri—well, they don’t call that star Alpha Centauri, they have their own names for it, you understand? Even if I were to tell you that they come from a certain star, the only correct way to state it would be to show the coordinates of that star or planet where that civilization is located. That is possible.

I can create a holographic projection and show any projection, but unfortunately, not everyone is capable of doing that. Those who don’t know how to see volumetric holograms simply won’t see it. Therefore, I can say right away that any claims like “this one came from such-and-such civilization, he is so-and-so,” and then starts talking about things that are ordinary for a human being — believe me, this clearly means someone is playing games.

If anyone has read my biography, I gave several examples where such games were played, and this, in principle, is the first signal — when they start operating using our concepts. Because our concepts simply don’t exist for them; they have their own concepts and a completely different perception.

First of all, they transmit information telepathically. When information is transmitted telepathically, the brain doesn’t transmit words. A word is something our brain already adapts and translates into a language accessible to us. What is transmitted is an image of something and a chain of events connected to the information being passed on. Then our brain converts and adapts that information, translating it into verbal code — which, unfortunately, is the most primitive form — and this is what we perceive as a telepathic signal.

Therefore, even to receive telepathic information, it is first necessary to establish agreement about what a particular symbol means, and what can at that moment be agreed upon. If this doesn’t happen, then no reliable information will be received.

If a person simply grabs onto something and then translates it into their own language, it’s the same as showing, for example, this object to people who have never seen a watch — and then they try to convey what they think it is. Will they get anywhere close to what it really is? Not at all, because there is no shared understanding of the concepts. This is just an introductory explanation, so that you can begin to grasp the idea a little.

Unfortunately, a great many people become playthings in the hands of parasites. And what’s most interesting is that these people who fall into such traps usually possess some kind of gift. And instead of developing that gift, rising to a higher level of understanding and awareness of their ability, they get caught in these traps. And in these traps, their minds essentially get manipulated, so to speak, and from them the parasites extract what they want — the vital potential, which is quite high in Earth humans.

So, when it comes to saying which civilization the god Perun came from... I’ve already written that our ancestors did not call gods “gods” in the sense that you understand the word today. The word *god* had a completely different meaning — specifically, a creator, one who knows how to create. That is, it signified a certain level of evolutionary development of a particular person. Such people were never gods in the original sense. They were born just like you — as ordinary people with potential — and they developed that potential. Therefore, a *god* is a high-level Hierarchy, one who has reached a high level of personal development.

Now regarding intermixing with foreigners. What was originally meant referred specifically to the race of the *greys* — social parasites who infiltrated Earth in order to enslave it. That's why our ancestors warned against mixing specifically with the race of greys, the infiltrated social parasites that I wrote about in my book.

The god Yahweh is one of their representatives, as I have clearly shown in my works — and not only in mine. Yes, they are *dark*, because even Yahweh himself says that the time will come when for all peoples and tribes there will be darkness and chaos, but for *my chosen ones* — that is, the Jews — there will be light and joy. In other words, for those who follow them — prosperity, and for everyone else — darkness.

This very attitude, this phrase — which is not my invention, you can read it in the Torah yourself — shows clearly who is who. There's no need to make things up, falsify, or "stretch the truth," as some say — it's all stated quite plainly there, as directly as possible. That's why the warning was specifically about intermixing with foreigners.

Our ancestors called *foreigners* not those from a neighboring country, as people might think today, but those who arrived without an invitation. When someone comes uninvited — whether they are an enemy or not — an uninvited guest, that's who was called a foreigner. And what they called *Earth* back then referred not only to our planet, but to planets in general. The word *planet* was introduced much later. There was no word *planet* — there was the word *earth*.

Our planet was called *Midgard-Earth*, and other *earths* were what we would now call planets. So, *foreigners* were those who came from other planets. And at that time — the time being referred to — it was representatives of the grey race, the dark social parasites, who were trying to infiltrate Earth, and about whom they warned us.

The descendants of the Ury (Urov) are in fact the pure line of the Rus. And the Ury themselves, when they operated here, belonged to a civilization of the forces of light and were precisely the ones who fought to prevent social parasites from penetrating Earth.

If you read the book carefully, you'll see that I specifically explained how in the Bible, in the Old Testament, everything has been twisted. It speaks about things that concern one process, then touches upon another, and it's all mixed together so that a person who reads inattentively will easily get confused. And there it actually talks about the time when our ancestors came to ancient India about 4,500 years ago to stop the spread of the cult of Kali-Ma — a black cult through which the social parasites were trying to penetrate Earth.

But before I continue, I want to remind you of the following: about 35,000–40,000 years ago, refugees were accepted onto Earth. And the wars that are described in the Vedas. You see, in the Vedas the information is recorded in a somewhat encoded form for the simple reason that those who shouldn't receive this information don't get it. So, besides the verbal codes that are there, blocking access to those who shouldn't have it, there are also several parallel levels of information recorded. And if a person is not ready for this, then they won't see what is not *under* the letters, but *above* them — they won't be able to properly interpret the content of the text.

Those who distributed these books — it's actually wonderful what they did, that they printed them. That same Pater Diy — he is a trusted representative of the keepers, but not a keeper himself. In my view, when the keepers try to interpret these things — even the keepers can't interpret them for the simple reason that their task is precisely to preserve. By the way, it's written in the Vedas: "Only the Priest-Keepers will remain, who will preserve and pass on." That is, their task was purely mechanical — to preserve — and they, in principle, couldn't read those other levels of knowledge.

That's simply a protective measure, so that if a Priest-Keeper were accidentally captured and subjected to various methods, he wouldn't be able to reveal secret information that is in there. Although in reality, there aren't any particular secrets — it talks about the structure of the Universe and nothing more, and even that in a limited scope. Why?

First of all, because Knowledge — especially such as is called *secret*, the kind that allows one to come to mastering the forces of nature — will never be placed into any book for one simple reason: a person must obtain this Knowledge on their own. Only if a person goes through understanding, through enlightenment, comprehending the processes that are happening and responding correctly to what is happening — only in that case is there a kind of built-in "foolproof" protection.

If a person simply follows an instruction: point a) do this, point b) do that, ... point 4) now the planet will explode — by the time they get to point 4, they've already done everything, you see? That's why it is arranged so that no one ... truly puts real knowledge into any book.

You know, nowadays many claim they are receiving knowledge from the Universes. But no knowledge is written down anywhere in the so-called *Akashic Records*, or anything of the sort. That's a deception, deliberately planted by the *Dark ones* — the parasites — to mislead people who have "hatched out of their egg" but haven't had a chance to look around yet. And in order to prevent them from orienting themselves and understanding what's what, they're handed a fake.

If the transmission of knowledge does occur, it happens through teaching a person how to approach solving problems the right way — what approaches and methods can be used to solve a problem. A person is prepared for problem-solving: what kinds of problems might arise, what approaches and methods are necessary to address them. But the understanding and comprehension must come from the person themselves — and that is very important. Because without such comprehension and understanding, any knowledge accompanied by power will lead to catastrophe.

What I described — at first just a little, and then more — is because in Volume 1 there wasn't such a global goal; my task was entirely different. You probably understood: it was to present the general picture — specifically the worldview, the social picture that exists on our planet. The task was to show that the knowledge which was obtained — let's say, and then applied in Atlantis... The Ants left and created the state of those who departed. The Ants were *outcasts* — do you know what an outcast is? People who reject, that is, people whose ego is distorted, whose ambitions far exceed their abilities.

Such a person thinks: "I am ready to rule the world, but they won't let me — the bastards — and I don't agree with that. If they won't let me, to hell with everyone, I'll go and make my own thing." That's roughly the situation — exaggerated, of course. When the split occurred, part of our ancestors broke away, created Atlantis, and there they started to play with the forces of nature without understanding the responsibility for their actions. As a result, a catastrophe occurred 13,000 years ago — what went

down in history as the Great Flood. And the entire civilization of Earth was thrown back to the level of the Stone Age.

Here you have a perfect example of what happens when someone gains understanding of how to control forces without having matured in their mind, their consciousness, their spiritual world. That's why when there is a significant imbalance between the level of consciousness and the level of capability, it is always dangerous and fraught with serious consequences.

I believe we must draw conclusions from past mistakes — mistakes that have already happened on our planet — and not repeat what has already been done, including by our ancestors. Because our ancestors should not have simply let the outcasts go — they should have blocked their abilities, their potential to create such things.

Yes, if they disagreed — fine. But what they had received, what they had been given — it should have been taken back from them, because they were not worthy of it. If they had done that, let them live as they wished, but the madness that arose — the madness that led to us finding ourselves on this primitive level today — would never have happened. But what has already passed, unfortunately, cannot be undone. A great deal has happened, and much has transpired since then.

12.2. QUESTION: *About 40,000 years ago, an experiment was conducted on Earth to create a new kind of human. What was the purpose? Which civilizations, from which planets, participated in this?*

ANSWER: As for which civilizations from which planets — as is clear from the previous answer, it's simply pointless to talk about that, because it won't provide any real reference point. One could only show a hologram and indicate exact coordinates. Hundreds of civilizations took part. First of all, when this planet was settled by the white race, that in itself was already an experiment — when representatives of many civilizations of the white race came together and united here on Earth.

What happened 40,000 years ago was, in essence, a second experiment within an experiment. The simple reason they accepted the refugees was that there had been a major war in space. Many civilizations that fought on the side of the forces of light were attacked by the dark forces. Their planets were being destroyed or on the brink of destruction, and refugees from these planets were brought not only to Earth, but to other planets as well. But Earth had quite a lot of free space at that time, so refugees were distributed across different continents according to the optimal conditions that matched those of their native planets.

