

3. Meeting 05/11/2011

Opening speech by Nicolai Viktorovich Levashov



Today's meeting is an ordinary gathering with readers, so we will start with statistics and then move on to questions. As for the statistics, I always mention how many books have been downloaded, despite the machinations of our adversaries, so to speak. You are aware of the ban on downloading two books—we are still fighting against this. Hopefully, this will end soon. For now, it has an impact.

Moreover, local providers often block access. I have received many letters from different regions stating that local providers are blocking the website to prevent people from accessing it. They eventually lift the block because it is illegal, but nevertheless, this affects the number of books downloaded. Still, at this moment, **2,594,257 books** have been downloaded. Of course, this is slightly less than last year. This year, **513,512 books** have been downloaded so far, while last year, **780,000 books** were downloaded. The reason for this is clear.

Take a look—two banned books:

- Volume 1 was downloaded **147,898 times** last year,
- Volume 2 was downloaded **69,000 times**.

This means that over **200,000 downloads** have already been lost. If we add these 200,000, the total would exceed last year's numbers. No matter how hard they try, as you can see, you are sitting here today, having traveled from various places. This shows that the adversaries are not succeeding. Your interest remains and, hopefully, will continue. Not forever, but my books will bring you benefit.

Regarding website visits, the number will soon reach **2 million** this year. More and more people are awakening, realizing the truth, and rejecting the lies being imposed on them.

For example, I have never seen Russian-speaking English teachers in England or America. There, native speakers teach the language—those who have spoken it since birth. But who are the majority of philologists in our country by nationality? Who writes books about how we should speak our own native language, the one we were born with, the one our ancestors spoke for hundreds of thousands of years?

As a result, many words have turned from living to dead. I am currently writing the next chapter of my autobiography. Occasionally, I get carried away, and I start demonstrating how certain distortions—literal perversions—are made to twist the simplest, most common, and understandable words when explained as they truly are, rather than how some author arbitrarily interprets them. But I won't give too much away—you will read it for yourselves. The next chapter will be published soon, and I believe it covers this topic quite well.

Now the communists are shouting that they stand for the people. But the question is—what has actually changed? The essence remains the same. The communists still hold the same ideology that was imposed in the 20th century, an ideology that took tens of millions of lives. People were mercilessly exterminated—precisely those very people. Strangely enough, in Russia, people were eliminated according to the laws of the Torah: "Destroy the strong people of this nation..." So, it seemed that the communists, who supposedly had nothing to do with religion and loudly declared themselves atheists, were actually following all the commandments of the Torah.

The reason for this is clear. Of course, children are still being born, and carriers of the alpha genetics have been preserved. Strong individuals, leaders, continue to emerge. However, over the past 100 years, when everything capable of resisting and opposing the parasitic system was systematically wiped out, this has inevitably affected people. And now, we see how the people are being intentionally drowned in alcohol...

I can tell you the following. You know, people shout that Russians are completely mired in filth and drunkenness. Yes! It exists. No one is saying that there is no drinking in Russia, that people don't drink, or that everyone is sober. Unfortunately, I cannot say that.

However, in the West, and in the United States in particular, people are numbing themselves just as much—if not even more—especially the youth. While in Russia, young people mostly drink beer, in the West, they drink vodka like it's beer. Which is worse? It's hard to say.

That being said, I want to inform young people that it's better not to drink beer for many reasons. It contains massive, horse-sized doses of female hormones added intentionally. If a growing boy or young man drinks such beer for several years, he shouldn't be surprised if he suddenly develops breasts. This is not a joke—it's serious. And this is something they are trying to keep hidden.

Only in very expensive types of beer, as far as I know, everything is fine—without hormones. But the beer that young people buy in kiosks and chug from aluminum cans is saturated with massive doses of female hormones. This leads to sterility in young men within a few years. Naturally, if men become sterile, they will not be able to have children. And with such hormonal imbalances, it is inevitable that children will also be born with hormonal disorders. And then people wonder—why is homosexuality on the rise? When such hormonal shifts occur due to beer and other hormone-containing products, this is the result.

But women, don't rejoice either. Don't be happy—there's something in store for you too. Birth control pills. Do you know what they contain? Male hormones. So, when a woman takes birth control, it severely disrupts her hormonal balance, leading to another problem—sterility, infertility—either temporary or permanent. And considering that most young people today have a very weakened immune system, infertility often becomes permanent, not temporary.

So, both men and women are affected. And it all works just like in *Dunno on the Moon*, where there was an island with free candy, and people turned into donkeys. Everyone just follows what they see on TV—"Here's a candy! Just take this pill, and that's it! No pregnancy problems!" Or now, you don't even have to swallow a pill—you just stick a patch on your body, and then you can do whatever you want. I won't even get into the topic of telegony. That's a whole other "great" thing—in quotation marks, of course.

I recently watched a program on the news by chance where they were discussing whether or not sexual education should be taught in schools. There were two opposing opinions, represented by two women—one was a psychologist or psychotherapist, and the other was simply a mother of many children.

The mother of many children was against the kind of sexual education currently being conducted in schools, while the psychologist/psychotherapist was fully in favor of it, supporting it with both hands. "Children need to be prepared," she said.

Yes! Children do need to be prepared, but not in the way they are being taught—not about how to do things "properly" or what to do in case of something. Instead, they should truly understand that everything has its time and that they shouldn't blindly engage in what is being offered to them under the guise of "education" and so-called "freedom." Freedom is a concept that I can really agree with is that freedom is a conscious necessity. You can't say it better than that.

Freedom does not mean doing whatever you want, disregarding and spitting on everyone and everything. True freedom means that a person understands why, for what purpose, and for what reason something needs to be done. If we live with understanding, according to conscience and justice, as our ancestors did, our country will never sink to the lawlessness we see today. Right now, everything is being done to destroy the indigenous population. Why? Because many covet our lands. They fear catastrophes and cataclysms.

Although, if we really talk about it, this planet should have perished several times over the last 20 years—completely disappeared. But for some reason, back then, they weren't afraid. And now, suddenly, they are—under the pretext that the world will end in 2012. Have you heard such nonsense? That only the "chosen ones" will transition to a higher energy level? And all the non-chosen ones—us included—will simply perish? This idea was first planted in people's minds by Blavatsky in her books, which were deliberately prepared to promote the idea of an imminent "qualitative transition" to the sixth race. But—without a physical body. Well, I have some bad news for those who believe they will "transition"—they won't.

Existing without a physical body is possible, but only if you are not simply waiting for a new incarnation—waiting for someone to create the necessary conditions for conception to take place. Yes, a human being can indeed exist rationally without a physical body. However, there is one small "but" for this to happen, a person must reach a level of development far beyond the planetary level—at least at

the level of the Universe. And not just our universe. Only then, no problem—at that point, the physical body is no longer essential because it is no longer a key factor for the functioning of the mind, the essence, and consciousness.

But now, tell me—how many of those who consider themselves the "chosen ones" truly are? These are the same people who have plundered billions, who believe that by stealing and deceitfully cheating others, they have become "chosen" and advanced forward. Well, I have bad news for them too. Quite the opposite has happened—they have actually fallen much lower than even the point where they originally were. And it's not just about the fact that they cannot exist without a physical body. Even after they lose their bodily form (since in real life, everyone dies sooner or later), they are unlikely to reincarnate as a human again. Of course, some might say—"People can be reborn as a dog or even as a blade of grass..." like in Hindu beliefs. But Hindus, as usual, have heard the bells ringing but don't know where they come from. If you haven't heard this before—I'll remind you. And if you have—let me repeat it. One time, I had a conversation with a woman who held the title of Mahatma.

I asked her directly: "Tell me, where did the Indian/Hindu Vedas come from?"

She replied: "White teachers came from the north and passed everything down to us."

So, it turns out that there is no great spiritual teaching native to India because it was borrowed, taken from those who came and gave it to them. I received letters from people who traveled to India to study, and they were told that seven white teachers came from beyond the Himalayas—that is, from our territory, Siberia, Western Siberia—and gave them this knowledge.

And now, all these teachers travel the world, preaching about the great spiritual heritage of India, yet not a single one of them—not even the Mahatma herself—has ever stated that this knowledge was given to them by others, by people who came from the territory of Russia.

Does it matter what the land was called—whether it was Russia or something else? No, what matters is that people with our genetics lived there, speaking the same language we speak today. Although, of course, their language was much purer, since we have had so many foreign words implanted into our vocabulary—even our own distorted words are now being reintroduced to us, and we continue distorting them further instead of speaking clearly.

I continued: "Alright, tell me this—when you enter a nursery or kindergarten, do you think they will immediately start teaching quantum physics?"

She replied: "Nooo!"

"So, what do they do?" Let me tell you—they teach the basics—the alphabet and grammar. That's exactly what happened when the White Teachers came from the north. They gave you grammar and the alphabet. You took it, distorted it, corrupted it, and then passed it off as your own. So how can you claim to be bringing light to others? Because lies are incompatible with the concept of 'bringing light'—at least from my perspective. The entire world is being deceived about the so-called great spiritual teachings of India. I could understand if someone else were promoting this idea, but when they themselves admit it, it only proves that they are liars.

And that Mahatma woman? She had nothing to say. Why? Because it was the truth. And do you know what she wanted from me? She wanted me to "restructure" her brain. Well, she didn't earn it, didn't deserve it. So, she still walks around today without a "restructured" brain.

Many people write letters to me saying: "I'm ready! Please, restructure my brain!" That's great—it's a necessary condition. But it's not enough. A sufficient condition would be if a person actually proved that they have the right to it. Not just claiming the right, but actually earning it—proving they are worthy of having their brain restructured. For some reason, everyone forgets about this.

When I conducted a three-day seminar in March 2010, I didn't do it just to "restructure" people's brains. I did it to show people that this is all real and possible—that it's not a fairy tale made up about what I supposedly did in 1991, after which I allegedly did nothing. Or that I did something in America and then stopped doing anything. Unfortunately, when I held those same seminars in the spring of 2010, the result was the same as always. We wanted the best, but it turned out the same as always. Even though I explained to people—especially to those who quickly passed the test—exactly what needed to be done if they truly wanted to move forward, they didn't follow through.

But people, who had their brains restructured just a second ago, are already shouting as they step off the stage: "Give me the armless and legless—I will instantly regrow their arms and legs!" You know, for example, I can't do that. I can't just take severed arms and legs and instantly regrow them. Not because it's theoretically impossible, but because there are certain laws at play. It's not that it can't be done—but the human body simply wouldn't be able to withstand such a process. First, you need to have the appropriate level of power to do it. And second, even if you apply that power to a human being, they would die within a fraction of a second—because almost no one can survive such an immense load. If we assume, theoretically, that a specific individual could withstand it, then yes—arms, legs, and even heads could be regrown in a fraction of a second.

That's why, when working with nature, you can accomplish things in a fraction of a second that may seem like miracles—but they are not miracles at all. It's simply knowledge and understanding. And for this, long processes are not required. However, when working with a human being, especially with illnesses and health issues, the process must be done drop by drop. Because a person is only given as much as they can withstand. Once they process and absorb that portion, they receive the next dose, and then the next one. It's like a glass—we can only fill it with as much liquid as it can hold. If we try to pour in more than it can contain, the water will simply overflow—and the glass itself gains nothing. And if we seal the glass to prevent the water from escaping while continuing to increase the pressure inside, eventually—the glass will shatter.

A person is like a vessel—they also have a limited capacity for how much can be poured into them at a time. People don't even know basic things, yet when they receive something effortlessly—just stepping onto a stage, where I snap my fingers a few times and work with them—suddenly, they develop abilities they never had naturally. And right away, they think they know everything, understand everything, and can do everything—even though they've never actually done anything. A friend of mine once told me a story from 1987-88. He went to a village looking for a healer, a folk doctor, a wise elder—to learn from their experience. And the old man in the village said to him: "Ah, son, you're not the first one to come to me."