(A voice from the audience: "And those who practiced the cult of Kali-Ma — were they also on their side? Were they also on the side of the whites when they were accepted on Earth, or were they from here, from Earth?") That came much later. That was an Earth cult.

Let me finish so it's clear. Refugees were brought from planets with completely different levels of evolutionary development, and that was a major drawback. Why? Because the level of evolutionary development isn't just about how far a civilization has advanced along the path of evolution — it's something that's reflected in their genetics.

If you've read *Essence and Mind*, I explained in detail that the evolutionary progress of a civilization becomes imprinted in the genes. So, as a result of many different civilizations being brought here, to

Midgard-Earth, with vastly different evolutionary levels, there was a kind of imbalance in all directions. As a result, some groups of civilizations turned out to be more evolutionarily advanced, others less so. This created a dangerous situation, which unfortunately led to what it led to.

Our ancestors tried to correct the situation a bit by conducting genetic experiments with each of the races that had arrived on Earth — except for the white race. That is, with the yellow, red, and black races.

What were they trying to do? If anyone is familiar with biology, there is such a concept as *alpha-genetics*. That means it is enough for representatives of the dominant genetics of each race to introduce the appropriate changes, and even — pardon me — without direct contact, these changes spread throughout all the populations of that race.

Our ancestors tried to do the same: they attempted this with the yellow, red, and black races. And unfortunately, they were not allowed to complete this work. As a result, the experiments went in the wrong direction, and we are left with the situation we have today.

What I wrote about — I deliberately showed that now people are quick to claim that the Slavic-Aryan Vedas are fiction. Correct? In my book I specifically demonstrated that if you take the information laid out in the Vedas and in the Bible, they describe the same events — just from different perspectives, from one side and from the other.

And I also took those events that are known historically and showed how they align — that they are not just the *three pillars* of our modern historical understanding (although that modern view is quite incorrect), but that something was preserved nonetheless. Add to that the Old Testament, add the Vedas, and I demonstrated that they all describe the same events. They just provide different interpretations of the same occurrences.

Thus, those who shout that the Vedas are fake — in that case, they would also have to declare the Old Testament, the New Testament, and everything else as fake to the same extent as the SAV (Slavic-Aryan Vedas). And if they don't declare them as such, then in that case the Vedas are just as authentic documents as the Torah. Once again, they simply describe the events from different perspectives — from the standpoint of the forces of Light and the parasitic forces.

I deliberately conducted an analysis and showed, using the tale "*About Finist the Bright Falcon*," that the information presented in this tale precisely corresponds to modern astrophysical concepts. That is, in any case, it cannot be a fake — it would be simply impossible to fabricate something at that level.

Moreover, if you look at what is presented in the Vedas, many points were simply distorted and re-presented in the Old Testament, and later in the New Testament — in that sense, the reverse is true. If we speak in that regard — it is precisely the Vedas that are documents with real confirmations, because, as you well know, the Old Testament was written quite late.

Have you come across a book called "*If You Are a Jew?*" In it, they themselves admit that Judaism is 4,500 years old. What remarkable precision — that's exactly when our ancestors came to India, and they write that Judaism originated from there. And in my book, I show that they came out of there and went

there. In any case, they cannot be the most ancient race, for the simple reason that they are not — and they themselves confirm it. After all, even Egypt is older than 4,500 years.

Unfortunately, what I see happening now is that some of those who call themselves *Vedists*, blindly and foolishly repeat what is written there, trying to revive those same traditions. Yes, we need to know our traditions, I agree; we need to know who our ancestors were and what they stood for, we must respect them. But to bring into modern life the way of life that existed back then — that's absurd, and it will never be realized. From my point of view, either they came up with this themselves, or someone is prompting them to do it. It's one thing to have an understanding of our ancestors' past, of Earth's past — and quite another to try to return to the past, to bring the past back. That is impossible.

Once again, if our ancestors lost the war at that time, it means only one thing — they made a great many mistakes. And to bring back those same mistakes, to repeat the same errors of the past — that would be foolish. That's why the experiment was conducted. Our ancestors tried, by adding their own genetics, to raise up, to balance things out somehow, so that there wouldn't be such significant qualitative gaps between the different races and their levels of genetics.

I deliberately referred to the beliefs of the Dogon — have you read them? Many simply don't analyze or understand that the Dogon themselves have ideas about their homeland on another planet, but the level of their concepts corresponds to the primitive ideas of 15th-century Earth. That's the level they were at when they were taken from there and brought here. They say their native civilization was the center of the universe — nonsense. And they believed that everything revolved around them. Just like in the 15th–16th centuries, when people believed Earth was the center of the world.

So, the experiment, you could say, was one of those cases where “they meant well, but it turned out as usual.” The experiment was derailed — and of course, not by accident. There is such a concept as the *grey race* — the race that originally came and brought with it a parasitic system wherever it went. And then there is the *grey subrace*. I specifically illustrated the emergence of the grey subrace through my analysis of Egyptian myths — Isis, and so on.

When the grey race got the grey subrace into its hands — or rather, a part of them, carefully selected — they gained a weapon against the white race. Because the earthly grey subrace carries the genes of the white race. That is, they acquired a weapon against the white race and used it very effectively.

As a result, we can see what is happening today. This is a long conversation, and I don't want to dwell on it now. But nonetheless, we must clearly and firmly understand the situation on the planet — what happened and what didn't happen. Of course, we can't change the past, but we *can* draw conclusions and avoid repeating the mistakes that were made. I believe that this is the first thing a reasonable being should do.

12.3. QUESTION: *About genetic memory — the removal of genetic memory.*

ANSWER: First of all, genetic memory was not taken away in principle. It is simply *blocked* — and that is a different matter. It is blocked for one simple reason: because through the social system, false ideas are imposed on people, and as a result, a person does not develop properly, and what is embedded within them remains unopened. It's like when a flower doesn't receive the conditions it needs — it never forms a bud, and without the bud, no bloom.

The same applies here. Genetic memory exists within each of you, it always has, and it hasn't gone anywhere. It's just that for many generations now, conditions have prevented it from unfolding. Of course, this leaves a mark — it becomes harder and harder — but nevertheless, if one develops properly, all of this opens up, and all of this can be unlocked and developed without issue.

12.4. QUESTION: *Different races lived in isolation from one another for a long time and were unaware of the existence of other peoples.*

ANSWER: Not quite so. There wasn't active mixing, that's true. But active mixing began precisely because it was beneficial to those social parasites who infiltrated Earth.

I can say the following: in any race there are prejudices against forming relationships with another race. For example, Black people believe that they shouldn't marry or wed White people. Is that right or wrong? In a sense, it is right, because the types of genetics are completely different, the approaches are completely different. When this mixing happens, a significant genetic imbalance arises, which allows the parasites to easily manipulate the mixed offspring. That's exactly why intermixing is promoted.

The Jews themselves didn't mix until the end of the 19th century — they had a strict ban on it, and for some reason no one talks about that. It was severely punished if someone tried to marry outside their group. Only at the end of the 19th century — early 20th century was a decision made to allow a Jewish woman to marry a non-Jew, because by their laws any child born to a Jewish woman is considered a Jew. And this was done solely to prevent degeneration, because their population was very limited and marriages were constantly between cousins, which led to physical degeneration. And even then, it was allowed only in very limited cases.

A man once told me — someone I met in San Francisco — Georgy Ginzburg, you may have heard the name. He was a Zionist here, but after moving over there, he stopped being one and said: "They're complete fools." I completely agree with him; he distanced himself from all that.

He told me that when he was young, he wanted to marry a girl who wasn't Jewish, and he was the only son. His mother said to him: "If you marry her, you are no son of mine." That was their attitude. And this wasn't all that long ago — it was when he was young. And somehow that is seen as normal.

And do you know the reason why there are attacks against the Church of Ingliism, for example? Because they supposedly say that you shouldn't mix with other races. Just as I've been explaining now. And they try to twist it to mean that they are saying all other races are inferior. But it's not that they are inferior — it's that mixing is undesirable, and there are reasons for that.

So why is it that Jews can live in isolation, and that is not considered illegal or racist, but if the white race says, "We don't want to mix with others," that is treated as a crime? You see, this is the politics of double standards — where I am allowed everything, but no one else is allowed anything, and everyone else is forbidden. That's what's called a policy of double standards.

I can say this: if you open the Bible — the Old Testament, or more precisely the Torah — and read it, well, excuse me, that is *super-fascism*. What the Jews established in Germany was just child's play compared to what is written in the Torah or Old Testament. And I didn't write this — they wrote it

themselves, and it's printed in books, officially, legally, and no one bans them for some reason. Yet everything written there is an expression of super-nationalism. Read it — it's interesting.

In the Torah it is written: *"If a goy reads the Torah — he must be killed."* We belong to the goyim — what can you do, we are cattle, animals. But strangely enough, cattle and animals seem to have language and can even think — and sometimes better than those who are not cattle.

Because even our enemies — the English, who have never been our friends — admit that 80% of the world's discoveries were made by Slavs. Not by Jews, who consider everyone else to be cattle and animals, but by Slavs. Turns out, animals are smarter than non-animals. Of course, this is dark humor, but as they say — in every joke, there's a grain of truth.

12.5. QUESTION: *Nicolai Viktorovich, there's an information war going on — a struggle of civilizations on a global scale. We need to win; we need our own informational weapon. Just exposing things isn't enough — we need positive actions.*

ANSWER: Of course — and who told you there aren't actions being taken? Even the fact that you are sitting here now — that's already action. Informational weapons — take the Internet, for example. It was created to turn people into even greater cattle than they had already been turned into, right? But any weapon has two sides: it can be used one way, or it can be used another way.