He continued: "Others came before you and told me— 'If someone bothers you, like a thorn in your eye, just put them in a little sack and tie a string above their head, and that will take care of it.'" "Strangely

enough, whenever I tied the string above their head in the sack— *pow!* —they gave up their soul to God! I tied up a second one— *pow!* —they gave up their soul to God too!”

Even the old man didn't understand that the "visualization technique" he was given—putting someone in a sack and tightening a string above their head—was, in reality, a form of murder. Why? Because that's the level of consciousness, understanding, and education we're dealing with.

In our society, people believe that if someone has a diploma, they are an educated person—but that's not true! Especially in today's world, where diplomas are handed out based on how much you pay. Pay a little—you get a blue diploma. Pay more—you get a red diploma.

Is that really education? Not to mention the actual content of what people are being taught. When you talk to students and hear what they say, you think: "Damn! And this is supposed to be higher education?" But this isn't an accident. Educated people are dangerous. Because they start thinking. Not all of them, of course, but if even a fraction of people starts thinking, it already becomes dangerous. Because a thinking person is no longer as easily controlled as an uneducated, non-thinking person.

Let me go back to alcoholism for a moment. Yes, people are being deliberately drowned in alcohol, and it's not just about making money off it. Of course, the financial profits are part of it, but alcohol also removes a person's natural protection.

Every person has an individual protective barrier, and breaking through it to gain control over someone is not easy. It's like trying to drill a hole—you need a chisel, a hammer, and a lot of effort (speaking metaphorically, of course) to breach that protection and take control of a person. This requires a significant amount of energy and potential. But the ones who do this usually don't possess much personal power themselves. Instead, they collect energy from the masses and then use it for their own purposes.

When a person drinks alcohol, especially if they drink heavily, their entire protective barrier disappears. And at that moment, they become completely controllable. So, for those who drink—think about this: Do you really want to be controlled? Do you want to act under the commands of someone you've never seen, never met, and never will meet? Someone who is manipulating your consciousness, making you do what they want? And is that something you actually need? Looking at what's happening in the country, at how our people are "thriving" under these conditions—I highly doubt that what they are being made to do is actually in their best interest. And when alcohol consumption reaches an extreme level, what happens? Delirium tremens! (*"The squirrel," as they call it in Russian slang.*) And what is delirium tremens? People say: "Oh, they've lost their mind!" But that's not true. Their mind hasn't broken.

In a state of acute alcohol intoxication, or more accurately, poisoning, a person's protective barrier is completely destroyed. At that moment, their brain begins to perceive information from the closest "higher" level— which, in reality, is the lower astral plane.

And what do they see there? Charming creatures, faces of unparalleled beauty, heavenly angels. They see exactly what people later describe as hallucinations during delirium tremens—astral beings who have lost their physical bodies and now feed on human energy, preying on these "donors" who drink, who are easily controlled, and who, in addition, become someone's meal. And that's not even

mentioning the fact that brain neurons die off, which is extremely important. Every shot of vodka makes you "very smart"—and the more you drink, the "smarter" you become.

So, think about it—do you really want to end up like most alcoholics, whose brain cortex completely disappears, turning into something like a water balloon—where even the folds vanish? There's an old joke about the military—that soldiers only have one brain fold, and that's from wearing their cap. Well, alcoholics don't even have that one fold—because they don't wear caps.

People say: "Alcohol is good for you! Even doctors recommend it!" You know, this is the perfect example of dark humor. It's like saying the best cure for a headache—and for all other problems—is the guillotine! After all, it only needs to be used once, and then— you will never have a headache again. Or any other pain, for that matter. So, what do you think—should we apply that kind of "treatment"?

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

3.1. QUESTION: *Is it really true that Russia will join the WTO next week, as the media have promised?*

ANSWER: I hope they won't make such a foolish decision, although they are trying very hard to achieve it. What is the WTO? It means establishing global market prices within Russia. First of all, we *already* have global prices in Russia—if not even *higher*. Secondly, people love telling half-truths—they say *one* part of the truth but leave out the other. To join the WTO, it is necessary to raise people's salaries to the same level as in other WTO-member countries.

Why? Because only then will global prices be *justified*. Take France, for example. An ordinary worker—not even an engineer—earns €1,500-2,000 euros per month. Now, tell me—who here earns 80,000 rubles per month? I don't see many hands raised. Now imagine—if you were making 80,000-100,000 rubles per month, and we had the same prices as we do now (which, by the way, are already *at* or *above* global levels—gasoline, for example, costs *more* in Russia than in the U.S. and Europe), you could at least afford a decent standard of living.

In Moscow, salaries are still *somewhat* manageable. But outside of Moscow? People are earning 5,000-6,000 rubles per month. Those of you who have come from different provinces and regions of Russia—you know this. Now imagine—if instead of 5,000-6,000 rubles, you were earning 80,000-100,000 rubles per month—you wouldn't be struggling so much, would you? And then, sure—Russia could join the WTO. But until salaries reach the level of other WTO countries, I see this as nothing more than yet another theft from the people. And take note—how desperately the West is pushing for Russia to join.

Why this sudden love? First, they kept blocking Russia. Then they set ridiculous conditions—Russia had to renounce its recognition of Abkhazia and South Ossetia as independent and acknowledge them as *Georgian* territories.

But let's get one thing straight:

1. Those territories were NEVER Georgian.
2. A couple of days later, even the West dropped that demand.

The U.S. originally said: *"We will never allow Russia into the WTO if they refuse to renounce recognition of these territories."* And then—just a day later—suddenly, they *approved* Russia's membership. Why is this happening? Because they are desperate to drag Russia into the WTO, believing that by doing so, they can prevent Russia from rising again. They are terrified that a certain event might take place in Russia—one that would not only allow the country to *rise from its knees* but take a huge leap forward.

Western civilization is a civilization of profit. Now, I'm not saying that money *shouldn't* exist—but you know, when money becomes the only thing that matters to a person, they are no longer a *human being*—they are a slave.

Let me give you an example. I once had a conversation with a good acquaintance of mine. He wasn't from a poor family—this was back in the U.S. His family's net worth was \$300 million.

I asked him: *"Alright, let's say you earn a billion dollars. What then?"*

He said: "I'll keep going."

"Okay, you make \$100 billion. What next?"

"I'll keep going!"

"Alright, you reach a trillion. And then?"

"I'll keep going."

"But for what?"

At some point in this endless race for money, he's going to drop dead. And what happens then? The government will take 55% in inheritance taxes. That's how it was there—55%. Then his heirs will start fighting over what's left. So, is it really worth wasting your entire life for this? He paid taxes his whole life. And then, after he dies, more than half of what he worked for goes right back to the state. People think money gives them freedom—that if they earn *enough*, they'll finally be able to do what they want, instead of just working for money.

Tell me—how many people actually do what they love for a living? Raise your hand if you do. See? Some people do—but unfortunately, they are the minority. And why do the rest work jobs they hate? Because they have to eat. First, they have to feed themselves.

It's like that old joke about the Chukchi: *"Phone! Phone! Chukchi hungry!"* Well, a phone won't bring food. And that's why people are forced to work jobs they don't care about—just so they can earn money to survive and feed their families.

So, if a person earns money and has earned enough, it means they can finally do what they truly love. This doesn't mean being lazy—far from it. The ideal is for every person to strive toward doing what truly speaks to their soul. Because that's when a person truly starts to fulfill themselves. Unfortunately, the system is currently built in such a way that most people can't do that yet. But I hope that will change too. However, when a person earns money just to make more money, and then more, and then even more—it's simply absurd.

For me, for example, if someone were to place a bottle of wine worth a million dollars in front of me and say, *"Here, take it for free!"* I'd say, "I don't need it—not even for free—because I don't drink." What's the point?

But a person, having earned money, can truly do what they want and afford what they enjoy. They can realize themselves in something meaningful. This doesn't mean recklessly wasting everything, but rather managing finances wisely. This *Western mentality*—where money is earned just for the sake of earning more money, rather than for the purpose of achieving freedom—is the worst kind of slavery ever invented. Voluntary slavery. It's one thing when a person is captured and forced into slavery—when they are given a choice between life and death. In that case, it's understandable why someone might resign themselves to a slave's fate—to some extent.

But when a person becomes a voluntary slave, I believe it's the perfectly designed carrot—a trap meant to turn a human being into nothing more than a soulless creature, focused only on money and physical needs. That's why there is no spirituality in the West. Although, speaking of the word "*духовность*"—it actually comes from "*дух овна*", meaning "*spirit of a ram*." We need to find an older Russian word to replace it with. But for now, we have to use what most people understand. The West, in principle, cannot have true spirituality—for one simple reason.

An American friend of mine, when he last came to visit me, told me something interesting. He had watched a film about Chernobyl—one made by Americans. There's a scene in the film where the accident occurs, and the automation fails. To prevent an even greater catastrophe, someone had to manually open the valves. And so, a man jumped into the boiling, heavy water. (*Heavy water*—meaning highly radioactive water.) No one forced him. No one ordered him. He threw himself into the water, managed to reach the valve handle, opened it, and died.

My friend said: "*I don't understand. No one made him do it. Why did he do it?*" But for most of us sitting here in this room—is that hard to understand? No. Everyone here understands. But *they* don't. Why? Because they call it the "mysterious Russian soul." But it's not just Russians—it's all the indigenous peoples of Russia who carry this cultural legacy. They are the same way. Because we all share a common past, going back hundreds of thousands of years. For us, this kind of sacrifice makes sense. For them, it never will. And it never can.

Edgar Cayce spoke about Russia's future, saying that a revival and rise should begin. The Americans, having listened to him, believe: "*We'll just get rid of all the Russians and other indigenous peoples... and then—jump! —we'll take over the land. And we will be the ones to prosper and flourish, not them.*" Do you understand? They are stupid! They think that Russia is just a piece of land. They don't realize that Russia is NOT just its territory. Many lands have been taken from Russia—sometimes legally, sometimes by deception—including by America. Take Alaska, for example. And yet—nothing is happening there! Have you ever heard Cayce say that Alaska will experience some great spiritual revival? No? Well, guess what? That's Russian land. They think that by wiping out the indigenous population of Russia and moving in, they will somehow inherit the prosperity that Cayce predicted for Russia. "*Since Russia will flourish, then we'll flourish too!*" It won't work. Even if—though I seriously doubt it—they somehow managed to destroy the people of our country and then moved in, the miracle Cayce spoke of would never happen for them. It's just another hilarious example of the stupidity of American "intellectuals." And this mindset isn't just among individuals—it's present at the highest levels of government policy.

3.2. QUESTION: *It is said that sleep before midnight is healthier and more beneficial. Is this true?*

ANSWER: Yes! It is indeed true. The Earth is surrounded by certain low-frequency waves. Why does all the "evil" appear at midnight? Because the quality barrier weakens, and these waves reach every point, making sleep after midnight much less beneficial. From midnight to 4 a.m., the front of this wave passes

through specific points. So, when a person sleeps during the day, one hour of sleep is equivalent to two hours of sleep after midnight in terms of effectiveness. This is because the body is protected, and it doesn't have to expend energy neutralizing negative influences. Therefore, it's better to sleep before midnight, stay awake until around 4 a.m., and then sleep again. This is much more beneficial. At 4 a.m., when the sun rises, the wave dissipates. It's no coincidence—it's simply how the system works.

3.3. QUESTION: *What happens to the souls of people whose bodies rest at the bottom of the seas and oceans, or those who died in car crashes, plane crashes, and shipwrecks?*

ANSWER: What difference does it make where their bodies lie? Whether in the ground or in water, their physical forms decompose and are consumed by other life forms. The only difference is that when a person dies in an accident or catastrophe, they usually die prematurely. In such cases, the soul (or *essence*) is not yet ready to leave. This means the essence has not completed its journey in that particular body. Naturally, this leaves a serious imprint on a person's consciousness, because consciousness is entirely connected to the essence. A simple proof of this is the case of a person in a coma. Their physiological functions continue to operate normally, yet they exhibit no mental activity—no thoughts, no awareness, nothing. But the moment they return to their body and wake up from the coma—everything comes back: They start thinking again, they regain awareness, they resume conscious mental activity. Yet, so-called "*scientists*" claim that only the physical brain is responsible for thought. Honestly, I feel sorry for these "*scientists*"—truly. Because they walk around with blinders on, unable to think critically or see beyond their own noses. They are fumbling in the dark, searching for something that isn't there. Or blindly wandering somewhere unknown, looking for something they don't even understand. If they truly understood the processes at play, so much would become clear and obvious. But instead of trying to understand, they stubbornly cling to outdated ideas—the ones that have been drilled into their heads—and attempt to study something they will never be able to find. Because thought itself has never been physically detected.

Research has clearly shown that no matter what thought processes occur in a person's brain, the only thing that happens is a change in ion concentration within neurons. A little more of one type, a little less of another—mostly sodium and potassium levels fluctuate. But is ion concentration the same as thought? No! The shift in ion concentration within each individual neuron, responding to incoming signals, doesn't actually change the neuron itself. What it does affect are the other levels of existence that a person has—levels that exist in all living matter. For those interested, I recommend reading "*Essence and Mind*"—it explains all of this in detail.

What is the appearance of ions in neurons—just like in any other cell? New ions are simply charged particles, either positive or negative. In this case, we're talking mostly about positive ions. Most ions typically carry a positive charge. When an ion enters a cell, it triggers chemical reactions that did not exist before its arrival. This means it disrupts the existing chemical bonds within the cell and creates new ones that weren't there before. As a result, the molecular structure inside the neuron changes. This molecular change immediately reflects onto other levels of existence, affecting and altering them as well. If these changes accumulate over time—simultaneously across different levels—then a link forms between them. Once this accumulation reaches a critical threshold, a new type of circulation of potentials begins on these other levels. And that's when a person begins to think. When a baby is born, it is not born rational at all. That's why it cannot speak and lacks other cognitive abilities. The child must first accumulate a certain amount of experience and trigger specific changes on other levels before true reasoning can develop.

Real Mowglies—there have been many cases studied like this. If a child is raised by animals and then returns to human society, even if their physical health is perfect, they will never become fully human. They will behave like the very intelligent animal that raised them.

The question is: if everything is only about the brain's neurons, then why, if a child is taken from the wild and placed in human society, will they never even learn to speak? Not to mention everything else. The brain is perfectly healthy—it should work like this: bam! Everything is absorbed. A healthy body, no infections, yet nothing happens. This means the issue is not purely in the physical neurons. Physical neurons are merely the foundation. Up to a certain age, a child must absorb information like a sponge. And the quality of the information absorbed influences their future. The higher-quality and more reliable the information they absorb, the more developed they become. A person goes through the phase of being an animal, then a rational animal, and finally the third phase—the phase of being truly human.

What distinguishes a rational animal from a truly human being? Essentially, a human is able to control his own instincts. If a person's instincts control him, then he is merely a rational animal, no matter what mathematical or other talents he might possess.

In one film, there was an interesting scene that most people probably overlooked, but I paid attention to it because I understood why the Germans did it. When they captured our soldiers, the Germans brought them into a large hangar where tables were filled with food. Our soldiers and officers had been starving for several days, so naturally, they were desperately hungry.

And the Germans told them: "Go ahead, eat, drink!" Most of them immediately rushed to the food—devouring it like animals. Those who rushed to eat were separated and later sent to concentration camps. But those who refused to eat, despite being just as hungry as the others, were given two choices:

1. Switch sides and serve the Germans (those who agreed were accepted).
2. Refuse—and be executed on the spot.

Now, why do you think those people were executed? Because they had already conquered their instincts. They could not be controlled. They could not be forced to do something they didn't want to do. They did not fear death. They did not fear hunger. These were true humans—fully conscious individuals. But those who had not yet reached that level, who gave in to their base instincts, who thought "*I just want to eat, and I don't care about anything else!*"—Those were the ones who could be broken. For the sake of food, they would be willing to betray their homeland.

That's why these three phases of development exist. But reaching them is not the end—it's only the beginning. Once a person attains the true Human phase, they can continue evolving further—ultimately, if they develop correctly, reaching the level of the Creator, or in other words, God. Our ancestors never understood "God" as the Almighty in the way modern religions portray. "*Almighty*" is not a name—it's a title. "*All-High*" (*Всевышний*) means the one who sits above all." So, it's not a name at all.

Since I've touched on this topic, let me clarify something. Some people write letters asking: "*Why, when our gods destroyed the first moon—Fatta, and then Lelya too—why did the debris fall onto Earth and cause massive destruction, especially the second time?*" "*Aren't they gods? Why couldn't they have*

destroyed Lelya first, then Fatta? Or why couldn't they have handled the 'Black ones' (Kouçeu, as they call them, or 'social parasites' as I call them) without destruction?"

This question reveals a misunderstanding of development. At that specific time, when they did what they did, they were at a level of development that only allowed them to tear things apart and destroy—nothing more. That's exactly what I mean by people reaching the level of Creation—but not yet mastering it. Their abilities were not yet refined enough to resolve the problem without destruction.

There is no limit to development. A "ceiling" only exists when a person stops themselves. If someone believes they have already achieved everything and there is nowhere else to go, they turn into a walking corpse. They might still move and function, but they are already dead inside. Development is infinite! But people have been programmed to believe that *"God can do everything,"* that *"He created everything."*

In the Bible, it says: *"Man was created in the image and likeness of the Lord God."* So, let's think about this for a moment. If *God is without a body*, as religions claim... Then why does the Bible talk about Him *scratching His right ear, scratching His left ear...*? That's strange, isn't it? If God is bodiless, how does He have ears to scratch? Have you ever thought about this contradiction? Who gave Moses the tablets? A talking, burning bush.

People read but don't see the contradictions. That's programming—plain and simple. If you remove the programming from the Bible or the Torah, and a person reads it without indoctrination, their eyes pop out of their head. *"How did I read this before and never see this?!"* Because besides the written text, there is also mental conditioning happening—a kind of brainwashing that makes a person interpret it exactly as they were told to understand it. The ones who wrote these texts are so confident in their brainwashing that they don't even bother to remove the contradictions and absurdities. For example, I also know that He wasn't bodiless—He had a form. And yet—somehow—a bush was doing the talking.

Now, imagine if today, someone came up to you and said: *"God spoke to me! In the form of a toilet!"* Well, if a bush can talk, then why can't a toilet? I don't see the difference. *"The toilet spoke to me and gave me new commandments! And I demand that everyone obey these laws because God told me so!"* Tell me—what would happen to this person today? Well, people like that do exist, by the way. More than one. But for some reason, they all end up in the club of the cheerful and resourceful—if you get what I mean.

If God created us in His image and likeness, then that means we are copies of God. But if humans are full of sin and problems, then logically, all of this must be a reflection of that very same Lord God. So, does that mean He is a degenerate too? That He promotes depravity? Think about Sodom and Gomorrah. Remember Lot? When I read that story, I couldn't stop laughing. This is supposed to be an example of the highest morality?

The story goes: Angels came to warn the people of Sodom and Gomorrah—telling them that if they didn't stop their depravity, God would destroy them. Then, the townspeople wanted to rape the angels. And what did Lot do? *"No, no, don't rape the heavenly angels—here, take my daughters instead!"* Wow. What a shining example of morality and spirituality. *"Here, take my daughter, just leave me my holy angels!"*

But the contradictions don't stop there. Lot was supposedly the only righteous man, so he escapes the city with his wife and two daughters. Then his wife turns into a pillar of salt, and now it's just him and his daughters. And what happens next? The very first night, his daughters get completely drunk and force him to sleep with them. Truly, the pinnacle of morality and virtue. And let's not forget—he was not the last man on Earth! The nearest settlement with men was only 10-15 km away. So, all they had to do was wait until morning and find themselves husbands. But no, they didn't wait. Did they not know about the other settlement? Of course, they knew! And yet, this disgusting story is presented as an example of supreme morality. Lot was supposedly the only one worthy of being saved from Sodom. Let that sink in.

In reality, Sodom and Gomorrah were not destroyed by the Lord God. Their destruction is actually connected to the era of the so-called "Black Warrior"—Alexander the Great. His senseless campaign to India had one main goal: To destroy the uncorrupted Vedas, which had been passed down to the Indians by our ancestors.

The Vedas were written on 6,000 ox hides, because there was no other material available at the time to write on. When Alexander approached two cities in what is now northern India/Pakistan, he ordered them to surrender without resistance. And what did they do? They did not believe that surrendering peacefully would save them. They understood that whether they fought back or surrendered, the result would be the same—destruction.

So, what did they do? They detonated an atomic bomb! There are ancient chronicles describing this event: During Alexander's campaign, there were flashes of intense light. Many soldiers died instantly from the explosion. Then, ashes began to fall from the sky. People panicked, running to the river to wash the ashes off their bodies. But soon after, many of them died. They lost weight, their skin turned yellow—classic symptoms of radiation sickness. This is all recorded in the historical chronicles.

Excavations were carried out relatively recently, yet for some reason, no one is making a big deal about them. What did they find? They discovered two cities dating back to that very time period. And in the center of each city, they found massive craters—the kind that could only have been caused by a meteorite impact. But later, it became clear this was NOT a meteorite. Why? Because when they examined the skeletons found in these cities, they noticed something strange. These bodies had no external injuries whatsoever.

Now, what were the primary weapons of that time? Swords, axes, spears. Typically, battle victims would show cut marks, severed limbs, broken bones. But in this case—not a single skeleton had any visible wounds. All the skeletons were completely intact. However, their radiation levels were found to be thousands of times higher than normal! And the most telling part?

- The closer to the center of the explosion, the higher the radiation levels.
- The farther from the center, the lower the radiation levels.

This is clear evidence that so-called "legends" are not always just legends. And that chronicles were not always lies.

So, in the end, it turns out that it wasn't "God" who punished them—those people simply refused to surrender. And those who betrayed their own people? They were cast out. Do you know the history of the Romani people (Gypsies)? Do you know where they come from? From India. But why did they

become a wandering people? Because during the time of Alexander the Great, they made a deal with him. And after Alexander finished his dirty work and left—he didn't go further to the Pacific Ocean because he didn't need to. After that, he was removed, because he was no longer needed. But the remaining Indian peoples demanded that the tribe that had betrayed their own people be banished forever. And from that time on, the descendants of those outcasts—who, by the way, are not personally responsible for the actions of their ancestors—have wandered the world ever since. That's who the Romani people (Gypsies) really are. They are Indians. Didn't know that? Sometimes, reading books is useful. Especially for young people today. But nowadays, young people don't read books at all. I honestly don't understand how people live like that. When I was in school, I was interested in many things. Nobody forced me—I just found it fascinating! But today, I'm shocked at how people's minds have been dulled. By the way, I'm not against the Internet—I love the Internet too. But books are books. Sure, you can read books online—but even there, they don't read! Instead, we're heading toward a future where young people will only know a handful of phrases. Almost like Ellochka the Cannibal from *The Twelve Chairs*—she only knew a few stock phrases! And, of course, this is not a coincidence.

3.4. QUESTION: *What do you think about the opinion that during Stalin's era in the USSR, Makarenko and other youth educators, as well as regular schoolteachers, tried to turn the "rabble" into real people? Whereas today, everything is done to turn people into "rabble."*

ANSWER: I completely agree with the second part of this statement. But I don't fully agree with the first part. Why? During Soviet times, especially after the Civil War, the children of aristocrats and nobles were sent to special institutions. These were the carriers of alpha genetics—and while, of course, not all of them were perfect, they had been carefully selected and cultivated over thousands of years. They were, in many ways, the true elite of the nation. Not all of them were good—especially in the later years, particularly under the Romanovs—but they still carried this elite genetic heritage. And what did they do to these children in state orphanages?

- Girls were turned into prostitutes.
- Boys were raised to become thieves.

This was intentional. First, they created millions of orphans—tens of millions—and then herded them into state-run orphanages, where they were brainwashed into becoming nothing more than programmed slaves...