The same with the Internet. You see, I put my book online. While it's being prepared for publication in hard copy, I posted the book on the Internet. Look — by the end of July (I don't have the data for this month, and in November there was sabotage — no counters, the counters weren't working) — on the two sites where the counters were functioning, the book was downloaded close to 50,000 times. And the book is posted on more than two dozen sites, but only two of them have counters.

So just on those two sites — 50,000 downloads. And those who downloaded it often print it out for themselves, share it with others — so you can multiply that by two or three. You can say that around 100,000–150,000 copies were downloaded. And that's just the beginning — over time it will be even more. You see, for an information war to take place, it has to be fought — and for that, the information must reach the masses.

That was precisely the purpose for which I wrote the first volume of the book. I've begun working on the second volume — to offer my understanding of what is happening, so that people can see, and those who resonate with it can awaken from their slumber. And for those who don't want to wake up — well, as the saying goes, we'll have to drag them by the scruff of the neck into a better future for themselves.

It's pointless to wait for everyone to wake up — because never in history has everyone woken up, and never has everyone understood things in the same way — nor is that necessary. What's needed is for a certain *critical mass* of people to achieve understanding. And once a certain number of people begin to understand, it will no longer be possible to hang noodles on their ears, you see?

That is why, as I see it, the most important thing right now — if we're talking about what's written in the book — is the dissemination of information. Because a counter-war is being waged — counter-information is being created and spread, and it's presented without distortion. I try to build my materials so that no one can refute anything that is presented there. Because there is a lot of

information I possess, but I don't include it for the simple reason that I can't confirm it through any book, through any event that is known to everyone. That's why I don't put that kind of information into the book — because otherwise people will say: "Where did you get that?" "What can you prove it with?" And even without using information that is hard to verify, there is enough material that *can* be verified to completely expose the falseness of the existing views.

You see, they try to impose on us the idea that Rus began with Kievan Rus. There was a recent program where they talked about how settlements were found near Moscow that date back 1,500 years before the supposed emergence of the Moscow principality on those lands — and that people lived there, and there was a high culture. And they come up with all sorts of stories — like they opened a portal and disappeared through it.

But they didn't go anywhere — they were always there, and their descendants are still here. If we're talking about native Muscovites — the ones who aren't newcomers — they are the descendants of those people. They didn't disappear anywhere; they were and they remain. It's just more convenient for them to say that they vanished, because if they admit they were there and are still here, it means acknowledging that 1,500 years before Moscow was even built, there was a highly developed civilization on that very land.

But no — we Slavs are supposed to be seen as dumb, incapable of intelligence — they just don't want to accept the opposite, you see? They really don't like to admit that the civilization of the white race, whose foundations were laid by the Slavs and Aryans, is the very foundation of civilization — the one that created all the other civilizations that have existed and still exist on Earth today. They don't want to recognize that — and that's why they start spouting nonsense about *Kievan Rus* being the beginning.

First of all, the *Polyane*, who created the Kievan state, and the *Ruses* — the so-called Varangians — were not the same. Yes, they were related tribes, but they belonged to different castes, and even their hierarchical positions were different. The creation of the civilization of the Polyane had nothing to do with the Russian (Rus) civilization at all.

And as for Russian princes ruling over the Polyane — they were invited, that's a long story. This is the Merovingian dynasty — the so-called royal dynasty — which ruled in the West, including in France and England. In all the countries where people of the white race lived, there was a single caste.

And that caste wasn't a rigid caste like what was created in India — modeled on it, but a bad copy, a miscopy. Rather, it was a caste in which people from among the common folk could always rise — those who had the genetic potential and the ability to influence and operate reality.

Such people always rose hierarchically from among the people — no one limited them if they had the potential, the qualities, if they had the intellect. A person could be a peasant and rise to the highest position.

You remember, even until relatively recently, the title "*Your Excellency*" existed. Have you ever wondered why it was called "*Your Excellency*?" It's because among our ancestors, those who reached the top of the hierarchy weren't the ones who had stuffed their pockets the most, but those who could influence, govern — those who could create optimal conditions for the well-being and prosperity of their people.

These were people who cared not about themselves, but about others. And when such people reached that level, a radiance arose around them. And people, who hadn't yet had their heads filled with propaganda, could see this — and they knew who was who.

There was no need to put on insignia or say, *"I'm in charge."* No — people saw for themselves who had the right to give orders — and those orders would always be wise, from the word *RA* (reason/enlightenment), meaning enlightened with knowledge.

12.6. QUESTION: *Please tell us about the current state of Russia and its future.*

ANSWER: It's still a bit too early to speak about the future. It depends on what happens, although I hope that the future will be good. As for the current state of Russia, in my view — the *Night of Svarog* is over, remember? But not only that. The generators that turned people into cattle, that controlled them and forced people to think according to imposed patterns — those generators have been destroyed. That means people are now beginning to think in a completely different way.

So, the main thing now is for people to stop being passive. Unfortunately, if you look around, you'll see how passive most people are — they don't want anything, *"as long as no one touches me, as long as I get what I need."* But these ideas that were imposed on them are so primitive.

We have been reduced to the level of an intelligent animal — that is, concerned only with physiological needs that have to be satisfied. But that is not a human being; that is not a rational creature — that is an intelligent animal.

Yes, in part we are animals, of course — we come from the animal world, we are not bodiless holy spirits producing immaculate conceptions. We are people. But a human differs from an animal in that they also have a spiritual part.

I specifically included — not my own definition, but one I really liked — someone once said very precisely: *"If you control your instincts, you are a rational being — a human. But if your instincts control you, you are an intelligent animal."* It's hard to put it more precisely or more succinctly. Why come up with something worse when someone has already said it so well? Each of us must, above all, overcome the animal within us — which is present in each of us.

Yes, every person has a fear of death, fear for this or that. And it is precisely on these fears that the parasites keep us firmly in their grip. If each of us learns to free ourselves from this fear, we will become invincible.

Our ancestors were like that — maybe not always — but their genetic memory would awaken in any battle. When the situation became critical, during moments of powerful emotional uplift, our ancestors' genetic memory would always stir. That's when a person would begin to think not in terms of personal, selfish interests, but in terms of the interests of their people.

And at that point, they no longer considered their own life to be so important — because if the entire people didn't survive, what would their life mean anyway? We represent a single whole. Whenever genetic memory awakened, our ancestors would defeat all enemies, no matter how much nonsense had been drummed into them over the last thousand years.

In critical situations, that memory always awakened — and they always won. But do we always need to be pushed to the limit before we realize that two plus two is four? Do we need a bullet pressed to our temple before we understand? What's troubling now, in my view, is that people have truly become very passive. And the biggest problem is that they are afraid of everything.

You know, the "*Renaissance*" movement is forming, and people were asked: "*Send in your applications, write about your roots, etc.*" And yet people are afraid to send them. What are they afraid of? Are you afraid to say who your ancestors were? Why? "*What if someone hits me over the head later?*" Well, excuse me — that's like saying "*Let someone else do it for me, and then everything will be fine for me.*"

But it can't work like that. Of course, there will always be people like that — there always have been; but if we want to stop being slaves, then the first thing we must do is root out the slave within ourselves. Each of us. Until we do that, any parasite can come along and rule over us again.

Do you understand? They can't kill *everyone* — because then there would be nothing left for them to rule. That's why, when they killed off the strong — as it says in the Torah and in the Old Testament — "*destroy the strong,*" meaning the leaders.

Because there has always been a group — a core — that served as the cement holding the nation together. By destroying that core, everything would fall apart. Like big magnets that all the others magnetize around and stick together — they destroyed the leadership, and after that, everything crumbled, and then they took control.

Our task now is to make sure that all those who have been scattered into little magnets once again become not small, but *big* magnets — so that no one can scatter what we represent. At the very least, it's time for us to restore the glory of our ancestors — that's how I see it — and restore it not only for ourselves, but for everyone else. Because what is happening on the planet today is precisely the consequence of the actions of social parasites.

Everything you see — the state of the environment, and so on — it's simply because social parasites can't think in any way other than parasitic terms, and they act like parasites. Not just in relation to other peoples, but in relation to nature itself.

And like any parasite that settles, let's say, in a living organism — it dies together with its host — the same will happen to these parasites. They don't even understand that by destroying their host, they destroy themselves too, because they are incapable of thinking otherwise. They are, in fact, true slaves — unable to think. That's why I hope that the future of Russia is in our hands, and I hope that it will be a good future. All the conditions for that exist.

12.7. QUESTION: *Once again, please explain — what is genetic memory? People confuse it with education, with diplomas.*

ANSWER: Absolutely right — diplomas have nothing to do with genetic memory. And education as it exists today is far from true education, to be honest. I don't think education has become any better than it was in my time. From what I can tell, education in my day was much better than it is now. What they call education now is simply the imposition of dogmas that no one ever explains — "*just swallow it and shut up, repeat it like a parrot.*" In my view, that's not education at all.

Education, in any case, is not about a diploma. A truly educated person — what does that mean? It means if they need some information, they know where to find it. They don't even need to keep all that information in their head. Why should they, when they can open a book at the right moment, find the right page, and read it — or look it up online?

An educated person is someone who can freely operate with information — not someone who just spits it out on command. That idea — that you should memorize and regurgitate — that's a dogma created by someone, and it'll be changed again later. Why clutter your head with garbage? Maybe it's not garbage, but if you don't use it every day, when you need it, you can go get it. Education — that is, a diploma — in theory should teach a person exactly that: how to find the information they need, when and where they need it.