I would have preferred that these children grew up with their parents, that there had been no Civil War, which took 30 million lives, and that they had been raised in families with dignity, as they were before. Do you remember what was happening in the early years of Soviet rule? Do you recall the "theory of the glass of water?" Who promoted it? The Bolsheviks! Did they promote morality? No—they promoted debauchery left and right. And as for education—yes, it was strong in Soviet schools, but do you know why? Because the teachers in those schools were still mostly from the Tsarist era—people who had received an exceptional education themselves. Naturally, they provided high-quality education. And yet, when you read the official narratives, you hear that pre-revolutionary Russia was supposedly illiterate and uncultured. For some reason, they always push this narrative...

I'm not saying that everything was perfect—neither then nor now. But at the very least, when I was in school, we studied history properly. We were taught about the birch bark manuscripts from the 8th, 9th, and 10th centuries, found in the Novgorod region—the one in the north. Because Great Novgorod was originally located here, what was later called the Golden Ring—not the Novgorod near Ladoga. So, what

were people doing in the 8th, 9th, and 10th centuries, writing on birch bark? Even in the most remote villages—what was then considered the far north, a real wilderness, a place we'd call "the middle of nowhere" today— Ordinary people, farmers, were writing letters to each other! They calculated how many pods of grain they harvested. They knew mathematics—maybe just arithmetic, but still. They kept financial records, calculated profits, and conducted trade. And yet, we are told that they were illiterate? Now, what happened after Christianity was forced upon the people? They wiped out nearly 90% of the population of Kievan Rus—mind you, only Kievan Rus. Because they didn't even dare cross the Ural Mountains. They only pushed beyond the Urals in the 19th century.

So, what does this mean? It means that in the 8th-11th centuries, even simple rural people were literate, knew math, and wrote to each other. Yet by the end of the 19th century, they had become illiterate. Who is responsible for that? I believe it's the result of a thousand years of Christianity—Or rather, not Christianity itself, but the Greek religion that was forced upon Russia.

Because before that, people were educated. A perfect example of this is the letters of Princess Anna, daughter of Yaroslav the Wise, who became the Queen of France. When she arrived in Paris, she brought with her a library of books. And what did she write? "*Paris is a backward village,*" even compared to Kiev! So much for the "flourishing" West... And what about her future husband, the King of France? He was illiterate—he couldn't read or write. Did you know that? She brought books with her, and even to this day, on which Bible do French kings—and later, presidents—take their oath? On the Slavic, Russian Bible that she brought with her. Didn't know that? Well, now you do. So, in "enlightened, cultured" France in the 10th century, even kings were illiterate. Meanwhile, in the so-called "backward" Kievan Rus— (*And let's not even talk about the other Russian lands—because the West never mentions them!*)—peasants were reading, writing, and doing arithmetic—and probably knew even more than that.

And then there's the famous phrase from King Louis XIV, the so-called "Sun King." Do you know what it was? "A true Frenchman bathes only twice in his life—when he is born and when he dies." And what was the first thing that monks and priests started destroying when they arrived in Kievan Rus? The bathhouses.

There's even a joke about a Chukchi and a Frenchwoman: The Frenchwoman took a shower every day.

And the Chukchi said: "*Wow! She must be really dirty—she washes every day!*"

See how perspectives differ? But guess what? Back then, the real "Chukchis" were actually the French! Because in Kievan Rus, everyone was a "Frenchwoman"— Everyone bathed regularly in the banya. But for some reason, bathing was considered a sin. So, what did they do? They destroyed the bathhouses. Who did this? The "cultured" Greeks, who came to Russia to spread their so-called "civilization." There's a lot more to this story, but I won't go too deep into it—I tend to get carried away. But I think you'll agree—this is some pretty interesting information.

3.5. QUESTION: *What is a thought-form (мыслеформа)?*

ANSWER: To understand this, let's go back to how we see. A more detailed explanation can be found in "*Essence and Mind,*" Volume 1. Right now, we can see everyone sitting in this hall, as well as the hall itself. However, in our normal state, we can't see through walls. Remember how they explained vision to

us in school? Light passes through the lens, reaching the retina at the back of the eye, where it is absorbed by cones and rods—specialized light-sensitive cells. This information then travels to the visual cortex at the back of the brain, and later to the temporal lobes. But for some reason, they only mention the occipital lobe (at the back of the brain) when discussing vision—and rarely talk about the temporal lobe, even though both are involved. And here's where things get interesting. Science explains how this process works, but somehow misses a major contradiction. What happens? Each cone or rod in the retina absorbs photons of light—we all learned this. And what happens next? These light-sensitive cells generate different ions—usually two or three ions. From that point, an "ionic code" (as I call it) is transmitted through a long extension of the neuron called an axon. This signal then reaches the myeloid sheath, which conducts the code further. Eventually, the signal reaches a single neuron in the brain. At this point, the chemical balance inside the neuron changes. In other words, the ion content shifts, causing chemical bonds to either form or break—just as we've discussed before.

So, is that an image? Each individual neuron in the visual zones of the brain receives an ionic code. But this code exists only inside that specific neuron—it never leaves the neuron itself. So, is that a picture? This is all documented in scientific explanations. It's like when a physicist who doesn't understand biology tries to work with a doctor who doesn't understand physics, and together they think: *"How can we make this all work?"* And so, they invent a theory—that the eye works like a camera. But that's not how it works at all.

What we see is not a direct reflection of reality— It's a hologram that our brain reconstructs. Our brain recreates reality through a holographic process. In other words:

- It's not the eyes that see—it's the brain.
- The fact that I see you and you see me is not a direct reflection of reality.
- Yes, it corresponds to reality, but it is not reality itself.

What happens is that our brain receives signals from the external world, processes them, and then creates a hologram. And as long as that hologram matches reality, we think we are seeing the real world. But if something in the hologram changes, we stop seeing that reality altogether.

I have conducted dozens, even thousands of experiments, and some of them were truly fascinating and revealing. For example, I've conducted experiments where a person's vision was slightly shifted—so that objects were in one place, but they saw them in another. They would walk toward an object, thinking it was somewhere else, bump into it, and then struggle to understand why. Why did this happen? Because their visual perception was just slightly altered. When you understand these mechanisms, you begin to see how everything really works. A person can become blind—while still seeing everything. Why? Because what we see is not reality—it is a hologram that our brain reconstructs based on signals from the outside world. This means you can actually make something invisible— Not by physically removing it, but by simply blocking the brain's signal processing for that particular object. And just like that—a person stops seeing it. The most amazing part? All of this is already written in physiology textbooks—for example, in studies about vision. People read it, but no one draws the most basic conclusions. They still believe vision is like a photograph, where an image is somehow "printed" in the brain. But that's not how it works. There is no "image" being imprinted anywhere. Instead, what reaches the neurons in the brain's visual cortex is only a stream of ion codes. Each neuron receives input from a single cone or rod in the eye's retina— Not from everything at once, but one receptor at a time. And what about the temporal lobes? Nobody ever talks about them in discussions of vision. But they are crucial because they

are responsible for adding depth to the image. That's why we see the world in three dimensions, rather than as a flat picture.

3.6. QUESTION: *In the inscriptions deciphered by V. Chudinov on the surface of the Sun and Mars, the name of the goddess Mara frequently appears. What is her role in the hierarchy of the Light Forces, based on her activities?*

ANSWER: I don't remember exactly. I would need to check [source/book name] ... There, the roles and activities of each goddess and god are described in detail. Since I don't recall the specifics, rather than giving an inaccurate answer, I'd recommend that you read it there—you'll find all the necessary information.

3.7. QUESTION: *Do you accept Jews into the Movement? Is it possible to remove the religious block of Islam, Christianity, and Judaism?*

ANSWER: Of course, it's possible. We accept them, and there are already members from these backgrounds. The key is that a person must truly understand and desire to free themselves from religious programming. Naturally, this can be done, and we accept, assist, and support those who seek this path. Of course, there are also "planted agents" who infiltrate—but that's not a problem. Many of them actually end up changing and start working against those who originally sent them. So, anyone who genuinely wants to wake up and free themselves from slavery is welcome. Because in reality, Islam, Christianity, and Judaism are essentially one and the same religion, just with slight variations.

- Judaism is a religion exclusively for "the chosen"—the Jews.
- Christianity and Islam were created as adaptations for the "goyim"—meaning all non-Jews.

But at their core, all three are based on the same foundation.

When I asked people about the fundamental difference between Judaism and Christianity, they were always stumped. But the difference is actually very simple. There is only one key distinction:

- Jews believe that Moses was the Messiah of God and are still waiting for a new Messiah to come.
- Christians believe that Moses AND Jesus Christ were both Messiahs and are waiting for the second coming of Christ.

That's it. That's the only real difference. Everything else is the same. So, are they really separate religions? There is one small distinction, but at their core, they are essentially identical. Now, who has actually read the Torah? Maybe a few more people than usual, but still almost no one. That's a mistake! Read it! Why? Because it turns out that this so-called "one true God"—Who, as we've established, is the same in both religions—Has one set of rules for his "chosen people" and another for everyone else.

- For the Jews, he gives one message.
- For the rest of humanity, those who believe in Christ, the message is this:

"You must be slaves. Accept your fate as slaves without resistance, humbly, and be happy that you are being humiliated, insulted, robbed, and that your daughters and wives are being violated.

If you dare to resist—even slightly—you will go straight to hell. But if you remain obedient, you will surely go to heaven." Tell me—does that version of "paradise" appeal to you? Because to me, it absolutely does not.

Now, let's talk about Christianity and Islam. What is the correct name for Muslims? Their religion is called Islam. But do you know where the name "Islam" comes from? It comes from "Issa"—which was another name for Jesus Christ! So, what is Islam? What is Muslim belief? It is actually a Christian sect that split off from the Byzantine branch of Christianity. There was a council where they were excommunicated. Essentially, Islam is just another branch of Christianity—just like English Protestantism is a branch of Western Christianity. If Protestantism is the Western Christian split, then Islam is the Eastern Christian split. The only real difference is that in Islam, Jesus Christ is not the central figure. For Muslims, Muhammad is considered the most important prophet— But Jesus Christ is still recognized as a prophet in Islam. And here's where things get really interesting...

Christianity—or more accurately, the Greek religion—was originally the cult of Dionysus. That was its last name before it transformed into Christianity. Later, it split into different factions:

- Catholicism and its branches
- The Orthodox Church (*not "Православная", but "Ортодоксальная"*)

In fact, everywhere in the world, the Russian Church is not called "Православная", but "Orthodox." Has any priest ever explained what the word "Православие" actually means? It means "praising *Правь*," not "praising correctly" (*как многие думают*). If you look deeper into the meaning, the so-called "Православная Церковь" actually does NOT "praise Christ correctly."

Here's why:

- The Catholic Church elevates Jesus Christ to the status of God Himself.
- The Orthodox Church believes that Jesus was only a messenger—a Messiah (which literally means "one who delivers a message").

So, if anything, they should have been "correctly praising" Christ— If they truly followed that logic, they should have been praising Him to the highest level. But instead, this was all twisted by the treacherous reforms of Nikon in 1653-1656 (*if we use our current calendar*). At that time, those in power realized they could not defeat the people directly. So, they first made the people illiterate, so that they could no longer read and write. By that point, however, the people were still living according to Vedic traditions—traditions that had existed for hundreds of thousands of years.

And Nikon was very clever in how he did it. He assigned Christian saints to every Vedic holiday. Each Christian saint acted like a small replacement deity. And over time, there were multiple saints assigned to every single day of the year! It was so simple: look at a traditional holiday, find a Christian equivalent, and *Boom!* assign a Christian saint to it. And now, people continued celebrating the same holidays, but under a different label. And if the population was illiterate, then within two or three generations, no one even remembered where these holidays originally came from! What Nikon couldn't accomplish with fire and the sword, he succeeded in doing through deception.

When Prince Vladimir the Bloody forced Christianity—or rather, the Greek religion—upon his people, he wiped out 90% of the population of his own country. And remember this: What religion did Princess Olga convert to? She converted to Greek religion—not Christianity. So how did this Greek religion suddenly become "Christianity?" After all, Princess Olga lived in the 10th century. But Greek religion only officially transformed into Christianity at the end of the 11th century— A whole century later than the events happening in Rus. So how could Olga have converted to Christianity—a religion that didn't even exist yet in its modern form? This is yet another historical inconsistency that no one talks about. Why? Because the goal is to keep real knowledge out of people's reach— To make sure that people never have access to the truth. And what happens when people lack access to true history? They are turned into ignorant fools. And the most frustrating part? People don't even care— Especially the younger generation...

I've received so many letters from young people—both guys and girls. One 18-year-old young man wrote to me. He told me that he didn't see any meaning in life—because when he looked at his parents and society, all he saw was emptiness. Naturally, he gave in to all sorts of vices. But then he wrote that his mother insisted he read a particular book—a book that was banned for exactly this reason. At first, he resisted, but eventually, he read it. And suddenly—he understood. He began to feel proud of who he was. He began to feel proud of his country. And he felt ashamed of what he had done before. He stopped drinking, stopped smoking, and completely changed his way of life. And this is exactly what "they" fear. Because when young people are made to feel like their existence is meaningless, they have nothing left to do but drown themselves in filth—to turn into mindless cattle, into "rabble." But listen: no one can turn you into "rabble" unless you allow it. A person can only turn themselves into "rabble"—on their own! If a person chooses to live like that—if they behave the way "they" want them to— then yes, they will sink to the level of an animal. So, when people say: *"They are turning us into cattle!"* Yes, they are trying to do that. BUT! People do it to themselves. And here's proof—It only took one book, just one source of different information—to transform that young man from a mindless pawn into a real Human Being. And suddenly—he became dangerous. Because now—he was thinking. Now—he was proud. Now—he was no longer doing what the ruling powers wanted him to do. Do you remember that song? *"Satan rules the ball there..."* Well, that's exactly what's happening now—Satan's ball. Although, funnily enough—"Sa-Ta-Na" actually means "holy water." Strange, isn't it?

3.8. QUESTION: *How does a standard AC transformer work, and is it possible to create a DC transformer without using superconductors?*

ANSWER: I won't go into the details of how a standard transformer works right now—it's very simple. But unfortunately, the fact that this question is even being asked shows just how bad modern education has become. What kind of education are they giving kids in schools today? It's horrifying! It's a shame that young people today can't even get the most basic fundamental knowledge. Now, what I can say is this: Russia already has technologies that, if implemented— (*and I hope this will eventually be possible, though not while parasites are still in control*)— would allow us to generate electricity without transformers and without any fuel at all. There is a method to extract electricity from so-called "dark matter"—which modern physicists have misnamed because they don't truly understand it. In reality, what they call "dark matter" should actually be called "primary matter." Why did they call it dark matter? Because when we look into space, what do we see? We see stars, planets... And what's around them? Blackness. Darkness. (*And, as the joke goes: "And the dead with scythes stand there too!"*) Of course, you won't actually see the dead with scythes in space— But you do see stars and planets, while everything else is covered in darkness. Now, here's the key point: when physicists calculated the movement of galaxies, stars, and planets, they realized that these objects move as if there is 90% more matter in the universe than what we can detect. This invisible matter still affects the movement of

galaxies, but it cannot be seen directly. That's why they called it "dark matter." But "dark" doesn't mean it's related to dark forces or anything like that—it simply means they don't understand it yet. I prefer to call these primary matters, because they are the building blocks of everything else.

There are already multiple developments that allow us to generate electricity directly from dark matter—or rather, primary matter. With these technologies, there would be no need for transformers and no need to stretch thousands or even millions of kilometers of power lines. Every home, every apartment—no matter where a person lives—whether in the far north, Siberia, or deep in the taiga—a person could simply install a small device, about this size (*gestures*). And this device is real—I have seen it myself. And this small device would be enough to power everything. It requires no fuel, no wires, nothing. So, in the near future—hopefully—we will be able to eliminate all of this:

- Hydroelectric power plants
- Thermal power plants
- And especially nuclear power plants—because they won't be needed at all!

Now, for the young man who asked this question, I'd recommend he find a Soviet-era physics textbook—look up an old high school physics book and read it. It explains everything in simple terms. And if you want a deeper understanding of how electric current moves and what electricity actually is, read the book "The Inhomogeneous Universe." There, you'll find a clear explanation of what electricity truly is, and how electromagnetic waves propagate through conductors. If you combine this knowledge with other key concepts, it will lead to true understanding.

3.9. QUESTION: *Why do some wars start in the Balkans? Is this region referred to as "The Land that Shines (or Sows) Power?"*

ANSWER: The reason is that one of the Life Generators (*Sources of Life*)—which our ancestors placed—had its energy output point in Serbia.

There is a specific mountain in Serbia, and according to legend, whoever controls this mountain—controls the world. That's why so many European wars have revolved around this area—because various forces have sought to seize this land and control this strategic energy point. What's hidden there? A complex of pyramids—but they have been covered over time, with forests growing on them. If you were to clear away the overgrowth, you would find an entire pyramid complex beneath. And underneath these pyramids is a crystal. This crystal is one of the stabilizers of the planet, and it once played a key role in many natural processes. The belief is that if someone takes control of this location, they could use it for global manipulation. (*And certain dark magicians know how to use such things for their purposes.*) However, even if they were to seize it now, it wouldn't help them anymore. Because they wouldn't be able to control the crystal. Now, think about this—where does the name "Serbs" come from? It originates from "Silver Rus" (Серебряная Русь). This region was once rich in silver mines, and it was a territory of the Rus people. So over time, "Silver Rus" became shortened to "Serbs."

3.10. QUESTION: *Is it true that wheat has extraterrestrial origins? Does it have a harmful effect on the human body?*

ANSWER: I remember during Soviet times, whenever butter disappeared from store shelves, suddenly, newspapers and television began reporting that butter is extremely harmful to health. Then, when eggs

disappeared, the same thing happened. They told people that eggs are dangerous, that you shouldn't eat them, or else you'd drop dead immediately. But strangely enough—nobody died from eating eggs or butter. As for wheat, I haven't specifically studied whether it originated on Earth or not. But let's not forget—we ourselves are descendants of extraterrestrials. So most likely, if our ancestors cultivated wheat, and if they did bring it to Earth, they simply brought it from the planets where they lived before—and for them, it was a normal, natural food source.

But do you know what isn't normal for us? Potatoes! No one talks about potatoes being extraterrestrial or harmful to health—but they should! Potatoes are not of extraterrestrial origin—they are earthly. Where did potatoes come from? From Central America. For the indigenous people of that region—the Red Race—potatoes are completely normal. They've been eating them for thousands of years, and nothing happens to them. But in Russia, we are now completely dependent on potatoes—we eat them everywhere, in everything. And yet—did you know that before potatoes arrived in Russia, diabetes did not exist here? Why is that? In my book [Title], I explained that different climatic zones have specific types of food. And the people who have lived there for thousands of years have adapted to those foods. That's why they are harmless to them. They have built-in immunity to any negative effects. That's why there is almost no diabetes among them, even though they eat more potatoes than we do. But for the White Race, potatoes are a foreign product—they've only been around for 500 years, and in Russia—even less. And what family does the potato belong to? The nightshade family (Solanaceae).

- Datura, henbane—both belong to the nightshade family, just like potatoes.
- Raw nightshade is toxic—if you eat it even slightly green, you're dead.
- But ripe nightshade berries (black ones) are actually edible and even tasty.

Now, here's the thing—potato berries are deadly. When Peter I (*or rather, the fake Peter I*) forced Russia to adopt potatoes, it led to the potato revolts. Why? Because when peasants were forced to grow potatoes, they collected the seeds instead of the tubers. They ate the tops (berries) instead of the roots—and died. Why? Because potato leaves and berries are toxic! And naturally, the peasants rebelled—they thought the tsar had gone mad, forcing them to grow poison that was killing them. (*Well, he had gone mad a long time ago... actually, the real Peter had been removed and replaced with a bad double—but that's another story.*) It was only later that people realized—you have to eat the roots, not the tops. And only then did potatoes become "safe" to eat.

But! Even when you eat potatoes—especially the skin and the "eyes"—they remain highly toxic. Even if you peel them well, there is still a certain concentration of poison inside the potato itself. And when we consume it, it has a strong impact on the pancreas. Over time, this can lead to the development of diabetes. This disease primarily affects the White Race—because we are not biologically adapted to this food. Our ancestors never had potatoes in their natural diet—and therefore, they never could have developed immunity to its effects.

And tomatoes? Same story—except they aren't toxic. Same with cucumbers and corn. All of these crops came from America. Of course, not everything that comes from other territories is poisonous. But if certain foods are indeed toxic, then we need to understand the risks. In the past, we didn't have the kind of mass migration we see today. People weren't constantly moving from one continent to another, from the third to the fifth, the tenth, and so on. There were no airplanes in the past— (*at least in the last 13,000 years, let's put it that way*). Before that? Well, let's just say there were far more advanced modes of transportation than airplanes. Today, food gets flown in from any part of the world. Go to any market, and you'll see fruits, vegetables, and products from every corner of the Earth— from China,

Africa, South America, North America—you name it. The only limiting factor is how much money you have in your wallet. At first glance, this seems like incredible progress. But here's the thing—our own land is just as rich in natural food resources. And if we simply stick to the foods our ancestors ate for thousands of years, we wouldn't have any health problems at all. Our bodies would be far healthier than they are today.

3.11. QUESTION: *What is epilepsy in a child?*

ANSWER: Epilepsy is usually the result of staphylococcal infection affecting the brain's cortex—an infection that a child typically acquires in the hospital at birth. And yes—they infect children deliberately. When staphylococcus enters the body, in most cases, it slowly destroys a person over time. But if the immune system is weakened, the infection spreads rapidly and begins to damage specific areas of the brain's cortex. Depending on which area is affected, this can result in:

- Epilepsy
- Meningitis
- Or other neurological conditions

The root cause is always the same—all diseases are the result of the brain being poisoned by the waste products and toxins produced by infections that enter the body. Now, let's talk about how newborns get infected. Right here (on the bridge of the nose), we have sinus cavities—"windows" into the body. When a baby is born, these openings remain open for three days. That means—when a newborn takes their first breath, if there are bacteria or pathogens in the air—for example, staphylococcus—they can enter directly into the brain through these openings. After three days, the openings naturally close, and no more contaminants can enter. This is why the first three days after birth are the most critical—they are the most dangerous period when a child is most vulnerable to infection. Unfortunately, nearly 100% of hospitals are contaminated. However, the elite have their own private hospitals where they deliver their children safely, free from infection. Meanwhile, the children of everyone else, born in regular hospitals, receive these infections with their very first breath.

Statistics show that after 10 years of operation, a hospital or maternity ward should be completely demolished—because it is impossible to fully disinfect these facilities. Over time, they become dangerous breeding grounds for infections. And this is not just speculation—in 2005, a report was released in the United States (*it was published once and then quickly forgotten*), which revealed that every year, around 2 million people in the U.S. get infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* (golden staph)—just by visiting a hospital and breathing the air inside. Why? Because air conditioning and ventilation systems don't remove bacteria—they actually spread it everywhere. The entire hospital environment becomes contaminated. Of those 2 million infected people: 90,000 die immediately—within 2 to 3 days of infection. These are people who walked into the hospital alive and healthy—but just a few days later, they were carried out in body bags. The remaining 1.91 million don't die immediately—but the infection weakens them over time, silently shortening their lifespan by 10 to 15 years. And keep in mind—this is the most conservative statistic that was released publicly.

Every year in America, around 55,000 women die from breast cancer. That's 55,000 deaths annually. But here's the thing—90,000 people die each year just from breathing hospital air! Yet, what do we hear about? They shout from every rooftop about breast cancer. They organize marches and awareness campaigns. They raise money and push constant media coverage. But about the fact that almost twice as many people die every year simply because they walked into a hospital and breathed the air? Silence.