But genetic memory is something different. In a certain sense, it *is* connected to a person's development, to their education. Why? Because when you study something — truly study it — you change your genotype. Not so much your genetics directly, but the level of your *essence*. And that, too, eventually manifests at the level of genetics.

So genetic memory is what our ancestors accumulated over thousands of years — in fact, over hundreds of thousands of years, at least here on Earth. Everything you do leaves an imprint; even your thoughts are imprinted to one degree or another — more strongly in some people, weaker in others. That's what genetic memory is.

It is a misunderstanding to think genetic memory is like something entered into a cell where *this* and *that* are written down. No — genetic memory is something else. Genetic memory allows a person who has access to it to reach understanding of many processes much more quickly.

It's like you once learned something, then forgot it — and then, in a flash, you recover it all. You don't need to struggle to figure out that two plus two is four — you just quickly *click*, and you're through it. Why? Because you've already been through it before. And as a result, you can quickly reach the level you had attained at the moment of your last incarnation.

Secondly, at a certain level of development, a person who is able, for example, to travel into their past can descend along the line of their past incarnations — or along the genetic line of an ancestor — and see in images, so to speak, who that ancestor was and what they did. But that, too, is a slightly different quality. That is what I mean by genetic memory.

12.8. QUESTION: *Is it possible to recreate the city of Asgard Iriysky?*

ANSWER: In principle, recreating it is possible — but what for? What's the point? The important thing is not the city itself. As far as I know, excavations were carried out there, and ruins of those underground structures — which were barely touched — were found. I believe that when the time comes, when it is no longer dangerous to announce it, it will be shown to the whole world that on the territory of Russia there is a capital city that is 108,000 years old — these are real excavations.

And against arguments like that, no one will have anything to counter. And there are already many such facts that are being hushed up, because in an information war, no information is allowed that could cause damage. But again, the Internet is a good thing in this regard. They created a weapon that will be

their downfall. *Don't dig a pit for someone else — you'll fall into it yourself.* And that's exactly what's happening here.

12.9. QUESTION: *The properties of water, its beneficial effects on the body and consciousness, preparation of water, sound influence, and so on.*

ANSWER: First of all, water, as you know, is a liquid crystal, and naturally it reacts to any kind of influence — sound, magnetic, and so on. But magnetic influence gives little benefit to our body. Understand the following: magnetized water is not such a good thing. Let me explain why.

Magnetizing water will, in most cases, have only negative effects. Even the planetary magnetic field negatively affects the functioning of our body for the simple reason that our cells contain ions. And no one is surprised by that, right?

Ions, as you know, are very sensitive to magnetic fields. Therefore, the stronger the magnetic field, the harder it is for the cells to function properly, because the magnetic field disrupts the movement of ions. Of course, we've somehow adapted to the external planetary field — so why add more on top of that? That would just cause additional disruption. Any magnetized water, any magnetic field acting on a person, creates disturbances in the functioning of cells. There's no positive in that.

And as for *"this water is right-handed, that water is left-handed"* — to be able to say *"this goes here, that goes there,"* you have to understand what goes where, and which kind. Not just — *"this water swirls this way, that water swirls that way."* Is that an explanation? A scientific one, at that? No — for me, that's not an explanation.

In fact, every person influences water — and you, too, can influence it. The degree of that influence, however, varies greatly. And it depends not only on the water itself, but on how strong your potential is, and how well you understand what you're doing. This influence isn't just about waving your hand over it. When I work, I scan the person, I see their problems, and I structure the water so that the program embedded in it works to repair what needs to be fixed.

Of course, you can create a general health-improving effect, and when a person drinks that water, they will feel better. But to truly resolve problems, you must clearly understand what specific problems need to be addressed, understand their root cause, the consequences, and the mechanism for removing them — and define a strategy for the work. And then you embed that program into the water. The water is just the carrier — it holds the program, and then the person drinks it.

When I was still in the U.S., in San Francisco, there was a person in intensive care with sepsis. I "charged" water for him — he drank it, and within a couple of days he was out of intensive care, and in 3–4 days he was healthy. But that wasn't because the water did it by itself. Water doesn't do anything by itself. Nothing ever happens on its own. Yes, you can send a good wish — that will also have a positive effect, but it's not enough.

In order for water to have a concrete positive effect on a person, you need to have a clear understanding of what needs to be done, and how to do it. And water is simply the carrier — the executor. That's all, in a nutshell.

12.10. QUESTION: *Who are people with alpha genetics, and how can they be identified?*

ANSWER: People with alpha genetics are those who, at birth, possess a powerful potential — a psi-potential — where their essence and genetics are in harmony with each other and develop together. As a result, the person reaches a level where they can, to a greater or lesser degree, operate reality itself.

How to identify them? There is a certain test. But for now, I think it's better not to identify them openly or announce individually who they are. Because until a person has mastered *methods of protection*, they can easily be eliminated — just as such people were eliminated in the past. And again, for every method there is a counter-method.

People with this kind of pronounced alpha genetics, especially children, one way or another end up in my mental school, where they are trained. And apart from me, no one knows who studies there. They receive certain development, and at the right moment they will begin to act. We must also learn this kind of strategy from our enemies — isn't that right?

(A voice from the audience: *"But do they themselves know what they are?"*)

The children know — naturally, the children know.

(A voice from the audience: *"What about the parents?"*)

Those who need to know — know as well. The parents don't always know.

Alpha genetics, to put it simply: in every people, over the course of development (if the nation has survived as a nation), there are individuals like this. Understand: not everyone can be a leader, no matter how much they might want to — not because someone is good or bad.

Certain qualities are needed — not only personal qualities. There are natural-born leaders, and there are fake ones. What is a fake leader? Someone who puts a gun to your head and says: *"I'll shoot you if you don't obey me."* That's what's called a fake leader. Natural leaders are those whom people recognize without anyone needing to put a gun to their head. Unfortunately, there are far fewer of those.

Especially over the past thousand years, what has mostly been imposed on us are precisely fake leaders — those who gained some status not because they were worthy of it, but because their pockets were full, because of connections, or simply through brute force, one way or another. But if we're talking about alpha genetics — these are people who are natural leaders, meaning they have the potential.

What is a leader? You see, you can frighten a person. Imagine, for example — especially in the past — when an enemy army was charging. Today, unfortunately or fortunately, it's not like that anymore: a bomb drops — no one sees or hears it. In some ways that's good, in others bad.

But back then, when an army stood and a wave of the enemy rushed at them — panic could easily set in, right? So, what is a leader? A leader is not someone who runs blindly into danger and gets their throat slit — that won't achieve anything. You can set up blocking detachments, mow down anyone who advances — sure, that's possible.

But a true leader is someone who can awaken in people those inner qualities that lift them above their animal existence — someone who can inspire them to risk their life for the common cause, not for personal gain.

Such people have always appeared — sometimes more, sometimes fewer — and when there were none, it was always a time of disaster for any nation. If a strong leader came into another nation, often that nation or people would cease to exist.

But it's the person who has a powerful potential, who can raise others up to their level — maybe not for long, but can lift them up, magnetize them — not turn them into zombies, but lift them to a level where they truly feel the responsibility for their children, their wives, their kin, their fellow people.

When they stop thinking about themselves and aren't like robots, but are elevated — you see? That is the kind of person who carries alpha genetics. That's why such people have always been targeted for destruction — because without them, who will raise others up to that level of higher expression of a rational human being? For the *dark ones*, such people are the most dangerous. People with alpha genetics. Look at their deeds — and it will be clear, in short.

12.11. QUESTION: *How can you explain the mystery of the Holy Fire, the appearance of weeping icons, and so on?*

ANSWER: One of my acquaintances — who is sitting here in the hall — told me that the Holy Fire would ignite many times, right up until they started chasing away the boys who used to accompany it. As soon as they started chasing the boys away, the fire stopped appearing. The next time, they did the opposite — they invited the boys again. So, the Holy Fire — it's a fiction. That is, it's not a divine manifestation; it's a human phenomenon.

Small children have a very powerful potential that they haven't yet realized. And in that area (where the fire appears) there is a specific zone where this potential can be managed. When a large group of children gathered together at the same time, someone who knew how to control that potential would collect it and use it to produce the so-called Holy Fire.

I didn't make this up — this is a real fact. When they chased the children away — nothing happened. And when they brought the children back — it all happened again.

It's the same with some so-called "great" deeds — for example, Sai Baba did what he did simply by collecting potential from boys, though in a rather *original* way. I won't go into details so as not to seem like a gossip — you can read and find out for yourselves.

He gathered potential from the masses — you can see the same thing happen in a church, when people are in a trance: potential is collected from them and then that mass of potential is used. This is magic — the simplest form of magic, which always existed, exists now, and will always exist. And the entire church stands on magic — and most often on black magic.

(A voice from the audience: *"And what about the Holy Fire in Jerusalem at Christmas?"*) I just explained that.

As for weeping icons — once again, paint is a liquid crystal. And if the artist who painted the icon put their potential into it, then conditions arise on the paint where synthesis of matter can occur. This can also happen on top of what the artist embedded, combined with the fact that thousands upon thousands of people have prayed before it.

The potential accumulates and accumulates — all of it imprints onto the icon, layer upon layer. And when a certain critical mass of that potential builds up, synthesis of a substance occurs — that's all there is to it. And they know this perfectly well.