Now, let's add another number to the equation: Every year, in the U.S., around 225,000 people die from:

- Allergic reactions to medications
- Medical errors in hospitals

So, let's do the math:

- 225,000 deaths from medical mistakes
- 90,000 deaths from hospital-acquired staph infections

That's a total of 315,000 deaths per year— people who entered the hospital alive but never walked out.

Now, here's a comparison:

- During all of World War II, the United States lost 300,000 soldiers.
- 300,000 deaths over the entire war.

Meanwhile, 315,000 Americans die in hospitals EVERY SINGLE YEAR. So, what exactly are these places— medical institutions or death camps? And here's the real question: Why do they scream so loudly about breast cancer—but remain silent about the 90,000 hospital infection deaths? Because treating breast cancer is a trillion-dollar business. The absolute minimum profit generated from a single woman with breast cancer who undergoes a basic treatment course (including radiation and chemotherapy) is enormous. Every year, the medical mafia makes at least \$5 trillion from breast cancer treatment alone. But the 90,000 who die from staph infections? They generate no revenue. They only damage the industry's reputation. So, what happens? They bury the story. And no one talks about it.

3.12. QUESTION: *Tell us about the development of stomach cancer and its treatment.*

ANSWER: Stomach cancer primarily develops due to stress. As the joke goes: *"A few diseases come from pleasure, but all the rest come from nerves."*

Now, here's what happens:

- If a person eats poorly,
- Eats irregularly,
- Or if their stomach is empty and they become stressed—

What happens next? The stomach begins to produce gastric juice. But if there's nothing to digest, what will the acid start breaking down? It starts digesting the stomach itself. This leads to ulcers forming and the stomach lining breaking down. If this continues for a long time, and on top of that, the person smokes regularly, then the situation gets even worse—because all the toxins from smoking further irritate the stomach lining and accelerate cell mutation. At a certain stage, this can develop into stomach cancer.

And cancer treatment - cancer is a disease that affects all levels of a person, which is why surgically removing a tumor alone will not solve the problem. The facts show if cancer is detected at stage one

(any type of cancer, not just stomach cancer), and if the tumor is removed, and there are no metastases, and tests confirm no cancer cells in the blood, everything appears clear. What happens next? In the best-case scenario, the person will be cancer-free for 5-7 years. But after 5-7 years, in most cases, the cancer returns. And this isn't my opinion—this comes from American research studies. Why does cancer return after 5-7 years? Because the cell renewal cycle in the body lasts 5-7 years, depending on which tissue was affected. So, if a person develops cancer, and the cycle of cellular renewal has already completed, then they will have 5-7 years of life after tumor removal—until the cycle repeats and cancer returns. But if the cancer appeared just a few months before the next cell renewal, then surgical removal of the tumor won't help at all—because within 2-3 months, the tumor will grow back. This is why even in "successful" cancer treatments, the patient gets a maximum of 5-7 years—and in rare cases, a bit longer. But eventually, the cancer will return—because cancer doesn't just affect the physical body—it also damages other levels of existence—levels that mainstream medicine does not acknowledge. There are many causes of cancer, and a person's own actions can contribute to it as well.

There are two types of causes for cancer:

1. Purely mechanical, natural causes—such as physical trauma (for example, ulcers).
2. Human actions—certain behaviors that negatively impact a person's evolution.

These "sins" (*not in a religious sense, but as harmful actions*) lead to destruction and self-destruction. Over time, they cause structural damage to the person's essence. Now, let's talk about cell renewal cycles:

- The body replaces all its tissues within a specific time frame.
- For most soft tissues, the renewal cycle is 5-7 years.
- The longest cycle belongs to bones—they take up to 15 years to fully regenerate.
- The shortest cycle belongs to red blood cells (erythrocytes)—which renew every 90 days.

So, when people say, "*aging happens because of time,*"—that's not entirely true. After all, the maximum lifespan of any cell in the body is 15 years. So why does a 15-year-old boy look completely different from a 90-year-old man, even though their bodies are constantly renewing themselves? Because aging is not just about time—it's about the quality of regeneration. And how do we treat cancer? Unfortunately, this cannot be explained in just a few words. The real treatment requires a deep understanding of how the body and energy systems work.

3.13. QUESTION: *Why does autism develop?*

ANSWER: Unfortunately, one of the main causes of autism—or perhaps the primary cause—is vaccinations. Before the 20th century, when infants were not given vaccinations, autism didn't exist anywhere. And here's something most people don't know—the so-called "great victory over smallpox" wasn't actually due to vaccines. In England, when they introduced smallpox vaccinations, it triggered a global push for mass vaccination programs. But what's the crucial detail that no one mentions? After they started administering smallpox vaccines, the number of people who died after receiving the vaccine was actually higher than before vaccinations even existed! Didn't know that? So, here's the real question—what's the point of vaccinating people against smallpox, if more people die after the vaccine than before it? There is no point. Then why did they push it so aggressively? Because they were simply brainwashing people—and at the same time, making massive amounts of money. Now, let's talk about

autism and vaccines: The vaccines given to infants contain mercury. If an infant's body is weak, or their immune system is compromised, mercury exposure can be fatal. The brain begins to deteriorate, and as a result—autism symptoms appear.

3.14. QUESTION: *My eldest son had his foreskin removed during phimosis treatment, but I recently read that circumcision is a form of black magic, a ritual that "grounds" a person and blocks their evolutionary path. How can this be corrected? And how can I treat phimosis in my younger son without circumcision?*

ANSWER: The information you read is correct—but only partially. The idea that circumcision "grounds" a person and blocks their evolutionary development is completely true—BUT this only happens when circumcision is performed on the 7th or 8th day after birth. That's why Jews perform circumcision specifically on the 7th day—because at that moment, the "grounding" effect fully takes place. If circumcision occurs later in life—due to medical reasons like phimosis—then this "grounding" effect does not happen. That said, I personally believe that surgery should always be a last resort. If there is any way to avoid surgical intervention, that should be the priority. Phimosis often resolves on its own as a child grows. So, there's no need to rush into anything. If circumcision was not done on the 7th-8th day after birth, then there is no "grounding" or evolutionary blockage to worry about.

And why do Jews perform this specific circumcision? There's one simple reason: they carry the Y chromosome of the White Race. I explained this in my book—if the Y chromosome is not blocked, it will dominate. And that means Jewish men would start behaving not like programmed followers, but like normal, free-thinking people. However, there is one exception—the descendants of the god Yahweh—Levites—do NOT undergo circumcision. Why? Because they are considered the direct lineage of Yahweh. So, they don't need "grounding," since the dominance of the Y chromosome is already suppressed naturally. Now, here's something very interesting— I personally confirmed the power of the Y chromosome while I was in the United States. One of my patients was a white woman— though compared to me, her skin had a slightly yellowish tint. But to everyone else, she was simply white with blue eyes. She told me about her family background. Her grandfather married a Native American woman (a squaw). They had two children—a boy (her father) and a girl (his sister). She brought me a photograph of them. And you won't believe what I saw. The father (a white man) and mother (a Native American woman) stood in the photo. Their two children—a son and a daughter—stood next to them. And here's where it gets fascinating. The boy (*her father*) was completely white—indistinguishable from any European. The girl (*his sister*) was 100% Native American—you would never guess her father was white. So, what happened? The Y chromosome in the boy completely suppressed the genetic traits from the mother (X chromosome)—so he developed entirely according to White Race genetics. Meanwhile, the X chromosome in the girl overpowered the Y chromosome—and she developed completely as a Native American, with no visible traits from her white father. This effect can last for several generations—until certain traits start re-emerging later in lineage. But in the first generation, this pattern is very clear. That's why, in White Race traditions, lineage was always determined through the father—because the Y chromosome played a dominant role. And that's why the White Race remained genetically pure for so long—unlike many other races.

Read the Old Testament and the Torah, and you will find a clear description of the institution of Jewish brides. This was a strategic method used for taking over nations. Here's how it worked: The Jews would arrive in a new land. They would offer their women—specifically trained in black tantra—to the rulers of that land. Children would be born from these unions. These children would undergo ritual circumcision—a practice deeply rooted in black magic. This ritual turned them into controllable subjects—essentially, mental slaves. And through this method, one nation after another was taken

over—not through war, but through genetic and spiritual manipulation. The key element here is that most people don't realize how much is also passed down through the mother. While the Y chromosome plays a dominant role in male lineage, maternal influence is equally significant, especially in spiritual and cultural transmission. And this lack of awareness is what made it so easy for nations to be overtaken without resistance.

3.15. QUESTION: *Who should I vote for in the next elections for the Duma?*

ANSWER: It's a shame they don't allow an option to vote "for no one." Because, let's be honest—the communists? You already know who they really are. Look at what they did to the country over more than 70 years—even Russia's worst enemies couldn't have dreamed of causing so much damage. And no, this wasn't accidental. Socialism was deliberately created to destroy Russia—and to fabricate the illusion of two opposing camps in a global struggle. In reality, the same forces controlled both sides. Did you know that in America, there was a war between the North and the South? And do you know who financed both sides? The same group—the Rothschilds. The Rothschilds financed both the North and the South—because they didn't care who won. No matter what happened, the winner would have to pay them back—and the loser's debt would also become their profit. It was a win-win situation for them—at the expense of everyone else.

So, the communist system was created deliberately—and everything they built was an ideal model of what they want to turn the world into—a world of slaves. That's exactly what they were doing in the Soviet Union—mass exterminations of the population, the complete suppression of free thought, and turning the remaining people into obedient, voiceless followers. Because everyone "unanimously" raised their hands in agreement—not because they had a choice, but because true participation was non-existent. Especially in the last decade of the USSR—it was all just a sham, a total farce. I remember when I was in school, I was part of the Komsomol committee—I was elected, but guess what? I was the only one actually doing anything. They put me in charge of the labor sector. So, I ended up decorating classrooms, drawing posters, and handling everything. And what did everyone else do? They sat around, held "meetings," and just talked nonsense. Then in university, the Komsomol became like an open bottle of ammonia—the cap was pulled off, but nobody bothered to put it back on—and over time, it just evaporated into nothing. So, what about today's parties? Unfortunately, the current political parties have already completely discredited themselves. In my view, there is no party worth voting for. I don't see a single party that deserves my vote.

United Russia has turned into a party of bribe-takers. But do you really think the others are any better? When I arrived here in 2006, all kinds of "influential people" approached me. They said: "Give us—" (I don't remember the exact number) "either \$100,000 or \$1 million—" *And we will make you a deputy in our party.*" I don't know of a single political party that isn't profiting from corruption. They sell positions left and right, and then everyone screams about how "Russia is so corrupt." But do you know how much a senator costs in the U.S.? \$20 million. A governor? Even more expensive. Every political position is for sale. But for some reason, nobody talks about it. So, what's the difference between Russia and the U.S.? The only difference is that in Russia, corruption is exposed in the media for everyone to see—while in the U.S., it's just as bad, but they keep quiet about it.

When Bill Clinton was still in office, he made a public statement: *"We are ready to reduce the size of our military, but we don't have enough money." "We need \$500 billion to provide housing, retrain soldiers for new professions, and ensure a decent standard of living for those being discharged from the military."*

Now, here's what I know for a fact—a friend of mine, who had access to certain high-level financial operations, told me that not only was there \$500 billion available, but that this money was actually provided to the U.S. government—to fund the downsizing of the military. And what happened next? The U.S. military did not shrink. In fact, it expanded. And those \$500 billion? They "disappeared"—straight into the pockets of Clinton and his team. Now, compare this to Russia. People complain about Russian corruption—but in reality, our so-called "big thieves" are just small-time players compared to these guys. Because \$500 billion—that's just one case of stolen, laundered, or transferred funds in the U.S. government. So, when people say, "*Russia is so corrupt*," while praising the West as a model of prosperity—they have no idea how things really work.