(A question from the audience: *"And in the Catholic Church, I think every year or maybe once every four years, there's a ritual where the blood becomes liquid again — it's in some kind of dried state?"*)

Once again, this is a magical ritual — pure magic. Believe this: any more or less advanced priest has initiation into magic. All of them belong to secret orders. And the higher their hierarchical position, the more they possess magical knowledge. That's a fact — and of course no one is going to confirm it publicly, but it is a fact.

(A voice from the audience: *"But they're black magicians?"*) Not all, far from it — thank God, as they say — but still. You see, it's possible to make any object appear to weep oil or blood — that's not a problem at all. But there's no miracle in it. They simply exploit people's ignorance in order to deceive them — that's how I see it.

12.12. QUESTION: *In addition to the information war against Russia, there is also a financial war.*

ANSWER: I hope that Russia will pursue a sensible financial policy in the near future. And I hope that in the upcoming presidential elections, the reasonable forces of the country will prevail. If that doesn't happen, it will come a little later — but it will happen nonetheless.

A rational financial system in Russia is already beginning to take shape and will be created — a system that will ensure stability in Russia. For now, stability isn't possible because the current financial system has been imposed on us by those same social parasites. We are playing by their rules in the financial arena.

So, what stability can there be? After all, the Americans have already prepared a new currency — you know about this, right? And besides, few people are aware of this, but I can tell you: from 2000 to 2004, the Federal Reserve Bank — which, by the way, is a private bank owned by private individuals — printed as many U.S. banknotes as had been printed in the entire 73 years prior.

That is, from 1913 to 2000, they printed as much as they printed just from 2000 to 2004. Why do you think they're doing that? (A voice from the audience: *"To swindle everyone."*) Yes. And they already have the new currency ready. They will annul all the old ones, and so everyone who had something will be told: *"Sorry, move along, goodbye."*

Then they issue their new currency — and that way they swindle everyone out of enormous sums. The external debt of the U.S. amounts to many, many trillions of dollars — to be precise, thirty trillion. And when they swindle everyone out of that...

(A voice from the audience: “*When?*”) I don’t think we’ll have to wait very long. First and foremost, what’s important for them now is to bring down Russia — because Russia is rising against their wishes. I hope that Russia will indeed rise — and that’s why it’s so important for them to try to cut it down.

But they overlook one thing: Russia has colossal resources, and on that basis, Russia can create its own financial system and, excuse me, not give a damn about the rest of the world. No other country — not even the U.S. — has anything comparable. So, whether sooner or later, in any case, a default will happen, because this endless printing of paper money simply can’t go on forever.

(A voice from the audience: “*So can we forget about the stabilization fund already?*”) I hope not. But there are other countermeasures already being implemented — I just won’t talk about them now. If the stabilization fund is lost, it won’t be a tragedy for Russia in any case. It simply would have been right long ago to use the money from the stabilization fund to buy gold. I said this 5–6 years ago: that gold should have been purchased, and then any credit in any currency could have been taken against that gold.

Gold keeps rising in value, and will continue to rise. Then that gold could be used to pay off debts if needed. And the most important thing is to keep the gold not somewhere abroad, but in Russia — because keeping it elsewhere is like keeping your savings with an enemy and then going to them saying: “*Listen, give me my money back.*”

What do you think? Will the enemy give you your own money back? That allows whoever holds it to manipulate you. But this wasn’t accidental — Yeltsin did that, and unfortunately, it’s not so easy to change. It’s not something that can be done by the will of Putin alone. But I hope that much will be changed in this regard.

12.13. QUESTION: *They are asking about your healing practice.*

ANSWER: I don’t do much of it anymore. That’s why I specifically posted free healing sessions on the website. Right now, I have many other things I need to do — it takes a lot of time — so I work very little in that area, only with those I had already committed to helping.

In very rare, exceptional cases I assist — not because I don’t want to help, but because when a ship is sinking, you have to save the ship first, right? Of course, in doing so, some may be lost along the way, but if you don’t stop the flooding of the ship, everyone will perish.

That’s why I see my task as helping to *heal society* — to give understanding so that people wake up, free themselves from slavery. And then many diseases will disappear on their own — there simply won’t be anything to treat, because they won’t exist anymore. But for now, that work is still in progress, so to speak.

12.14. QUESTION: *Who were the Lemurians?*

ANSWER: You probably read Blavatsky, right? You see, to fool people, to deceive them, you can invent anything — the Lemurian race, the Atlantean race.

The Atlanteans — you understand — weren't a separate race. They were people, just like you and me, who emigrated and started playing by their own rules. That's already a proven fact — many such facts are established.

As for Lemurians — there never was any intelligent Lemurian race on Earth. That's just another trick by the *dark ones*. Blavatsky herself clearly worked for the dark ones.

And if you know, Nikolai Roerich — whom many have heard of — toward the end of his life realized that the *dark ones* had led him by the nose, and he rejected his teachings. Did you know that? And as soon as he rejected them, he suddenly died very quickly — probably from stress, right? Well, the reason is clear.

Then his wife, Elena Roerich, took his diaries and published *Agni Yoga* under her own name. But he had realized that the Shambhala he had visited had nothing to do with the real Shambhala — it's located elsewhere.

So, they try to convince us of anything: let there be Lemurians, let there be horned goats, as long as it's *not* us Russians who are the source of civilization, right? Lemurians — sure, let's have some furry, tailed creatures who were so wise and intelligent, and we're supposed to be the primitive ones.

Just don't let it be the Slavic-Aryan civilization that's the foundation of everything — they'll accept anything else, just not us.

12.15. QUESTION: *I want to become your student. What should I do?*

ANSWER: Once again — I'm not currently running a school at this moment. And if I do run one, it will naturally be a multi-stage school. And I will select not those who simply *wish* to be in it, but those who are truly *worthy*.

Maybe the person who wrote this is indeed worthy — no doubt about that. But as you probably gathered from my experience, when I used to believe that if you give someone something valuable, they would surely purify themselves — that belief didn't hold up. Fifteen years of observation is a long enough time to draw conclusions, don't you think? So, people will be selected who are truly worthy — who aren't just waiting for someone else to do everything for them.

Someone once wrote me an email when I was announcing at the gatherings (the *Sobors*) that spreading information was the key task, that the mission right now is propaganda — and I still believe this is the most important thing. No active actions yet — first the information needs to spread as widely as possible. That is the most important task in my strategy and tactics as I see them.

And they wrote: "*We don't do book publishing.*" But who said you have to publish books? Anyone who has a printer can print out the book for themselves, give it to their neighbor — that's propaganda too, just on a small scale. But if many people do that, it adds up to hundreds, thousands.

They say: "*You should first rewire our brains, and then with those brains we'll invent some engine, start production, and then we'll send you something for book publishing.*"

So, if there is a school, there will be strict selection — not just by desire, but of those who truly meet the inner qualities required. People who can be trusted not to press the red button on a bomb just because they *feel like it* — people who understand why it must not be pressed, not because *I* said so, but because they *understand*.

The most important thing is to transform the person. From experience: among the general population, 5% can have their structure transformed in minutes, 15% within 2-3 days. That, as it turns out, is the easy part — giving someone the *quality* is not hard.

The hardest part is teaching a person to *think differently* — to free them from this foolish mental slavery, to help them start thinking responsibly for every action they take. That turned out to be the hardest of all.

Many people who adapted easily to transformation found it very hard to achieve that understanding of *what* and *how* to use their new abilities correctly. And that's the most dangerous thing. Especially with adults, because they already have a certain worldview — usually a distorted one — and it's very hard to change that. Not because they're bad people, it's just really difficult.

It's easier with children — they're like litmus paper. You give them the opportunity, and they flourish like in a greenhouse, and very wisely at that. At least in my school, out of several thousand children, there was only one case where a child went off in the wrong direction. I think that's a pretty good result.

12.16. QUESTION: *Do you consider Putin to belong to the forces of light?*

ANSWER: I believe he does belong to the forces of light — because in the situation he found himself in, and still finds himself in, he managed to do what he did: he did not allow the destruction of Russia, as was planned.

Believe me, from among his circle, besides himself, there were very few who cared about that. And it was thanks to him — I'm even surprised — I understand what kind of straitjacket he's in. You understand what I mean? That even while in a straitjacket, he was able to achieve what he did.

So, I'm not saying he's an ideal — none of us is ideal — but overall, what he has done I consider positive. Because Russia today is not at all what it was in the Yeltsin era — it's two different things. I think you'll agree with me on that. In this, I believe, is a very great merit of Putin.

You know, I've never been a sycophant. When people came to me in America during Yeltsin's time and asked me on camera, during the second elections: *"Who do you think will be president of Russia — Yeltsin or Zyuganov?"* I said: *"Unfortunately, it will be Yeltsin, because Zyuganov is even worse than Yeltsin. When you're forced to choose between two evils, you pick the lesser evil — and Yeltsin is the lesser evil compared to Zyuganov."*

I said this on camera. And when guys from the security services came to me back then — they probably didn't live long after what they heard and recorded. I said that Yeltsin could have done one thing if he had truly cared about Russia.

If, as president, he had used his position to speak to the people live on air and said: *“Since I was a member of the Politburo, I had to play by the rules imposed on me. If I hadn’t, I wouldn’t have been there. As a result, in my account at such-and-such place is the sum owed to me as a participant in that common feeding trough. And now I return that money to the country.”*

Then no one could have touched him anymore, right? He could have held anyone accountable, and no one would have dared interfere — because he would have exposed himself. Of course, he didn’t do that — he chose another path. But at least, as a result, Putin became president, and Russia managed to climb out of the pit they were trying to drive it into.