One time, journalists from the New York Times came to interview me. They asked: "*What do you see as the biggest problem in Russia?*" My answer? "America!" Everything happening in Russia today is a direct result of the policies that were created and imposed on Russia by American advisors during Yeltsin's era. These American "consultants" were sitting in the Kremlin itself—they were dictating policies, "advising" the government, "teaching" us "*dumb Russians*" how to properly conduct business. So, who is truly responsible for Russia's current state? First and foremost—America. Because what we're experiencing is the full realization of the economic plans that American advisors forced onto Russia's leadership. And what about America itself? Remember that old cartoon about the little goat who knew how to count? That's exactly what's happening in the U.S. economy right now. The U.S. is drowning in debt—and yet, they act as if their economy is still floating fine. Their national debt is beyond colossal—and that's only the official debt. The real debt is even higher. The U.S. government can't even pay the interest on its loans—including the money they owe Russia. So, what did they do? Instead of solving the problem, they increased their debt by another \$2 trillion. Everyone took a deep breath of relief, and *poof*—the sinking ship magically floated back to the surface. But did raising the debt by \$2 trillion actually solve anything? No—it only made things worse. If they couldn't pay the interest before, now they definitely won't be able to pay it at all. The U.S. has only two options now:

1. Start World War III—which is exactly what they did in previous financial crises.
2. Destroy their own country—which they already attempted in May this year.

Because if the country no longer exists, then there's no one left to pay the debts.

It's just like Soviet times—whenever an OBKhSS inspection (the financial crime department) was scheduled for a warehouse, somehow, the warehouses would always "accidentally" catch fire. Why? Because if they checked the stock, they would find that everything was already stolen long ago. So, they set fire to the warehouse—and after the flames burned everything to ashes, there was nothing left to investigate, and no way to prove theft. Now, the U.S. is using the exact same strategy. In May of this year, they found themselves in a critical financial crisis. They even attempted to destroy their own country—but it didn't work. The signs were already there. In the third week of May, Russian television started intensively broadcasting Baba Vanga's predictions—specifically, about the end of the world occurring during the spring equinox in 2010. And what a "coincidence"—at the exact same time, an American prophet appeared, claiming the same thing—that America would be destroyed around the spring equinox. That there would be a catastrophe. Strange, isn't it? Both in Russia and in the U.S., the media was preparing people for the idea that America would soon collapse.

And what was the real plan? When America "collapsed," those deemed worthy of survival would be relocated. Where? To Russia. They had already positioned NATO troops nearby, conducting military exercises near the Russian border. So that, in case of "emergency," NATO forces could secure safe

passage for American refugees into Russia.

- China? Overpopulated.
- India? Overpopulated.
- Europe? Mostly overpopulated.

But where is there plenty of empty land? Russia. The plan was simple: Destroy America. Move the chosen survivors to Russia. Restart the Western system under a new name. Russia was meant to become the "New America"—just as Edgar Cayce predicted. But when that plan failed, they had to come up with something else. So instead, they just raised their national debt by another \$2 trillion. The stock markets remained calm, the U.S. dollar magically strengthened against the ruble, and everything looked "normal" again. But only because Russia's stabilization fund was still held in U.S. accounts. And here's something else you might not know. Do you know what Andropov did? During the Reagan presidency, the entire gold reserve of the Soviet Union was transferred to the U.S. That's tens of thousands of tons of gold—and who arranged this? The Soviet Communist leaders themselves. Our own General Secretaries.

3.16. QUESTION: *Which party should I vote for in the Duma elections?*

ANSWER: You know which party you should vote for? The Party of Angels—the ones who will descend from the heavens and finally bring justice. But—what a shame! That party doesn't exist. So, what can I say? You're asking for advice, but deep down, you already know the answer. I cannot recommend a single party—because, in my opinion, every single one has already discredited itself beyond repair. None of them care about the country. None of them care about the people. All they care about is their own pockets. Now, I'm not against people looking after their own financial interests. BUT—it only works when personal wealth aligns with the prosperity of the country and its people. I support wealthy individuals—as long as their success means the country prospers, and the people prosper, too. What I oppose is when one person gets rich, while the country collapses, and the people starve. Those are the kinds of people I have fought against—and I will continue to fight against them with everything I have. It may seem like a small distinction—but in reality, the difference is enormous.

If the country prospers, then the people who work hard will also prosper—they will receive decent wages, they will have good living conditions, and this is something that can and must be achieved. But the destructive forces we see today? They don't care. For them, this country is nothing more than a place to make quick money—as they themselves say, they are just here to "cash in." For them, Russia hasn't been their homeland for a long time. They live elsewhere—because most of them already have their families settled in the West. They are only here to make money—and then send it abroad. So, what should be done? These kinds of people should be kicked out of the country—immediately.

3.17. QUESTION: *What is your social status in your world?*

ANSWER: In my own world, I am the only one. Because in my own world, there is no one else—since my world does not exist. Maybe you meant something a little different. Let's just say—I am far from being the last person. But does it really make sense for me to explain further? If you've read certain things, you'll notice there are many places where I've left things unsaid. There are dots where words should be. And where things are written openly. Even then, some people will say: "*Oh, he's lost his mind!*" But my "mind" keeps going and going—and for some reason, it never fully "goes off the rails." Maybe because

my "rails" are too long—and the destination is still far ahead. So, let's put it this way—my status? I am someone who is respected.

3.18. QUESTION: *Is it true that King Herod the Great of Judea died four years before the birth of Christ?*

ANSWER: I don't know for certain—but in any case, the one they call Christ was actually born in the 11th century. So, it's definitely not just four years off. (*Someone in the audience: "But in modern encyclopedias, they say Christ was born four years after Herod's death. Isn't that false?"*) You see, there are a lot of ridiculous errors in history. I even wrote about this in my autobiography—for example, when I started looking into Prince Vladimir the Baptizer. They claim he was the eldest son of Prince Sviatoslav. And then they list their birth years—and it turns out that Sviatoslav would have had to conceive his "eldest" son Vladimir when he was 8 years old. And not just that—since Vladimir was an illegitimate son, that means he had "older" brothers. Which means Sviatoslav would have had to father them at 3 years old, maybe 5 years old. That's quite impressive—he outdid even the legendary feats of Hercules! And yet, no one seems to question these absurdities. There are so many historical mistakes—even in modern interpretations. And people just don't notice them. But if you pay attention, you'll find dozens of such contradictions.

I remember my school history textbook on the ancient world. There was an illustration of an ancient Greek statue—a beautiful woman in a tunic, holding a book. A small, printed book, typical of modern publishing. At the time, I didn't think much of it—to me, it was just a beautiful statue. But much later, I realized something wasn't right. Paper was only invented in the 11th century. Printing books didn't begin until the 15th century. And in the supposed era of this statue, books did exist, but each one weighed 150–200 kg! Now, imagine this delicate Greek woman trying to hold such a book in her hand. Her statue would have to look entirely different! And what about the Roman "history?" The famous Capitoline Wolf—the statue of the she-wolf nursing Romulus and Remus—was not made in ancient times at all. This isn't just my theory. Even Italian researchers confirmed that it was created in the 15th–16th centuries. So, it has nothing to do with the founding of Rome. And what about the so-called "Roman Empire?" If you look at ancient maps of Europe, you'll notice something very strange. The city of Rome existed, but there was no such thing as the Roman Empire. It was completely fabricated. The idea of Roman emperors? Invented. The "dead language" of Latin, supposedly the foundation of all European languages? Created later. The entire Roman narrative was a constructed myth.

And in fact, the foundation of all European languages was not Latin, but the language of the Etruscans. Which was simply Russian. Until the 10th–11th centuries, even the English spoke Russian. So why did languages begin to diverge?

There were several key reasons:

1. The Plague, the "Renaissance," and the Cholera Epidemics
 - After massive waves of disease, entire cities and villages were wiped out.
 - Trade, travel, and communication between countries completely stopped.
 - Any outsider was seen as a threat—people would kill strangers on sight.
2. For several centuries, there was no cross-border communication.
 - Without interaction, languages stopped evolving together.
3. The Loss of Teeth Due to Disease
 - Many survivors lost all their teeth due to disease and malnutrition.
 - This dramatically affected how they spoke.

Now, try this experiment:

- Knock out someone's teeth (or remove their dentures).
- Ask them to pronounce words clearly, especially in Russian.
- They will start lisping, struggling to pronounce certain sounds.

What happened to the next generation? When the children of the survivors were born there were no audio recordings or TV to preserve proper pronunciation. They learned to speak the way their parents did, but their parents were already speaking with a distorted accent. Over time, words transformed dramatically. But the roots remained the same—Russian.

Here's another funny detail from Soviet-era history lessons. Those who studied in Soviet schools will remember how they taught pure nonsense. For example, we were told that when Gediminas, the Grand Duke of Lithuania, united the Russian lands under his rule—from the Baltic Sea to the Black Sea—the "wild Lithuanians" started adopting Russian culture and language. But that's completely false. The Lithuanians never needed to "adopt" Russian language or culture—because the Lithuanians were already part of the same Rus civilization. They were one of the tribes of the Svyatorusy—specifically, from what was known as "Blue Rus" (Синяя Русь). They always spoke Russian—just like we do today.

How was the Lithuanian language "invented?" A friend of mine, Svetlana, was born in Lithuania. She went to a Lithuanian school and remembers how they taught: *"The great Lithuanian language!"* But—kids are kids, right? She asked her teacher: *"If Lithuanian is such a great and ancient language, why are there no written works in it before the 17th century?"* The teacher had no answer. Because, as it turns out, the Lithuanian language—like many others—simply didn't exist before the 17th century. It appeared only after Lithuania fell under Catholic control and was forced into Polish-Latin influence. Interestingly, the Lithuanians were the last people in Europe to preserve their Vedic faith. They were the last pagans, holding onto ancient Slavic traditions and spirituality. But once they were forcibly occupied and converted, their language was reshaped, just like many other nations that fell under Western influence.

The same thing surprises me when people talk about "the great Ukrainian language." Or when they claim that Ukraine has existed since the beginning of time. But then why wasn't it called "Ukraine" back then? Because it was called Kievan Rus—not Ukraine. Take, for example, Princess Anna, daughter of Yaroslav the Wise—she wrote in Russian, not Ukrainian. When did the first Ukrainian "literature" appear? Only in the 19th century. That's when scholars used the Russian alphabet and Russian grammar to write down what they called the Malorussian dialect.

A dialect that had formed due to long periods of foreign occupation—

- First by the Poles
- Then the Hungarians
- Then the Germans
- And others

How did Ukrainian develop as a separate language? Over time, many foreign words entered the vocabulary. Certain grammatical structures changed due to foreign influence. But the majority of Ukrainian words are still completely understandable to any Russian speaker. There are only a few words

that are harder to recognize. (*I'm not even talking about Western Ukraine—that's a whole different story.*) And what about Polish? Polish is even further removed from Russian. But even Polish traces back to the same Slavic origins.

Remember the legend of the "three brothers"—

- Sloven (Slavs)
- Rus (Russians)
- Lyakh (Poles)

Each tribe migrated to different lands. And where did they come from? From beyond the Ural Mountains. (*But that's a separate conversation altogether.*)

3.19. QUESTION: *Is it true that the UN is introducing an international calendar starting in 2012?*

ANSWER: Let them introduce whatever they want because we need to return to our own calendar. According to our traditional system, the current year should be 7520, 21 years from the Creation of the World in the Star Temple.

3.20. QUESTION: *Can we calculate how much "grace" is needed for the Holy Fire in Israel for all future pilgrimages? Should we calculate and make it public?*

ANSWER: I don't think any real "grace" is falling there—especially not on Israel. It doesn't really matter—"grace" has supposedly been falling there for a long time... But let's talk numbers: As of 1995–1996, the U.S. was giving Israel \$1 billion per year—and that's despite America's own massive debts. And if the U.S. was giving that much, you can bet other countries were contributing too. Now, about the "Holy Fire"—there's an interesting little fact about it. For years, young boys were allowed to run around during the ceremony. Then, at one point, they decided to ban all the kids from being there. And what happened? The "Holy Fire" didn't ignite. According to tradition, that was supposed to signal the end of the world—but for some reason, the world didn't end.