12.17. QUESTION: *The Kunstkamera — there are photographs of a ten-meter skeleton?*

ANSWER: The thing is, I really did place in a special *Kunstkamera* (cabinet of curiosities) some interesting finds — not directly related to what I’m doing, but just to show that many of the ideas found in fairy tales and so on have a basis.

Indeed, beings from other places did come to our Earth — including large ones. So, it turns out giants were real creatures that once lived. You can see skeletons 50 meters long, and even small beings about a meter tall really existed.

The same goes for dragons. I even saw a documentary where, in Hungary, they found in an ice cave a frozen female dragon and her last offspring. According to legend, they went there and found them — real bodies.

Just like with the frozen Neanderthal that was discovered. Research was done, and it showed that modern humans have nothing in common with Neanderthals — this has been proven genetically. But for some reason, the media stays silent about it, even though genetics has proven they’re incompatible.

Yes, they’re both humanoids, they look similar. Only once was a skeleton found of a hybrid — but it had died without reaching adulthood, meaning it was not viable — and this, on the contrary, confirms the incompatibility.

And yet they keep quiet about it, because it’s not convenient — because such facts would cause the whole scientific narrative to collapse. Collapse into *Tartarus* — or, as we know, *Tartarus* comes from *Tartary*, after all.

12.18. QUESTION: *They’re asking about children, preparation, and so on.*

ANSWER: Once again — those children who are the most gifted in these matters, that is, those already ready to interact telepathically, they automatically end up in my school. Unfortunately, not all children are able to do this, naturally.

So, what can be done? Start teaching children from the youngest age — 2 or 3 years old — to take responsibility for every one of their actions. Teach them to be accountable for each action at a level they can understand, and gradually give them the right understanding.

Recently, I gave a lecture to students and high school seniors. I spoke about telegency — the imprint left on a woman by a man, and how it is passed on. That is, a woman accumulates the imprints of all the men she's been with — including their genetic and psychological illnesses — throughout her life, up until she gives birth.

There was outrage: "*What do you mean? Are we supposed to stay virgins until 30?*" Yes — if there is no love, then you should remain so until 30. I'm not calling for prudishness — I'm calling for purity. If a woman wants her child to be healthy, then she should be thinking about the future of her child — if she wants to have a child at all. But if she's collecting...

Look at what's being promoted now — like in the U.S., for example. In schools, the most popular girl is the one who has slept with the most boys. The more boys she's been with, the higher her popularity — you see, everything is turned upside down.

It's a fact that a woman accumulates on herself the imprints and genetic and psychological illnesses of her partners. So, is it worth it, if a woman wants to have children in the future? Our ancestors, when a woman chose that path, would forbid her from having children after that. They would give her special herbs that made her sterile — and then, go ahead, enjoy yourself as much as you want.

But if a woman is thinking about family, about having healthy children, then of course she should be striving not for sex, but for *love*. These are two very different things. Right now, they try to replace concepts — as if love and sex are one and the same, as if they're equal. But they're not. Love is the highest feeling between a man and a woman, while sex is purely animalistic, a beastly expression.

Yes, with true love, physical closeness is there too — but it's somewhere around tenth place, not the main thing. Right now, there is an obvious push through the media to promote the idea that sex is joy, that it's the only meaning of life. Maybe for someone that is their only meaning — but not for me.

So, children can be raised with the right values. If you explain this from the very beginning, any boy, any girl will understand that it's true. They'll think ten times before, for some stupidity or amusement, they choose to get what they think they're getting through sex.

And if a person doesn't waste themselves on trifles, and truly preserves their purity, and actually achieves *love* — when they meet their true half, they'll feel what real love is, not the surrogate that is presented as love nowadays. And then the children will be healthy and talented.

Just look at America, where the sexual revolution started in the 1960s — where people live, changing partners 20-30 times, and then by 35-40 years of age they say: "*I've had my fun, now I'm ready to start a family.*"

And they give birth to children who are sick from birth. That's not a theory — that's a fact. Tell me, would any normal woman want her child to be born sick? No. Would any normal man want his beloved woman to give birth to a child that isn't really his at all? Maybe if it's from his friend Petya — someone he worships like a god — maybe he'd be happy that his wife's first man made him happy that way. But a normal man wouldn't want that, I think.

So, upbringing needs to be focused on teaching responsibility from the very earliest age. You shouldn't allow a child to just do whatever they want. When my friends had children, I gave them some advice — my view of how to raise a child properly, so that things are clear.

For example, little children often like to pull someone's hair or hit — that's how they try to get attention. Especially when attention shifts to someone else. Particularly with a first child who had everyone's attention — then a second child is born, and naturally, they want to draw attention back to themselves.

So, they start hitting or pulling hair, and immediately everyone turns and says *"That's not nice."* Naturally, the child will do it 10 more times, because they don't understand what's happening. I said: *"Don't handle it that way. The moment the child pulls someone's hair, gently pull their hair too — not hard, just enough for them to feel it — and ask: 'Do you like that? Every time you do that, I'll do this to you.'"* You know what? It works instantly — they forget all about it.

That means a child must understand what they're doing — they must take responsibility for their actions. And this applies not just to pulling hair, but to everything else. A child needs to understand that they have freedom. You can say: *"Yes, you can do what you want, but if you do this — here's what the punishment will be"* — in advance. And raise the child so that from the earliest days of life they understand they are responsible for each of their actions — not in some abstract sense, but in a real, tangible way.

No one should beat or harm a child, obviously. But the punishment should usually be material — for example, no candy, cake, ice cream, TV — whatever the child values at that moment. They know: *"Yes, you can do what you want — but if you do this, you won't get that, that, or that. You choose."*

That's where free will comes in — no one is forcing anyone, but they are warned. And when a child grows up in such an environment — and at the same time is given a proper understanding of the reality of relationships between boys and girls (not in detail, as they try to explain now, but simply that there are boys and girls) — if that's done properly, as it should be, then the child will grow up and never do what many children do nowadays, in my view.

12.19. QUESTION: *Why is Gurdjieff considered a black magician?*

ANSWER: Why? Has anyone looked into his system of teaching? First of all, he would turn his students into dirt — he would break them down, crush them. I didn't invent this — read about it. He turned people into slaves, first and foremost. And once a person was completely crushed, turned to dust — that's when he began to "teach."

Why is that bad, why is that black? His students included people like Stalin and Hitler. Hitler, true, not directly — his teacher was one of Gurdjieff's other students. But Stalin was directly a student of Gurdjieff. Do I need to explain any further why that makes him black?

Besides, the revolution in Russia was built and carried out through magical influence. The destruction of the upper echelons — for example, the tsar's family — came through Rasputin, who was a conduit for Gurdjieff's work. Rasputin was his puppet; Gurdjieff worked through him.

I could give many more pieces of evidence. From my point of view, actions that brought such losses — our country lost more than 100 million people directly, the best of the nation and its culture were destroyed and continue to be destroyed — in my view, I think that's clear proof that his actions were not of the light.

12.20. QUESTION: *How can information be properly spread among people with alpha genetics?*

ANSWER: They don't want to listen — that's clear, they don't want to listen. And you shouldn't just hit them *head-on* like "*Give me a million, give me a million*" — remember Panikovsky? That's basically how it sounds — and it's not the way.

First of all, when working with a person, you need to *hook* them with something that touches them deeply — any person. Most people walk around in their little shells, and simply barging in and pounding on that shell with a sledgehammer is useless — it'll only cause rejection. You need an individual approach for every person. First you have to sense what the person cares about, what they're interested in. Now, if someone is only interested in alcohol, of course it's hard to find common ground. But if there is something alive in the person, you need to hook into that — and at first, give information and understanding on what is close to them. Gradually, you can start removing that shell, so the person de-robotizes.

That's why I said at the beginning "*Give me a million, give me a million*" — the same kind of approach like "*Come on, let me open up your alpha genetics, let me hit you with a hammer — your alpha genetics will open right up.*" That kind of approach is unacceptable. What's needed is an individual approach so that the person feels like a person — because, unfortunately, that's rare these days.

12.21. QUESTION: *Fomenko and Nosovsky claim that Jesus Christ was Russian on his mother's side and Greek on his father's. Who was he really?*

ANSWER: You can't really answer that in just a few words — because Christianity and the teachings of Christ have nothing in common, as you probably understand by now. The fact is, his mother was from a Scythian royal line — that is a fact. The Scythians were also Rus, just one branch of the Rus. This is known, and during excavations they even found clay tablets where this is mentioned.

And in modern Israel — although of course he wasn't born in that Palestine or Judea — if you've read the Bible, meaning the New Testament, there are some interesting points. First, his arrest in the gardens of Jerusalem — remember that? But there were no gardens around what is now called Jerusalem.

If we're talking about 2000 years ago, there was never any Jerusalem on the territory of present-day Palestine/Israel. In ancient times, *Jerusalem* meant any place where the high priest and his religion resided. It was a religious capital, not a city — it referred to the seat of the high priest. That's the first point.

The second: the true location of the biblical Jerusalem is what we now know as Istanbul. And think about it: it says *gardens of Jerusalem*. To this day, Israel uses water desalinated from the Mediterranean Sea, and they have never had other sources for irrigation. So large-scale gardens simply could not have existed.

Then, Golgotha. Golgotha is supposed to be a small hill, right? But according to the Bible, it's a very large mountain. And it even says in the Bible that the crucified Christ, from the cross, could see ships entering the bay, into the harbor.

Reference: modern Jerusalem is about 100 kilometers from the Mediterranean Sea — and there are no bays there. How could that be? Of course, I understand that He was supposed to be God, but seeing a bay 100 kilometers away — well...

As for Fomenko and Nosovsky — in my view, they are provocateurs. Let me explain what positive thing they do: they present real documents, not just the opinions of some historical authority. But the interpretations and explanations they offer are even worse than the forgeries created by the historians themselves. Because instead of simply pointing out that this is falsification meant to hide the true history, they conclude something very interesting: instead of saying *"this is a cover-up meant to conceal real history,"* they say *"there was no history at all — just a thousand years, and nothing before that."*

So, before that, we had just climbed down from the trees, apparently. And the question is — who benefits from that? Clearly not those seeking to uncover real history and the true past. At first, it seemed they were onto the right information. They stated that Christ lived at the end of the 11th century, that he was crucified in 1087 or 1093 — specifically in Constantinople, or Tsargrad, or Jerusalem (which, in fact, are one and the same). Indeed, it did happen there. And in their works, you can see photos showing this.

Muslims also honor Christ — only they don't consider him God, they consider him a prophet. And in fact, Islam is a Christian sect (for those who don't know), not a separate religion. It's just like Catholicism or Protestantism. Islam is one of the sects — nothing else.

The only difference is that they recognize Muhammad as the chief prophet, and Christ plays a lesser role — they call him *Isa*. By the way, it's from that name *Isa* that the word *Islam* comes, not from Muhammad. *Isa* is the Arabic name for Christ.

And *Jesus* isn't even his real name — *Jesus* is the Greek variant for a follower of Yahweh, though he was never a follower of Yahweh. There are many interesting facts. For example, does anyone remember when the First Crusade was? 1095.

(A voice from the audience: *"So after his death they immediately went to punish?"*) Exactly. So, if we believe that for 11 centuries everyone sat doing nothing, and then after 11 centuries it finally hit them — *"We must punish them, because they killed Christ!"* And everyone suddenly gathered and cheerfully went off to war for Christ? But the funniest part — do you remember where the First Crusade ended? In Constantinople. Everything fits if you assume he was crucified in Constantinople, or Istanbul, or Tsargrad, or Jerusalem — all the same place.

Then it makes sense: he was crucified in 1087 or 1093, and just a few years later people came trying to take revenge for him. But the most amusing part: when the knights' army was marching toward Jerusalem, they lost 20 or 30 thousand knights — do you know how that's explained? *"The peasants killed them."* The peasants just up and slaughtered 30,000 knights — gathered together in one place, professional warriors, fully armed — and the peasants wiped them out! What kind of peasants they must have had in the Aramean Empire!

It was actually a war between the Aramean Empire and the Western European countries. The West was trying to punish the ruler who allowed Christ to be executed — that's all. So, this happened at the end of the 11th century. I always say — think about it: who ordered Christ to be put to death? The Jewish high priests. The Bible itself says this in the New Testament.

First of all, the meeting where this was decided took place after midnight. What does that tell you? That the Jewish high priests were followers of a lunar cult — they always carried out their actions after midnight. Interesting, isn't it? Same as the Kali-Ma cult — the Black Mother — same pattern.

And what was he sentenced to death for? Remember the words from Matthew? When he was asked, he replied: *"I was sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* That means he came to free the first victims of the social parasites — the Jews — from their spiritual slavery. That's why he said: *"I was sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* His message wasn't aimed at those who weren't of the house of Israel — because they hadn't been brainwashed by the high priests.

The high priests sentenced him to death and executed him — by the way, few people know this — on the Jewish Passover, the feast of Pesach, as a sacrifice to Yahweh. If you read the Torah, it says that any prophet who does not align with Yahweh must be offered as a sacrifice to Yahweh. Naturally, Christ, who brought his teaching there, was destroyed by them at the end of the 11th century.

And there is a chapel in southern France where Mary Magdalene is buried — did you know that? So, here's a question: how could there be a chapel from the 11th-12th century where Mary Magdalene is buried, when she should have died 12 centuries before that? And there's no mention anywhere that her remains were moved.

It's simple: because she really died in the 12th century. She really had children by Christ — they were husband and wife. Even now in the registry office they say *"companion and life partner,"* remember? And back then, *companion* (спутник) and *life companion* (спутница) meant husband and wife — these terms were later replaced precisely to distort their meaning. In many old documents, it says that Magdalene was the *companion* of Christ — and at that time, that meant she was his wife.

And to change that, they introduced the terms *husband* and *wife*, which were something different — not *companion* and *life companion*, but *husband* and *wife*. And so, they made it seem like *companion* simply meant someone who followed along with him — that's all. Clever.

But they did have children. In that chapel, there are several statues where Christ is shown standing with two small children — clear enough who they are, right? And there are several such pieces of evidence that they try to keep quiet. But certain people who know what's what do venerate and honor that chapel — not in the usual sense of worship, but in a different way.

There was even a book published based on documents written by Christ himself. I use the name *Christ* because no one knows his real name — that's the name everyone recognizes. One of his descendants decided that by the end of the 20th century, it was time to make Christ's own writings public. These manuscripts were translated into modern language and published.

The book is called *"Jesus Christ — the Pagan God."* When that book came out, it was immediately pulled from stores. Funny, isn't it? You'd think the words of Christ himself — what could be more valuable? But

no, any satanic literature can fill the shelves of any bookstore — no one pulls it, it's printed without limits. But the words of a man whom more than a billion people revere as God — *that* they don't want people to hear. Strange, isn't it?

12.22. QUESTION: *What can you say about Carlos Castaneda and the teachings of Don Juan?*

ANSWER: Quite a lot, actually. I can say this: it's disappointing. It saddens me, because really, any drug addict could become a *Castaneda*, you see?

The thing is, if shamans — whether Native American, Siberian, or otherwise — use narcotics, mushrooms, whatever, to open up their minds in a certain way and see something, trust me, there's nothing particularly good that they'll see. And in his book *The Teachings of Don Juan*, what's shown is that they really don't see anything good. So, where's the teaching? There is no actual teaching there, in principle.

Once again, someone hyped up a whole lot of nothing on purpose. There's no teaching — just descriptions of how he went there, how he met someone, how that person accepted or didn't accept him, how he gave him a cactus to try, how he blacked out and woke up who knows where — and that happened several times.

And each time he was warned: "*Next time, you might not wake up at all.*" And this is supposed to be a teaching? Sorry, but I have a particular opinion about that. It's simply a trap set by the dark ones, to lead people down the wrong path.

I was once at a conference with a shaman from Colombia. He had some natural abilities, but from the drugs he was already half gone. He said: "*You know, when you take this stuff, sometimes you see animals that no longer exist.*" Astral, you understand? Extinct entities. And this was presented as a great revelation — that they're seeing that level.

One of my students — a very intelligent woman — belonged since childhood to one of the five voodoo clans, the serpent clan. She had the highest initiation as a voodoo chief. They initiated her in terrible ways — through death, voluntary sacrifice. When she was five, a woman — a voluntary victim — sat on a knife and pierced her own heart, opening the path for the girl.

It took me a long time to help her understand — she wasn't stupid. And I explained to her that those entities — those astral parasites they draw in to solve some problem for them — take back many times more than they give.

I wrote a little about this in my book *"The Mirror of My Soul."* I explained to her for a long time that she was giving away far more potential — giving away a million, and getting back a dollar. I said: "*What's the point of doing that? It's foolish.*" Eventually, when she was ready, she said: "*Can you remove it?*" I said: "*If you want, I'll remove it.*" And I did.

Then those entities I had disconnected from her started attacking her. She realized that they really were parasites. So, all this magic based on drugs, on things like that — unfortunately, in most cases, it's black magic. Even if something good is done, it's done through black parasitic forces. So, try not to fall for the brainwashing. They try to fool everyone by hyping up Blavatsky, Castaneda, and others. And what comes

of it? After reading a massive tome by Blavatsky, you could sum up everything in two words. But to say it in two words, you have to understand it — and extract the essence from that chaos. If you understand the essence, why read all that?

And if you don't understand it, then by diving fully into it, you'll just drown and get nowhere. And that's exactly what happens to those who dive into it. So, my advice is: act very carefully. Because any drugs open the door to another level — but this happens because your body switches on an emergency self-preservation mode to try to destroy the drugs that are killing you.

Some people really like neckties — but do you know what a necktie really is? For those who don't, I'll explain. Among the Jews, it was the highest symbol of spiritual authority. Back then, in all of Judea, only one person wore that collar — that noose around the neck — the *kagan*.

And do you know how that was done? The person who was to become *kagan* was strangled with a specially prepared cord — they were brought into a state of clinical death, in other words. Then, after a certain time, the cord was loosened, and the person came back to life.

This meant their soul had left the body and returned. And he would say: *"When I went out there, I saw what I must do — I will rule for 10 years, or 7 years."* And from that point, he would walk his land wearing that noose — it was the symbol of supreme power. When the term he had seen for himself ended, the same person who had choked him before would finish the job. And then the next one would be chosen. That's the origin of the necktie.

(A voice from the audience: *"But today's necktie surely has a completely different meaning?"*) It comes from the same root. If you want, just write that you consent voluntarily, and I'll do the same procedure with you — with the same purpose — just tug a little...

(A voice from the audience: *"It's just part of clothing now."*) Sorry, that's just parroting — because originally only Jews wore it. Someone saw that and thought: *"I want to look important too"* — so they put it on as well.

(A voice from the audience: *"Then we need an elastic tie."*) Depends on what kind of elastic!

12.23. QUESTION: *Can tinctures made with alcohol be used?*

ANSWER: I wouldn't recommend it, because any tincture made with alcohol doesn't bring anything good. It's better to prepare it without alcohol — the effect will be much greater.