It turns out, the boys were necessary to "summon" the Holy Fire. Why? Because this is black magic at work—they use occult techniques to create a "miraculous" Holy Fire that doesn't burn. They carefully choose the right day, just like how Epiphany water is said to gain special properties only on a certain day. But here's the truth—you can actually make Epiphany water any time you want—and even a much more powerful version of it—if you know how.

How does the Holy Fire "miracle" actually work? They rely on certain conditions that occur only on specific days. But that alone isn't enough. To create the Holy Fire "phenomenon," they need children's energy. That's why there were always crowds of young boys running around during the ceremony. These boys unknowingly became energy donors—and the "miracle workers" harvested their energy to create this so-called Holy Fire. And what happened when they removed the boys? No Holy Fire appeared. Because black magicians don't create anything. They only steal. They cannot produce real miracles. They can only manipulate and deceive. As for the other Holy Fire, it doesn't actually fall from the sky... Just like Egypt was never truly "plundered." But, of course, no one talks about that either.

3.21. QUESTION: *Where, how, and under what conditions can one obtain their True Name?*

ANSWER: Many newly self-proclaimed "Vedists" simply choose a name for themselves—*"I want to be Vedamir!"*—or someone else assigns them a name. But what is a True Name? Even if someone is given a True Name—they should never reveal it. Because a True Name is a complete access code—a direct link to that person.

How were True Names given in ancient times?

- True Names were only shared with a select few—
- Only trusted friends or close loved ones knew them—
- People had to be certain that these individuals would never betray them.

Why is this so important? There is an ancient legend about Isis and Ra—

- Isis wanted to steal Ra's knowledge and power.
- To do so, she had to extract his True Name from him.
- Once she had it, she gained control over him.

Does knowing a True Name mean complete enslavement? Not necessarily—but if someone knows your True Name, you cannot hide from them—they will be able to find you anywhere. Yes, there are ways to track a person without knowing their True Name—but it's much harder.

A Funny Incident About the "Almighty." There was one person—I won't name him—a Doctor of Technical Sciences. Then, one day, something "clicked" in his head. And, in my opinion, his "roof" flew off completely. He started communicating with the "Almighty." And the "Almighty" began giving him messages that he was supposed to pass on to the people. Almost like Moses and the burning bush but in a different version. I honestly feel sorry for people like this. They become victims of their own ignorance. So, what did I do? Through a mutual acquaintance, I sent a message: *"Let him come to me. I'll help free him from this enslavement."* Because "Almighty" is not a name—it's a title. Then I said: *"Tell him to ask the 'Almighty' what his real name is."* And guess what happened? The "Almighty" refused to reveal his name. Why? Because he was afraid. Afraid that if he revealed his True Name, someone might "hit him over the head" for spreading nonsense. Because he was no Almighty at all—just another fraud.

A True Name is not just given out randomly. Only someone who has reached the level of Creation, someone who has achieved a high level of development, can bestow a True Name.

To do this, one must:

- Examine a person's essence
- Understand their potential
- Determine their true path of development

Only then can someone say: *"Your True Name is..."*

A True Name is not fixed for life. It's not something you receive once and keep forever. Instead, a True Name corresponds to your current level of development. As a person evolves and changes qualitatively, when they reach critical milestones in their growth, their True Name also changes. How does this work? I, for example, have had many True Names. The one I have now has remained for some time. But who

knows? If I move forward, if I reach new levels, if I transform myself further then my True Name might change again. Because a True Name reflects the level of development, of the being who holds it. Not Just Anyone Can Give a True Name. You can't just make it up out of nowhere. You can't just choose whatever sounds nice. A True Name must be granted by someone who is truly capable. And who can do that? Not just any Vedist. Not even most real Volkhvs (Slavic spiritual leaders).

Even ancient texts mentioned that: *"Only the Volkhv-Keeper (Хранителю) would remain."* Meaning— Librarians, in a sense. But can a "Keeper" give a True Name? No—because a Keeper is not a Creator. A True Name can only be given by someone who has reached the level of a Creator. And a Creator is far beyond just a Keeper. Sometimes, Keepers start believing they are Creators simply because they can read knowledge that others cannot. But knowing something is not the same as creating something. And when Keepers start acting like Creators, it only leads others into confusion— and does more harm than good.

3.22. QUESTION: *What about voting... and how to find the truth?*

ANSWER: Very simple—go back to the previous elections. If there are recordings, listen to them. Check what every party promised when they were running for office. Then compare what they promised and what did they actually do? And what do you find? Nothing. So, is their information true? No. Of course, you can't just close your eyes, open them, and magically everything has changed. That's not how reality works.

But here's the real pattern:

- Every election, parties scream the same promises—
- *"We will lay down our lives for the people to prosper and become rich!"*
- But in reality, it's the people who lay down their lives—
- While the politicians get rich.

Where is the truth? There is none. Because there is no real accountability. And this isn't just in Russia. Democracy works the same way everywhere. Look at elections in the West. Every candidate promises that everything will improve. Yet, in every country, things are only getting worse, worse, and worse. Why? Because parasites are in power. And what do parasites do? They don't create anything—they only suck blood. And the more parasites there are, the more blood they drain until eventually, the host collapses and dies. And when that happens only the parasites will be left... But who will they feed on then?

3.23. QUESTION: *On TV, they often discuss the "Russian Question," but they only refer to Karamzin. What's your position?*

ANSWER: Karamzin isn't even on Lomonosov's level. Although Lomonosov managed to restore some things, why do they always cite Karamzin? Because Karamzin wrote what pleased the Romanov dynasty. That's all. His version is a complete distortion of history. What do the real facts say? I've pointed this out many times, using primary sources—in the first edition of the *Britannica Encyclopedia (1772)*, the Russian Empire is called "Great Tartary." What was "Great Tartary?" It began beyond the Ural Mountains; it stretched to the Great Wall of China and included a huge part of North America. That entire territory was called Great Tartary or the Russian Empire. And what about Moscow? The

Romanovs only controlled "Moscow Tartary." Their territory stopped at the Ural Mountains. Nothing beyond that belonged to them. Now let's look at modern history books. They tell us "*Yermak conquered all of Siberia!*" And how did he do that? He moved on boats from river to river, dragged those boats over land, supposedly fought wars, held sieges, and even wintered there. Does that sound realistic? Let's do some calculations: How long would it take for Yermak's forces to reach the Far East while fighting battles, enduring winters, and dragging their boats? The real "Siberia" that Yermak conquered was not the entire region beyond the Urals. It was actually just the land between the Volga River and the Urals. That area was called "Siberia" at the time. And what was beyond the Urals? Something completely different. But does anyone talk about this? No.

What is known is that in 1775, there was allegedly a Pugachev uprising, a Pugachev rebellion, which was suppressed. It was a civil war, but not between Pugachev's rebels and the tsar's troops, but it was a war between the old and the new dynasty, the old Horde dynasty, which was then sitting in Tomsk, and the new Romanov dynasty, who were planted in Moscow, then in St. Petersburg. The old dynasty lost, after that the territory was annexed, and only from the beginning of the XIX century the Trans-Ural territories joined the Moscow Principality or Moscow Tartary, and further, further, further. That's how everything is perverted, why? Because it was so favorable to the West that I first saw on TV, then I bought a book *Mummies of Tartary*, these territories are not China, for some reason, to the north of the Chinese wall there are all these mummies, and all these mummies are white people and perfectly preserved. Because in the dry sand the humidity is almost zero, and the mummies just dried and preserved, you can even see the tattoo on the skin, the clothes. These are white people. And here are American and Chinese scientists in quotes (scientists, such a gaffe, I watched, just rolling with laughter), say that 5-7 thousand years ago Europeans came, reached out and shook hands with the Chinese. They at least read the other part of their own created history, where 4-5 thousand years ago Europe only began to be settled by white people.

3.24. QUESTION: When, why and where did the infernal world – the world of dark forces – appear? Is evil a necessary component for the evolution of our universe or the entire universe?

ANSWER: Dark forces, each civilization goes through its own stages of evolution, birth, infancy (nursery), kindergarten, school, university and adulthood. This applies not only to a specific person but also to civilization. I will take one good example, someone goes to kindergarten, nursery (I did not go, and it did not harm me, on the contrary, they did not make a zombie soldier out of me, as they do out of everyone else), someone goes to school. At school, when I studied, almost everything was terribly interesting, I read more than the school program, because I was very interested. And someone is not interested, someone is interested in fooling around. I am not saying that I was not interested in walking outside, we did classes for 15-20 minutes to get ready. I went out, organized expeditions, and then spent most of my time reading or doing something of my own. But for others it is not interesting, they go and create gangs, start to beat out money. In our time, there were such people in my generation, not only now. Young people today are being programmed only to think about sex and pleasure. They are distracted from true knowledge. This is not accidental—it is a deliberate control mechanism. Instead of seeking higher knowledge, they are taught to stay at the lowest levels—like children who never graduate from kindergarten. The real battle is between those who seek knowledge—and those who want to keep others ignorant.

And here is the question: Is it necessary or is it a regularity that every civilization goes through all the stages, and at each stage, there are temptations, certain stimuli pushing a person in that direction? Some people want to learn, some find it interesting, while others do not find it interesting, do nothing,

and just try to brush it off so that others leave them alone. Everything depends on the path that a civilization chooses at each stage. If, at a certain stage, the dominant factor in a given civilization (with many influencing factors) becomes parasitism—when they begin to oppress others for their own prosperity—then gradually, such a civilization will turn into a "dark civilization." And if it continues on this path, it will inevitably become a social parasite not only on its planetary level but also at the cosmic level. There is no other outcome. However, if a civilization can avoid these diseases and move forward like a true human society...

I can say the following: if someone had told me when I was a boy to voluntarily go to school, do you think I would have gone? No, never! Because I had my own important matters that were important to me at that time. But I went to school not because I wanted to, but because I had to. The truth is, I was interested in many things, far beyond the school curriculum, which was very simple for me personally. I was curious about much more—that was my own concern. But if I had been given the choice, "Go to school or do whatever you want," I would have chosen to do what I wanted. And that would have been completely wrong. That is why education must be as complete as possible for children, regardless of whether they think, "*Why do I need this? I will never use it in my life! I don't want to know it, and I will never apply it!*" Yes, a person may never apply certain knowledge, but if their brain is not developed comprehensively, even in their chosen field, they may progress a little and then stop. They will never become a creator because narrow-focused development is limited. Yes, at first, it may give an advantage—a certain leap forward—but then it leads to serious pathologies, both psychological and physical. And most importantly, such a person will never be able to fully develop to their maximum potential. If you're interested, I wrote about this in my book "*Essence and Mind*," Volume 2.

When does harmonious development occur? When multiple areas of the cerebral cortex develop, not just one specific area. This creates the necessary conditions for further progress. But if only one area develops, a person may achieve success in that field, yet at the same time, they will be completely ignorant in everything else and will never become the person they could have been—even within their own greatest talent. That is why, in my opinion, education in schools should be given to everyone, not selectively. It should not depend on personal desires—one must master a wide range of subjects. But the most important thing is that the information must be high-quality and accurate—and this is the real problem. If mistakes are corrected and a solid foundation is provided for a person, then they will develop properly. The same applies to civilizations—if, for any reason, a civilization chooses a distorted path of development, focusing on just one thing, it will eventually lead to a transformation of that civilization into a parasitic one. Parasitism—we have all experienced it firsthand; we see it every day.

What is the problem with parasites? A parasite is worse than cancer, because cancer has no brain—it does not realize that if it keeps growing, it will kill the body it depends on—and die along with it. Now, look at the number of parasites in society—their numbers keep increasing! Each parasite wants to receive bribes without working. Bribes keep getting larger, and more and more people become corrupt. But they fail to understand that if they continue robbing people the way they do now, soon, there will be nothing and no one left to rob. People are being pushed to the edge of survival.