12.24. QUESTION FROM THE AUDIENCE: *The current situation around Kosovo — after all, that's our brotherly nation. Why has this situation developed, and what do you see as the solutions at this point?*

ANSWER: In my view — this isn't even a situation, it's just plain madness.

In short: the Albanians were simply refugees who were accepted into Yugoslavia, and whom Tito ordered to be settled in the territory of Kosovo. By the way, Albanians are also Slavs — but they are Muslims, and they have a tradition of having many children.

So, after some time, naturally, the proportion of Albanians in the territory of Kosovo — which has always been a Serbian land — became greater than the number of Serbs themselves, whose population was much smaller. And after that, you know what happened next.

I'll explain why the Americans started the war in Yugoslavia. Yugoslavia is the center of Europe, and to create instability in Europe, all you need is to create instability in Yugoslavia — which is exactly what they did, and they're doing it quite successfully.

That's why, in such matters, the Americans ought to give back significant territories. They should give up nearly all of California — except Alaska, which was always ours and was never actually sold. You know, it was never sold — it was outright theft, in violation of all international laws.

I even included at the end of my book a copy of the check for seven million dollars out of the supposed nineteen — from what was allegedly a fake lease agreement. Because in fact it was really hidden payment for assistance. But even according to that fake agreement, they were supposed to pay 19 million — and they only paid 7 million, that is, about a third, a 30% deposit.

By all legal standards, if the remaining sum isn't paid within a certain period, the deal is annulled — so it's long been invalid. And the fact that the Americans have been using Alaska all this time is illegal. They should return not only Alaska to Russia but also compensate for the trillions of dollars of losses that Russia has suffered because of this deception.

(A voice from the audience: *"Do you think our government knows about this?"*) They know. Do you know why the Cuban Missile Crisis happened during Khrushchev's time? Because Khrushchev demanded the return of Alaska — though he went about it in a foolish way.

QUESTION from a note: *"When will the next meeting be?"*

ANSWER: I don't know yet.

QUESTION from a note: *"Is it possible to listen to lectures?"*

ANSWER: Many lectures can be downloaded from the internet, and they are available on discs as well — so if you wish, you can do that. As for Kosovo, to wrap that up a bit — my opinion is that it is absolutely unacceptable for a country to be divided like that.

It's like this: imagine you have a nice apartment, and you see refugees on the street who need help, and you let them live in one of the rooms — say, the study. And then in the morning, you wake up, and they tell you to pack up and leave because there are more of them now — and the apartment is theirs.

(A voice from the audience: *"So does that mean that in Rostov-on-Don, where there are lots of Armenians, they could claim Rostov is their Armenian city?"*) Of course. That's exactly what they try to do. Soon even Moscow will be declared an Azerbaijani city.

You know how I would simply resolve the issue? Kosovo would become an independent territory only on one condition — if the U.S. signs a commitment that when, say, in California the number of Mexicans exceeds 55% of the population, by all laws Mexicans can declare California independent.

Right? Economically independent. We could say: *"You sign that you will give California to Mexico because Mexicans are now the majority of the population — and by the way, that's their rightful land. Kosovo is not legally Albanian land — but California is legally Mexican land, taken during the U.S.-Mexico war."* *"If you agree to return California to the Mexicans — we'll give up Kosovo."*

What do you think — would they agree? No — because California produces 70% of U.S. agricultural output; all the other 49 states combined produce 30%. Would they give it up? No. And according to U.S. law, even if a state votes to leave the Federation, the Federation doesn't care about that vote. But for others, they say: *"No, you must give freedom!"*

12.25. QUESTION: *What about the Battle of Kulikovo according to Fomenko?*

ANSWER: I think that in terms of the Battle of Kulikovo, as described by Fomenko, he really did uncover genuine facts. In Moscow, *Kulikovo Pole* in *Kuliki* — that's where it truly took place. And again, on real maps before Khan Tokhtamysh's campaign — by the way, Tokhtamysh's other name was Dmitry Donskoy — Moscow was part of the *Blue Horde* or *Livonian Tartary*.

And only after Dmitry Donskoy, following the Battle of Kulikovo, came and seized Moscow, did Moscow belong to Dmitry Donskoy — not the other way around. Because *Khan Tokhtamysh* was simply the Horde name of Dmitry Donskoy.

Remember, recently Putin asked historians: *"Why do you keep insisting that the Battle of Kulikovo was between Russians and the Mongol-Tatars? It was Russians fighting Russians — it was a civil war."* And they answered: *"We don't have enough evidence."*

Excuse me — if you don't have enough evidence for *that*, then where's the sufficient evidence that it was a Mongol-Tatar army? So, this isn't enough — but that's enough? There's nothing at all — and that's considered the strongest proof? That's how it turns out. Our historians claim this — but think about it: whose historians are they, really?

I'm sorry, but anyone would punch you in the face if you said that King Arthur wasn't English — even though that's a fiction, a lie. Because he was a *Cossack*, a *Rus* warrior, more precisely a *Varangian*. It's written on his grave, in Latin letters.

Fomenko and Nosovsky even published photos of King Arthur's gravestone cross, where you can clearly see: **"REX ARTU RIUS"** — three words. In Latin, this translates as *"King of the Russian Horde."* But the English somehow combined two words into one — *ARTURIUS*. Doesn't sound so smooth, right? They refined it a bit and left it as *Rex Arturius*, and so King Arthur appeared — who in reality was never part of English history.

And the Cossack circle — which they transformed into the *Knights of the Round Table* — was originally the *Cossack circle*, where the highest professional warriors gathered and made decisions. And they translated that as a round table where knights met.

How do you like that? And all the names were Slavic — except for Lancelot, the only warrior who wasn't part of King Arthur's close circle. We have records of England's history from before it was revised in the 17th century. And there, the names of King Arthur's knights are all Slavic, including Arthur himself. For

them, this is a legend that they consider the foundation of their statehood. And they're proud of it — but it's fiction.

We have a real history — and they've turned it into a mess. There was a program where Chudinov appeared — inscriptions in Russian that are 200,000 years old or more, on stones. You know, of course, I understand — he wasn't ready for debates, not everyone can respond properly to opponents.

He's simply a scholar, he studies, researches — he does that wonderfully — but the supporters of the orthodox position just tore him apart. He had excellent evidence, real inscriptions on stones that you can't say were made today, and other documents, facts — and they shredded him.

And he said: *"Well, if that's so, then I must be crazy."* Indeed, he's no orator — he's not able to defend his point of view — and that's a shame. But they pounced on him like that. Even if he had made it all up — look at the English, everything about their past is made up, invented — and they're happy about it.

Even if he had lied — they'd be celebrating: *"Damn, we're the most ancient of all!"* — and pushing forward. But no — our people: *"No, no, we're not ancient, we're idiots, we knew nothing, we could do nothing — and we're so glad that we're such dumb parasites."* And that's strange — and these are historians who, in principle, should...

The question is: whose history have the historians been writing — and for whom was such a history profitable? Think about that.

12.26. QUESTION: *Looking ahead, for Russia's security and independence — who is more dangerous in the world right now, America or China?*

ANSWER: Both — and neither one comes with bread. Both America and China are dangerous, in my view. As for China, they weren't allowed in — I didn't know this myself until recently, when I was told that at one point Mao Zedong actually proposed joining the Soviet Union.

Did you know that? I didn't know either — apparently, it came up between Stalin and Mao Zedong. Mao made a clever move — he proposed that China join the Soviet Union as a republic. But Stalin wasn't a fool, and he said: *"Sorry, but it's better that you stay on your own."*

If we had formed a single state — they would have simply spread out and crushed everyone with their mass, that's all. That's why China has always been our enemy. And remember — today we mark 7516 years since the Creation of the World in the Star Temple.

That is, when at the Star Temple near Lake Baikal — it was called the Russian Sea back then, no, they called it the Harian Sea — a treaty was signed between ancient China, which didn't suffer as much after the catastrophe, and our ancestors, who even weakened still defeated them. And for all these millennia, China has never won a single battle against an external enemy.

Did you know that? Of course, they count as a *"great"* victory their conquest of Tibet — but the Tibetans had reached a point where they believed they weren't supposed to defend their homeland at all, and so they didn't resist.

And before that — read Gumilev — in the 10th century, by our modern reckoning, at the end of the 10th century, 8,000 Tibetan horsemen along with another 8,000 troops hired by the Chinese emperor together defeated a 200,000-strong rebel army that had utterly smashed the imperial army.

And those 16,000 — of whom 8,000 were Tibetans — defeated a rebel force that the emperor's own army couldn't crush. That's the kind of warriors they always were — they only managed to conquer Tibet when the Tibetans had descended into such foolishness that they said: *"Come on in, kill us, we can't do anything."* And that's how Tibet was taken. Unfortunately, the Chinese are like a plague — I'm sorry to say it that way — but they devour and destroy everything, any territory they come to.

(A voice from the audience: *"They don't have any forests left."*) Exactly. But now, thank God, measures have started to be taken. I've been told that previously Kamchatka and the Russian Far East were flooded with them — but now, on Kamchatka, they've practically disappeared. And I hope that soon the Far East and Eastern Siberia will also be completely freed of them.

We really need to establish a firm border and let no one through — because they're like locusts, excuse me. They've destroyed their own country — and now they're coming to destroy another. And why should everyone else have to move over just because they're cramped?

In Russia too, at the start of the century, it was normal to have 12-15 children in a family — but the policy changed. Why can't they change their policy so that doesn't happen? It means they don't want to — so why should everyone else give up their lands? After all, they've already taken land from Russia — south of the Amur, down to the Great Wall of China — those were always Russian lands, by the way. But that's another conversation altogether.